

Research article

Open Access

The trend of susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species from 1999 to 2002 in Taiwan

Yun-Liang Yang¹, Shu-Ying Li², Hsiao-Hsu Cheng³, Hsiu-Jung Lo^{*3} and TSARY Hospitals

Address: ¹Department of Biological Science and Technology, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, China, ²Laboratory for Mycopathogen, Chlamydia and Mycoplasma, Division of Laboratory Research and Development, Center for Disease Control, Taipei, Taiwan, China and ³Division of Clinical Research, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli, Taiwan, China

Email: Yun-Liang Yang - yyang@mail.nctu.edu.tw; Shu-Ying Li - syl@cdc.gov.tw; Hsiao-Hsu Cheng - shallman@tensall.com.tw; Hsiu-Jung Lo* - hjlo@nhri.org.tw; TSARY Hospitals - hjlo@nhri.org.tw

* Corresponding author

Published: 03 November 2005

Received: 08 March 2005

BMC Infectious Diseases 2005, 5:99 doi:10.1186/1471-2334-5-99

Accepted: 03 November 2005

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/5/99>

© 2005 Yang et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: *Candida* species have various degrees of susceptibility to common antifungal drugs. The extent of resistance to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida glabrata* isolates causing candidemia has been reported. Active surveillance may help us to monitor the trend of susceptibility to antifungal drugs and to determine if there is an emerging co-resistance to both drugs of *Candida* species, specifically, of *C. glabrata* in Taiwan.

Methods: The susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species collected in 1999 and 2002 of the Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance of Yeasts (TSARY) were determined by the microdilution method.

Results: The antifungal susceptibilities of 342 and 456 isolates collected from 11 hospitals participating in both TSARY 1999 and TSARY 2002, respectively, have been determined. The resistance rate to amphotericin B has increased from 0.3% in the TSARY 1999 to 2.2% in the TSARY 2002. In contrast, the resistance rate to fluconazole has decreased from 8.8% to 2.2%. Nevertheless, significantly more *C. glabrata* isolates were not susceptible to fluconazole in the TSARY 2002 (47.4%) than that in the TSARY 1999 (20.8%). There were 9.8% and 11% of *C. glabrata* isolates having susceptible-dose dependent and resistant phenotype to fluconazole in the TSARY 1999, versus 45.3% and 2.1% in the TSARY 2002.

Conclusion: There was an increase of resistance rate to amphotericin B in *C. glabrata*. On the other hand, although the resistance rate to fluconazole has decreased, almost half of *C. glabrata* isolates were not susceptible to this drug. Hence, continuous monitoring the emerging of co-resistance to both amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species, specifically, of *C. glabrata*, will be an important early-warning system.

Background

In the past decade, nosocomial yeast infections have

increased globally. In Taiwan, the prevalence of nosocomial candidemia increased 16-fold from 1981 through

Table 1: The Susceptibilities of *Candida* Species to Amphotericin B

TSARY 1999 MIC µg/ml	cal	ctr	cgl	cpa	ckr	Others	Total
≤ 0.25	19 (14.7) ^a	5 (5.1)	5 (6.1)	5 (22.7)	0	3 (42.8)	37 (10.8)
0.5	81 (62.8)	57 (58.2)	52 (63.4)	8 (36.4)	1 (25)	2 (28.6)	201 (58.8)
1	29 (22.5)	36 (36.7)	25 (30.5)	9 (40.9)	2 (50)	2 (28.6)	103 (30.1)
2	0	0	0	0	1 (25)	0	1 (0.3)
Total	129	98	82	22	4	7	342
MIC ₅₀ µg/ml	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.5
MIC ₉₀ µg/ml	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
TSARY 2002 MIC µg/ml	cal	ctr	cgl	cpa	ckr	Others	Total
≤ 0.25	8 (4.2)	1 (0.8)	0	3 (8.3)	0	0	12 (2.6)
0.5	122 (64.9)	70 (54.2)	17 (17.9)	17 (47.2)	1 (20)	1 (33.3)	228 (50)
1	56 (29.8)	57 (44.2)	75 (78.9)	16 (44.5)	0	2 (66.7)	206 (45.2)
2	2 (1.1)	1 (0.8)	3 (3.2)	0	4 (80)	0	10 (2.2)
Total	188	129	95	36	5	3	456
MIC ₅₀ µg/ml	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	2	1	0.5
MIC ₉₀ µg/ml	1	1	1	1	2	1	1

