

[Home](http://iopscience.iop.org/) [Search](http://iopscience.iop.org/search) [Collections](http://iopscience.iop.org/collections) [Journals](http://iopscience.iop.org/journals) [About](http://iopscience.iop.org/page/aboutioppublishing) [Contact us](http://iopscience.iop.org/contact) [My IOPscience](http://iopscience.iop.org/myiopscience)

Investigation of analogue performance for process-induced-strained PMOSFETs

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2007 Semicond. Sci. Technol. 22 404 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0268-1242/22/4/019)

View [the table of contents for this issue](http://iopscience.iop.org/0268-1242/22/4), or go to the [journal homepage](http://iopscience.iop.org/0268-1242) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 140.113.38.11 This content was downloaded on 26/04/2014 at 06:01

Please note that [terms and conditions apply.](iopscience.iop.org/page/terms)

Investigation of analogue performance for process-induced-strained PMOSFETs

Jack J-Y Kuo, William P-N Chen and Pin Su

Department of Electronics Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, 1001 Ta-Hsueh Road, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Received 29 November 2006, in final form 30 January 2007 Published 9 March 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/SST/22/404

Abstract

This paper investigates the analogue performance of process-inducedstrained PMOSFETs for system-on-a chip applications. Through a comparison between co-processed strained and unstrained PMOSFETs regarding important analogue metrics such as transconductance to drain current ratio (*g*m*/I*d), output resistance, dc gain and the gain-bandwidth product, the impact of process-induced uniaxial strain on the analogue performance of MOS devices has been assessed and analysed. Our study may provide insights for analogue design using advanced strained devices.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

As conventional CMOS is reaching its scaling limits, mobility scaling has emerged as a key technology for improving device performance [\[1](#page-4-0)]. To enable the mobility scaling, processinduced-strained silicon has been widely used in state-of-theart CMOS technologies [\[2–7](#page-4-0)].

Although the carrier mobility enhancement can help overcome the speed*/*power barrier for logic applications and enhance the cut-off frequency [\[8\]](#page-4-0), the impact of strain on analogue performance is not well known [\[9\]](#page-4-0). This issue is especially important to mixed-mode integrated circuits for system-on-a-chip (SOC) [\[10](#page-4-0), [11](#page-4-0)] and merits investigation.

Important metrics for analogue applications include transconductance to drain current ratio (g_m/I_d) [\[12\]](#page-4-0), output resistance (R_{out}), dc gain ($g_{\text{m}} \times R_{\text{out}}$) and the gain-bandwidth product. Through a comparison between co-processed Through a comparison between co-processed strained and unstrained PMOSFETs [\[4\]](#page-4-0) with a sub-100 nm gate length, this work examines the analogue performance in uniaxial strained PMOSFETs.

2. Devices and intrinsic I_d **extraction**

The devices used in this study were fabricated by state-ofthe-art process-induced uniaxial strained-Si technology [\[13\]](#page-4-0). The transistor gate length, L_{gate} , ranges from 1 μ m to 50 nm. Since the source/drain series resistance (R_s/R_d) is crucial to device performance, an accurate determination of the source*/*drain series resistance has been carried out. The R_s/R_d values are 252 Ω μ m and 118 Ω μ m for the control

and strained devices, respectively [\[13\]](#page-4-0). Once the source*/*drain series resistance is determined, the intrinsic drain current in the linear region $(I_{d,lin})$ and saturation region $(I_{d,sat})$ can be extracted by equations (1) and (2), respectively:

$$
I_{\rm d,lin}(\text{int}) = \frac{I_{\rm d,lin}(\text{ext})}{1 - I_{\rm d,lin}(\text{ext})(R_{\rm s} + R_{\rm d})/V_{\rm d}}
$$
(1)

$$
I_{\rm d,sat}(\text{int}) = \frac{I_{\rm d,sat}(\text{ext})}{1 - I_{\rm d,sat}(\text{ext})R_s/V_{\rm gst}}.\tag{2}
$$

