# **High-power Q-switched laser with high-order Laguerre–Gaussian modes: application for extra-cavity harmonic generations**

**Y.J. Huang · P.Y. Chiang · H.C. Liang · K.W. Su · Y.F. Chen**

Received: 14 January 2011 / Revised version: 14 February 2011 / Published online: 15 April 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

**Abstract** We present a compact efficient scheme for generation of high-order Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> modes in a diode-pumped actively Q-switched Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> laser. The resonator is composed of two plane mirrors with an intracavity concave lens to expand the cavity mode size for generating a high-power Laguerre–Gaussian TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode. We further confirm that the TEM<sub>5.0</sub> mode is noticeably superior to the TEM0*,*<sup>0</sup> mode in the processes of second and third harmonic generations.

## **1 Introduction**

In recent years, ultraviolet (UV) light sources have rapidly attracted a lot of interest because they are useful in numerous applications such as rapid prototyping, laser printing, laser processing, spectroscopy, optical data storage, medical treatment and so on. Compared with other UV lasers, diode-pumped all-solid-state lasers with extra-cavity nonlinear frequency conversion intrinsically possess advantages of smaller focused size, higher efficiency, longer life time, higher stability, easier implementation and smaller system size, etc. [\[1](#page-5-0), [2](#page-5-1)]. Currently, the fundamental Gaussian modes are usually used in the processes of second and third harmonic generations (SHG and THG) because of the good spatial property [[3,](#page-5-2) [4\]](#page-5-3).

In cylindrical coordinates, the transverse modes can be expressed in terms of Laguerre–Gaussian modes with the la-

In this work, we originally design a compact threeelement resonator to excite high-order Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> modes in a diode-pumped actively Q-switched Nd:YVO4 laser. We use flat-flat cavity with an intra-cavity concave lens to expand the cavity mode size for efficiently generating the Laguerre–Gaussian TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode with the output power up to 8.52 W at a pulse repetition rate of 40 kHz. We experimentally confirm that the conversion efficiencies in the processes of SHG and THG obtained with the TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode are noticeably higher than the results obtained with the  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode. The optical-to-optical conversion efficiencies from 1064 nm to 355 nm are found to be 35.6% and 28.1% for the TEM<sub>5,0</sub> mode and TEM<sub>0,0</sub> mode, respectively.

#### **2 Cavity configuration and analysis**

The radial intensity of the Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,l* modes is given by

bel of TEM $_{p,l}$ , where p and l represent the number of radial nodes and azimuthal nodes, respectively. In the past years, several investigations have been carried out to generate lowand higher-order TEM<sub>p,l</sub> modes in solid-state lasers  $[5-11]$  $[5-11]$ . Among these studies, TEM<sub>p,l</sub> modes with  $p \neq 0$  and  $l = 0$ have been demonstrated [[5,](#page-5-4) [12](#page-5-6)] to be similar to the Bessellike beam that possesses a central peak with a divergence considerably lower than that of the Gaussian beam with the same waist [[13–](#page-5-7)[17\]](#page-5-8). Bessel-like modes have already been utilized as light sources in lithographic patterning, laser machining, and nonlinear optics [[18–](#page-5-9)[22\]](#page-5-10). However, so far highorder Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> modes have not been employed in processes of SHG and THG.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Schematic of the cavity setup for a diode-pumped AO Q-switched Nd:YVO4 laser



$$
I_{p,l}(\rho, z) = \frac{2p!}{\pi (p + |l|)!} \frac{1}{w^2(z)} \left(\frac{2\rho^2}{w(z)^2}\right)^l L_p^l\left(\frac{2\rho^2}{w(z)^2}\right)
$$

$$
\times \exp\left[-\frac{2\rho^2}{w(z)^2}\right],
$$
(1)