cal, *C. albicans*; ctr, *C. tropicalis*; cgl, *C. glabrata*; cpa, *C. parapsilosis*; ckr, *C. krusei*
^anumber of isolates (%)

1993 [1,2]. In the United States, yeast infections rank as the fourth most common cause of nosocomial bloodstream infection [3,4]. Furthermore, candidemia contributes considerable mortality (31% to 38%), extends the length of hospital stay [5,6], and increases social cost due to lost productivity and disabling complications [7]. Consequently, the Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance of Yeasts (TSARY) was initiated in 1999 for epidemiological study of yeast infections in Taiwan [8,9]

Candida species have various degrees of susceptibility to common antifungal agents. *Candida lusitanae* is less susceptible to amphotericin B [10] while *Candida krusei* and *Candida glabrata* are less susceptible to fluconazole than other *Candida* species [11-14]. The extent of fluconazole resistance of *C. glabrata* isolates causing candidemia has been reported throughout the United States [15]. Furthermore, *C. glabrata* exhibits variable cross-resistance to the other triazoles, such as voriconazole and posaconazole [13,16-18] and amphotericin B became the next choice. The aim of this study is to investigate the trend of susceptibility to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in Taiwan from 1999 to 2002. Especially, we would like to determine if there is an emerging co-resistance to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species, specifically, of *C. glabrata*, in Taiwan.

Methods

Organisms and media

Yeast isolates were collected from 11 hospitals participating in both TSARY 1999 and TSARY 2002 [9,19]. Isolates were stored frozen at -70°C in bead containing Microbank cryovials (PRO-LAB Diagnostics, Austin, TX, USA). At the end of the collection period, isolates were kept frozen and transported by an express delivery company to the laboratory at National Health Research Institutes (NHRI) within 24 hours. After their arrival, the isolates were first sub-cultured on to Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA, BBL, Becton Dickinson Cockeysville, MD, USA) to check for purity and identifications. Pure isolates were labeled and stored in vials containing 50% glycerol at -70°C for subsequent analyses.

Identification

The identification procedure of yeast isolates in the NHRI laboratory was performed as described previously [8]. In general, isolates identified as *C. albicans* by hospitals were first subjected to the germ tube assay in brain heart infusion (BHI, BBL) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (JR12003, JRH Biosciences, Australia) at 37°C for 2-3 hours [20]. Isolates positive in germ tube assay were checked for growth at 42°C to differentiate *C. albicans* from *C. dubliniensis* [21]. The VITEK Yeast Biochemical

Table 2: The Susceptibilities of *Candida* Species to Fluconazole

TSARY 1999 MIC $\mu\text{g/ml}$	cal	ctr	cgl	cpa	ckr	Others	Total
S	121 (93.8) ^a	77 (78.6)	65 (79.2)	21 (95.5)	0	5 (71.4)	289 (84.5)
SDD	3 (2.3)	9 (9.2)	8 (9.8)	1 (4.5)	0	2 (28.6)	23 (6.7)
R	5 (3.9)	12 (12.2)	9 (11)	0	4 (100)	0	30 (8.8)
Total	129	98	82	22	4	7	342
MIC ₅₀ $\mu\text{g/ml}$	0.25	2	4	1	ND	ND	2
MIC ₉₀ $\mu\text{g/ml}$	4	64	64	4	ND	ND	16
TSARY 2002 MIC $\mu\text{g/ml}$	cal	ctr	cgl	cpa	ckr	Others	Total
S	178 (94.7)	124 (96.1)	50 (52.6)	36 (100)	1 (20)	2 (66.7)	391 (85.8)
SDD	6 (3.2)	5 (3.9)	43 (45.3)	0	1 (20)	0	55 (12)
R	4 (2.1)	0	2 (2.1)	0	3 (60)	1 (33.3)	10 (2.2)
Total	188	129	95	36	5	3	456
MIC ₅₀ $\mu\text{g/ml}$	0.25	1	8	1	ND	ND	1
MIC ₉₀ $\mu\text{g/ml}$	1	4	32	2	ND	ND	16

