

廣義高氏測度之垂直與對等

## A Dichotomy Theorem for Generalized Gaussian Measures

章金昌 Kim-Chheng Ouy

Department of Applied Mathematics, N.C.T.U.

(Received August 16, 1976)

ABSTRACT — Let  $\{X_t | t \in T\}$  be a stochastic process defined on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two measures induced by  $\{X_t | t \in T\}$ . It is known [3] that if both  $P$  and  $Q$  are Gaussian, then  $P$  and  $Q$  are either perpendicular or equivalent. It will be shown that under certain conditions, such a dichotomy can be extended to the case where  $P$  and  $Q$  are generalized Gaussian.

### I. Generalized Gaussian Stochastic Processes and Generalized Gaussian Measures

A random variable  $X$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable if and only if there exists a nonnegative real number  $\alpha$  such that for each real number  $t$ ,

$$E(e^{tX}) \leq e^{\alpha^2 t^2 / 2} \quad (1)$$

The minimum of those  $\alpha$ 's satisfying (1) will be denoted by  $\tau(X)$ .

It follows from the definition that if  $X$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable, so is  $aX$  for all real number  $a$ .

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are generalized Gaussian random variables, then by the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwartz (C.B.S.) inequality,  $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable with

$$E(e^{tX}) \leq \exp[2^{n-1}(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2)t^2/2] \quad (2)$$

where the  $\alpha_i$ 's satisfy (1).

If  $X$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable satisfying (1), then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  [1]

$$P(|X| > \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp(-\epsilon^2 / 2\alpha^2) \quad (3)$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space,  $T$  a closed interval of real numbers. Let  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  be a stochastic process defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . For each finite subset  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  of  $T$ , an  $n$ -dimensional probability distribution

$$F_n[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] = P_n[X(t_1) \leq x_1, X(t_2) \leq x_2, \dots,$$

$$X(t_n) \leq X_n]$$

may be defined arbitrarily. If these finite dimensional distributions are subject to the following consistency conditions:

- a. Symmetry: for every permutation  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$  of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n [x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_n}; t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_n}] \\ &= F_n [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \end{aligned}$$

- b. Compatibility: for  $m < n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \infty, \dots, \infty; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \\ &= F_m [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m; t_1, \dots, t_m], \end{aligned}$$

then we may extend the  $P_n$ 's to a unique probability measure  $P$  defined on  $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F})$  such that

$$P[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_n) \leq x_n] = P_n[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_n) \leq x_n]$$

for any subset  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  of  $T$ .

Thus given any stochastic process, we may define this process in terms of its finite dimensional distributions, subject, of course, to the two consistency conditions mentioned above. For example, a Gaussian process is defined in this manner. Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between a subclass of probability distribution functions and moment generating functions (real Laplace Transforms), some stochastic processes can also be defined in terms of moment generating functions.

*Definition 1.* An  $n$ -dimensional random vector is an  $n$ -dimensional generalized Gaussian random vector if given any non-zero vector  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  of real numbers,  $a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + \dots + a_n X_n$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable.

*Definition 2.* A stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a generalized Gaussian process if and only if each finite subfamily

$[X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n)]$  of  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is generalized Gaussian random vector.

It is clear from (2) that  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a generalized Gaussian process if and only if each  $X(t)$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable. Hence

*Definition 2'.* A stochastic process is generalized Gaussian if and only if each  $X(t)$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable.

Examples:

- a) Every Gaussian process with zero mean is generalized Gaussian. b) If

$\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a stochastic process such that  $E[X(t)] = 0$  and  $|X(t)| \leq M(t) < \infty$  for each  $t \in T$ , then  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is generalized Gaussian.

*Definition 3.* The measure extending the finite dimensional distributions of a generalized Gaussian process is called a generalized Gaussian measure.

By what precedes, any stochastic process defined on a measurable space  $(\Omega, F)$  may induce a generalized Gaussian measure on  $(\Omega, F)$ , if each  $X_t$  is generalized Gaussian.

## II. Continuity in Probability and Separability of Stochastic Processes

Let  $T$  be a closed interval and  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  be a stochastic process defined on a measurable space  $(\Omega, F)$ . We may assume that  $F$  is the complete Borel field generated by  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures defined on  $(\Omega, F)$  with respect to which the stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a Brownian motion. It is known that  $P$  and  $Q$  are either equivalent: for  $A \in F$ ,  $P(A) = 0$  if and only if  $Q(A) = 0$ ; or mutually perpendicular: for some  $A \in F$ ,  $P(A) = 0 = Q(A')$  ( $'$  denotes complement).