where  $w(z) = w_0 \sqrt{1 + (z/z_R)^2}$ ,  $w_0$  is the beam radius at the waist, and  $z_R = \pi w_0^2 / \lambda$  is the Rayleigh range, and  $L_p^l(\cdot)$ are the associated Laguerre polynomials. Flood et al. [[5\]](#page-5-4) demonstrated that high-order Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> modes could be generated in an end-pumped solid-state laser when the pump spot size  $\omega_p$  was considerably smaller than the fundamental TEM<sub>0,0</sub>-mode spot size  $\omega_0$  of the cavity, i.e.,  $\omega_o/\omega_p \gg 1$ . Although the pump beams can be tightly focused to reach the criterion of  $\omega_o/\omega_p \gg 1$ , the tight focusing of pump beam inevitably causes strong thermal effects such as thermal lensing, thermal fracture, etc., leading to the obstruction for the power scale-up. Therefore, a practical way is to expand the cavity mode size without tight focusing of the pump beam. Here, we design a compact efficient three-element resonator, consisting of a flat front mirror, a concave lens and a flat output coupler, to obtain large fundamental mode sizes.

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the configuration of the three-element cavity for an end-pumped acousto-optic (AO) solid-state laser, where  $L_1$  is the distance between the front mirror and the concave lens,  $L_2$  is the distance between the concave lens and the flat output coupler, and *f* is the focal length of the concave lens. The flat front mirror was antireflection (AR) coated at 808 nm on the entrance face and was coated at 808 nm for high transmission as well as 1064 nm for high reflection (HR) on the second surface. The gain medium was a 0.1 at.%  $Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> crystal with dimensions$ of  $3 \times 3 \times 12$  mm<sup>3</sup> and was located to be adjacent the front mirror for convenience of end-pumping scheme. Both facets of the laser crystal were AR coated at 808 nm and 1064 nm. The laser crystal was wrapped with indium foil and mounted in a water-cooled copper heat sink at 20°C. Although using the laser crystal with the HR-AR coating is preferable for more compact configuration, we use a separate front mirror and the AR-AR coated laser crystal in the present setup because of the availability of experimental components. A concave lens with AR coating at 1064 nm on both faces was placed just behind the laser crystal. A 20-mmlong AO Q-switch (NEOS technologies) with AR coating at 1064 nm on both faces was placed in the center of the cavity and was driven at a central frequency of 41 MHz with RF power of 25 W. The pump source was a 30-W 808-nm fiber-coupled laser diode with a core diameter of 600 µm and a numerical aperture of 0.16. The pump beam was reimaged into the laser crystal with a lens set that has the focal length of 25 mm with a magnification of unity and the coupling efficiency of 90%. As mentioned earlier, the use of the relatively large pump radius is beneficial for power scaling with the avoidance of serious thermal problems. The flat output coupler with 50% transmission was employed during the experiment. For constructing a compact Q-switched laser, we set the optical cavity length to be  $L_1 = 20$  mm and  $L_2 = 120$  mm.

The effective focal length of the thermal lens in a  $Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> crystal could be expressed as [23]:$  $Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> crystal could be expressed as [23]:$  $Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> crystal could be expressed as [23]:$ 

$$
\frac{1}{f_{\text{th}}} = \frac{\xi}{\pi K_c} \int_0^l \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha z}}{1 - e^{-\alpha l}} \frac{1}{\omega_p^2(z)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{dn}{dT} + (n - 1)\alpha_T \omega_p(z) / l \right] dz,
$$
\n(2)

where  $\xi$  is the fraction of pump power that results in heat,  $K_c$ is the thermal conductivity of the laser material,  $\alpha$  is the absorption coefficient, *n* is the refractive index, *l* is the length of the gain medium,  $dn/dT$  is the thermal-optic coefficient,  $\alpha_T$  is the thermal expansion coefficient, and  $\omega_p(z)$  is the variation of the pump radius. With the following parameters:  $K_c = 5.23$  W/m K,  $\alpha = 0.2$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $n = 2.1652$ ,  $l = 12$  mm,  $dn/dT = 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha_T = 4.43 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ , the effective focal length of thermal lens was estimated to be approximately  $f_{\text{th}} = 220$  mm at a pump power of 25 W. We then use the ABCD-matrix method to calculate the cavity mode size at the front mirror as a function of the effective