cal, *C. albicans*; ctr, *C. tropicalis*; cgl, *C. glabrata*; cpa, *C. parapsilosis*; ckr, *C. krusei*

^anumber of isolates (%), S, susceptible; SDD, susceptible-dose dependent; R, resistant ND, not showed due to small number of isolates

Card (YBC, bioMerieux, St. Louis, MI, USA) was then used to analyze isolates appearing to be negative by the germ tube assay in the NHRI laboratory and isolates identified as non-albicans *Candida* species by the hospitals. API-32C (bioMerieux) was used to assess the NHRI result when the VITEK-YBC showed less than 90% confidence.

Antifungal susceptibility testing

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) to amphotericin B or fluconazole of each yeast isolate was determined by in vitro antifungal susceptibility testing according to the guidelines by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS) [22]. The RPMI medium 1640 (31800-022, Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used for dilution. Several strains from American Type Culture Collection, namely, ATCC 14053 *C. albicans*, ATCC 9003 *C. glabrata*, ATCC 6258 *C. krusei*, and ATCC20019 *Candida parapsilosis* were used as controls. The growth of each isolate was measured by a Spectra MAX Plus (Molecular Devices Cop. Sunnyvale, California, USA) after 48-hour incubation at 35°C. We also measured the MICs of some randomly-sampled isolates by Etest (AB Biodisk Solna, Sweden) to confirm our results by microdilution.

The interpretation of MICs was conducted according to the guidelines of the CLSI. The MICs to amphotericin B and fluconazole were defined as the lowest concentration of amphotericin B and fluconazole to reduce the turbidity of cells to greater than 95% and 50%, respectively. For amphotericin B, isolates with MIC $\geq 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$ were consid-

ered to be resistant, whereas those with MIC $\leq 1 \mu\text{g/ml}$ were susceptible. For fluconazole, isolates with MIC $\geq 64 \mu\text{g/ml}$ were considered resistant, while those with MIC $\leq 8 \mu\text{g/ml}$ were susceptible. Isolates with MICs between 16 and 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ were susceptible-dose dependent. The MICs of 50% and 90% of the total population were defined as MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀. For any species with less than ten, the MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ were not showed.

Database and analysis

The database for this study contained the following characteristic information of each submitted isolate: hospital origin, location and type of the hospital, identification and source of the isolate. The statistic significance of the differences in frequencies and proportions was determined by the chi-square test with Yates' correction. A *p* value of ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Distribution of *Candida* species

The distribution of *Candida* species was similar in both surveys. *Candida albicans* was the most common species consisting 37.7% of the total isolates in the TSARY 1999 and 41.2% in the TSARY 2002. *Candida tropicalis* (28.7% in 1999 vs. 28.3% in 2002) and *C. glabrata* (24% in 1999 vs. 20.8% in 2002) were the two most common non-albicans *Candida* species, followed by *C. parapsilosis* (6.4% in 1999 vs. 7.9% in 2002), *C. krusei* (1.2% in 1999 vs. 1.1% in 2002), and others (2% in 1999 vs. 0.7% in 2002). When classified according to the sources, isolates from urine, sputum, blood, wound, and others were 143

(41.8%), 101 (29.5%), 30 (8.8%), 26 (7.6%), and 42 (12.3%), respectively, in the TSARY 1999 versus 186 (40.8%), 111 (24.3%), 50 (11%), 20 (4.4%), and 89 (19.5%), respectively, in the TSARY 2002.