Note that in equations (1) and (2), V_d and V_{gst} (= $V_g - V_{\text{th}}$) denote drain bias and gate voltage overdrive, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the intrinsic $I_{d,lin}$ and $I_{d,sat}$ enhancement of the strained devices. It can be seen that the intrinsic $I_{d,lin}$ and *I*d*,*sat are improved by about 100% and 50%, respectively. The PMOS drain currents are improved because the hole mobility is increased by the strain-induced valence band warping [\[3–5,](#page-4-0) [14](#page-4-0)]. The enhancement in $I_{d, sat}$ is less than $I_{d, lin}$ because of velocity saturation. It indicates that the enhancement in saturation velocity (v_{sat}) for strained devices is smaller than the mobility (μ_{eff}) improvement. Since the critical electric field at which the carrier velocity becomes saturated, $E_{\text{sat}} = 2v_{\text{sat}}/\mu_{\text{eff}}$ [\[15](#page-4-0)], we can expect a smaller E_{sat} in strained devices. In other words, the saturation drain voltage (V_{dsat}) for the strained device should be smaller than its control counterpart for a given gate voltage overdrive. From the output resistance (R_{out}) versus the V_d plot (figure [2\)](#page-2-0), $V_{d, sat}$ can be extracted by linear

0268-1242/07/040404+04\$30.00 © 2007 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK 404

Figure 1. Intrinsic *I*d*,*lin and *I*d*,*sat enhancements for strained PMOS devices.

Figure 2. Output resistance versus V_d . The extracted V_{dsat} ratio corresponds to the E_{sat} ratio in the short channel device.

Figure 3. g_m/I_d versus L_{gate} at $V_{gst} = 0.8$ V.

extrapolation because R_{out} is proportional to $V_d - V_{d,\text{sat}}$ in the channel-length modulation region [\[15,](#page-4-0) [16\]](#page-4-0). It can be seen from figure 2 that the strained PFET indeed has a smaller *V*d*,*sat (∼0.11 V).

Figure 4. g_m/I_d versus L_{gate} at $V_{gst} = 0.2$ V.

Figure 5. The extracted carrier mobility versus V_{gst} for devices with $L_{\text{gate}} = 50 \text{ nm}.$

Figure 6. g_m/I_d versus I_d at $V_d = 1$ V for devices with $L_{gate} =$ 50 nm.

The impact of E_{sat} on $g_{\text{m}}/I_{\text{d}}$, the transconductance efficiency of the device, is mainly in the high V_g regime. Figure 3 shows g_m/I_d versus L_{gate} at $V_{gst} = 0.8$ V. The rolloff of g_m/I_d as gate length decreases can be modelled by the following equation derived from BSIM [\[15\]](#page-4-0):

$$
\frac{g_{\rm m}}{I_{\rm d}} = \frac{1}{V_{\rm gst}} \left(\frac{V_{\rm gst} + 2E_{\rm sat}L_{\rm eff}}{V_{\rm gst} + E_{\rm sat}L_{\rm eff}} \right) + \left(\frac{E_{\rm sat}L_{\rm eff}}{E_{\rm sat}L_{\rm eff} + V_{\rm gst}} \right) \frac{(\partial \mu_{\rm eff}/\partial V_{\rm g})}{\mu_{\rm eff}},
$$
\n(3)

405

Figure 7. R_{out} versus V_d for devices with $L_{\text{gate}} = 50$ nm.

Figure 8. DC gain versus L_{gate} for $V_{\text{gst}} = 0.2$ V and 0.8 V.

where the effective channel length $L_{\text{eff}} = L_{\text{gate}} - 2\Delta L$. ΔL is the gate-extension overlap distance and is calculated from the measurement of overlap capacitance. Note that the $g_{\rm m}/I_{\rm d}$ ratio shown in figure [3](#page-2-0) is indeed bounded by $2/V_{\text{gst}}$ and $1/V_{\text{gst}}$ when the effective channel length decreases from the long channel limit ($L_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \infty$) to the short channel limit ($L_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$), as predicted by the first term in equation [\(3\)](#page-2-0). The lower $g_{\rm m}/I_{\rm d}$ for strained devices can be attributed to the smaller *E*sat.

Figure [4](#page-2-0) shows g_m/I_d versus L_{gate} in the low V_g regime $(V_{\text{gst}} = 0.2 \text{ V})$. It can be seen that $g_{\text{m}}/I_{\text{d}}$ for the strained device rolls up as gate length decreases. Moreover, $g_{\rm m}/I_{\rm d}$ for the strained device is higher than its control counterpart, which can be attributed to the gate bias sensitivity of the mobility (i.e. the second term in equation (3)).