thermal focal length for a given focal length of the concave lens. Figure [2](#page-2-0) depicts the calculated results for the four cases of  $f = -200$  mm,  $-300$  mm,  $-400$  mm, and  $-\infty$ . Note that the case of  $f = -\infty$  means the cavity without the concave lens. As seen in Fig. [2](#page-2-0), the cavity mode size without a concave lens is approximately equal to the pump spot size. Although a concave lens can effectively enlarge the cavity mode size in some regime, the cavity needs an appropriate thermal focal length to step into the stable region. With the ABCD-matrix theory, we can find that the stable region is given by

$$
(L_1 - f) \left[ 1 - \frac{f^2}{f^2 + L_1 L_2 - (L_1 + L_2) f} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\le f_{\text{th}} \le (L_1 - f).
$$
 (3)

The thermal focal length in the present setup approximately changes from 320 mm to 220 mm for the pump power in-



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Calculated results for the ratio of cavity mode size at the front mirror to pump size as a function of the effective thermal focal length for the cases of  $f = -200$  mm,  $-300$  mm,  $-400$  mm, and  $-\infty$ 

creasing from 10 W to 25 W. As a result, we chose a concave lens with  $f = -300$  mm to meet the range of the thermal focal length.

## **3 High-order Laguerre–Gaussian TEM***p,***<sup>0</sup> modes**

First of all, the laser experiment for the standard flat-flat cavity without a concave lens was performed to measure the transverse pattern as a baseline for comparison. To record the transverse profiles of the different structure, the laser output was directly projected on a screen at a distance of approximately 1220 mm behind the output coupler and the scattered light was captured by a digital camera. As seen in Fig. [3\(](#page-2-1)a), the transverse mode in the resonator without a concave lens is a pure fundamental  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode. Figure [3](#page-2-1)(b) depicts the experimental transverse pattern observed in the laser cavity with a concave lens of  $f = -300$  mm at a pump power of 25 W. It can be seen that the spatial distribution displays the lasing mode to be dominated by a Laguerre–Gaussian TEM<sub>50</sub> mode with negligible fundamental Gaussian mode. When the pump radius was changed from 300 µm to 100 µm, we found that the dominated transverse mode varied from TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode to TEM8*,*<sup>0</sup> mode, as shown in Fig.  $3(c)$  $3(c)$ . In short, we have experimentally confirmed the proportionality between the transverse order and the ratio  $\omega_0/\omega_p$ , but the detailed theoretical analysis of the dependence of the transverse order on the ratio  $\omega_o/\omega_p$  is beyond the scope of this work.

As found by Flood et al. [\[5](#page-5-4)], we confirmed that the experimental Laguerre–Gaussian TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode has a central peak with a divergence considerably lower than that of a TEM0*,*<sup>0</sup> mode with the same waist. This observation indicates that the experimental TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode might be beneficial for the achievement of the higher frequency conversion efficiency in processes of SHG and THG. Before investigating the conversion efficiency in nonlinear optics, we make



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Experimental transverse mode patterns of  $(a)$  TEM<sub>00</sub> mode without a concave lens at a pump power of 25 W; (**b**)  $\text{TEM}_{5,0}$  mode with a concave lens ( $f = -300$  mm) and a pump radius of 300  $\mu$ m at a pump power of 25 W; (c)  $TEM_{8,0}$  mode with a concave lens

 $(f = -300$  mm) and a pump radius of 100 µm at a pump power of 25 W. The patterns were measured at a distance of approximately 1220 mm behind the output coupler

a comparison of the laser performances of the cavities with and without the concave lens. Figure [4](#page-3-0) shows the average output power as a function of the incident pump power for both configurations operating at a pulse repetition rate of 40 kHz. The cavity with the concave lens has a considerably lager pump threshold because the thermal focal power of the crystal should be greater than  $(L_1 - f)^{-1}$  to result in the cavity to be stable. At a pump power of 25 W, the maximum average output powers at a repetition rate of 40 kHz were 8.52 W and 8.76 W for the cavities with and without concave lens. In other words, the difference of the maximum average output power between both cavity configurations was rather small. Figure [5](#page-3-1) depicts the dependence of the average output powers, pulse energies and peak powers on the pulse repetition rate at a pump power of 25 W for both cavity configurations. On the whole, the average output power of the cavity with a concave lens was smaller than that of the cavity without a concave lens by approximately 5–10%, as shown in Fig.  $5(a)$  $5(a)$ . The pulse temporal behavior was recorded by a LeCroy digital oscilloscope (Wavepro 7100, 10 G samples/s,