Susceptibilities to amphotericin B

The susceptibilities to amphotericin B are shown in Table 1. A total of 10 isolates (2.2%) were resistant to amphotericin B in the TSARY 2002, whereas only one (0.3%) in the TSARY 1999 ($p < 0.05$). Of these 11 amphotericin B resistant isolates, 9 were non-albicans *Candida* species, including 5 *C. krusei*, 3 *C. glabrata*, and 1 *C. tropicalis*. In general, *C. krusei* was less susceptible to amphotericin B than other species.

Susceptibilities to fluconazole

The susceptibilities to fluconazole of *Candida* species are shown in Table 2. In the TSARY 1999, a total of 289 (84.5%), 23 (6.7%), and 30 (8.8%) isolates were susceptible, susceptible-dose dependent, and resistant to fluconazole, respectively, whereas in the TSARY 2002, there were 391 (85.5%), 55 (12%), and 10 (2.2%). The MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ of these isolates in the TSARY 1999 were 2 µg/ml and 16 µg/ml, respectively, and in the TSARY 2002, they were 1 µg/ml and 16 µg/ml. In the TSARY 1999, 12 (12.2%) *C. tropicalis*, 9 (11%) *C. glabrata*, 5 (3.9%) *C. albicans*, and 4 (100%) *C. krusei*, while in the TSARY 2002, 4 (2.1%) *C. albicans*, 3 (60%) *C. krusei*, and 2 (2.1%) *C. glabrata* were resistant to fluconazole. Fewer isolates in the TSARY 2002 were resistant to fluconazole than that in the TSARY 1999 ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, more isolates from the TSARY 2002 were susceptible-dose dependent than that in the TSARY 1999 ($p < 0.05$). Consequently, there were similar portions of isolates susceptible to fluconazole in both surveys. Nevertheless, there were less isolates with MICs ≤ 2 µg/ml to fluconazole in the TSARY 1999 (71.6%, 207/289) than in the TSARY 2002 (81.8%, 320/391) ($p < 0.05$). Finally, in the TSARY 1999, 82 (24%) of isolates had MICs between 4 and 8 µg/ml to fluconazole. It was down to 71 (15.6%) in the TSARY 2002.

Discussion

The trend of susceptibilities to antifungal drugs of *Candida* species from 1999 to 2002 has been determined in this study. As expected, *C. krusei* had the highest resistance rate to fluconazole among *Candida* species tested, which is consistent with previous reports [9,11]. In contrast, all *C. parapsilosis* isolates were susceptible to fluconazole, which is also consistent with previous reports that *C. parapsilosis* is the most susceptible species to fluconazole [9,18,23,24]. Though the overall resistance rate to fluconazole has decreased from 8.8% to 2.2%, there were significantly more *C. glabrata* isolates not susceptible to fluconazole in the TSARY 2002 than that in the TSARY

1999. Overexpression of *CgCDR1*, *CgCDR2*, and *CgSNQ2*-encoded efflux pumps has been shown to be a major mechanism contributing to the drug resistance [25-27]. It would be interesting to investigate the molecular mechanisms of drug resistance of those clinical resistant isolates.

Recently, triazoles have been developed as the new savior to the issue of drug resistance in *Candida* infection. Nevertheless, *C. glabrata* exhibits variable cross-resistance among triazoles [9,18,23]. Thus, amphotericin B appears to be the choice for treating systemic infections caused by this species. However, along with the increased use of amphotericin B, 20% and 36% of *C. glabrata* isolates from North America and Latin America, respectively, were reported to be resistant [23]. These data suggest that co-resistance to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *C. glabrata* species may become a problem for clinical therapy worldwide. In our study, we found only three *C. glabrata* isolates resistant to amphotericin B, which is lower than what has been reported. In that study, 20% of *C. glabrata* causing candidemia collected in Taiwan in 2003 were resistant to amphotericin B [16]. Coincidentally, more *C. glabrata* isolates in the TSARY 2002 (78.9%) had the MICs of amphotericin B at 1 µg/ml than that in the TSARY 1999 (30.5%). Hence, periodic surveillance is needed to closely monitor the trends of susceptibility to antifungal drugs and for early detection of the newly emerging co-resistance to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species, especially, of *C. glabrata*.