If we look at Brownian motions with zero mean, we find that they possess the following features:

1. separability,
2. continuity in probability,
3.  $E[\exp(tX(s))] \leq \exp(\sigma^2(s)t^2/2)$ .

It will be seen that an extension to generalized Gaussian measure of the previous results concerning the equivalence of two Gaussian measures is possible if  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a stochastic process possessing properties 1, 2, and 3.

*Definition 4 (Separability).* Let  $A$  be the class of all closed intervals (finite or infinite). A stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  will be called separable relative to  $A$  if there is a countable subset  $T_1$  of  $T$  such that for each open interval  $I$  and each  $A \in A$ ,

$$\{X(t) \in A, t \in I \cap T\} = \{X(t_i) \in A, t_i \in I \cap T_1\} \cup N \tag{4}$$

with  $P(N) = 0$ .

*Definition 5 (Continuity in Probability).* A stochastic process is said to be continuous in probability if for every sequence

$$\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq T, \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = t, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X(s_n) = X(t) \text{ in probability.}$$

Since Brownian motions have a continuous sample path a.s.  $s_n \rightarrow t$  implies  $X(s_n) \rightarrow X(t)$  a.s. Thus Brownian motions are continuous in probability.

*Proposition 1.* If there is a countable subset  $T_1 \subset T$  such that for all open intervals  $I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{g.l.b. } X(t) &= \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) & \text{a.s.} \\ t \in IT & \quad t \in IT_1 \\ \\ \text{l.u.b. } X(t) &= \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) & \text{a.s.} \\ t \in IT & \quad t \in IT_1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

then  $X(t)$  is separable.

*Proof:* Let  $A = [\alpha, \beta]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \{X(t_i) \in A, t_i \in IT_1\} &= \{\alpha \leq X(t_i) \leq \beta, t_i \in IT_1\} \\ &= \{\text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) \geq \alpha\} \cap \{\text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) \leq \beta\} \\ & \quad t_i \in IT_1 \quad t_i \in IT_1 \\ &= \left\{ \left\{ \text{g.l.b. } X(t) \geq \alpha \right\} \cup N_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \left\{ \text{l.u.b. } X(t) \leq \beta \right\} \cup N_2 \right\} \\ & \quad t \in IT \quad t \in IT \\ &= \left\{ \left\{ \text{g.l.b. } X(t) \geq \alpha \right\} \cap \left\{ \text{l.u.b. } X(t) \leq \beta \right\} \right\} \cup N \\ & \quad t \in IT \quad t \in IT \\ &= \{X(t) \in A, t \in IT\} \cup N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= \left\{ \left\{ \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) \neq \text{g.l.b. } X(t) \right\} \cap \left\{ \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) \geq \alpha \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad t_i \in IT_1 \quad t \in IT \quad t \in IT_1 \\ N_2 &= \left\{ \left\{ \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) \neq \text{l.u.b. } X(t) \right\} \cap \left\{ \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) \leq \beta \right\} \right\} \\ & \quad t_i \in IT_1 \quad t \in IT \quad t_i \in IT_1 \end{aligned}$$

the changes regarding null sets  $N_1, N_2, N$  are obtained by using the fact that

$$(A \cup B) \cap (C \cup D) = (A \cap C) \cup [(B \cap C) \cup (A \cap D) \cup (B \cap D)].$$

By completeness of  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $P(N) = 0$ . Thus  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is separable.

**COROLLARY.** If  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a Brownian motion, then it is separable.

*Proof:* Let  $T_1$  be a countable dense subset of  $T$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) &= \text{g.l.b. } X(t) & \text{a.s.} \\ t_i \in IT_1 & \quad t \in IT \\ \\ \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) &= \text{l.u.b. } X(t) & \text{a.s.} \\ t_i \in IT_1 & \quad t \in IT \end{aligned}$$

since Brownian motions have continuous sample path a.s.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  be a continuous in probability, separable stochastic process. If  $T_1$  is any countable dense subset  $T$ , then  $T_1$  satisfies the separability condition (4).

*Proof:* By Proposition 2, it suffices to show that  $T_1$  satisfies (5).