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Average output power at a repetition rate of 40 kHz as a function of the incident pump power for the cavities with and without the concave lens

1 GHz bandwidth) with a fast Si photodiode. The pulse durations for both configurations were experimentally found nearly the same. With increasing the pulse repetition rate from 20 kHz to 80 kHz, the pulse duration was found to vary from 7 to 16 ns. As a result, the pulse energy and the peak power obtained with a concave lens were generally smaller than the results obtained without a concave lens, as shown in Fig.  $5(b)$  $5(b)$  and  $5(c)$ . In the following section, we make a comparison of the high-order TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode and the fundamental TEM<sub>0,0</sub> mode in the processes of extra-cavity SHG and THG.

#### **4 Conversion efficiencies of extra-cavity SHG and THG**

Here lithium triborate (LBO) crystals are exploited as nonlinear frequency converters for SHG and THG since they have the advantages of high damage threshold, relatively large acceptance angle, and small walk-off angle. One LBO crystal with dimensions of  $3 \times 3 \times 15$  mm<sup>3</sup> was cut at  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\varphi = 10.4^{\circ}$  for type-I phase-matched SHG at temperature of 46.6°C. Both facets of the SHG crystal were AR coated at 1064 nm and 532 nm. Another LBO crystal with dimensions of  $3 \times 3 \times 10$  mm<sup>3</sup> was cut at  $\theta = 44^\circ$ ,  $\varphi = 90^{\circ}$  for type-II phase-matched THG at temperature of 48°C. Both facets of the THG crystal were AR coated at 1064 nm, 532 nm, and 355 nm. The temperatures of the SHG and THG nonlinear crystals were monitored by thermoelectric controllers with the precision of 0.1°C. Two convex lenses were used to focus the laser beams into the SHG and THG nonlinear crystals for achieving efficient nonlinear conversion. The former one with focal length of 38 mm was AR coated at 1064 nm on both sides, the latter one with focal length of 19 mm was AR coated at 1064 nm and 532 nm on both sides. The optimized geometrical distances of *L*3, *L*4, *L*5, and *L*<sup>6</sup> indicated in Fig. [6](#page-4-0) were experimentally found to be approximately 70 mm, 30 mm, 25 mm, and 30 mm, respectively.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

**Fig. 5** Dependence of the (**a**) average output power, (**b**) pulse energy, and (**c**) peak power on the pulse repetition rate at a pump power of 25 W for the cavities with and without the concave lens

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Harmonic generation performances: (**a**) average output power, (**b**) pulse energy, (**c**) peak power at 532 nm; and (**d**) average output power, (**e**) pulse energy, (**f**) peak power at 355 nm versus the pulse

repetition rate at a pump power of 25 W for the high-order  $TEM_{5,0}$ mode and the fundamental TEM<sub>0.0</sub> mode