Figure [5](#page-2-0) shows the extracted mobility $[13]$ $[13]$ versus V_{gst} . It can be seen that μ_{eff} increases with V_g around V_{gst} = 0.2 V. This is because in the low V_g regime, the mobility is mainly determined by Coulombic scattering. The mobile carrier screening makes μ_{eff} increase with V_g . The larger slope of the mobility for the strained device, which is consistent with the data in $[17-19]$, may be attributed to the reduced effective mass $[21]$ and is responsible for the higher $g_{\rm m}/I_{\rm d}$ observed in figure [4.](#page-2-0) Figure [6](#page-2-0) shows *g*m*/I*^d versus drain current for strained and unstrained devices with $L_{\text{gate}} =$

Figure 9. $|dV_{th}/dV_{d}|$ versus L_{gate} .

Figure 10. The gain-bandwidth product versus L_{gate} for (*a*) $V_{\text{gst}} =$ 0.8 V and (*b*) $V_{\text{gst}} = 0.2$ V.

50 nm. For analogue devices normally biased by constant drain currents, figure [6](#page-2-0) indicates that the strained device has a superior transconductance efficiency [\[12](#page-4-0)] than its control counterpart.

Figure 7 shows output resistance (R_{out}) versus V_d for various V_g . It can be seen that R_{out} for strained devices is significantly reduced. R_{out} in the high V_{d} regime (i.e. maximum R_{out}) is mainly determined by drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL) and can be modelled by [15, 16]

$$
R_{\text{out(DIBL)}} = \frac{1}{-g_{\text{m}} \times (\partial V_{\text{th}}/\partial V_{\text{d}})}.
$$
 (4)

The reduction in R_{out} for the strained device is mainly due to the enhanced g_m . Figure [8](#page-3-0) compares the dc gain ($g_m \times R_{out}$) of the strained device with the control device at $V_d = 1$ V. It can be seen that the dc gain for the strained device (especially in the low V_g regime) is slightly less than its control counterpart. It indicates that, according to equation (4), the strained device has a higher V_d sensitivity of the threshold voltage, as verified by figure [9.](#page-3-0)

Figure [10](#page-3-0) shows the comparison of the gain-bandwidth product in the high V_g regime and low V_g regime, respectively. The gain-bandwidth product is considered as the gain $\times g_m$ product because the bandwidth, $f_{\text{T}} = g_{\text{m}}/2\pi C_{\text{L}}$, is proportional to *g*^m for a given capacitive load at the transistor output [20]. Due to the enhancement in carrier mobility and *g*^m [4], the gain-bandwidth product is significantly improved for the strained device. It is worth noting that in figure [10\(](#page-3-0)*b*), there is a significant lowering of gain-bandwidth product for L_{gate} < 0.1 μ m. This can be attributed to the degraded carrier mobility caused by pocket implants, which is one main reason that strained silicon is needed for boosting the performance of state-of-the-art CMOS technologies.

4. Conclusions

We have investigated the impact of process-induced uniaxial strain on the analogue performance of MOS devices. In the high *V*^g regime, *g*m*/I*^d for strained devices is reduced due to decreased E_{sat} . In the low V_{g} regime, nevertheless, $g_{\text{m}}/I_{\text{d}}$ for the strained device is higher than its control counterpart because of the higher V_g sensitivity of the mobility present in the strained device. For analogue devices biased by constant drain currents, the strained device shows superior transconductance efficiency. Although the output resistance for strained devices is substantially reduced due to the *g*^m enhancement, the impact of strain on dc gain is not significant. Finally, substantially improved gain-bandwidth product has been observed for strained devices. This study may provide insights for analogue design using advanced strained devices.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan under contract NSC95-2221-E-009-327- MY2 and MoE ATU Program, No. 95W803. The authors would like to acknowledge the help they received from Dr K Goto during the work.