For each  $t \in T$ , there is a sequence  $\{t_i\}$  from  $T_1$  such that  $t_i \rightarrow t$ . By continuity in probability,  $\lim_{t_i \rightarrow t} X(t_i) = X(t)$  in probability. There is a subsequence  $\{s_k\}$  such that  $\lim_{s_k \rightarrow t} X(s_k) = X(t)$  a.s. .

For each open interval  $I$  and each  $t \in IT$ , there is a sequence  $S = \{s_k\}$  from  $T_1$  such that

$$\text{g.l.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) < \text{g.l.b.}_{s_k \in IS} X(s_k) < \text{g.l.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k)$$

and

$$\text{l.u.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) > \text{l.u.b.}_{s_k \in IS} X(s_k) > \text{l.u.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k)$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence

$$\text{g.l.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{g.l.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k)$$

$$\text{l.u.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.u.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k)$$

But  $\lim_{s_k \rightarrow t} X(s_k) = X(t)$  a.s., so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{g.l.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k) = X(t) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{l.u.b.}_{|s_k - t| < 1/n} X(s_k) = X(t) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Thus  $\text{g.l.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) \leq X(t)$  a.s. and  $\text{l.u.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) \geq X(t)$  a.s. .

This being true for each  $t \in IT$ , we have

$$\text{g.l.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) \leq \text{g.l.b.}_{t \in IT} X(t) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\text{l.u.b.}_{t_i \in IT_1} X(t_i) \geq \text{l.u.b.}_{t \in IT} X(t) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Since the inequalities going in the opposite directions are obvious, the result follows.

Now, let  $T_1$  be a countable dense subset of  $T$ . Consider the stochastic process  $\{X(t_i), t_i \in T_1\}$ . Let  $F_1$  be the complete Borel field generated by  $\{X(t_i), t_i \in T_1\}$ . Define the finite dimensional distributions  $P_1^n$  of  $\{X(t_i), t_i \in T_1\}$  by

$$P_1^n[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_n) \leq x_n] = P[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_n) \leq x_n] \quad .$$

Let  $P_1$  be the extension of the  $P_1^n$ 's. We thus obtain a probability space  $(\Omega, F_1, P_1)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** If  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is separable and continuous in probability, then  $(\Omega, F_1, P_1) = (\Omega, F, P)$ .

*Proof:*

(i)  $F_1 = F$ : Since  $T_1$  is dense in  $T$ , for each  $t \in T$  there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\} \subseteq T_1$ , such that  $t_k \rightarrow t$ . Since  $X(t)$  is continuous in probability,  $X(t_k) \rightarrow X(t)$  in probability. Hence, there exists a subsequence  $t_{n_k}$  such that  $X(t_{n_k}) \rightarrow X(t)$  a.s. since  $X(t_{n_k})$  is  $F_1$ -measurable,  $X(t)$  is also  $F_1$ -measurable. Hence  $F \subseteq F_1$ , but  $F_1 \subseteq F$ , so  $F_1 = F$ .

(ii)  $P = P_1$ : Since  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is continuous in probability, for  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq T$ , and sequences  $\{s_n^1\}, \{s_n^2\}, \dots, \{s_n^k\}$  from  $T_1$ , converging to  $t_1, \dots, t_k$ , we have  $[X(s_n^1), X(s_n^2), \dots, X(s_n^k)] \rightarrow [X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_k)]$  a.s.  $P$ . (Take subsequences if necessary.)

This implies convergence in distribution; i.e., for

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) &= P_1[X(s_n^1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(s_n^k) \leq x_k] \\ &= P[X(s_n^1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(s_n^k) \leq x_k] \end{aligned}$$

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = P[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_k) \leq x_k].$$

$$P_1[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_k) \leq x_k] = P[X(t_1) \leq x_1, \dots, X(t_k) \leq x_k]$$

if  $[X(s_n^1), \dots, X(s_n^k)] \rightarrow [X(t_1), \dots, X(t_k)]$  a.s.  $P_1$ . The set of convergence of  $[X(s_n^1), X(s_n^2), \dots, X(s_n^k)]$  is

$$C = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n'=n+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \max_{n \leq j \leq n'} |X(s_n^i) - X(s_{n'}^i)| < 1/m, 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}; \text{ say, } C = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n'=n+1}^{\infty} V(m, n, n').$$

$$P_1(C) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1[V(m, n, n')]$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[V(m, n, n')] = 1.$$

Hence  $[X(s_n^1), X(s_n^2), \dots, X(s_n^k)] \rightarrow [X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_k)]$  a.s.  $P_1$ . Since  $P = P_1$  on the field generating  $F$ ,  $P = P_1$ .

