# 行政院國家科學委員會補助專題研究計畫 **成果** 報告

## 液態鎵熱力學及結構之研究(2/2)

A study on structure and thermodynamics of liquid Ga  $(2/2)$ 

計畫類別:個別型計畫 計書編號: NSC 97-2112-M-009-0005-MY2 執行期間: 97 年 8月1日 至 99 年 7 月 31 日

執行機構及系所:交通大學物理研究所

計畫主持人:吳天鳴 共同主持人: 計畫參與人員:蔡昆憲

成果報告類型(依經費核定清單規定繳交): 完整報告

本計畫除繳交成果報告外,另須繳交以下出國心得報告: 出席國際學術會議心得報告

處理方式:得立即公開查詢

中 華 民 國 99 年 10 月 18 日

## 國科會補助專題研究計畫成果報告自評表

請就研究內容與原計畫相符程度、達成預期目標情況、研究成果之學術或應用價 值(簡要敘述成果所代表之意義、價值、影響或進一步發展之可能性)、是否適 合在學術期刊發表或申請專利、主要發現或其他有關價值等,作一綜合評估。



2. 研究成果在學術期刊發表或申請專利等情形: 論文:■已發表 □未發表之文稿 □撰寫中 □無

3. 請依學術成就、技術創新、社會影響等方面,評估研究成果之學術或應用價 值(簡要敘述成果所代表之意義、價值、影響或進一步發展之可能性)(以 500 字為限)

液態鎵以結構奇異聞名,主要是表現在靜態結構因子主峰右側有一肩膀狀的 突出部份。其結構來源成因,一直是實驗觀察到至今約半世紀未解釋完全的 問題。在過去多用共價雙原子分子(dimer)解釋。然而,我們用一液態鎵位能 模型,發現幾點用雙原子分子解釋矛盾之處。根據我們的模型與結果,此奇 異結構並非由雙原子分子所造成,而是由鎵原子短程的軟排斥力及長程的價 電子振盪位能所造成。我們的結論已發表於國際期刊上。

# 中文摘要

用從第一原理所得到的液態鎵位能模型,我們研討液態鎵溫度在熔點上一些的微 觀結構與熱力學性質。在此模型中,兩鎵原子間的作用力包括短程的排斥力與長程的 Friedel 振盪位能,而此短程的斥力是非常"軟"的。此模型的 excess Helmholtz 自由能 及 excess entropy 均利用硬球微擾理論及熱力學積分公式計算。因所得計算結果與實驗值 非常接近,我們結論此位能模型能的熱力學性質非常接近真實的液態鎵,此部分已發表 在 Journal of Chemical Physics 129, 024503 (2008) 。

溫度在熔點上一些時,液態鎵以結構奇異聞名,主要是表現在靜態結構因子主峰 右側有一肩膀狀的突出部份。此奇異結構實驗觀察到至今約半世紀,然其來源成因一直 是未解釋完全的爭議問題。在過去多用共價雙原子分子(dimer)解釋,認為此共價雙原 子分子是固態鎵剛熔化後的殘留物。然而,根據我們的位能模型與結果,我們質疑這樣 的解釋。我們發現此奇異結構是來自於一些在奈米尺度的中程秩序結構,而當鎵原子間 只有短程的排斥力時,此奇異結構將不會出現。我們的結果已發表於 Journal of Chemical Physics, 132, 034502 (2010)。

為要近一步了解 Friedel 振盪位能對液態鎵熱力學性質與微觀結構的供獻,我們 比較此位能模型有 Friedel 振盪位能和沒有時的 excess entropy, 而比較顯示 Friedel 振 盪位能會降低液態鎵的 excess entropy。此結論與我們所提的液態鎵結構出現中程秩序結 構的解釋相符合。此部份的結論將發表在 Computer Physics Communications。

**關鍵詞:** 液態鎵、硬球微擾理論、菲德爾振盪位能、中程秩序結構。

3

# 英文摘要

In terms of a model potential derived from the first-principles theory, we have studied the structure and thermodynamics of liquid Ga at temperatures just above the melting point. The pair potential of this model includes a soft repulsive core and the long-range Friedel oscillations. The excess Helmholtz free energy and excess entropy of this model are calculated by both the hard-sphere (HS) perturbation theory, which has been generalized for the fluids with very soft repulsive cores, and the thermodynamic integration, which is recognized as an exact theory in thermodynamics. In this part, with calculated results very close to the experimental data, we conclude that our model well describes the thermodynamics of liquid Ga and our results are published in Journal of Chemical Physics **129**, 024503 (2008).

Liquid Ga is famous for anomalous structures, characterized by a shoulder on the high-q side of the first peak in static structure factor at temperatures just above the melting point. The high-q shoulder has been observed for over fifty years; however, its interpretation is still in dispute. One interpretation usually adopted in the past is that the anomalous structures are resulted from the covalent dimers, the remnants after the solid Ga melts just above the melting point. However, with our model, which also reproduces the structural and dynamics properties of liquid Ga, including the high-q shoulder in the static structure factor, we question this interpretation. We find that the anomalous shoulder structures are resulted from some medium-range order, which is the interplay of the soft repulsive core and the Friedel oscillations within a range of nano scale, and would not appear in the case that only the soft repulsive core presents. Our conclusions in this part have been published in Journal of Chemical Physics **132**, 034502 (2010).

To further investigate the roles of the Firedel osicallations in the thermodynamic properties of liquid Ga, we have compared the excess entropies of the models with and without the Friedel oscillations and the comparison indicates that the Friedel oscillations cause a decrease in the excess entropy of liquid Ga, which is consistent with our picture for the presence of some medium-range order. This conclusion will be appeared in Computer Physics Communications.

### **Key Wards: Liquid Ga, Hard-spere perturbation theory, Friedel oscillations, Medium-range order.**

# 國科會補助專題研究計畫項下出席國際學術會議心得報告

日期: 99年7月30日



本人於今年(2010)七月十二日至十六日至香港出席由香港浸信會大學(Hong Kong Baptist University)所舉辦 StatPhysHK, Complexity, Computation, Information 的 Satellie 國 際會議。此會議基本上有兩個主題: (A) 統計物理在生物系統之應用(Application of statistical physics in biology systems) 及 (B) 玻璃相變 (Glass transition). 其會議摘要可於下面網址查詢

http://www.hkbu.edu.hk/~statphys

參加的人數大約在二百人以上,主要來自歐洲、美國、日本、中國大陸、台灣與香港本

地的專家學者。會議中充滿學術討論的氣氛,包括研究方法、理論、實驗與電腦模擬。 除了學術討論的氣氛,由會議規劃的細密可見其組織及用心,這也是參加此會議的收穫 與心得。

本人在此會議中報告的論文(GD03)題目與摘要如下:

### **Anomaly in Structures and Entropy of a Model for Liquid Ga**

The origin of high-q shoulder in the static structure factor of liquid Ga has been a long-standing problem. By simulating a model of interatomic pair potential, possessing a soft repulsive core and the Friedel oscillations, the anomalous structures and dynamical properties of liquid Ga just above the melting point have been reproduced. Dimers with bond lengths near that of the covalent bond*s* in Ga crystal are indeed found in our simulation. However, the high-q shoulder in the static structure factor is associated with interdimer pairs rather than the dimers themselves. By comparing the structures of the model in full range with those of the repulsive core only, we evidence that the high-q shoulder is associated with some medium-range order caused by Freidel oscillations within a nanoscale. The excess entropies of the two models are also examined. Our results indicate that the Friedel oscillations give rise to a decrease in the excess entropy, which is attributed to the medium-range order.

於香港會議之後,本人既前往澳洲凱恩斯(Cairns, Australia)參加第二十四屆國際統 計物理會議 (International Conference on Statistical Physics). 此次會議日期是 七月十九日到二十三日,由 The University of Queensland Australia 所舉辦.會議的 網址如下

http://www.statphys.org.au/

會議的主題包括統計物理各方面

combinatorics etc.

**Topic 1** General aspects of statistical physics: phase transitions, critical phenomena, thermodynamics, networks and graphs, etc. **Topic 2** Mathematical aspects: rigorous results, exact solutions, matrix models, SLE,



本人在此會議中報告的論文題目與摘要如下:

### **Multifractality of vibrational modes at mobility edge**

Since Anderson's pioneering work on the electron localization due to disorder, the localization-delocalization transition, also named as mobility edge (ME), has been a fundamentally important subject for over fifty years and a great impact on modern physics. At a ME, the wave phenomena exhibit multifractal behaviour, which indicates the self-similarity of the phenomena on all length scale. Recently, the multifractality of vibrational waves has been evidenced by the ultrasound on the 3-D elastic network of Al beads. In this work, we investigate the multifractals at the MEs in the instantaneous-normal-mode (INM) spectrum of a simple fluid; the INMs are referred to the eigenmodes of the Hessian matrices of the fluid configurations. In

terms of the level spacing (LS) statistics and the theory of finite size scaling, two MEs are found in the INM spectrum, one with positive eigenvalue and the other with negative eigenvalue. The locations of the two MEs are also confirmed by the multifractal analysis. Within numerical errors, the critical exponent and the nearest-neighbour LS distribution at each ME are in a good agreement with those of the 3-D Anderson model (AM). By comparing the singularity spectrum of the INMs at the MEs with that of the AM, we show that the behaviour of the multifractality at the ME is universal.

此次參加兩個統計物理方面的國際會議,學習和收穫豐富,本人非常感謝國科會經

費的補助。

### **[Hard-sphere perturbation theory for a model of liquid Ga](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2948950)**

K. H. Tsai<sup>1</sup> and Ten-Ming Wu<sup>2[,a](#page-8-0))</sup>

1 *Department of Electrophysics, National Chiao-Tung University, HsinChu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China* 2 *Institute of Physics, National Chiao-Tung University, HsinChu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China*

Received 22 April 2008; accepted 30 May 2008; published online 8 July 2008-

Investigating thermodynamic properties of a model for liquid Ga, we have extended the application of the hard-sphere (HS) perturbation theory to an interatomic pair potential that possesses a soft repulsive core and a long-range oscillatory part. The model is interesting for displaying a discontinuous jump on the main-peak position of the radial distribution function at some critical density. At densities less than this critical value, the effective HS diameter of the model, estimated by the variational HS perturbation theory, has a substantial reduction with increasing density. Thus, the density dependence of the packing fraction of the HS reference fluid has an anomalous behavior, with a negative slope, within a density region below the critical density. By adding a correction term originally proposed by Mon to remedy the inherent deficiency of the HS perturbation theory, the extended Mansoori-Canfield/Rasaiah-Stell theory [J. Chem. Phys. 120, 4844 (2004)] very accurately predicts the Helmholtz free energy and entropy of the model, including an excess entropy anomaly. Almost occurring in the same density region, the excess entropy anomaly is found to be associated with the anomalous packing faction of the HS fluid. © *2008 American Institute of Physics.* [DOI: [10.1063/1.2948950](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2948950)]

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

A general realization about simple liquids is that the liquid structure is primarily determined by the repulsive core of particles, while the attractions between particles determine the cohesion of the liquid.<sup>1</sup> Since the repulsions and attractions in a liquid play different roles in thermodynamics of the liquid, the two portions of interatomic interactions are individually approximated with different strategies. The structure of a liquid can be described by a reference fluid with the repulsive core only, whereas the attractions are treated as a perturbation. This forms the central theme of thermodynamic perturbation theory, which has played an important role in the development of statistical mechanism for simple liquids. $2,3$  $2,3$  Some refinements of the theory progress recently, with an aim of applications in soft condensed systems. $4-9$  $4-9$ 

Owing to the benefit of available analytical expressions of the hard-sphere (HS) fluid in calculating thermodynamic and structural properties, the reference fluid is further approximated to be a HS one with the HS diameter determined by requiring that the two fluids are equivalent in some thermodynamic quantity. For example, in the Weeks–Chandler– Andersen (WCA) theory, $10^{-13}$  $10^{-13}$  $10^{-13}$  the HS diameter is determined by the equation of equal compressibility between the two fluids so that their free energies differ in the fourth order of the softness parameter, which is a measure for the difference between the repulsive core and the HS potential. Generally, the WCA theory is accurate for the Lennard-Jones (LJ) fluids at high densities. However, as the repulsive core is very soft, the softness parameter is so large that the inaccuracy of the WCA theory becomes serious. With a different choice for the HS diameter, Lado<sup>14</sup> gave some improvement in the prediction of the WCA theory. Alternatively, according to the Gibbs–Bogolubov inequality, Mansoori–Canfield<sup>15</sup> and Rasaiah–Stell<sup>16</sup> (MCRS) developed independently a variational approach, based on a HS reference system and the first-order perturbation. By treating the HS diameter as a variational parameter, this approach provides an upper bound of the Helmholtz free energy. As the repulsive core is softer than that of the LJ potential, the prediction of this variational approach is found to be more accurate than that of the WCA theory. This variational HS perturbation theory has been applied to calculate the structures and thermodynamics of liquid metals, which can be described by the effective pair potentials with very soft repulsive cores. $17-20$ 