Abbreviations used

TSARY, Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance of Yeasts; NHRI, National Health Research Institutes; SDA, Sabouraud dextrose agar; BHI, brain heart infusion; YBC, Yeast Biochemical Card; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; NCCLS, National Committee of Clinical Laboratory Standards; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

YLY and HJL design the study and drafted the manuscript. HHC conduct the experiments with contribution with SYL. TSARY Hospitals provided isolates.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Bristol Myers Squibb and Pfizer for supplying the amphotericin B and fluconazole, respectively. We also wish to thank the 11 participating hospitals for providing clinical isolates and information regarding to those isolates. They are Buddhist Tzu-Chi General Hospital, Hualien Hospital, DOH, the Executive Yuan, Kaohsiung Military Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical College Chung-Ho Memorial Hospital, Kuan-Tien Gen-

eral Hospital, Lo-Hsu Foundation Inc. Lo-Tung Poh Ai Hospital, St. Mary Hospital, Tri Service General Hospital, Veterans General Hospital-Taichung, Veterans General Hospital-Kaohsiung, Zen Ai General Hospital. This work was in part supported by the grants DOH93-DC-1101, and DOH94-DC-1102 from Center for Disease Control and CL-93-PP-06 from National Health Research Institutes.

References

- Hung CC, Chen YC, Chang SC, Luh KT, Hsieh WC: Nosocomial candidemia in a university hospital in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1996, **95**:19-28.
- Chen YC, Chang SC, Sun CC, Yang LS, Hsieh WC, Luh KT: **Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections at a teaching hospital in Taiwan, 1981 to 1993.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1997, **18**:369-375.
- Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Messer SA, Edmond MB, Wenzel RP: **National surveillance of nosocomial blood stream infection due to species of *Candida* other than *Candida albicans*: frequency of occurrence and antifungal susceptibility in the SCOPE Program.** SCOPE Participant Group. *Surveillance and Control of Pathogens of Epidemiologic.* *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998, **30**:121-129.
- Beck-Sague C, Jarvis VR: **Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections in the United States, 1980-1990.** National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. *J Infect Dis* 1993, **167**:1247-1251.
- Leleu G, Aegerter P, Guidet B: **Systemic candidiasis in intensive care units: a multicenter, matched-cohort study.** *J Crit Care* 2002, **17**:168-175.
- Wey SB, Mori M, Pfaller MA, Woolson RF, Wenzel RP: **Hospital-acquired candidemia. The attributable mortality and excess length of stay.** *Arch Intern Med* 1988, **148**:2642-2645.
- Tortorano AM, Caspani L, Rigoni AL, Biraghi E, Sicignano A, Viviani MA: **Candidosis in the intensive care unit: a 20-year survey.** *J Hosp Infect* 2004, **57**:8-13.
- Lo HJ, Ho AH, Ho M: **Factors accounting for mis-identification of *Candida* species.** *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2001, **34**:171-177.
- Yang YL, Ho YA, Cheng HH, Ho M, Lo HJ: **Susceptibilities of *Candida* species to amphotericin B and fluconazole: the emergence of fluconazole resistance in *Candida tropicalis*.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004, **25**:60-64.
- Hadfield TL, Smith MB, Winn RE, Rinaldi MG, Guerra C: **Mycoses caused by *Candida lusitanae*.** *Rev Infect Dis* 1987, **9**:1006-1012.
- Akova M, Akalin HE, Uzun O, Gur D: **Emergence of *Candida krusei* infections after therapy of oropharyngeal candidiasis with fluconazole.** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1991, **10**:598-599.
- Orozco AS, Higginbotham LM, Hitchcock CA, Parkinson T, Falconer D, Ibrahim AS, et al.: **Mechanism of fluconazole resistance in *Candida krusei*.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998, **42**:2645-2649.