### III. Stochastic Processes of Function Space Type

Let  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  be a real stochastic process defined on an arbitrary probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$ .  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  may be considered as a subset of  $R^T$ , the set of all real-valued functions defined on  $T$ . Let  $F_0$  be the family of subsets of  $R^T$  of the form

$$\{f \in R^T : [f(t_1), f(t_2), \dots, f(t_n)] \in A\}$$

where  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T$ , and  $A$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Borel set. It is easy to verify that  $F_0$  is a field. On  $F_0$ , define a probability measure  $P_0$  as follows:

$$P_0\{f \in R^T : [f(t_1), f(t_2), \dots, f(t_n)] \in A\} = P[(X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n)) \in A].$$

Let  $\tilde{F}$  be the smallest Borel field containing  $F_0$ . Then there exists a unique extension of  $P_0$  to a probability measure  $\tilde{P}$  defined on  $\tilde{F}$ . On  $R^T \times T$ , let there be defined a function  $\tilde{X}$  by  $\tilde{X}(f, t) = f(t)$ . For each  $t \in T$ ,  $X(f, t)$  is a random variable defined on  $(R^T, \tilde{F}, \tilde{P})$ , since if  $A$  is any Borel set,  $\{f: \tilde{X}(f, t) \in A\} = \{f: f(t) \in A\} \in F_0$ . Hence  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$  is a stochastic process defined on  $(R^T, \tilde{F}, \tilde{P})$ . The stochastic process  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$  so defined is called a stochastic process of function space type.

By what precedes, a stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  defined on an arbitrary probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$  induces a probability measure  $\tilde{P}$  defined on  $(R^T, \tilde{F})$  and a stochastic process  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$ . The induced probability measure  $P$  and stochastic process  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$  inherit some characteristics of the measure  $P$  and the stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ :

1. If  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is a generalized Gaussian process, so is  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$ .

*Proof:* It suffices to show that for each  $t \in T$ ,  $\tilde{X}(t)$  is a generalized Gaussian random variable.

$$\text{Let } A_{mn} = \{\omega: n/2^m \leq X(\omega, t) < (n+1)/2^m\}$$

$$\tilde{A}_{mn} = \{f: n/2^m \leq \tilde{X}(f, t) < (n+1)/2^m\}$$

$$m=1, 2, \dots; n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Let  $X_m(\omega, t) = n/2^m$  if  $\omega \in A_{mn}$ ;  $\tilde{X}_m(f, t) = n/2^m$  if  $f \in \tilde{A}_{mn}$ . Then  $X_m(t) \rightarrow X(t)$  a.s.  $P$ ,

and  $\tilde{X}_m(t) \rightarrow \tilde{X}(t)$  a.s.  $\tilde{P}$ . Thus for each  $s \in R$ ,  $e^{sX_m(t)} \rightarrow e^{sX(t)}$  a.s.  $P$  and  $e^{s\tilde{X}_m(t)} \rightarrow e^{s\tilde{X}(t)}$  a.s.  $\tilde{P}$ .

$\rightarrow e^{s\tilde{X}(t)}$  a.s.  $\tilde{P}$ .

$$E[\exp[sX_m(t)]] = \sum e^{sn/2^m} P[n/2^m \leq X(t) < (n+1)/2^m]$$

$$E[\exp[s\tilde{X}_m(t)]] = \sum e^{sn/2^m} \tilde{P}[n/2^m \leq \tilde{X}(t) < (n+1)/2^m]$$

Since  $P[n/2^m \leq X(t) < (n+1)/2^m] = \tilde{P}[n/2^m \leq \tilde{X}(t) < (n+1)/2^m]$

$$E[\exp[sX_m(t)]] = E[\exp[s\tilde{X}_m(t)]]$$

For  $s > 0$ ,  $e^{sX_m(t)} \uparrow e^{sX(t)}$ . So  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E[\exp[sX_m(t)]] = E[\exp[sX(t)]]$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E[\exp[s\tilde{X}_m(t)]] = E[\exp[s\tilde{X}(t)]]$ . So  $E[\exp[s\tilde{X}(t)]] = E[\exp[sX(t)]] \leq \exp$

$[s^2 \alpha^2(t)/2]$ .

