

# A STUDY ON MEASURES ON NONMEASURABLE SUBSETS

MAIOW-ING LIN

National Chiao Tung University

(Received 29 November 1973)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -measurable subset (that is  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{A}_E = \{F \in \mathcal{A} | F \subset E\}$ , and let  $\mu_E$  be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{A}_E$ , then  $(E, \mathcal{A}_E, \mu_E)$  is a measure space. If  $Y$  is a non- $\mu$ -measurable subset of  $X$  (that is  $Y \notin \mathcal{A}$ ) such that if  $B \subset Y'$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{M^+ = Y \cap M | M \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , define  $\mu^+ : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow R^\#$  by  $\mu^+(M^+) = \mu(M)$  if  $M^+ = Y \cap M$ . It was proved that  $(Y, \mathcal{A}^+, \mu^+)$  is a measure space. In this paper, the existence of such a subset  $Y$  when  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue outer measure  $\lambda$  on  $R$  is proved in detail by the concepts of cardinal numbers and ordinal numbers.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

### a. Cardinal numbers and ordinal numbers

If  $A$  is a set, we let  $\overline{A}$  denote the cardinal number of  $A$ , thus  $\overline{\emptyset} = 0$ ,  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = n$ ,  $\overline{N} = N_0$  ( $N$  is the set of all positive integers),  $\overline{R} = C$  ( $R$  is the set of all real numbers) etc.....

(1) If set  $B$  is one to one correspondence (or equivalent) to  $A$  ( $B \sim A$ ), then  $\overline{B} = \overline{A}$ .

(2) Let  $A, B$  be sets, and  $\overline{A} = a, \overline{B} = b$ . We write  $a \leq b$  if  $A$  is equivalent to some subset of  $B$  ( $a < b$ ) if  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

(3) Any set of cardinal numbers is a linearly ordered set.

(4) Continuum hypothesis: there is no cardinal number strictly between  $N_0$  and  $C$ .

If  $A$  is a well-ordered set. We use  $\text{ord}A$  to denote the ordinal number of  $A$ , thus  $\text{ord} \emptyset = 0$ ,  $\text{ord} \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = n$ ,  $\text{ord} N = \omega$ , etc.

(5) If  $A, B$  are two well-ordered sets, and  $\text{ord}A = \alpha, \text{ord}B = \beta$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ ; if there is an order isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $B$  ( $A \approx B$ ).

(6)  $\alpha < \beta$  if  $\exists x \in B$  such that  $A \approx$  the initial segment  $P_x$  of  $B$  determined by  $x$ .

(7) Any set of ordinal numbers forms a linearly ordered set.

Lemma 1. Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number,  $\alpha > 0$ , and let  $P_\alpha$  be the set of all ordinal numbers  $< \alpha$ . Then  $P_\alpha$  is a well-ordered set and  $\text{ord}P_\alpha = \alpha$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $a$  be a cardinal number, Then there exists an ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $\overline{P_\alpha} = a$ .

Lemma 3. There is a smallest ordinal number  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $P_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is uncountable,



**b. Outer measures and measures**

Definition 1; Let  $X$  be a set. A set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  into  $R^\#$  is called an outer measure if the following relations hold;

- (i)  $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq \infty$  for all  $A \subset X$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(\phi) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  if  $A \subset B \subset X$ ;
- (iv)  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$  for all sequences  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of subsets of  $X$ .

Definition 2: Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R^\#$  is called a measure if

- (i)  $0 \leq \mu(A) \leq \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(\phi) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$  for all pairwise disjoint sequences  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a measure space.

Definition 3. Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mu$  an outer measure on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\mu$ -measurable if  $\mu(T) = \mu(T \cap A) + \mu(T \cap A')$  for all  $T \subset X$ .

Lemma 4. Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mu$  an outer measure on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  Then the set  $N_\mu$  of all  $\mu$ -measurable subsets forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $(X, M_\mu, \mu)$  forms a measure space.

Lemma 5. For the Lebesgue outer measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{P}(R)$ , if  $A$  is a  $\lambda$ -measurable subset of  $R$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  for some sequences  $(B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of sets such that  $\lambda(B_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ , then  $\lambda(A) = \sup\{\lambda(F) \mid F \text{ is compact, } F \subset A\}$ .

**3. MAIN THEOREM REFERENCES**

Theorem 1; (Measures on nonmeasurable subsets)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$  such that if  $B \subset Y'$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{Y \cap M \mid M \in \mathcal{A}\}$ ,  $\mu^+: \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow R^\#$  defined by  $\mu^+(M^+) = \mu(M)$  if  $M^+ = Y \cap M$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $(Y, \mathcal{A}^+, \mu^+)$  is a measure space.

Proof: (1)  $\mu^+$  is well defined.