Pointed out by  $Mon^{21-23}$  $Mon^{21-23}$  $Mon^{21-23}$  in a few years ago, the inaccuracy of the HS perturbation theory is resulted from an inherent deficiency of the theory: A region in the phase space of a realistic fluid is forbidden by a HS fluid due to the singularity of the HS potential. To make up the deficiency of the HS perturbation theory, Mon proposed a correction term that should be added into the variational function to improve the accuracy of the variational approach. By testing the corrected variational function with the inversed-power fluids and a model of liquid  $\text{Na}^{24}$  the deficiency of the HS perturbation theory is accounted. Recently, including Mon's correction term in the two-body approximation, Ben-Amotz and Stell have extended the MCRS theory.<sup>25</sup> Different from other HS perturbation theories, this extended theory needs two effective HS diameters. The cavity distribution function of a HS fluid, which is in the correction term, is evaluated by the HS-diameter value determined by the Lado-WCA method; other HS-diameter parameters, appearing either explicitly or implicitly in the variational function, are still treated as the variational parameter. Also tested with the inversed-power

<span id="page-8-0"></span>a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: tmw@faculty.nctu.edu.tw.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) Interatomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$  (solid line). The dotdashed line is the LJ potential with the same  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ . The dashed line is a linear function  $a_1(r/\sigma) + a_2$  with  $a_1 = -115\varepsilon$  and  $a_2 = 109\varepsilon$ ; the dotted line is the function  $c_1 \exp(-c_2 r/\sigma)(r/\sigma)^{c_3}$ , where  $c_1 = 4.42 \times 10^6 \varepsilon$ ,  $c_2 = 16.4$ , and  $c_3 = 1.5$ . The inset shows the oscillatory part of  $\phi(r)$  at large distances.

fluids, the extended-MCRS (E-MCRS) theory gives more accurate predictions than other first-order HS perturbation theories.

In terms of an interatomic pair potential generated by a first-principles pseudopotential theory, $^{26}$  the structural and dynamic properties of liquid Ga close to the triple point are well described, including the well-known shoulder in static structure factor and the anomaly in the linewidth function of dynamic structure factors at high wavevectors. $27$  This interatomic pair potential is characterized by two features: a ledge-shape repulsive core and the long-range oscillations induced by conduction electrons. Although generated numerically, the interatomic pair potential is continuous and smooth, and the behavior of the repulsive core is generally like the two-scale Jagla potential, $^{28}$  which have been used to investigate the waterlike anomalies.<sup>29[–31](#page-15-20)</sup> It is interesting to examine the accuracy of the HS perturbation theories mentioned above with this liquid-Ga model, by comparing their predictions with the simulation results. We also estimate the effective HS diameter of this model fluid by these perturbation theories and compare their density variations. We find that the E-MCRS theory gives extraordinarily accurate predictions on the thermodynamic properties of this model from low to high densities, including an excess entropy anomaly at intermediate densities. In the next section, we briefly outline the MCRS and E-MCRS theories. In Sec. III, our model is described and the conditions of our simulations are given. In Sec. IV, we present the calculated results. Our conclusions are given in Sec. V.

#### **II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

The excess Helmholtz free energy *A*ex of a fluid is defined as the difference between the total free energy of the fluid and that of an ideal gas at the same number density  $\rho$ and temperature  $T$ .  $A^{ex}$  is attributed to interactions between particles. Here, we give two methods for how  $A<sup>ex</sup>$  is calculated. The first method is based on the HS perturbation theory and the second is evaluated by a thermodynamic integration of pressure.

Relative to a reference fluid of HSs with diameter  $\sigma_{\rm HS}$  at the same temperature and number density,  $\tilde{A} = A^{\text{ex}} / N k_B T$  of a fluid with *N* particles can be expressed as

$$
\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A}_{\text{HS}} + \Delta \widetilde{A},\tag{1}
$$

where  $\widetilde{A}_{\text{HS}} = A_{\text{HS}}^{\text{ex}} / N k_B T$  is the corresponding quantity of the HS fluid and  $\Delta \tilde{A}$  is the difference.  $\tilde{A}_{\text{HS}}$  is well described by the Carnahan–Starling expression,<sup>32</sup>

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\widetilde{A}_{\rm HS} = \frac{\eta(4-3\,\eta)}{(1-\eta)^2},\tag{2}
$$

where  $\eta = \pi \rho \sigma_{\text{HS}}^3/6$  is the packing fraction of the HS fluid. In the MCRS theory,<sup>15,[16](#page-15-9)</sup>  $\Delta \tilde{A}$  of a fluid with a pair potential  $\phi(r)$ is given as

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\Delta \widetilde{A} \approx 2 \pi \rho \beta \int_{\sigma_{\rm HS}}^{\infty} g_{\rm HS}(r) \phi(r) r^2 dr,
$$
 (3)

where  $g_{\text{HS}}(r)$  is the radial distribution function of the HS fluid and  $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ . By treating  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  as a variational parameter, a measured value of  $\tilde{A}$  is obtained by minimizing a sum of  $\tilde{A}_{\text{HS}}$  and  $\Delta \tilde{A}$  given in Eqs. ([2](#page-9-0)) and ([3](#page-9-1)), respectively.

In principle, the entire configuration space  $\Omega$  is accessible to a realistic fluid. Because of the singularity of a HS potential, part of  $\Omega$  is not available to the HS fluid, which configuration space is denoted as  $\Omega_{\text{HS}}$ . This is due to the excluded volume effect of the HS particles. Mon pointed out that due to the difference between  $\Omega_{\rm HS}$  and  $\Omega$  a correction term that should be added into  $\Delta \tilde{A}$  is formulated as<sup>21[–23](#page-15-13)</sup>

$$
\Delta_{\eta} = \frac{1}{N} \ln \left[ \frac{\int \Omega_{\rm HS} e^{-\beta \Phi}}{\int \Omega e^{-\beta \Phi}} \right],\tag{4}
$$

where  $\Phi$  is the total interaction energy of the fluid of interest.

To the lowest order of fluid density, Ben-Amotz and Stell have shown that the correction term can be approximated to be $^{25}$ 

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
\Delta_{\eta} = -2\pi\rho \int_0^{\sigma_{\rm HS}} g(r) r^2 dr,\tag{5}
$$

where  $g(r)$  is the radial distribution function of the fluid with  $\phi(r)$ . As commonly used in the HS perturbation theory,  $g(r)$ in the repulsive-core region is approximated as

$$
g(r) = y_{\text{HS}}(r) \exp(-\beta \phi(r)),\tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>where  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$  is the cavity distribution function of the HS reference fluid. By using this approximation, a new variational formula of  $\Delta \tilde{A}$ , refereed as the E-MCRS theory, is given as

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
\Delta \widetilde{A} = 2 \pi \rho \beta \int_{\sigma_{\rm HS}}^{\infty} g_{\rm HS}(r) \phi(r) r^2 dr
$$

$$
- 2 \pi \rho \int_{0}^{\sigma_{\rm HS}} y_{\rm HS}(r) \exp(-\beta \phi(r)) r^2 dr. \tag{7}
$$

It has been shown that the E-MCRS theory gives better predictions in thermodynamic properties of the inverse-power fluids than other first-order HS perturbation theories. How-

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

FIG. 2. (Color online) Radial distribution function of the model fluid at the indicated particle number. In each panel, the solid and dashed lines are, respectively, the simulation result and the approximation given by Eq.  $(6)$  $(6)$  $(6)$ with  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$  evaluated at the Lado-WCA value of  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$ . The dotted line indicates the  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  value obtained by the E-MCRS theory.

ever, a notice should be given: The HS diameter for describing  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$  in the second integral is determined by the Lado-WCA method and may have a different value from that of the variational parameter  $\sigma_{\rm HS}$ , which implicitly determines  $g_{\text{HS}}(r)$  in the integrand of the first integral and appears explicitly in the lower and upper limits of the first and second integrals, respectively.

In thermodynamics,  $\tilde{A}$  of a fluid at density  $\rho$  is related to the pressure  $P(\rho')$  of the fluid at lower density  $\rho'$  via the following integration:<sup>2</sup>

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\widetilde{A} = \int_0^{\rho} \left( \frac{\beta P(\rho')}{\rho'} - 1 \right) \frac{d\rho'}{\rho'}.
$$
\n(8)

 $P(\rho')$  can be obtained via the pressure equation, in which two inputs are the radial distribution function of the fluid at density  $\rho'$  and the first derivative of the pair potential. With the radial distribution functions generated by computer simulation,  $\tilde{A}$  obtained via the integration in Eq. ([8](#page-10-0)) is recognized as the simulation results, which have no approximations in thermodynamics.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Potential of mean force  $w(r)$  of the model fluid at  $N=3500$  (dashed line),  $2500$  (short-dashed line),  $2000$  (dot-dashed line), 1000 (dot-dot-dashed line), and 100 (dotted line). The solid line is the interatomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$ . All potentials are scaled with  $\varepsilon$  and distance is scaled with  $\sigma$ .

#### **III. MODEL OF LIQUID Ga AND SIMULATIONS**

The interatomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$  used in this paper is generated by a first-principles pseudopotential theory for liquid Ga at  $T = 323$  K.<sup>26</sup> Although the numerical data of  $\phi(r)$ are generated at discrete points, the overall shape of  $\phi(r)$ , shown in Fig. [1,](#page-9-2) is continuous and smooth. Generally,  $\phi(r)$ has a ledge-shape repulsive core and a long-range oscillatory part with a behavior following the Friedel oscillations.<sup>27</sup> Two parameters of  $\phi(r)$  are  $\varepsilon$ , the depth of the main attractive well, and  $\sigma$ , which is the shortest distance at  $\phi(r) = 0$ . The corresponding temperature of  $\varepsilon$  is about 47 K and  $\sigma$  $= 4.04$  Å. The repulsive core of  $\phi(r)$ , denoted as  $\phi_0(r)$ , is the potential for  $r$  smaller than  $r_0$ , the position of the main attractive well, where  $r_0$  is about 1.07 $\sigma$ . According to the behavior of the repulsive core,  $\phi_0(r)$  can be roughly divided into three sections. As *r* is larger than 0.9 $\sigma$ ,  $\phi_0(r)$  with energy less than 6 $\varepsilon$  behaves like the repulsive core of the LJ potential with the same  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$ .<sup>[33](#page-15-22)</sup> In the intermediate region between  $0.9\sigma$  and  $0.7\sigma$ , the value of  $\phi_0(r)$  varies from 6 $\varepsilon$  to 30 $\varepsilon$  and the shape of  $\phi_0(r)$  becomes soft and has a ramplike behavior, with a reflection point around  $0.8\sigma$ .<sup>[34](#page-15-23)</sup> At *r* less than 0.7 $\sigma$ ,  $\phi_0(r)$  increases almost exponentially with decreasing distance so that the repulsive core changes to be extremely stiff.