For  $s < 0$ . Let  $X'_m(t) = (n+1)/2^m$  if  $\omega \in A_{mn}$ ;  $\tilde{X}'(f, t) = (n+1)/2^m$  if  $f \in \tilde{A}_{mn}$ . Then  $\exp[sX'_m(t)] + \exp[s\tilde{X}'(t)]$ . By an argument similar to that above

$$E[\exp[sX(t)]] = E[\exp[s\tilde{X}(t)]] \leq \exp[s^2 \alpha^2(t)/2]$$

2. For each  $t \in T$ ,  $E[X(t)] = E[\tilde{X}(t)]$ .

The proof of this is similar to that in 1.

3.  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  and  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$  have the same covariance function.

*Proof:* First, consider the stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  such that  $X(t) = X_{A_t}$ ,  $A_t \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $t \in T$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(s)X(t)] &= P[X(s)=1, X(t)=1] \\ &= \tilde{P}[f: f(s)=1, f(t)=1] \\ &= \tilde{P}[\tilde{X}(s)=1, \tilde{X}(t)=1] \end{aligned}$$

Since for each  $t \in T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= P[X(t)=1] + P[X(t)=0] = \tilde{P}[\tilde{X}(t)=1] + \tilde{P}[\tilde{X}(t)=0], \\ \tilde{P}[\tilde{X}(t)=1, \tilde{X}(s)=1] &= E[\tilde{X}(s)\tilde{X}(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E[X(s)X(t)] = E[\tilde{X}(s)\tilde{X}(t)].$$

If each  $X(t)$  is a simple function, i.e.  $X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} a_i(t) X_{A_{t_i}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[X(s)X(t)] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sum_{j=1}^{n_t} a_i(s) a_j(t) P[X(s)=a_i(s), X(t)=a_j(t)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sum_{j=1}^{n_t} a_i(s) a_j(t) \tilde{P}[\tilde{X}(s)=a_i(s), \tilde{X}(t)=a_j(t)] \\ &= E[\tilde{X}(s)\tilde{X}(t)] \end{aligned}$$

For the general case, let  $\{X_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of simple functions such that  $X_n(t) \uparrow X(t)$  for each  $t \in T$ . To each sequence  $\{X_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  corresponds a sequence of simple functions  $\{\tilde{X}_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$E[X_n(s)X_n(t)] = E[\tilde{X}_n(s)\tilde{X}_n(t)]$$

To prove the assertion, it remains to show that  $\tilde{X}_n(t) \uparrow \tilde{X}(t)$  in probability  $\tilde{P}$  for each  $t \in T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P[|\tilde{X}_n(t) - \tilde{X}(t)| < \epsilon] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} P(a_i - \epsilon < \tilde{X}(t) < a_i + \epsilon) P(\tilde{X}_n(t) = a_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} P(a_i - \epsilon < X(t) < a_i + \epsilon) P(X_n(t) = a_i) \\ &= P[|X_n(t) - X(t)| < \epsilon] \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $E[X(s)X(t)] = E[\tilde{X}(s)\tilde{X}(t)]$ .

4. If  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is continuous in probability, so is  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$ .

*Proof:* Assume  $t_n \rightarrow t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } \tilde{P}[f: |X(f,t) - X(f,t_n)| > \epsilon] &= \tilde{P}[f: |f(t) - f(t_n)| > \epsilon] \\ &= P[|X(t) - X(t_n)| > \epsilon]. \end{aligned}$$

$X(t_n) \rightarrow X(t)$  in probability implies  $\tilde{X}(t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{X}(t)$  in probability.

5. If  $P$  and  $Q$  are two probability measures induced by the stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ , and  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  are two probability measures induced on the path space  $(R^T, \tilde{F})$  by  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively; then the equivalence of  $P$  and  $Q$  implies the equivalence of  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  and vice versa.

*Proof:* Let  $A = \{A \in \tilde{F}, \tilde{P}(A) = 0\}$ ,  
 $B = \{A \in \tilde{F}, \tilde{P}(A) \neq 0\}$ .

Define a set function  $Q'$  on  $\tilde{F}$ , by  $Q'(A) = 0$  if  $A \in A$ ,  $Q'(A) = Q(A)$  if  $A \in B$ ,  $Q'(A \cup B) = Q(B)$  if  $A \in A, B \in B$ . It is easy to verify that  $Q'$  is a probability measure.