- Yang YL, Cheng HH, Lo HJ: **In vitro activity of voriconazole against *Candida* species isolated in Taiwan.** *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2004, **24**:294-296.
- Piemonte P, Conte G, Flores C, Barahona O, Araos D, Alfaro J, et al.: **Emergency of fluconazole-resistant infections by *Candida krusei* and *Candida glabrata* in neutropenic patients.** *Rev Med Chil* 1996, **124**:1149.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Messer SA, Boyken L, Hollis RJ: **Activities of fluconazole and voriconazole against 1,586 recent clinical isolates of *Candida* species determined by Broth microdilution, disk diffusion, and Etest methods: report from the ARTEMIS Global Antifungal Susceptibility Program, 2001.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2003, **41**:1440-1446.
- Hsueh PR, Lau YJ, Chuang YC, Wan JH, Huang WK, Shyr JM, et al.: **Antifungal susceptibilities of clinical isolates of *Candida* species, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Aspergillus* species from Taiwan: surveillance of multicenter antimicrobial resistance in Taiwan program data from 2003.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49**:512-517.
- Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Boyken L, Hollis RJ, Rice C, Tendolkar S, et al.: **In vitro activities of voriconazole, posaconazole, and fluconazole against 4,169 clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. and *Cryptococcus neoformans* collected during 2001 and 2002 in the ARTEMIS global antifungal surveillance program.** *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004, **48**:201-205.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Jones RN, Sader HS, Fluit AC, Hollis RJ, et al.: **International surveillance of bloodstream infections due to *Candida* species: frequency of occurrence and in vitro susceptibilities to fluconazole, ravuconazole, and voriconazole of isolates collected from 1997 through 1999 in the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2001, **39**:3254-3259.
- Yang YL, Li SY, Cheng HH, Lo HJ: **Susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in TSARY 2002.** *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005, **51**:179-183.
- Larone DH: **Laboratory Procedures.** In *Medically Important Fungi: A Guide to Identification* New York: ASM Press; 1995:209-224.
- Sullivan D, Coleman D: ***Candida dubliniensis*: characteristics and identification.** *J Clin Microbiol* 1998, **36**:329-334.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (formerly NCCLS): **Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts; approved standard.** M27A, Wayne, PA 1997.
- Pfaller MA, Espinel-Ingroff A, Jones RN: **Clinical evaluation of the Sensititre YeastOne colorimetric antifungal plate for antifungal susceptibility testing of the new triazoles voriconazole, posaconazole, and ravuconazole.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2004, **42**:4577-4580.
- Yang YL, Cheng HH, Ho YA, Hsiao CF, Lo HJ: **Fluconazole resistance rate of *Candida* species from different regions and hospital types in Taiwan.** *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2003, **36**:187-191.
- Bennett JE, Izumikawa K, Marr KA: **Mechanism of increased fluconazole resistance in *Candida glabrata* during prophylaxis.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004, **48**:1773-1777.
- Sanglard D, Ischer F, Calabrese D, Majcherczyk PA, Bille J: **The ATP binding cassette transporter gene *CgCDRI* from *Candida glabrata* is involved in the resistance of clinical isolates to azole antifungal agents.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999, **43**:2753-2765.
- Sanguinetti M, Posteraro B, Fiori B, Ranno S, Torelli R, Fadda G: **Mechanisms of azole resistance in clinical isolates of *Candida glabrata* collected during a hospital survey of antifungal resistance.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49**:668-679.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/5/99/prepub>

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