If  $M^+ = Y \cap M_1 = Y \cap M_2$  for  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{A}$

Since  $Y \cap (M_1 \cap M_2') = (Y \cap M_1) \cap M_2' = (Y \cap M_2) \cap M_2' = \phi$

then  $M_1 \cap M_2' \subset Y'$  and  $M_1 \cap M_2' \in \mathcal{A}$ , this

implies  $\mu(M_1) = \mu(M_1 \cap M_2) + \mu(M_1 \cap M_2') = \mu(M_1 \cap M_2)$

Similarly  $\mu(M_2) = \mu(M_2 \cap M_1) + \mu(M_2 \cap M_1') = \mu(M_2 \cap M_1) = \mu(M_1 \cap M_2) = \mu(M_1)$

Hence for all  $M^+ \in \mathcal{A}^+$ ,  $\mu^+(M^+)$  is uniquely defined.

(2)  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $Y$ .

For  $M^+, N^+ \in \mathcal{A}^+$ , there exist  $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$M^+ = Y \cap M$  and  $N^+ = Y \cap N$ . Since

$M^+ \cup N^+ = (Y \cap M) \cup (Y \cap N) = Y \cap (M \cup N) \in \mathcal{A}^+$

and

$M^+ \cap N^+ = (Y \cap M) \cap (Y \cap N) = Y \cap (M \cap N) \in \mathcal{A}^+$

$M^+ \cap N^+ = (Y \cap M) \cap (Y \cap N) = Y \cap (M \cap N) \in \mathcal{A}^+$ .

if  $M^+, \dots, M_n^+, \dots \in \mathcal{A}^+$



$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M^+_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (Y \cap M_k) = Y \cap \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k \right) \in \mathcal{A}^+$$

$$\phi = Y \cap \phi, Y \cap X \in \mathcal{A}^+.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

(3)  $(Y, \mathcal{A}^+, \mu^+)$  is a measure space.

(i)  $0 \leq \mu^+(M^+) = \mu(M) \leq \infty$  for all  $M^+ \in \mathcal{A}^+$ ;

(ii)  $\mu^+(\phi) = \mu(\phi) = 0$ ;

(iii) Let  $(M^+_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint set in  $\mathcal{A}^+$ ,  $M^+_k = Y \cap M_k$  for all

$k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M^+_k \in \mathcal{A}^+$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M^+_k\right) &= \mu^+(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(T \cap M^+_n) + \mu^+(T \cap \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (M^+_n)'\right)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M^+_k \cap M^+_n\right) + \mu^+(T \cap T') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(M^+_n) + \mu^+(\phi) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(M^+_n) + 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^+(M^+_n) \end{aligned}$$

([1] 10.9)

Thus  $(Y, \mathcal{A}^+, \mu^+)$  is a measure space.

Theorem 2: Every uncountable closed subset  $F$  of  $R$  has cardinal number  $c$ .

Proof: Since  $R$  is a topological space with a countable base, by the Cantor-Bendixson's theorem ([1] 6.66) there is a perfect subset  $P$  and a countable subset  $C$  of  $R$  such that  $F = P \cup C$ . For  $F$  is uncountable,  $P \neq \phi$ . And since  $R$  is a complete metric space,  $\overline{P} = c$  ([1] 6.65). this shows that  $\overline{F} = c$ .

Theorem 3: (F. Bernstein). there is a subset  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \cap F \neq \phi$  and  $B' \cap F \neq \phi$  for every uncountable subset  $F$  of  $R$ .

Proof: Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all open subsets of  $R$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of all open subsets of  $R$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all uncountable closed subsets of  $R$ . For  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = c$  ([1] (4.34), (10.21)) then  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = c$ . Let  $\omega_c$  be the smallest ordinal number corresponding to the cardinal number  $c$ , that is let  $\omega_c$  be the smallest ordinal number such that  $\overline{P}_{\omega_c} = c$  ([1]. p. 29). Now for  $P_{\omega_c}$  is a well ordered set and  $P_{\omega_c} \sim \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  can be well ordered by  $F_\eta < F_r$  if  $\eta < r < \omega_c$ . Define set  $B$  by transfinite recursion and the axiom of choice as follows:

Let  $x_o$  and  $y_o$  be two distinct points of  $F_o$

$x_1$  and  $y_1$  be two distinct points of  $F_1 - \{x_o, y_o\} \dots$

Suppose  $x_r$  and  $y_r$  have been defined for all ordinal numbers  $r < \eta$  where  $\eta < \omega_c$ . Let  $A_\eta = \{x_r | r < \eta\} \cup \{y_r | r < \eta\}$  then  $\overline{A}_\eta < c$  for  $\overline{A}_\eta = \overline{F}_\eta < c$  because  $\omega_c$  is the smallest number such that  $\overline{P}_{\omega_c} = c$ . Since  $\overline{F}_\eta = c$  ( $F_\eta$  is an uncountable closed subset of  $R$ ) so  $F_\eta \cap A'_\eta$  has cardinal number  $c$ , that is  $F_\eta \cap A'_\eta$  is uncountable. Choose (any) two distinct points  $x_\eta$  and  $y_\eta$  in  $F_\eta \cap A'_\eta$ .