Since  $P$  and  $Q$  are equivalent,  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  have the same null sets in  $F_0$ . Hence  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $Q'$  agree on  $F_0$  which generates  $\tilde{F}$ . So,  $\tilde{Q} = Q'$ .

The reverse implication is proved by interchanging the role of  $P, Q$  and  $\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}$ .

*Remark:* Since separability is characterized by the Borel fields, and the Borel field  $\tilde{F}$  is constructed independently of the Borel field  $F$ , separability of  $(\Omega, F, P)$  does not carry over to  $(R^T, \tilde{F}, \tilde{P})$ . However  $(R^T, F, \tilde{P})$  can be replaced by  $(R^T, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{P}_1)$  by enlarging the Borel field to make  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  separable with respect to the new probability space  $(R^T, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{P}_1)$ .

To obtain  $(R^T, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{P}_1)$ , let  $C$  be a subset of  $R^T$  with outer measure 1 relative to  $\tilde{F}$ , i.e.

$$\tilde{P}^*(C) = \inf \{ \tilde{P}(A); C \subseteq A, A \in \tilde{F} \} = 1$$

Let  $\tilde{F}_1$  be the family of subsets of  $R^T$  of the form:

$$(A_1 \cap C) \cup (A_2 \cap C'), \quad A_1, A_2 \in \tilde{F}.$$

On  $\tilde{F}_1$ , define a set function  $\tilde{P}_1$  by

$$\tilde{P}_1(A) = \tilde{P}[(A_1 \cap C) \cup (A_2 \cap C')] = \tilde{P}(A_1)$$

It is easy to verify that  $\tilde{P}_1$  is a probability measure on  $\tilde{F}_1$ , and agrees with  $\tilde{P}$  on  $\tilde{F}$ .

*Proposition 3.* With  $(R^T, \tilde{F}, \tilde{P})$  replaced by  $(R^T, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{P}_1)$ ,  $\{\tilde{X}(t), t \in T\}$  is separable (with respect to closed sets) depending on a proper choice of  $C$ .

*Proof:* Let  $I$  be an open interval, and  $S$  the family of all possible se-

quences from  $I \cap T$ . Let  $\{t_i(I)\}$  be a countable subset of  $I \cap T$ , such that

$$\int_S \text{l.u.b.}_{R^T} \tan^{-1} \int_{s_i \in IT} \text{l.u.b. } X(s_i) dP = \int_{R^T} \tan^{-1} \int_{t_i \in IT} \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) dP$$

$$\int_S \text{g.l.b.}_{R^T} \tan^{-1} \int_{s_i \in IT} \text{g.l.b. } X(s_i) dP = \int_{R^T} \tan^{-1} \int_{t_i \in IT} \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) dP$$

Then for all  $\{s_i\} \in S$ ,

$$\int_i \text{l.u.b. } X(s_i) \leq \int_i \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i(I))$$

$$\int_i \text{g.l.b. } X(s_i) \leq \int_i \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i(I))$$

Now let  $I$  be the family of all open intervals with rational end-points. Let  $\{t_i\} = \bigcup_{I \in I} t_i(I)$ . Then for each  $t \in T$ ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon > 0} \int_i \text{g.l.b. } X(t_i) \leq X(t) \leq \lim_{\epsilon > 0} \int_i \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i) \tag{6}$$

a.s. P.

Let  $C$  be the set of all functions in  $R^T$  such that (6) is satisfied simultaneously for all  $t \in T$ . Then if  $X(t) = f(t) \in C$ ,

$$\int_{t \in IT} \text{l.u.b. } X(t) = \int_{t_i \in IT} \text{l.u.b. } X(t_i)$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that  $\tilde{P}^*(C) = 1$ . Let  $B$  be any arbitrary set in  $\tilde{F}$ , which is a finite or countable union of sets from  $\tilde{F}_0$ , and contains  $C$ . If  $\tilde{P}(B) = 1$ , then  $\tilde{P}^*(C) = 1$ . Let

$$B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{f \in R^T : a_i < f(t_i) < b_i\}$$

and assume that  $C \subset B$ . Let  $B_0$  be a subset of  $B$  such that (6) is satisfied.

Since the set of functions such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon > 0} \int_i \text{g.l.b. } f(t_i) \leq f(t_i) \leq \lim_{\epsilon > 0} \int_i \text{l.u.b. } f(t_i)$$

has probability one,  $\tilde{P}(B_0) = 1$ . Hence  $\tilde{P}(B) = 1$ .