With  $\phi(r)$ , we have carried out a series of molecular dynamics simulations at constant *NVE* ensemble by using a predictor-corrector algorithm<sup>35</sup> in a time step of 5.45 fs. In each simulation, the temperature is set to be  $6.85\varepsilon$ , corresponding to  $T = 323$  K, the box size is fixed at  $10.2\sigma$ , and the range of  $\phi(r)$  is terminated at half of the box size. All quantities given in this paper are in units of  $\sigma$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and the mass of Ga atom. The number of simulated particles starts at *N* = 3500. Then, in each simulation for a new thermodynamic state, *N* is reduced by 100, with the lowest *N* being 100. At  $N=3500$ , the simulated system has a number density  $\rho$ =0.05 Å<sup>-3</sup>, with a reduced density  $\rho^* \equiv \rho \sigma^3$  equal to 3.305, which is close to that of liquid Ga at  $T = 323$  K and pressure of about 1 bar. At the simulated conditions of *N*= 3500, the

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

FIG. 4. (Color online) Effective HS diameter  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  as a function of density.  $\sigma_{\rm HS}$  is estimated by various theories: WCA (triangles), Lado-WCA (diamonds), MCRS (circles), and E-MCRS (squares). The crosses stand for the main-peak position of  $g(r)$ . The symbols of each kind are connected by a line to guide the eye.

static and dynamic structure factors of the system reproduce well the experimentally observed structural and dynamic anomalies of liquid Ga at temperatures close to the melting point  $(T_m = 303 \text{ K})$ .<sup>[27](#page-15-17)</sup>

The radial distribution functions of our simulated systems at some particle numbers are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-10-1) At *N* = 3500, the main peak of the radial distribution function is located at  $0.686\sigma$ , which is in the extremely stiff region of the repulsive core. As *N* is decreased, the main peak is lowered and shifts outwardly, while a shoulder near  $\sigma$  appears and then grows. Around  $N=2100$ , corresponding to  $\rho^* \approx 2$ , the roles of the main peak and the shoulder exchange: the main peak changes to occur near  $\sigma$  and the shoulder resides in the inner part of the repulsive core. As *N* keeps on decreasing, the inner shoulder gradually disappears, associated with a continuously growing main peak near  $\sigma$  as a compensation. As *N* is less than 1000, the number density of the system is rather low and the main peak moves toward the first attractive well, with a final position located at the minimum of the attractive well. A similar density variation of the radial distribution function is also observed for the one-scale and two-scale ramp potentials $31$  introduced by Jagla<sup>28</sup> and the core-softened continuous potential with an attractive well. $36$ 

Another way to manifest the density effect on the radial distribution function of this model is to compare the potential of mean force, which is defined as  $w(r) = -k_B T \ln g(r)$ , with  $\phi(r)$ . *w*(*r*) is the potential that gives the mean force acting on a particle in the fluid. In concept, the mean force between two neighboring particles in a dense fluid includes the direct force due to the interatomic pair potential and an effective force indirectly intermediated through other particles. In general, the indirect force depends on the fluid density, so the potential of mean force at high density can be quite different from the interatomic pair potential. For our model fluid, the variation of  $w(r)$  with *N* is presented in Fig. [3.](#page-10-2) At  $N=3500$ ,  $w(r)$  has a deep attractive well at the first-peak position of  $g(r)$ ; this attractive well is attributed to the compactness in the fluid at such a high density. As *N* is decreased, the depth of this attractive well gradually reduces, while a shoulder near  $\sigma$  appears. At some critical  $N$ , corresponding to a critical density, the roles of this attractive well and the shoulder in  $w(r)$  switch with each other. As  $N$  is decreased further, the new attractive well in  $w(r)$  continuously moves out, with its depth becoming deeper first and then attenuated to  $\varepsilon$  at final.

In the WCA theory,<sup>11</sup> the effective  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  of the model is determined by the solution of the following integral equation:

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^{r_0} y_{\text{HS}}(r) \exp(-\beta \phi_0(r)) r^2 dr = \int_{\sigma_{\text{HS}}}^{r_0} y_{\text{HS}}(r) r^2 dr. \tag{9}
$$

This integral equation implies equal compressibility between the reference fluid with the repulsive core  $\phi_0(r)$  and the fluid of HSs with diameter  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  at the same temperature and density. In the Lado-WCA method, $14$  the effective HS diameter is given by the solution of a similar equation, by replacing  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$  in both integrands in Eq. ([9](#page-11-0)) with  $\partial y_{\text{HS}}(r)/\partial \sigma_{\text{HS}}$ . To solve these equations efficiently, we have replaced  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$ and  $\partial y_{\text{HS}}(r) / \partial \sigma_{\text{HS}}$  with their analytical expressions in the hard-fluid (HF) approximation,  $37,38$  $37,38$  which can be simply evaluated in terms of the packing fraction  $\eta$  of the HS fluid.

By using the discrete data of  $\phi_0(r)$  and  $\eta$  evaluated at the simulated density, we have solved these equations to obtain the WCA and Lado-WCA values of HS diameter, and their density variations are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-11-1) For each method, our results are consistent with the general understanding that the effective HS diameter of a fluid is decreased with increasing the fluid density.<sup>25</sup> At each density, the HS diameters obtained by the two methods are close, with the WCA value larger. At high densities, both WCA and Lado-WCA  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  values are larger than the main-peak position of  $g(r)$ , which is located in the exponential region of the repulsive core. But, the HS diameters estimated at low densities becomes smaller than the main-peak position of  $g(r)$ , which has a sudden jump to a larger distance in the repulsive core with a LJ-type behavior around  $\rho^* = 2$ . With the results shown in Fig. [2](#page-10-1) for some particle numbers, we have examined the approximated  $g(r)$  given in Eq. ([6](#page-9-3)) for our model, with  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$  evaluated in the HF approximation at the Lado-WCA value of HS diameter. For our model at high densities, Eq.  $(6)$  $(6)$  $(6)$  is a good approximation of  $g(r)$  for distances less than the main-peak position, while at low densities the validity of the approximation extends over the main peak of  $g(r)$ .

#### **IV. THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES**

Through the pressure equation with  $g(r)$  generated by our simulations as an input, we have calculated the compressibility factor  $Z = \beta P(\rho) / \rho$  of our model and the results are shown by the symbols in Fig. [5.](#page-12-0) Theoretically,  $Z(\rho)$  as a function of density can be expanded into a series with the leading term to be one.

$$
Z(\rho) = 1 + b_2 \rho + b_3 \rho^2 + b_4 \rho^3 + b_5 \rho^4 + \cdots
$$
 (10)

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Here,  $b_i$  is the *i*th Virial coefficient, which is associated with  $\phi(r)$  via some diagrams.<sup>2</sup> In the second Virial approximation,  $Z(\rho)$  is approximated to be  $1+b_2\rho$  and the calculation of  $b_2$  is

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

FIG. 5. (Color online) Compressibility factor,  $Z = \beta P/\rho$ , as a function of density. The circles are calculated by the pressure equation with the simulated  $g(r)$  as an input. The solid line is the fitting function as described in the text with the fitting parameters given in Table [I.](#page-12-1) The inset shows *Z* at low densities and the second Virial approximation (dashed line).

straightforward. Shown in the inset of Fig. [5](#page-12-0) is the second Virial approximation of our model, which serves as a check for the accuracy of our calculations at low densities. In order to perform numerical integration in Eq.  $(8)$  $(8)$  $(8)$ , we fit  $Z(\rho)$  in Fig.  $5$  with the series in Eq.  $(10)$  $(10)$  $(10)$  truncated beyond the fourth order of  $\rho$  and treat the Virial coefficients as fitting parameters. To make the fitting accurate enough, the data of  $Z(\rho)$ for  $\rho^*$  larger and smaller than 1 are fitted separately and the values of the fitting parameters are given in Table [I.](#page-12-1) Although the fitting function has an unnoticed discontinuity at  $\rho^* = 1$ , this discontinuity has little effect on the numerical values of  $\tilde{A}$  at higher densities. By using the fitting function of *Z*( $\rho$ ), we have done the integration in Eq.  $(8)$  $(8)$  $(8)$  and the calculated  $\tilde{A}$ are indicated by the symbols in Fig. [7.](#page-13-0)

In the MCRS and E-MCRS theories, the variational  $\tilde{A}$  is minimized with  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  as the only variational parameter. During the minimization process,  $g_{\text{HS}}(r)$  in the integral in Eqs.  $(3)$  $(3)$  $(3)$  and ([7](#page-9-4)) is generated by the Verlet algorithm,<sup>39</sup> and  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$ in the second integral in Eq.  $(7)$  $(7)$  $(7)$  is replaced by the analytical expression of the HF approximation $37,38$  $37,38$  with the Lado-WCA  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  value. Shown in Fig. [6](#page-13-1) are the variational curves of  $\tilde{A}$  at some particle numbers. For each curve, the values of  $\tilde{A}$  and the effective HS diameter at the minimum are determined numerically. Since the value of Mon's correction term decreases with increasing  $\sigma$ <sub>HS</sub>, adding this correction term into the variational function causes the minimum of the variational curve shifting to a lower value of excess free energy and a larger value of effective HS diameter. Thus, for all thermodynamic states, the E-MCRS theory gives a better

<span id="page-12-1"></span>TABLE I. The fitting coefficients of  $Z(\rho)$  for  $\rho^*$  < 1 and  $\rho^*$  > 1. The data are given in unit of  $\sigma$ .

$Z(\rho)$	$b_{2}$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$b_{5}$	
$\rho^*$ < 1	0.995	4.129	$-4.969$	4.670	
$\rho^* > 1$	$-0.323$	5.228	$-0.523$	0.055	

prediction on the excess Helmholtz free energy than the MCRS theory does, and the value of the HS diameter obtained by the E-MCRS theory is larger than that by the MCRS theory. These results are expected to be true for all kinds of fluid. $25$ 

In order to investigate the effect of the approximation in Eq. ([6](#page-9-3)) on the values predicted by the E-MCRS theory, we also evaluate the variational  $\tilde{A}$  with Mon's correction term  $\Delta_{\eta}$  given in Eq. ([5](#page-9-5)) with the simulated *g*(*r*) used. As shown in Fig. [6,](#page-13-1) the corresponding variational curve of  $\tilde{A}$  generally shifts upward relative to the one obtained by the E-MCRS theory, except that at very low densities the curves of the two methods are found to be almost the same. Therefore, our results lead to the conclusion that the approximation in Eq. ([6](#page-9-3)) makes the prediction of the E-MCRS theory better.

In Fig. [4,](#page-11-1) the density variations of the effective HS diameters obtained by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories are compared to those obtained by the WCA and Lado-WCA theories. Similar as the results of the WCA and Lado-WCA theories, the  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  values estimated by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories also increase with decreasing density. For  $\rho^*$  larger than 2, the  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  values of the MCRS and E-MCRS theories are limited by the main-peak position of  $g(r)$ , with the density dependence of the E-MCRS value almost along the track of the peak position. For  $\rho^*$  less than 2 around which the main-peak position of  $g(r)$  makes a jump to a larger value, the MCRS and E-MCRS values are apparently released from the restriction due to the main-peak position of  $g(r)$  and ascend manifestly as  $\rho^*$  varies from 2 to 1. For  $\rho^*$ less than 1, the MCRS value becomes saturated roughly to  $0.9\sigma$  as density approaches to zero, but the E-MCRS value continuously increases at very low densities and even passes over the values of the WCA and Lado-WCA theories.

The comparison of the excess Helmholtz free energies estimated by various HS perturbation theories is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-13-0) Apparently, the variational approaches do a better job than the WCA and Lado-WCA theories, which are only good for  $\rho^*$  less than 1. For all calculated densities, the predicted value of the E-MCRS theory is the one closest to the simulation data.

The excess entropy *S*ex of a realistic fluid is defined as the difference between the entropy of the fluid and that of an ideal gas at the same density and temperature. In thermodynamics,

$$
S^{\text{ex}} = -(\partial A^{\text{ex}}/\partial T)_{N,V}.
$$
\n(11)

 $\tilde{S}$  is defined as  $S^{\text{ex}}/Nk_B$ . In the MCRS theory, the excess entropy  $\tilde{S}_{MCRS}$  is equal to  $-\tilde{A}_{HS}$ , which is the excess entropy of a HS fluid with the HS diameter of the MCRS value.<sup>19</sup> In the E-MCRS theory, Mon's correction term gives an extra contribution to  $\tilde{S}$ . In order to derive the extra contribution, we use the formula of  $\Delta_{\eta}$  in Eq. ([5](#page-9-5)), rather than the approxi-mated one in Eq. ([7](#page-9-4)). After substituting  $g(r) = \exp(-\beta w(r))$ into Eq.  $(5)$  $(5)$  $(5)$ ,  $\overline{S}$  in the E-MCRS theory can be expressed as

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

FIG. 6. (Color online) Variational  $\tilde{A}$  as a function of  $\sigma_{\rm HS}$  at the indicated particle number. In each panel, the solid and dashed lines are the E-MCRS and MCRS  $\tilde{A}$ , respectively. The dot-dashed line is the variational  $\tilde{A}$ with Mon's correction term given in Eq. ([5](#page-9-5)). For N = 500, the solid and dot-dashed lines are almost the same.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\widetilde{S}_{\text{EMCRS}} = -\widetilde{A}_{\text{HS}} + 2\pi\rho \int_0^{\sigma_{\text{HS}}} g(r)[1 + \beta w(r)]r^2 dr. \tag{12}
$$

Here, the second term on the right-hand side is due to Mon's correction term. Then, after using the approximation in Eq.  $(6)$  $(6)$  $(6)$  for  $g(r)$ ,

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\widetilde{S}_{\text{EMCRS}} = -\widetilde{A}_{\text{HS}} + 2\pi\rho \int_0^{\sigma_{\text{HS}}} y_{\text{HS}}(r) \exp(-\beta \phi(r))
$$
  
×[1 + \beta \phi(r) - \ln y\_{\text{HS}}(r)]r<sup>2</sup>dr. (13)

To evaluate  $\tilde{S}_{EMCRS}$ , the HS diameter is required in three places in the above equation: the packing fraction in  $\tilde{A}_{\text{HS}}$ , the upper limit of the integral in the second term, and  $y_{\text{HS}}(r)$ , which is approximated to be the analytical expression of the HF approximation. $37,38$  $37,38$  Care must be taken for that the value of  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  in the first and second places should be the one obtained by the minimization of variational  $\tilde{A}$  in the E-MCRS theory but the Lado-WCA value of  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  should be used in the third place. Also, we have calculated Eq.  $(12)$  $(12)$  $(12)$  in terms of the simulated  $g(r)$  and  $w(r)$ , and no significant difference is

found between the results calculated by Eqs. ([12](#page-13-2)) and ([13](#page-13-3)). Thus, for our model, the two equations give almost identical results.