Finally let  $B = \{x_\eta | \eta < \omega_\alpha\}$ . For each  $\eta < \omega_\alpha$ , there exists  $x_\eta \in B \cap F_\eta$ ,  $B \cap F_\eta \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $\eta < \omega_\alpha$ , there exists  $y_\eta \in F_\eta$  but  $y_\eta \notin B$ ,  $B' \cap F_\eta \neq \emptyset$ . that is for each uncountable closed subset  $F$  of  $R$   $B \cap F \neq \emptyset$  and  $B' \cap F \neq \emptyset$ .

Theorem 4: The subset  $B$  of  $R$  as defined in theorem 3 is not  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable if  $\alpha$  is a continuous nondecreasing real function and  $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$  is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on  $R$  induced by  $\alpha$ .

Proof: Suppose there is an  $\alpha$  such that  $B$  is  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable, then for  $B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-n, n]$  and  $\lambda_\alpha([-n, n]) < \infty$ , so

$$\lambda_\alpha(B) = \sup\{\lambda_\alpha(F) | F \text{ is compact, } F \subset B\} \tag{1] 10.30}$$

But if  $F$  is a compact subset of  $B$  then  $F$  is closed in  $R$ . hence if  $F$  is not countable then  $F \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \not\subset B$ . Hence each compact subset of  $B$  is a countable subset of  $R$ . Next if  $F$  is a countable subset of  $R$  then  $F = \{x_n | n=1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\lambda_\alpha(x_n) = 0$ , each  $\{x_n\}$

is a  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable set.  $\lambda_\alpha(F) = \lambda_\alpha(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\alpha(x_n) = 0$

Therefore  $\lambda_\alpha(B) = \sup\{\lambda_\alpha(F) | F \text{ is compact, } F \subset B\} = \sup\{0\} = 0$ .

On other hand, for  $B$  is  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable hence  $B'$  is  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable, but  $B'$  is also a  $\sigma$ -finite set (about  $\lambda_\alpha$ ),  $\lambda_\alpha(B') = \sup\{\lambda_\alpha(F) | F \text{ is compact, } F \subset B'\} = \sup\{0\} = 0$ , for each compact subset of  $B'$  is countable (if not,  $B \cap F \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \not\subset B'$  is a contradiction). Now, since  $B$  and  $B'$  are  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable,  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda_\alpha(B \cup B') = \lambda_\alpha(B) + \lambda_\alpha(B') = 0$ .

$$\lambda_\alpha(R) = \lambda_\alpha(B \cup B') = \lambda_\alpha(B) + \lambda_\alpha(B') = 0, \lambda_\alpha = 0.$$

These shows that if  $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $B$  is a non  $\lambda_\alpha$ -measurable set.

Corollary.  $B$  is not  $\lambda$ -measurable (i.e.,  $B \notin M_\lambda$ )

Proof. The Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  is a special case of a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure  $\lambda_\alpha$ , i.e., when  $\alpha = \text{identity function}$ , now  $\lambda \neq 0$  (for  $\lambda(R) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda([0, 1]) = 1$ ) by the theorem  $B$  is not  $\lambda$ -measurable.

Theorem 5: Consider the measure space  $(R, M_\lambda, \lambda)$  and the non  $\lambda$ -measurable, subset  $B$  constructed in theorem 3. If  $E \in M_\lambda$  (i.e.,  $E$  is  $\lambda$ -measurable) and  $E \subset B'$ , then  $\lambda(E) = 0$ .

Proof: From the proof of Theorem 4, we know that  $B'$  is  $\lambda$   $\sigma$ -finite, so  $E$  is  $\lambda$   $\sigma$ -finite if  $E \subset B'$ . By Lemma 5, if  $E \in M_\lambda$ ,  $E \subset B'$  we have

$$\lambda(E) = \sup\{\lambda(F) | F \text{ is compact, } F \subset E\} = \sup\{0\} = 0$$

since each compact subset  $F \subset E$  is countable.

Corollary: By Theorem 1 and Theorem 5,  $(B, M_\lambda^+, \lambda^+)$  is a measure space.

Remark: There are many other methods to construct a non Lebesgue measurable subset of  $R$ . for example, by using the concept of equivalence class ([2]).

### REFERENCES

1. E. Hewitt & K. Stromberg: Real and Abstract Analysis (1965)
2. W. Rudin: Real and Complex analysis.
3. Paul R. Halmos: Measure Theory.