By what precedes, if a stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  defined on an arbitrary measurable space  $(\Omega, F)$  is generalized Gaussian, separable, and continuous in probability with respect to both measure space  $(\Omega, F, P)$  and  $(\Omega, F, Q)$  induced by  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ , the equivalence of  $P$  and  $Q$  is equivalent to the equivalence of  $\tilde{P}$  and  $\tilde{Q}$ , probability measures induced by  $P$  and  $Q$ , respectively, on the path space  $R^T$ . So from now on we may assume that  $(\Omega, F, P) = (R^T, \tilde{F}, \tilde{P})$ .

IV. A Dichotomy Theorem for Generalized Gaussian Measures

THEOREM 2. Let  $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of independent generalized Gaussian random variables such that  $\sup_k \tau(X_k) = \alpha < \infty$ . Let  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of uniformly bounded real valued functions defined on a closed interval  $T$ , and  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2/n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $Y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k(t) X_k / \sqrt{n}$  converges a.s. to a stochastic process  $\{Y(t), t \in T\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\{Y(t), t \in T\}$  is a Gaussian process.

Proof: First, we show that  $Y_n(t) \rightarrow Y(t)$  a.s. for each  $t \in T$ . For each  $k$ , each  $n$  and each  $t$ ,  $a_k \phi_k(t) X_k / \sqrt{n}$  is generalized Gaussian with

$$E[\exp(s a_k \phi_k(t) X_k / \sqrt{n})] \leq \exp(s^2 a_k^2 M^2 / 2n)$$

where  $M$  is the bound for  $\phi_k$ . Since the convergence set of the sequence  $Y_n(t)$  is  $C = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \bigcap_{j=n+1}^\infty \{|Y_n(t) - Y_j(t)| \leq 1/m\}$ , it suffices to show that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{|Y_n(t) - Y_j(t)| \leq 1/m\} = 1$ . Now for  $j > n$ ,

$$Y_j(t) - Y_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k / \sqrt{j} - a_k / \sqrt{n}) \phi_k(t) X_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^j (a_k / \sqrt{j}) \phi_k(t) X_k$$

and  $E[\exp(s(Y_j(t) - Y_n(t)))] \leq \exp(s^2 \alpha^2 M^2 A_{nj} / 2)$  where

$$A_{nj} = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k / \sqrt{j} - a_k / \sqrt{n})^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^j a_k^2 / j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 / j + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 / n - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 / \sqrt{nj}$$

Hence  $P\{|Y_j(t) - Y_n(t)| \geq 1/m\} \leq \exp(-1/2m^2 \alpha^2 M^2 A_{nj})$  for all  $j, n$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{nj} = 0$ , the assertion follows.

To show that  $\{Y(t), t \in T\}$  is a Gaussian process, we must show that if  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  are real numbers and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T$ ,  $c_1 Y(t_1) + c_2 Y(t_2) + \dots + c_n Y(t_n)$  is a Gaussian random variable.

Let  $Z_m = c_1 \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \phi_k(t_1) X_k + c_2 \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \phi_k(t_2) X_k + \dots + c_n \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \phi_k(t_n) X_k$   
 $= \sum_{k=1}^m a_k [c_1 \phi_k(t_1) + c_2 \phi_k(t_2) + \dots + c_n \phi_k(t_n)] X_k$

Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Z_m / \sqrt{m} = c_1 Y(t_1) + c_2 Y(t_2) + \dots + c_n Y(t_n)$ . So, it suffices to show that

the limit distribution of  $Z_m / \sqrt{m}$  is normal. But this is the case if  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |a_k [c_1 \phi_k(t_1) + c_2 \phi_k(t_2) + \dots + c_n \phi_k(t_n)] X_k / \sqrt{m}| \rightarrow 0$  in probability ([2] p. 316).