On the other hand,  $\tilde{S}$  can be evaluated by the difference between the excess internal energy  $\tilde{U}$  and the  $\tilde{A}$  obtained by Eq. ([8](#page-10-0)). By using  $\phi(r)$  and the simulated  $g(r)$ , the calculation of  $\tilde{U}$  is straightforward<sup>2</sup> and the result of  $\tilde{S}$  is shown by the symbols in Fig. [8.](#page-13-4) The value of  $\tilde{S}$  at  $\rho^*$  = 3.305 is estimated to be −4.10, which is reasonably comparable to the experimental value of −4.62 of the excess entropy of liquid Ga at a higher temperature.<sup>19</sup> At low densities,  $\tilde{S}$  decreases almost linearly with density; this decrease arises from the excluded volume effect due to the repulsive core of  $\phi(r)$ . Intriguingly, in the intermediate range of density, roughly from  $\rho^*$  = 1.4 to 1.8, the density curve of  $\tilde{S}$  has an anomalous behavior, which has a positive slope of a small value. Beyond this anomalous region,  $\tilde{S}$  decreases again in a slower rate with increasing density. Observed also in the two-scale ramp potential, $40$  the

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

FIG. 7. (Color online) The excess Helmholtz free energy  $\tilde{A} = A^{\text{ex}} / N k_B T$  predicted by the E-MCRS (solid line), MCRS (dash line), WCA (dotted line), and Lado-WCA (dot-dashed line) theories and the results calculated by ther-modynamic integration in Eq. ([8](#page-10-0)) (symbols).

<span id="page-13-4"></span>

FIG. 8. (Color online) Density dependence of the excess entropy  $S^{\text{ex}}/Nk_B$ . The solid and dashed lines are the predictions of the E-MCRS and MCRS theories, respectively. The dot-dashed line is the excess entropy of the HS fluid with the HS diameter estimated by the E-MCRS theory. The symbols are the simulated results as described in the text.

**Author complimentary copy. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp**

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

FIG. 9. (Color online) Density dependence of packing fraction  $\eta$  of the HS fluid with the E-MCRS (solid line), MCRS (dashed line), WCA (dotted line), and L-WCA (dot-dashed line)  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$  values shown in Fig. [4.](#page-11-1)

anomalous behavior of  $\tilde{S}$  is associated with the density anomaly, where the density increases with increasing temperature at constant pressure.<sup>41</sup>

For our model, the comparison between  $\tilde{S}$  calculated by simulation and those obtained by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories is shown in Fig. [8;](#page-13-4) the three results of  $\tilde{S}$  give similar density behavior. The predictions of the MCRS theory agree with the simulation results only at low densities and produce an excess entropy anomaly in a range shifting a little toward smaller density, but are deviated from the simulation data at densities beyond the anomalous region. The E-MCRS theory has a triumph over the MCRS theory for a perfect agreement with the simulation results in the entire range of density we have calculated. We also present the excess entropy of the HS fluid with the E-MCRS HS diameter, which shows a manifested anomaly with a larger positive slope. The absolute value of the excess entropy of this HS fluid is larger than that estimated by the E-MCRS theory. This implies that because part of the phase space is inaccessible by the HS fluid, in which the free volume of each particle is reduced, the difference between the entropy of the HS fluid and that of the ideal gas is, therefore, enhanced. Mon's correction makes a compensation for the excluded volume effect so that the predictions of the E-MCRS theory for all densities agree well with the simulation results. As indicated in Fig. [8,](#page-13-4) the larger the fluid density is, the more significant the compensation due to Mon's correction term. Thus, Mon's correction fundamentally improves the prediction of the HS variational approach for the excess entropy of a fluid.

To address the reason why the anomaly in  $\tilde{S}$  predicted by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories occurs in our model, we have plotted in Fig. [9](#page-14-0) the density dependence of the packing fractions of the reference HS fluids in various perturbation theories. In the WCA or the Lado-WCA theories, the packing faction  $\eta$  increases monotonically with the increase in density. At  $\rho^*$  = 3.3, which is close to that of realistic liquid Ga, the  $\eta$  values estimated by the two theories are over 0.7, which is in the solid phase of a HS system. This indicates that because of the overestimation in the effective HS diameter, the reference HS fluids in the two theories are too much deviated from our model. On the other hand, with the  $\sigma_{\text{HS}}$ values estimated by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories, the density curve of the packing fraction apparently has a negative slope in the intermediate region, roughly from  $\rho^*$  = 1.3 to 1.7, which corresponds to the substantial shrinkage in the size of the effective HS with increasing density, as shown in Fig. [4.](#page-11-1) Thus, the packing fraction of the HS fluid is reduced with increasing density in this density region. This anomaly in the packing fraction is the origin for the excess entropy anomaly predicted by the two theories. Beyond this anomalous region in packing fraction, the size of the effective HS is limited by the exponentially increasing repulsion in the inner core of the pair potential so that the packing fraction increases again with density at a smaller rate. At  $\rho^* = 3.3$ , the packing fractions estimated by the MCRS and E-MCRS theories are, respectively, 0.473 and 0.5, which are in the fluid-solid coexistence region of the HS system, and the reference HS system is physically reasonable.

#### **V. CONCLUSIONS**

In terms of various HS perturbation theories, we have investigated thermodynamic behaviors of a model fluid, with which the structure and dynamic properties of liquid Ga close to the triple point are reproduced.<sup>26,[27](#page-15-17)</sup> The interatomic pair potential of the model has two features: a ledge-shape repulsive core and a long-range oscillatory part. Somewhat like the two-scale ramp potential,  $31,32$  $31,32$  the repulsive core of the pair potential varies continuously from an exponentialdecay inner core, through a ramplike intermediate region, to a LJ-type outer core. The model has been simulated at constant *NVE* conditions from low to high densities; at the highest density the simulated system corresponds to realistic liquid Ga at *T*= 323 K. The radial distribution function of the model has an interesting variation with number density: At high density, the main peak of the radial distribution function is located in the inner region of the repulsive core with an exponential decay. At some critical density, the position of the main peak makes a discontinuous jump to the outer core of the LJ-type region. As the density is further decreased, the main peak moves toward the minimum of the first attractive well of the pair potential.

We have used the WCA, Lado-WCA, MCRS, and E-MCRS methods to estimate the effective HS diameter of the model. With the HS diameter estimated by the WCA or Lado-WCA method, the packing fraction of the HS system monotonically increases with density and, therefore, the packing fractions at high densities are over the freezing point of the HS system, which makes the WCA and Lado-WCA theories breakdown for our model at high densities. In the MCRS and E-MCRS theories, due to the structural change resulted from the discontinuous jump in the main-peak position of the radial distribution function, the estimated HS diameter is substantially reduced with increasing density, which renders the density curve of the packing fraction having a negative slope in a region of intermediate density. Beyond this negative-slope region, the packing faction of the

HS reference system increases again with density and has a physically reasonable value at high densities.

By including Mon's correction term to compensate the deficiency of the HS perturbation theory, the E-MCRS theory indeed gives better predictions than the MCRS theory does; the predictions of the E-MERS theory for the excess Helmohltz free energy and entropy agree well with the simulation results from low to high densities. The excess entropy of our model is found to have an anomalous behavior, which has a density function with a positive slope. This excess entropy anomaly also occurs in the two-scale ramp potential,<sup>39</sup> with which the waterlike thermodynamic properties are investigated recently. $30,31$  $30,31$  The excess entropy anomaly in our model can be described by the E-MCRS and MCRS theories, and the anomaly is associated with the substantial reduction of the effective HS diameter estimated by the two variational theories. Our results indicate that the E-MCRS theory is superior to other first-order HS perturbation theories for investigating thermodynamics of core-softened potentials.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

T.M.W. would like to acknowledge financial support from the National Science Council of Taiwan, R. O. C. under Grant No. NSC 95-2112-M-009-027-MY2.