References

[1] Hu C 2004 Device challenges and opportunities *VLSI Symp. Tech. Dig.* pp 4–5

- [2] Tyagi S *et al* 2005 An advanced low power, high performance, strained channel 65 nm technology *IEDM Tech. Dig.* pp 245–8
- [3] Thompson S E *et al* 2004 A 90-nm logic technology featuring strained-Silicon *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **51** [1790–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2004.836648)
- [4] Thompson S E, Sun G, Wu K, Lim J and Nishida T 2004 Key differences for process-induced uniaxial versus substrate-induced biaxial stressed Si and Ge channel MOSFETs *IEDM Tech. Dig.* [pp 221–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/IEDM.2004.1419114)
- [5] Thompson S E, Sun G, Choi Y S and Nishida T 2006 Uniaxial-process-induced strained-Si: extending the CMOS roadmap *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **53** [1010–20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2006.872088)
- [6] Chen X *et al* 2006 Stress proximity technique for performance improvement with dual stress linear at 45nm technology and beyond *VLSI Symp. Tech. Dig.* pp 8.1.1–8.1.2
- [7] Shima M *et al* 2006 High-performance low operation power transistor for 45nm node universal applications *VLSI Symp. Tech. Dig.* pp 19.3.1–19.3.2
- [8] Singh D V, Jenkins K A, Sleight J, Ren Z, Ieong M and Haensch W 2006 Strained ultrahigh performance fully depleted nMOSFETs with ft of 330 GHz and sub-30-nm gate lengths *IEEE Electron Device Lett* **27** [191–3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2006.870254)
- [9] International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors, <http://www.itrs.net/>
- [10] Kondo M, Sugii N, Miyamoto M, Hoshino Y, Hatori M, Hirasawa W, Kimura Y, Kimura S, Kondo Y and Yoshida I 2006 Strained-silicon MOSFETs for analog applications: utilizing a supercritical-thickness strained layer for low leakage current and high breakdown voltage *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **53** [1226–34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2006.872892)
- [11] Hakim N, Rao V R, Vasi J and Woo J C S 2005 Superior hot carrier reliability of single halo (SH) silicon-on-insulator (SOI) nMOSFET in analog applications *IEEE Trans. Device Mater. Reliab.* **5** 127–32
- [12] Kilchytska V *et al* 2003 Influence of device engineering on the analog and RF performance of SOI MOSFETs *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **50** [577–88](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2003.810471)
- [13] Chen W P N, Su P, Wang J S, Chang C H, Goto K and Diaz C H 2006 A new series resistance and mobility extraction method by BSIM model for nano-scale MOSFETs *VLSI-TSA Tech. Dig.* pp 143–4
- [14] Wang E X, Matagne P, Shifren L, Obradovic B, Kotlyar R, Cea S, Sterrler M and Giles M D 2006 Physics of hole transport in strained Silicon MOSFET inversion layers *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **53** [1840–3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2006.877370)
- [15] Cheng Y and Hu C 1999 *MOSFET Modeling & BSIM3 User's Guide*, KAP
- [16] Huang J H, Liu Z H, Jeng M C, Ko P K and Hu C 1992 A physical model for MOSFET output resistance *IEDM Tech. Dig.* pp 569–72
- [17] Nayfeh H M, Leitz C W, Pitera A J, Fitzgerald E A, Hoyt J L and Antoniadis D A 2003 Influence of high channel doping on the inversion layer electron mobility in strained silicon n-MOSFETs *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **24** [248–51](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2003.810885)
- [18] Andrieu F, Ernst T, Ravit C, Jurczak M, Ghibaudo G and Deleonibus S 2005 In-depth characterization of the hole mobility in 50-nm process-induced strained MOSFETs *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **26** [755–7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LED.2005.855413)
- [19] Payet F *et al* 2006 CMOS integration of $L = 32$ nm strained-Si MOSFET on $Si_{0.8}Ge_{0.2}$ SRB for low voltage applications *IEEE Silicon Nanoelectron. Workshop* pp 1–3
- [20] Colinge J P 1996 Low-power HF microelectronics: a unified approach *IEE Circuits and Systems (Series 8, The Institution of Electrical Engineers)* ed Machado G A S pp 139–84
- [21] Mujtaba A, Takagi S and Dutton R 1995 Accurate modeling of Coulombic scattering, and its impact on scaled MOSFETs *VLSI Symp. Tech. Dig.* pp 99–100