Let  $A_k = c_1 \phi_k(t_1) + c_2 \phi_k(t_2) + \dots + c_n \phi_k(t_n)$ ,  $C = |c_1| + |c_2| + \dots + |c_n|$ . Then  $|A_k| \leq CM$  for

all  $k$ . Hence, with  $D=C^2M^2\alpha^2$ ,

$$E[\exp(ta_k A_k X_k / \sqrt{m})] \leq \exp(t^2 a_k^2 A_k^2 \alpha^2 / 2m) \leq \exp(t^2 a_k^2 D^2 / 2m)$$

So, 
$$P(|a_k A_k X_k / \sqrt{m}| > \epsilon) \leq \exp(-\epsilon^2 m / 2D^2 a_k^2) \leq \exp(-\epsilon^2 m / 2D^2 \sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2)$$

Now 
$$P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |a_k A_k X_k / \sqrt{m}| \leq \epsilon) = P(|a_1 A_1 X_1 / \sqrt{m}| \leq \epsilon, |a_2 A_2 X_2 / \sqrt{m}| \leq \epsilon, \dots,$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_m A_m X_m / \sqrt{m}| \leq \epsilon) &= \prod_{k=1}^m P(|a_k A_k X_k / \sqrt{m}| \leq \epsilon) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - P(|a_k A_k X_k / \sqrt{m}| > \epsilon)) \\ &\geq (1 - \exp(-\epsilon^2 m / 2D^2 \sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2))^m \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  be a stochastic process defined on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures induced by  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ . We make the following assumptions:

- (1)  $P$  and  $Q$  are generalized Gaussian;
- (2)  $\sup_t \tau_P(t) < \infty$  and  $\sup_t \tau_Q(t) < \infty$ , where  $\tau_P(t)$  and  $\tau_Q(t)$  are the minimums of those  $\alpha_P(t)$  and  $\alpha_Q(t)$  such that  $E_P[\exp(sX(t))] \leq \exp(\alpha_P^2(t)s^2/2)$  and  $E_Q[\exp(sX(t))] \leq \exp(\alpha_Q^2(t)s^2/2)$ .
- (3) There exists a countable dense subset  $S = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $T$  such that  $\{X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of independent random variables with respect to both  $P$  and  $Q$ .
- (4)  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  is separable and continuous in probability with respect to both  $P$  and  $Q$ .

Then by assumption 4 and theorem 16, if  $S$  is a countable dense subset of  $T$ , the probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, Q_1)$  generated by  $\{X(s), s \in S\}$  are the same as  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q)$  respectively. Let  $S = \{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $Y_k = X(t_k)$ . Then by assumption 3,  $S$  may be so chosen that  $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of independent random variables. From the previous theorem we obtain:

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 / n \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of uniformly bounded functions defined on  $T$ . Then under the assumptions 1-4,  $Z(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k(t) Y_k / \sqrt{n}$  is a Gaussian process defined on both probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q)$ .

Now let  $B$  be the set of all sequences of real numbers such  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k^2 / n < \infty$ . Let  $C$  be the set of all sequences of uniformly bounded real valued functions defined on  $T$ . Let  $A = B \times C$ . Then by Theorem 3  $\{X(t), t \in T\}$  defines a map from  $A$  into the set of all Gaussian process defined on both probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q)$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  be the Borel field generated by the Gaussian process  $Z_\alpha(t)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ , and

$$N_P = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : P(A) = 0\}$$

$$N_Q = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : Q(A) = 0\}$$

$$M_P = \{A \in \mathcal{F}' : P(A) = 0\}$$

$$M_Q = \{A \in \mathcal{F}' : Q(A) = 0\}.$$

In addition to assumptions 1-4, we assume

$$(5) N_P = M_P \text{ and } N_Q = M_Q.$$

**THEOREM 4 (Dichotomy theorem).** Under the assumptions 1-5, P and Q are either equivalent or perpendicular.

*Proof:* Let  $P_\alpha = P|_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ , and  $Q_\alpha = Q|_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ . Then by the dichotomy theorem for Gaussian measures  $P_\alpha$  and  $Q_\alpha$  are either equivalent or perpendicular. If for some  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $P_\alpha$  and  $Q_\alpha$  are perpendicular, then P and Q are obviously perpendicular. Suppose  $P_\alpha$  and  $Q_\alpha$  are equivalent for all  $\alpha \in A$ , then if  $P(A) = 0$ , there is an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $P_\alpha(A) = 0$  and so  $Q_\alpha(A) = 0$ . Assumption 5 implies that  $Q(A) = 0$ . Hence  $P(A) = 0 \Rightarrow Q(A) = 0$ . Similarly  $Q(A) = 0 \Rightarrow P(A) = 0$ .

### References

1. Chow, Y. S., "Some convergence theorems for independent random variables", Ann. Math. Stat., 1482-1493 (1966).
2. Loeve, M. Probability Theory Van Nostrand (1963).
3. Feldman, J. "Equivalence and perpendicularity of Gaussian processes", Pacific J. Math. 699-708 (1958).