- <span id="page-15-0"></span><sup>1</sup>C. Chandler, J. D. Weeks, and H. C. Andersen, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.220.4599.787) **220**, 787 (1983).
- <span id="page-15-1"></span><sup>2</sup> J. P. Hensen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids* (Academic, New York, 1986).
- <span id="page-15-2"></span><sup>3</sup>J. A. Barker and D. Henderson, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.587) **48**, 587 (1976).<br><sup>4</sup>D. Ban Ametz and G. Stall, J. Phys. Cham. B. 108, 6877 (2004).
- <span id="page-15-3"></span><sup>4</sup>D. Ben-Amotz and G. Stell, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp037810s) **108**, 6877 (2004).
- F. O. Raineri, G. Stell, and D. Ben-Amotz, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/42/007) **16**,  $\frac{\text{S}4887 (2004)}{6 \text{ s}}$
- <sup>6</sup> S. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.031119) **74**, 031119 (2006).<sup>7</sup> S. Zhou, J. Cham Phys. **125**, 144518 (200
- <sup>7</sup> S. Zhou, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2353834) **125**, 144518 (2006).<br><sup>8</sup> S. Zhou, J. Phys. Cham. P. **111**, 10736 (2007)
- <sup>8</sup> S. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp071044y) 111, 10736 (2007).
- A. B. Adib, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.061204)* 75, 061204 (2007).
- <span id="page-15-5"></span><span id="page-15-4"></span><sup>9</sup> A. B. Adib, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 061204 (2007).<br><sup>10</sup>D. Chandler and J. D. Weeks, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.25.149) **25**, 149 (1970).
- <span id="page-15-17"></span><sup>11</sup> J. D. Weeks, D. Chandler, and H. C. Andersen, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1674820) **54**, 5237  $(1971).$
- <sup>12</sup>H. C. Andersen, J. D. Weeks, and D. Chandler, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.4.1597)* **4**, 1597  $(1971)$ .
- <span id="page-15-6"></span><sup>13</sup>H. C. Andersen, D. Chandler, and J. D. Weeks, [Adv. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470142530.ch2) 34, 105 (1976).
- <span id="page-15-7"></span><sup>14</sup>F. Lado, [Mol. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268978400101621) **52**, 871 (1984).
- <span id="page-15-8"></span><sup>14</sup> F. Lado, Mol. Phys. **52**, 871 (1984).<br><sup>15</sup> G. A. Mansoori and F. B. Canfield, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1671889) **51**, 4958 (1969) <sup>13</sup>G. A. Mansoori and F. B. Canfield, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 4958 (1969).<br><sup>16</sup>J. Rasaiah and G. Stell, [Mol. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268977000100261) **18**, 249 (1970).
- <span id="page-15-10"></span><span id="page-15-9"></span>
- <sup>16</sup> J. Rasaiah and G. Stell, Mol. Phys. **18**, 249 (1970).<br><sup>17</sup> D. Stroud and N. W. Ashcroft, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.5.371) **5**, 371 (1972) <sup>17</sup> D. Stroud and N. W. Ashcroft, Phys. Rev. B 5, 371 (1972).<sup>18</sup> J. Hafner, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.16.351) **16**, 351 (1977).
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>
- <sup>18</sup> J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. A* **16**, 351 (1977).<br><sup>19</sup>R. Kumaravadivel and R. Evans, J. *Phys.* C **9**, 3877 (1976).
- <span id="page-15-11"></span><sup>20</sup> J. Hafner, *From Hamiltonians to Phase Diagrams* (Springer-Verlag, Ber $lin. 1987$ )
- <span id="page-15-12"></span><sup>21</sup> K. K. Mon, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.480908) **112**, 3245 (2000).
- <sup>22</sup> K. K. Mon, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1392359) **115**, 4766 (2001).
- <span id="page-15-13"></span><sup>23</sup> K. K. Mon, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1472507) **116**, 9392 (2002).
- <span id="page-15-14"></span><sup>24</sup> K. K. Mon, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.63.061203)* **63**, 061203 (2001).
- <span id="page-15-15"></span><sup>24</sup> K. K. Mon, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 061203 (2001).<br><sup>25</sup>D. Ben-Amotz and G. Stell, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1647520) **120**, 4844 (2004) <sup>25</sup> D. Ben-Amotz and G. Stell, J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 4844 (2004).<br><sup>26</sup> S. F. Tsay and S. Wang, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.108) **50**, 108 (1994).
- 
- <span id="page-15-16"></span> $^{26}$  S. F. Tsay and S. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 50, 108 (1994).<br> $^{27}$  K. H. Tsai, T. M. Wu, S. F. Tsay, and T. J. Yang, [J. Phys.: Condens.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/20/205141) [Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/20/205141) 19, 205141 (2007).
- <span id="page-15-18"></span><sup>28</sup> E. A. Jagla, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.480241) **111**, 8980 (1999).
- <span id="page-15-19"></span><sup>28</sup> E. A. Jagla, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 8980 (1999).<br><sup>29</sup> J. R. Errington and P. G. Debenedetti, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35053024)) **409**, 318 (2001)
- <span id="page-15-32"></span><sup>30</sup>Z. Yan, S. V. Buldyrev, N. Giovambattista, and H. E. Stanley, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.130604)* [Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.130604) 95, 130604 (2005).
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>. 31Z. Yan, S. V. Buldyrev, N. Giovambattista, P. G. Debenedetti, and H. E. Stanley, *[Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.051204)* 73, 051204 (2006).
- <span id="page-15-21"></span> $^{32}$ N. F. Carnahan and K. E. Starling, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1672048) **51**, 635 (1969).
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>. 33T. M. Wu, S. F. Tsay, S. L. Chang, and W. J. Ma, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.064204) **<sup>64</sup>**, 064204 (2001). . 34T. M. Wu, W. J. Ma, S. L. Chang, and S. F. Tsay, Physica B (Amsterdam)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>**316–317**, 606 (2002).
- <span id="page-15-24"></span><sup>35</sup> A. Rahman, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.A405)* **136**, A405 (1964).
- <span id="page-15-25"></span><sup>36</sup> A. B. de Oliveira, P. A. Netz, T. Colla, and M. C. Barbosa, [J. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2354152) [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2354152) 125, 124503 (2006).
- <span id="page-15-26"></span> $^{37}$ L. E. S. de Souza and D. Ben-Amotz, [Mol. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268979300100131) **78**, 137 (1993).
- <span id="page-15-27"></span><sup>3/</sup> L. E. S. de Souza and D. Ben-Amotz, Mol. Phys. **78**, 137 (1993).<br><sup>38</sup> D. Ben-Amotz and G. Stell, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1620995) **119**, 10777 (2003); **120**, 4994 (2004).
- <span id="page-15-28"></span><sup>39</sup>L. Verlet and J. J. Weiss, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.5.939)* 5, 939 (1972).
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>. <sup>40</sup> J. R. Errington, T. M. Truskett, and J. Mittal, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2409932) **<sup>125</sup>**, 244502  $(2006).$
- <span id="page-15-31"></span>. 41R. M. Lynden-Bell and P. G. Debenedetti, [J. Phys. Chem. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0458553) **<sup>109</sup>**, 6527  $(2005).$

### **[Revisiting anomalous structures in liquid Ga](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3294565)**

K. H. Tsai,<sup>1</sup> Ten-Ming Wu,<sup>1[,a](#page-16-0))</sup> and Shiow-Fon Tsay<sup>2</sup>

1 *Institute of Physics, National Chiao-Tung University, HsinChu, Taiwan 300, Republic of China* 2 *Department of Physics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 804, Republic of China*

(Received 2 November 2009; accepted 29 December 2009; published online 20 January 2010)

In terms of an interatomic pair potential, which well characterizes the dynamic properties of liquid Ga, we investigate again the origin of the well known high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor of the liquid. Similar to the results of Gong's simulation at high temperature, dimers with extremely short bond lengths are indeed found in our model just above the melting point, but our results indicate that it is unlikely for the high-*q* shoulder to be produced by these dimers. Instead, based on our model, the high-*q* shoulder is resulted from some medium-range order, which is related to the structures beyond the first shell of the radial distribution function, caused by Friedel oscillations within a nanoscale range. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi[:10.1063/1.3294565](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3294565)]

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Polyvalent liquid metals (Ga, Si, Ge, Sn, As, Sb, and Bi) are well known for anomalous structures, mainly characterized by a shoulder appearing on the high-*q* side of the first peak in the static structure factors of these liquids.<sup>1[,2](#page-21-1)</sup> The origin of the shoulder structures has been a long-standing subtle problem in liquid metal physics and several scenarios have been proposed. $3-9$  $3-9$  Using the interatomic pair potentials derived from the pseudopotential theory, which generally have a ledge-shaped repulsive core and the long-ranged Friedel oscillations, Hafner and co-workers reproduced the shoulder structures in liquid Ga, Ge, and  $Si<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>5</sup> and concluded that the peculiar static structure factor is determined by two characteristic lengths: the effective hard-sphere diameter required by sphere packing and the Friedel wavelength  $\lambda_F$  associated with the oscillatory part of the pair potential. The high-*q* shoulder is, therefore, predicted to occur near  $2\pi/\lambda_F$ .

Among these polyvalent elements, Ga shows uncommon properties, including low melting temperature  $(T_m = 302 \text{ K})$ , an increase in density upon melting, and complex solid-state phases under high pressure[.10](#page-21-5) These properties are closely related to the existence of the covalent dimers, with a bond length of 2.44 Å, in solid  $Ga(\alpha - Ga)$  at the ambient pressure. [11](#page-21-6) Based on an *ab initio* simulation of Ga at  $T=1000$  K, Gong *et al.*<sup>[7](#page-21-7)</sup> found the existence of very shortlived covalent dimers, which were considered as the remnants as  $\alpha$ -Ga melts and expected to increase in concentration at lower temperatures. They attributed the high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor above  $T_m$  to the presence of these covalent dimers.

By classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations with an interatomic pair potential generated by a first-principles pseudopotential theory,  $T\text{sav}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  reproduced not only the shoulder structures of liquid Ga, but also the naive structural variation in Ga as rapidly quenched from the liquid state to the supercooled and glassy states and then reheated. After analyzing the liquid and amorphous structures,  $Tsav<sup>8</sup>$  postulated that the shoulder structures in liquid Ga are primarily due to some four-atom clusters, which become predominated in the amorphous states and  $\beta$ -crystal. Thus, the origin of the high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor of liquid Ga is still unclear and debated so far.

In the past decade, dynamics of liquid Ga has been intensively studied by inelastic scattering experiments. $13-17$ The results of these experiments also provide information related to the structures of liquid Ga and play a role on examining the existing potential models used in simulations for mimicking the liquid. In Sec. II, we first investigate the validity of the pair potential  $\phi(r)$  used in the simulations of Tsay by comparing the calculated dynamic quantities with several sets of inelastic scattering data, which include the dynamical structure factor  $S(q, \omega)$ , the dispersion relation, and the damping factor of collective excitations at low *q* as well as the linewidth of  $S(q, \omega)$  at high q. Our results indicate that the model of Tsay, without any fitting parameters, is appropriate for describing the dynamics of liquid Ga. In Sec. III, we turn our attention to the structures produced by simulations with  $\phi(r)$  at thermodynamic conditions of Ga at *T*  $=$  323 K. Dimers with bond lengths near 2.44 Å are indeed found in our simulation; however, we give several reasons to question the interpretation that the high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor of liquid Ga is produced by the dimers themselves. On the other hand, by comparing the liquid structures generated with  $\phi(r)$  truncated at different distances at the same *NVT* conditions, we find that the high-*q* shoulder is associated with some medium-range order, which is related to the structures beyond the first shell around a partilce.<sup>18</sup> The medium-range order, caused by the Friedel oscillations within a range of nanoscale, favors the formation of the four-atom clusters pointed out by Tsay. Our conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

### **II. COMPARISON OF OUR MODEL WITH THE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Generated by the generalized energy independent nonlocal model pseudopotential (GEINMP) theory,<sup>8</sup> the inter-

<span id="page-16-0"></span>a)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: tmw@faculty.nctu.edu.tw.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Interatomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$ .  $\varepsilon$  is the depth of the first attractive well, corresponding to a temperature about 47 K.  $\sigma_0$  is the location of the first minimum of  $\phi(r)$ .  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and  $\sigma_3$  are the locations of the first, second, and third maxima of the oscillatory part, respectively. The inset shows the comparison between  $\phi(r)$  (solid line) and the LJ potential (dashed line) with the same  $\varepsilon$  and the distance at the first zero of the potential.

atomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$ , shown in Fig. [1,](#page-17-0) has a soft repulsive core and an oscillatory part. In the oscillatory part, which has  $\lambda_F$ =1.93 Å, the minimum of the first attractive well is located at  $\sigma_0$ =4.32 Å, and the first, second, and third maxima of  $\phi(r)$  are located at  $\sigma_1 = 5.17$  Å,  $\sigma_2 = 7.15$  Å, and  $\sigma_3$ =9.13 Å, respectively.

As with our previous works,<sup>19,[20](#page-21-14)</sup> we perform MD simulations of 3500 particles interacting with  $\phi(r)$  at  $T=323$  K and number density  $\rho$ =0.05 Å<sup>-3</sup>, which is close to the ion density of liquid Ga at this temperature and pressure of about 1 bar. In our simulation, the predictor-corrector algorithm is used and a time step is 5.45 fs. Under the periodic boundary conditions, particles are confined in a cubic box of length 41.25 Å and  $\phi(r)$  is terminated at half of the box size.

Relative to  $\phi(r)$ , the first and second peaks of the radial distribution function  $g(r)$  of the simulated liquid are located at 2.77 and 5.46 Å, which are distances well inside the repulsive core of  $\phi(r)$  and slightly over the first maximum of Friedel oscillations, respectively. The calculated static structure factor  $S(q)$ , shown in Fig. [4,](#page-18-0) has the main peak at  $q_M$  $=25.4$  nm<sup>-1</sup>, followed by a shoulder around 32.5 nm<sup>-1</sup>, which exactly equals  $2\pi/\lambda_F$ .

In the following, we compare the dynamic properties calculated by the model of  $\phi(r)$  to the experimental data.

#### **A. Dynamic structure factors at low** *q*

The dynamic structure factor  $S_{MD}(q, \omega)$  of  $\phi(r)$  is obtained via a time Fourier transform of the intermediate scattering function generated by our simulation.<sup>19</sup> To compare to the experimental data of inelastic x-ray scattering (IXS),  $S_{MD}(q, \omega)$  is weighted by the detailed balance factor and then convoluted with the instrument resolution function, which has a width of 3.0 meV, used in the experiment.<sup>13</sup> The comparisons of our results with the experimental data of liquid Ga at  $T=315$  K for three wave vectors are presented in Fig. [2,](#page-17-1) in which no fitting parameter is involved. Our model gen-

<span id="page-17-1"></span>

FIG. 2. Dynamic structure factor of liquid Ga. The solid lines are the results calculated by our model at *T*=323 K and the circles are the IXS data at *T*=315 K (Ref. [13](#page-21-10)).

erally describes the dynamic structure factor of liquid Ga, except for a slight deviation at  $q=5.75$  nm<sup>-1</sup> due to the system size of our simulation.

#### **B. Sound speed and the damping factor of collective excitations**

Shown in Fig. [3](#page-17-2) is the longitudinal current spectra  $C_L(q, \omega)$  calculated by our model and analyzed with a damped harmonic oscillator (DHO) model, $^{21}$  which is expressed as

$$
C_L(q,\omega) = \frac{A_q \omega_q \Gamma_q \omega}{(\omega^2 - \omega_q^2)^2 + (\Gamma_q \omega)^2},\tag{1}
$$

where the fitting parameters  $A_q$ ,  $\omega_q$ , and  $\Gamma_q$  are referred to the amplitude, energy, and damping of the longitudinal excitations at *q*, respectively. Obtained from the fits,  $\omega_q$  and  $\Gamma_q$  at small  $q$  are found to be linear with  $q$ . In the insets of Fig. [3,](#page-17-2) the fit results of the dispersion relation and the damping fac-

<span id="page-17-2"></span>

FIG. 3. Longitudinal current spectra  $C_L(q,\omega)$  of our model at *q*  $= 3.25$  nm<sup>-1</sup> (circles), 4.5 nm<sup>-1</sup> (squares), 5.75 nm<sup>-1</sup> (diamonds), 7.0 nm<sup>-1</sup> (up triangles), 8.1 nm<sup>-1</sup> (down triangles), 9.5 nm<sup>-1</sup> (pluses), and 10.5 nm<sup>-1</sup> (crosses). The dashed lines are the fits with the DHO model given in the text. The insets show (a) the excitation energy  $\omega_q$  and (b) the damping factor  $\Gamma_q/q$ . The filled circles in (a) and (b) are the fit results of our model. In (a), the dashed line is the sound dispersion, and the open squares and diamonds are two sets of the IXS data at  $T=315$  K (Ref. [13](#page-21-10)) and  $T=373$  K (Ref. [17](#page-21-11)), respectively. The open triangles in (a) and (b) are the INS data at *T*  $=$  320 K and the open circles in (b) are the results of other model (Ref. [14](#page-21-16)).

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

FIG. 4. Spectral linewidth  $Z(q)$  of dynamic structure factor at high  $q$ . The filled circles are the results of our model. The open circles and squares are the data of IXS (Ref. [15](#page-21-20)) and QENS (Ref. [16](#page-21-21)) at  $T=315$  K, respectively. The dashed line is the  $S(q)$  of our model with a scale referred to the right axis.

tor  $\Gamma_q/q$  versus *q* are compared to the corresponding experi-mental data.<sup>13[,14,](#page-21-16)[17](#page-21-11)</sup> Through the low-*q* limit of the dispersion relation, the sound speed of our model is estimated to be 2860 cm/s, which is consistent with the adiabatic sound speed of liquid Ga just above  $T_m$ .<sup>[22](#page-21-17)</sup> Also, the damping factor  $\int_{a}^{a}$  *q* of our model is in good agreement with those obtained by inelastic neutron scattering (INS) and the prediction of other model.<sup>14</sup>

### **C. Spectral linewidth of dynamic structure factor at high** *q*

For the wave vectors in the kinetic regime, the sound waves in a liquid are strongly damped and the dynamic structure factor has only a single, Lorentzian-like central peak.<sup>23</sup> For *q* larger than 15 nm<sup>-1</sup>,  $S_{MD}(q, \omega)$  of our model can be fitted with a single Lorentzian. Shown in Fig. [4,](#page-18-0) the linewidth of the fit Lorentzian  $Z(q)$  as a function of q exhibits a minimum, which is the so-called de Gennes narrowing, very close to  $q_M$ .<sup>[24](#page-21-19)</sup> In the experimental data of the spectral linewidth of dynamic structure factor, an anomaly is observed on the high-*q* side of the de Gennes narrowing; the anomaly is a second minimum by the IXS technique<sup>15</sup> but a shoulder by the quasielastic neutron scattering (QENS) technique.<sup>16</sup> In our data of  $Z(q)$ , we also observe a shoulder on the high-*q* side of the de Gennes narrowing. The shoulder in  $Z(q)$  is almost at the same position of the high- $q$  shoulder in  $S(q)$ , with the width of the shoulder somewhat narrower than the one observed in the QENS experiment.

According to the comparisons given above, we confirm that our model is appropriate for describing the structures and dynamic properties of liquid Ga just above  $T_m$ .

### **III. ORIGIN OF THE ANOMALOUS STRUCTURES**

### **A. Are the anomalous structures due to the dimers?**

In order to address whether the shoulder appearing in  $S(q)$  of our model arises from the dimers as proposed by Gong *et al.*,<sup>[7](#page-21-7)</sup> we defined the bonded atoms as those having at

<span id="page-18-1"></span>

FIG. 5. Radial distribution functions of bonded atoms for  $r_b = 2.78$  Å (dashed line), 2.68 Å (dotted-dashed line), and 2.58 Å (dotted-dotted-dashed line) in the main panel and for  $r_b = 2.48$  Å (solid line) and 2.38 Å (open circles) in the inset. The dotted line is  $g(r)$  of the liquid. The thick and thinner solid lines are the distributions of the NN and the MNN pairs in the liquid, respectively (Ref. [25](#page-21-22)).

least one neighbor within a cutoff  $r<sub>b</sub>$ . From the distribution of the nearest-neighbor (NN) pairs shown in Fig. [5,](#page-18-1) we find that the values of  $r_b$  for our model is roughly from 2.34 to 2.93 Å. Besides the atomic pairs with separations less than  $r<sub>b</sub>$ , which are referred to dimers, the radial distribution function  $g(r, r_b)$ of the bonded atoms also has contributions from the interdimer pairs which separations are larger than  $r<sub>b</sub>$ .

At  $r_b$ =2.38 Å, as shown in Fig. [5,](#page-18-1) the contributions to  $g(r, r_b)$  from these two kinds of atomic pairs are well separated because the bonded atoms are rare. The concentration of the dimers is quite low; in average, only one dimer is found in each configuration of 3500 particles. The dimers with such a short bond length are actually the mutual NN (MNN) pairs, which are two atoms with each other as NNs.<sup>25</sup> Due to their short separations, the repulsive interaction within each MNN pair is much stronger than those felt by other atomic pairs in the liquid and this strong repulsion makes the MNN pair survive only within a very short lifetime (less than 100 fs) during a collision. As  $r_b$  increases, the dimers are not necessarily the MNN pairs and some dimers are connected to form clusters, with a result on  $g(r, r_b)$  that the distribution is no longer separated but has a sharp jump at  $r<sub>b</sub>$  and the interdimer contribution generally reflects the behavior of *g*(*r*). At  $r_b$ =2.78 Å, the similarity between  $g(r, r_b)$ and  $g(r)$  indicates that almost all of atoms in the simulation are the bonded atoms.

The structure factor  $S(q, r_b)$  of the bonded atoms with a cutoff at  $r_b$  can be obtained via the formula

$$
S(q, r_b) = 1 + 4\pi \rho \int_0^{\infty} (g(r, r_b) - n_b) \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} r^2 dr,
$$
 (2)

where  $n_b = N_b / N$  is the percentage of the bonded atoms in the simulated system. Correspondingly,  $S(q, r_b)$  can be separated into  $S_d(q, r_b)$  and  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$ , which are the structure factor due to the dimers and the residue owing to the interdimer pairs, respectively.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

FIG. 6. Bonded-atoms structure factors  $S(q, r_b)$  (left panel) and the residual structure factors  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$  (right panel) for the  $r_b$  values in angstrom. Each curve is shifted upward by one unit from its lowered one. The top one in the left panel is  $S(q)$  of the liquid. The values of the curves indicated with a red number have been amplified by the number.

 $S(q, r_b)$  and  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$  of our model are presented in Fig. [6](#page-19-0) for several values of  $r_b$ . At  $r_b$ =2.38 Å,  $S(q, r_b)$  is almost equivalent to  $S_d(q, r_b)$  which oscillations can be approximated as  $\sin(qr_b)/qr_b^{24}$  $\sin(qr_b)/qr_b^{24}$  $\sin(qr_b)/qr_b^{24}$  As  $r_b$  increases, the behavior of  $S(q, r_b)$  changes from the dimer structure factor to  $S(q)$  of the liquid, with the main peak of  $S(q)$  developing from a peak not appearing in  $S_d(q, r_b)$  and the location of the high-*q* shoulder in *S*(*q*) close to the maximum of  $S_d(q, r_b)$  around *q*≈32 nm<sup>-1</sup>. The variation in *S*(*q*,*r<sub>b</sub>*) with *r<sub>b</sub>* agrees with Gong's results obtained by *ab initio* simulation at high temperature. However, the variations in  $S_d(q, r_b)$  and  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$ with  $r_b$  provide an evidence against the interpretation that the high-*q* shoulder originates from the dimers.

By increasing  $r_b$  up to 2.78 Å,  $S_d(q, r_b)$  always behaves similarly, without much change in the oscillation but with a little increase in amplitude for more dimers are involved. On the contrary, the variation in  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$  is quite dramatic. At  $r_b = 2.38$  Å,  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$  is extremely small and fluctuated, since the interdimer pairs among the bonded atoms are rather rare. As  $r_b$  equals 2.48 Å, two peaks, with their positions very close to those of the main peak and the shoulder in  $S(q)$ , emerge in  $\Delta S(q, r_b)$ . By increasing  $r_b$  further, the two peaks are enhanced in value but their positions almost persist, and finally the shape of the two peaks is akin to the main peak and the shoulder in  $S(q)$ . This result gives an evidence that the high- $q$  shoulder in  $S(q)$  is associated with the interdimer pairs, rather than the dimers.

#### **B. Medium-range order due to Friedel oscillations**

To investigate the effective range of  $\phi(r)$  to produce the shoulder in  $S(q)$ , we examine the variation in liquid structures with the interaction range of  $\phi(r)$  from the repulsive core only to the full range. We have performed MD simulations at the same *NVT* conditions with the truncated pair potential  $\phi_i(r)$  for  $i=0,1,2,3$ , which is obtained by truncating  $\phi(r)$  at  $\sigma_i$  and shifting in energy by the value  $\phi(\sigma_i)$  so that  $\phi_i(r)$  has a finite range.<sup>26</sup>  $\phi_0(r)$  is the repulsive core of  $\phi(r)$ .  $\phi_1(r)$  contains the repulsive core and the first attractive well, with a depth corresponding to a temperature about 47

<span id="page-19-1"></span>

FIG. 7. Variation in static structure factor with the interaction range of  $\phi(r)$ . The solid line is for *S*(*q*) of  $\phi(r)$  and the dashed line is for *S*<sub>0</sub>(*q*) of  $\phi_0(r)$ truncated at 4.32 Å. The dotted, dotted-dashed, and dotted-dotted-dashed lines are for  $S_i(q)$  of  $\phi_i(r)$  (*i*=1,2,3), truncated at 5.17, 7.15, and 9.13 Å, respectively. The inset shows the first derivative of the corresponding static structure factors with respect to *q*.

K. The ranges of  $\phi_2(r)$  and  $\phi_3(r)$  extend to include the first two and three attractive wells, respectively; the depths of these attractive wells decrease with increasing distance, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-17-0) In the following, we present the static structure factors, the radial distribution functions and atomic bonded pairs (ABPs) (Ref. [8](#page-21-9)) of the fluids with the truncated  $\phi_i(r)$ .

#### *1. Static structure factor*

The static structure factors  $S_i(q)$  of the fluids with  $\phi_i(r)$  $(i=0,1,2,3)$  are shown in Fig. [7.](#page-19-1)  $S_0(q)$  of the fluid with the repulsive core only is generally recovered back to the behavior of a hard-sphere fluid, with the first peak shifting to 25.7 nm<sup>-1</sup> and increasing in magnitude. The essential difference between  $S_0(q)$  and  $S(q)$  is that there is no shoulder appearing on the high-*q* side of the first peak. By including the first attractive well in the pair potential, the first peak of  $S_1(q)$ , compared to  $S_0(q)$ , is lowered but has no significant change in position, while the values of  $S_1(q)$  for *q* in the shoulder region, which is between 28 and 35  $\text{nm}^{-1}$ , are elevated. The consequences of including the second attractive well are that the first peak of  $S_2(q)$  shifts closer to that of  $S(q)$  but has no change in magnitude and the values of  $S_2(q)$ in the shoulder region are continuously elevated. The effect of extending the interaction range to include the third attractive well causes an increase in magnitude of the first peak and the appearance of a noticeable shoulder on the high-*q* side of the first peak; hence,  $S_3(q)$  has a close resemblance to  $S(q)$ .

The appearance of the shoulder in the high-*q* region can be further identified by the derivative of  $S_i(q)$  with respect to  $q$ ,  $dS_i(q)/dq$ , which is shown in the inset of Fig. [7.](#page-19-1) In general,  $dS_i(q)/dq$  on the high-*q* side of the first peak is negative and increases with *q*. A monotonic increase in  $dS_i(q)/dq$ with *q* indicates that no shoulder appears in this region. Once a shoulder appears in  $S_i(q)$ , the behavior of  $dS_i(q)/dq$  is

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

FIG. 8. Radial distribution functions of the liquid with  $\phi(r)$  (solid line) and the fluid with  $\phi_0(r)$  (dashed line). The two dashed lines indicate the distances of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  in our evaluation for  $\Delta N$  and the arrow indicates the bond length in the calculation of ABPs. The inset shows the variation in the second and third shells of the radial distribution function with the interaction range of  $\phi(r)$ .

distorted from a monotonic increase to the appearance of some extrema, where the slope of  $dS_i(q)/dq$  is zero. The monotonic increase in  $dS_0(q)/dq$  and  $dS_1(q)/dq$  indicate that no shoulder appears in  $S_0(q)$  or  $S_1(q)$ .  $dS_2(q)/dq$  shows very weak extrema, signaling the emergence of a weak shoulder in  $S_2(q)$ . Evidenced by the clearly observed extrema in  $dS_3(q)/dq$ , the structures in the liquid of  $\phi_3(r)$  are developed well enough to produce a shoulder in  $S_3(q)$ .

According to the results presented above, we conclude that the effective range of  $\phi(r)$  for the full appearance of the shoulder structures should extend up to include the third attractive well; the effective range is, therefore, in a nanoscale.

#### *2. Radial distribution function*

In real space, the changes in the liquid structures with the range of pair potential are manifested in Fig. [8,](#page-20-0) which shows the comparison between  $g(r)$  and the radial distribution functions  $g_i(r)$  of the truncated  $\phi_i(r)$ . Clearly, all radial distribution functions possess almost the same first shell, indicating that dimers with extremely short bond lengths can be also found in the fluid with the repulsive core  $\phi_0(r)$ . Between the first and second peaks, all distribution functions have a small hump near 4 Å, which is close to the minimum of the first attractive well in  $\phi(r)$ . This small hump is also observed in the radial distribution function of liquid Ge.<sup>27,[28](#page-21-25)</sup> In agreement with the case in static structure factor, the ef-

fective range in Friedel oscillations to make  $g_i(r)$  saturated to  $g(r)$  should include the first three attractive wells. As shown in the inset of Fig. [8,](#page-20-0) Friedel oscillations cause two effects on  $g(r)$ : pulling inward half a particle from the second shell to increase the small hump near 4 Å and pushing the second shell and the rest outward for compensation.<sup>29</sup> This gives an evidence that the high-*q* shoulder in  $S(q)$  is associated with the structures beyond the first shell of  $g(r)$ , which possess some medium-range order in the liquid.<sup>18</sup>

#### *3. Atomic bonded pairs*

To manifest further the medium-range order due to Friedel oscillations, we calculate the averaged fractions of ABPs (Ref. [30](#page-21-27)) in the simulated liquids. It has been shown that as the system simulated with the pair potential generated by the GEINMP theory is quenched from liquid phase into amorphous solids or  $\beta$  crystal, the 1201-type ABPs become predominated. The 1201-type ABPs are clusters of four atoms, with two being a root pair and the other two being the common neighbors of the root pair within a bond length but the separation between the two common neighbors larger than the bond length.<sup>12</sup> Also, it is found that some large clusters formed with more 1201-type ABPs may produce a high-*q* shoulder in  $S(q)$ .<sup>[8](#page-21-9)</sup> In this paper, we set the bond length to be 3.4 Å, which is close to the first-minimum distance of  $g(r)$ , so that the separation between the two common neighbors in the 1201-type ABPs is generally in the region of the small hump in  $g(r)$ . By comparing the calculated data given in Table [I](#page-20-1) for the liquid of  $\phi(r)$  and the fluid of  $\phi_0(r)$ , Friedel oscillations make the fraction of the 1201-type ABPs increase about 20%, which favor the occurrence of the high- $q$  shoulder pointed out by Tsay.<sup>8</sup>

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, by classical MD simulation with an interatomic pair potential generated from the first-principles theory, we have reproduced the structural and dynamic properties of liquid Ga just above the melting temperature. Good agreement between the predictions of our model on dynamic properties and the experimental data suggests that our model is an appropriate one for describing liquid Ga.

Similar to the results of *ab initio* simulation reported by Gong *et al.*,<sup>[7](#page-21-7)</sup> dimers with bond lengths comparable to that of the covalent molecules in solid  $\alpha$ -Ga are indeed found in our model. However, we give several reasons to question the interpretation that the dimers are the origin of the high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor of liquid Ga. First, the concentration of the dimers at temperatures just above melt-

<span id="page-20-1"></span>TABLE I. Averaged fractions of ABPs  $N_{ijkl}$  in the fluids simulated with the full-range  $\phi(r)$  and the truncated  $\phi_i(r)$ .

Pair potential	$N_{1201}$	$N_{1211}$	$N_{1301}$	$N_{1311}$	$N_{1321}$	$N_{1421}$	$N_{1422}$	$N_{1431}$
$\phi_0(r)$	0.156	0.048	0.114	0.253	0.067	0.052	0.105	0.081
$\phi_1(r)$	0.176	0.046	0.124	0.255	0.063	0.047	0.096	0.072
$\phi_2(r)$	0.191	0.046	0.133	0.252	0.059	0.042	0.091	0.064
$\phi_3(r)$	0.190	0.045	0.135	0.251	0.059	0.042	0.092	0.064
$\phi(r)$	0.188	0.045	0.134	0.251	0.059	0.042	0.093	0.065

**Author complimentary copy. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jcp.aip.org/jcp/copyright.jsp**

ing point is quite low. Second, the extremely strong repulsion within a dimer makes the dimer unstable, surviving only for a very short lifetime during a collision. Besides these two, we provide evidences that the high-*q* shoulder is associated with the atomic pairs between the dimers, rather than the dimers themselves.

Our simulations indicate that Friedel oscillations produce a modulation on the local structures of particles, which are mainly determined by the soft repulsive core in our model. The modulation on the local structures gives rise to some stable medium-range order, which is related to the structures beyond the first shell of the radial distribution function. The high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor is found to be associated with the medium-range order caused by Friedel oscillations. According to our model, the effective range of Friedel oscillations to produce the medium-range order should include, at least, the first three attractive wells, so that the effective range is in a nanoscale. Thus, our results are consistent with the picture given by Hafner *et al.*[5](#page-21-4) that the shoulder structures are a result of the interplay between two length scales, characterizing the size of the repulsive core and the wavelength of Friedel oscillations.

The high-*q* shoulder in the static structure factor is a common feature to many polyvalent liquid metals. According to our study, the anomalous structures characterizing the high-*q* shoulder are quite complex, since a number of atoms, more than 20 estimated for liquid Ga, are involved. To manifest the anomalous structures, a picture of atomic structures in three-dimensional space is requested. This is challenging but important to fully understand the origin for the high-*q* shoulder of those polyvalent liquid metals and will be a future work.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

T. M. Wu and S. F. Tsay are indebted to the National Science Council of Taiwan, Republic of China for support under Grant No. NSC 97-2112-M-009-005-MY2 and NSC 98-2112-M-110-004-MY3, respectively.

- <span id="page-21-0"></span><sup>1</sup> Y. Waseda, *The Structure of Non-Crystalline Materials, Liquids and Amorphous Solids* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- <span id="page-21-1"></span><sup>2</sup> J. Hafner, *From Hamiltonians to Phase Diagrams* (Springer-Verlag, Ber- $\lim_{3 \to 4} 1987$ .

<sup>4</sup> K. K. Mon, N. W. Ashcroft, and G. V. Chester, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.19.5103)* **19**, 5103  $(1979).$ 

- <span id="page-21-4"></span><sup>5</sup> J. Hafner and G. Kahl, [J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4608/14/10/006) 14, 2259 (1984).
- <sup>6</sup> J. Hafner and W. Jank, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.11530)* 42, 11530 (1990).
- <span id="page-21-7"></span> ${}^{7}$ X. G. Gong, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Parrinello, and E. Tosatti, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/21/4/015) **21**, 469 (1993).
- <span id="page-21-9"></span><sup>8</sup> S. F. Tsay and S. Wang, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.108)* **50**, 108 (1994).
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>L. E. Gonzalez, D. J. Gonzalez, and M. Silbert, [Mol. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268970010011807) **99**, 875  $(2001).$
- <span id="page-21-5"></span><sup>10</sup>O. Degtyareva, M. I. McMahon, D. R. Allan, and R. J. Nelmes, *[Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.205502)* [Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.205502) 93, 205502 (2004).
- <span id="page-21-6"></span> $^{11}$ X. G. Gong, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Parrinello, and E. Tosatti, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.14277)* 43, 14277 (1991).
- <span id="page-21-8"></span><sup>12</sup> S. F. Tsay, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.103)* **50**, 103 (1994).
- <span id="page-21-10"></span><sup>13</sup>T. Scopigno, A. Filipponi, M. Krisch, G. Monaco, G. Ruocco, and F. Sette, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.255506)* **89**, 255506 (2002).
- <span id="page-21-16"></span><sup>14</sup>L. E. Bove, F. Formisano, F. Sacchetti, C. Petrillo, A. Ivanov, B. Dorner, and F. Barocchi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.014207)* 71, 014207 (2005).
- <span id="page-21-20"></span><sup>15</sup>T. Scopigno, R. Di Leonardo, L. Comez, A. Q. R. Baron, D. Fioretto, and G. Ruocco, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.155301)* **94**, 155301 (2005).
- <span id="page-21-21"></span><sup>16</sup>F. J. Bermejo, I. Bustinduy, S. J. Levett, J. W. Taylor, R. Fernández-Perea, and C. Cabrillo, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.104103)* 72, 104103 (2005).
- <span id="page-21-11"></span><sup>17</sup> S. Hosokawa, W. C. Pilgrim, H. Sinn, and E. E. Alp, [J. Phys.: Condens.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/11/114107) [Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/11/114107) 20, 114107 (2008).
- <span id="page-21-12"></span><sup>18</sup> S. R. Elliott, *Physics of Amorphous Materials*, 2nd ed. (Longman, Har $low. 1990.$
- <span id="page-21-13"></span><sup>19</sup>K. H. Tsai, T. M. Wu, S. F. Tsay, and T. J. Yang, [J. Phys.: Condens.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/20/205141) [Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/20/205141) 19, 205141 (2007).
- <span id="page-21-14"></span><sup>20</sup> K. H. Tsai and T. M. Wu, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2948950) **129**, 024503 (2008).
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>. 21M. C. C. Ribeiro, M. Wilson, and P. A. Madden, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.476349) **<sup>108</sup>**, 9027 (1998).
- <span id="page-21-17"></span><sup>22</sup> M. Inui, S. Takeda, and T. Uechi, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.3203) **61**, 3203 (1992).
- <span id="page-21-19"></span><span id="page-21-18"></span><sup>23</sup> T. Scopigno, G. Ruocco, and F. Sette, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.881) 77, 881 (2005). <sup>24</sup> J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids* (Academic, New York, 2006).
- <span id="page-21-22"></span><sup>25</sup> R. E. Larsen and R. M. Stratt, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(98)01139-7) **297**, 211 (1998).
- <span id="page-21-23"></span><sup>26</sup>N. Matsuda, K. Hoshino, and M. Watabe, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.459408) **93**, 7350  $(1990)$ .
- <span id="page-21-24"></span> $27$  V. Hugouvieux, E. Farhi, M. R. Johnson, F. Juranyi, P. Bourges, and W. Kob, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.104208)* 75, 104208 (2007).
- <span id="page-21-25"></span><sup>28</sup> S. Munejiri, T. Masaki, T. Itami, F. Shimojo, and K. Hoshino, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.014206)* **[B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.014206)** 77, 014206 (2008).
- <span id="page-21-26"></span><sup>29</sup>Because of Friedel oscillations, the increase in the particle number around the small hump near 4 Å can be calculated by  $\Delta N = 4\pi \rho \int_{r_1}^{r_2} (g(r))$  $-g_0(r)$ ) $r^2 dr$ , where, as indicated in Fig. [8,](#page-20-0)  $r_1$  is a distance in front of the small hump and  $r_2$  is that close to the crossing point of  $g(r)$  and  $g_0(r)$ beyond the small hump. In our calculation,  $r_1$  is set to be 3.24 Å and  $r_2$  is 4.65 Å, and the value of  $\Delta N$  is almost 0.5.
- <span id="page-21-27"></span>is 4.65 Å, and the value of  $\Delta N$  is almost 0.5.<br><sup>30</sup> J. D. Honeycutt and H. C. Andersen, [J Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/j100303a014) **91**, 4950 (1987). By following the nomenclature in the reference, the ABPs are characterized by four indices. The first index is 1 if the two atoms of a root pair are bonded. The second index represents the number of common neighbors, with each one bonded to the two atoms of the root pair. The third index gives the number of bonds among the common neighbors. These three indices are not sufficient to characterize a diagram uniquely. Therefore, a fourth index is required to specify a unique correspondence between the arrangement of atomic bonds and diagrams.

<span id="page-21-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>M. Silbert and W. H. Young, [Phys. Lett. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(76)90487-4) **58**, 469 (1976).

### CLE IN PRE!

[Computer Physics Communications](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.07.014) ••• (••••) •••-•••



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Computer Physics Communications



**COMPUTER PHYSICS**<br>**COMMUNICATIONS** 

[www.elsevier.com/locate/cpc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/cpc)

## Entropy of a model for liquid Ga: Contribution due to Friedel oscillations

### K.H. Tsai<sup>\*</sup>, Ten-Ming Wu

*Institute of Physics, National Chiao-Tung University, HsinChu 300, Taiwan*

#### article info abstract

*Article history:* Received 1 March 2010 Received in revised form 8 July 2010 Accepted 12 July 2010 Available online xxxx

*Keywords:* Liquid Ga Friedel oscillations Excess entropy

In terms of an interatomic pair potential, possessing a soft repulsive core and the long-range Friedel oscillations, we have recently reproduced the anomalous structures and dynamic properties of liquid Ga just above the melting point. In this paper, evaluating separately for the model and the corresponding repulsive core by numerical simulation and the hard-sphere perturbation theory, we investigate the contribution due to the Friedel oscillations to the excess entropy of the model fluid. For the two model fluids, the density variations of the pair-correlation and residual-multiparticle entropies are also presented.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### **1. Introduction**

The structures of liquid Ga just above the melting point are well known for an anomalous shoulder on the high-*q* side of the first peak in the static structure factor. Recently, we have reproduced the anomalous structures with an interatomic pair potential  $\phi(r)$ generated from a first-principles pseudopotential theory [\[1,2\].](#page-24-0) Besides the anomalous structures, the dynamic properties of liquid Ga, including dynamic structure factor, dispersion relation and the damping factor of collective excitations at low *q* as well as the linewidth of dynamic structure factor at high *q*, are also well described by this model. However, the anomalous structures are not produced by the repulsive core  $\phi_0(r)$  of the model, but appear as the range of the pair potential extends up to including the third attractive well, within a range of nanoscale, in Friedel oscillations. Thus, we conclude that the anomalous structures are associated with some medium-range order due to the Friedel oscillations.

Motivated from the aforementioned results, we investigate in this paper the excess entropy contributed by the Friedel oscillations in  $\phi(r)$ . Arising from particle interactions, the excess entropy of a simple fluid is a thermodynamic quantity related to the degree of structural disorder of particles [\[3\].](#page-24-0) As far as we know, there are only few papers studying the role of the attractive particle interactions in the thermodynamic properties of polyvalent liquid metals [\[4\].](#page-24-0) For simple fluids, the structures are primarily determined by the repulsive core of particles and the attractions between particles act as a perturbation [\[5\].](#page-24-0) Based on this general picture, the contribution due to the Friedel oscillations can be obtained by the difference of the excess entropies of  $\phi(r)$  and  $\phi_0(r)$  at the same

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [kht.ep94g@nctu.edu.tw](mailto:kht.ep94g@nctu.edu.tw) (K.H. Tsai). *NVT* conditions; the excess entropies are evaluated separately with both numerical simulation and the hard-sphere (HS) perturbation theory [\[6\].](#page-24-0) We also examine the density variations of the excess entropy and its pair-correlation and residual-multiparticle parts for the model fluid with  $\phi(r)$  or  $\phi_0(r)$  [\[7\].](#page-24-0)

#### **2. Model and the HS perturbation theory**

Presented in [\[2,6\],](#page-24-0)  $\phi(r)$  is characterized by a ledge-shape repulsive core and a long-range oscillatory part, with the first zero of *φ*(*r*) at  $σ = 4.04$  Å. The repulsive core  $φ_0(r)$  is obtained by truncating  $\phi(r)$  at  $\sigma_0 = 4.32$  Å, the location of the first minimum, and shifting upwardly by an amount of the first-attractive-well depth  $\epsilon$ , which corresponds to a temperature about 47 K. Like the two-scale Jagla potential [\[8\],](#page-24-0)  $\phi_0(r)$  possesses an extremely stiff region following by a ramp.

We performed a series of molecular dynamics simulations for particles interacting with  $\phi(r)$  in a cubic box of length  $L = 41.21$  Å at  $T = 323$  K [\[6\].](#page-24-0) The particle number *N* in the simulation starts at 3500 and, then, is reduced by 100 in each new simulation, down to the lowest  $N = 100$ . Two notices should be given here. First, at *N* = 3500, with  $\rho \sigma^3$  = 3.3, the simulated system mimics the equilibrium state of liquid Ga at pressure of about 1 bar and  $T = 323$  K; however, the systems at other particle numbers have nothing to do with real Ga. Secondly, both the radial distribution function *g(r)* generated by the simulation and the compressibility factor  $Z = PV/Nk_B T$ , where pressure *P* is evaluated from the pressure equation with an input of *g(r)*, change smoothly with density [\[6\],](#page-24-0) indicating that the simulated system does not undergo a gas–liquid phase transition as *N* is reduced. The temperature of our simulations is about seven times of  $\epsilon$  so that the simulated systems are expected to be supercritical. Similarly, we also performed the simulations with the repulsive core  $\phi_0(r)$  at the same *NVT* conditions.

<sup>0010-4655/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. [doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2010.07.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2010.07.014)



**Fig. 1.** Density variation of  $\sigma$ <sub>HS</sub> estimated by the HS perturbation theory (squares) [\[9\]](#page-24-0) and the main-peak position of *g*(*r*) (triangles).  $\rho = N/L^3$  is the number density. The large open symbols are for  $\phi(r)$  and the small filled ones are for  $\phi_0(r)$ .

In thermodynamics, the excess entropy *Sex* of a fluid is given as  $(A^{ex} - U^{ex})/T$ , where  $A^{ex}$  and  $U^{ex}$  are the excess Helmholtz free energy and the internal energy of the fluid, respectively [\[3\].](#page-24-0) The calculation for *Uex* is straightforward and *Aex* can be obtained by an integration on the density function of *Z* [\[6\].](#page-24-0) Without any approximation in thermodynamics, *Sex* obtained in this method are considered as the simulation results. Alternatively, *Aex* can be estimated by an HS perturbation theory, which accurately predicts the thermodynamic quantities of fluids with very soft repulsive-core potentials [\[9\].](#page-24-0)

The HS perturbation theory estimates an effective diameter *σHS* of particles in a fluid. Shown in Fig. 1, the  $\sigma$ <sub>HS</sub> values of the two models are almost the same for all densities, and so are the mainpeak positions of *g(r)*. At low densities, the main-peak position of *g*(*r*) is located around the first attractive well of  $\phi(r)$ . But, at highenough densities, the jam in the system pushes more particles into the extremely stiff region of  $\phi_0(r)$ , which causes the main-peak position of  $g(r)$  near  $\rho \sigma^3 = 2$  a discontinuous jump to a smaller distance of *r*. At densities above  $\rho \sigma^3 = 2$ , the density dependence of  $\sigma$ <sub>HS</sub> is almost along the track of the main-peak position of  $g(r)$ .

#### **3. Excess entropy**

For both models, a good agreement between the *Sex* obtained by simulation and that estimated by the HS perturbation theory is shown in Fig. 2. Generally,  $S^{ex}$  of  $\phi(r)$  is slightly less than that of  $\phi_0(r)$  at high densities, indicating that the Friedel oscillations in  $\phi(r)$  cause a small decrease in the excess entropy. At low densities, *Sex* decreases almost linearly with density, due to the excluded volume effect of the repulsive core. More interestingly, the density function of *Sex* shows an anomalous behavior, with a small positive slope in the intermediate region, and then changes back to decreasing at higher densities. The anomaly in the density function of *Sex* also occurs to a model fluid with the two-scale Jagla potential [\[10\].](#page-24-0) Owing to the appearance of a similar anomaly in the packing-fraction curve of the HS fluid with *σHS*, the *Sex* anomaly can be explained by the shrinkage of *σHS* at the intermediate densities shown in Fig. 1.

The difference in *Sex* between the two models is too small to be noticeable. To manifest clearly the difference, we separate *Sex* per particle into the pair-correlation entropy *S*<sup>2</sup> and the residual multiparticle entropy (RMPE)  $\Delta S = S^{ex} - S_2$  [\[7\],](#page-24-0) where  $S_2$  is related to *g(r)* via the equation

$$
S_2 = -\frac{\rho k_B}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ g(r) \ln g(r) - g(r) + 1 \right].
$$
 (1)



**Fig. 2.** Excess entropy *Sex* as a function of density. The open and filled symbols are the simulation results for  $\phi(r)$  and  $\phi_0(r)$ , respectively. The solid line is estimated by the HS perturbation theory for  $\phi(r)$  and the dashed line is for  $\phi_0(r)$ . The inset shows the packing fraction *η* of the HS fluid with *σHS* shown in Fig. 1.



**Fig. 3.** Density dependence of pair-correlation entropy  $S_2$  (squares) and RMPE  $\Delta S$ (circles) in unit of  $k_B$ . The large open symbols are for  $\phi(r)$  and the small filled ones are for  $\phi_0(r)$ .

 $S_2$  is associated with the pair correlation in a fluid and  $\Delta S$  is related to the three-particle and higher order correlations. The density variations of  $S_2$  and  $\Delta S$  for the two models are shown in Fig. 3. Evidenced by our data,  $\Delta S$  of the two models are almost the same from low to high densities.  $S_2$  of  $\phi_0(r)$  is larger than that of  $\phi(r)$ , with a noticeable difference in Fig. 3. Thus, we conclude that the Friedel oscillations in  $\phi(r)$  cause a decrease in  $S_2$ .

#### **4. Conclusions**

In this paper, we have investigated the excess entropy of a model fluid with  $\phi(r)$ , which possesses a soft repulsive core  $\phi_0(r)$ and the long-range Friedel oscillations. At some specific density, the model fluid describes well the structural and dynamic properties of liquid Ga at  $T = 323$  K. By simulation and the HS perturbation theory, we have calculated separately the excess entropy of the model fluid and that of the fluid with  $\phi_0(r)$ . For both models, the predictions of the HS perturbation theory agree well with the simulation results. By the difference between the excess entropies of the two model fluids, we obtain the contribution due to the Friedel oscillations. Indicated by our results, from low to high densities, the Friedel oscillations always cause a decrease in the excess entropy of the model fluid with  $\phi(r)$  at  $T = 323$  K.

#### CI I PR

#### <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Acknowledgements**

T.M. Wu acknowledge financial support from National Science Council of Taiwan under No. NSC 97-2112-M-009-0005-MY2.

#### **References**

- [1] K.H. Tsai, T.M. Wu, S.F. Tsay, T.J. Yang, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 205141.
- [2] K.H. Tsai, T.M. Wu, S.F. Tsay, J. Chem. Phys. 132 (2010) 034502.
- [3] J.P. Hensen, I.R. McDonald, Theory of Simple Liquids, Academic, New York, 1986.
- [4] H. Kitamura, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 072102.
- [5] C. Chandler, J.D. Weeks, H.C. Andersen, Science 220 (1983) 787.
- [6] K.H. Tsai, T.M. Wu, J. Chem. Phys. 129 (2008) 024503.
- [7] P.V. Giaquinta, G. Giunta, S.P. Giarritta, Phys. Rev. A 45 (1992) R6966.
- [8] Z. Yan, S.V. Buldyrev, N. Giovambattista, H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 130604.
- [9] D. Ben-Amotz, G. Stell, J. Chem. Phys. 120 (2004) 4844.
- [10] J.R. Errington, T.M. Truskett, J. Mittal, J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 244502.