At a pump power of 25 W, the maximum output powers, pulse energies, and peak powers at 532 nm and 355 nm versus the pulse repetition rate for the high-order TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode and the fundamental  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode are shown in Fig. [7,](#page-4-1) respectively. Note that, as shown in Fig. [5,](#page-3-1) the average power at 1064 nm of the TEM $_{5,0}$  mode is 5–10% lower than that of the fundamental  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode. However, it is intriguing that the conversion efficiencies in the processes of SHG and THG obtained with the TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode are noticeably higher than the results obtained with the  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode, as illustrated in Fig. [7](#page-4-1)(a) and (d). At a pulse repetition rate of 40 kHz, the maximum output power at 355 nm obtained with the TEM<sub>5,0</sub> mode was 3.1 W; the optical-to-optical conversion efficiencies were 35.6% (from 1064 nm to 355 nm) and 12.4% (from 808 nm to 355 nm), respectively. On the other hand, the maximum output power at 355 nm obtained with the  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode was 2.45 W; the optical-to-optical conversion efficiencies were 28.1% (from 1064 nm to 355 nm) and 9.8% (from 808 nm to 355 nm), respectively. Based on the calculated results for the case of  $f = -\infty$  in Fig. [2](#page-2-0), the mode radius of the  $TEM_{0.0}$  mode is about 300  $\mu$ m. A Rayleigh range of such a  $TEM_{0,0}$  beam is estimated to be 26.6 cm, so the divergence of the beam would be rather low. However, we experimentally found that the superiority of the TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode over the fundamental TEM0*,*<sup>0</sup> mode in the processes of extra-cavity SHG and THG. This experimental observation is regard as a result of the considerable narrowness of the central peak of the high-order Laguerre– Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> mode than that of the Gaussian beam.

It is worthwhile to mention that although the generation of high-order Laguerre–Gaussian TEM*p,*<sup>0</sup> modes in a Q-switched laser has been reported with the other method [\[9](#page-5-12)], our experimental setup intrinsically owns the advantages of compactness, simplicity and is potentially beneficial for power scaling. In comparison with [\[5](#page-5-4)], expanding the cavity mode size instead of tight focusing of the pump beam can remarkably relieve the thermal problems under high-power operation. Furthermore, the application of high-order Laguerre–Gaussian modes in the process of extra-cavity SHG and THG was investigated for the first time and we experimentally found the  $TEM_{p,0}$  mode is noticeably superior to the  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode in the harmonic generation process.

#### **5 Conclusion**

In summary, we have successfully designed a compact resonator to generate high-power Laguerre–Gaussian TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode. The resonator is composed of two plane mirrors with an intra-cavity concave lens to expand the cavity mode size. At a pump power of 25 W, the average output power for the Laguerre–Gaussian TEM5*,*<sup>0</sup> mode was found to be up to 8.52 W at a pulse repetition rate of 40 kHz. Furthermore, we experimentally verify that the TEM<sub>5.0</sub> mode is conspicuously superior to the  $TEM_{0,0}$  mode in the processes of SHG and THG. At an incident pump power of 25 W at 808 nm and a repetition rate of 40 kHz, the maximum output powers at 355 nm obtained with the TEM $_{5,0}$  mode and TEM $_{0,0}$  mode were 3.1 W and 2.45 W, respectively. The optical-to-optical conversion efficiencies from 1064 nm to 355 nm were found to be 35.6% and 28.1% for the TEM<sub>5,0</sub> mode and TEM<sub>0,0</sub> mode, respectively.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Acknowledgements** The authors thank the National Science Council for their financial support of this research under Contract No. NSC-97-2112-M-009-016-MY3.

## **References**

1. A.V. Hicks, C.X. Wang, G.Y. Wang, Proc. SPIE **5332**, 120 (2004)

- <span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>2. C.X. Wang, G.Y. Wang, A.V. Hicks, D.R. Dudley, H.Y. Pang, N. Hodgson, Proc. SPIE **6100**, 610019 (2006)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>3. X.P. Yan, Q. Liu, M. Gong, D.S. Wang, X. Fu, Laser Phys. Lett. **6**, 93 (2009)
- 4. B. Li, J. Yao, X. Ding, Q. Sheng, P. Wang, Opt. Commun. **283**, 3497 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>5. C.J. Flood, G. Giuliani, H.M. van Driel, Opt. Lett. **15**, 215 (1990)
- 6. R. Oron, S. Blit, N. Davidson, A.A. Friesem, Z. Bomzon, E. Hasman, Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 3322 (2000)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>7. Y.F. Chen, Y.P. Lan, S.C. Wang, Appl. Phys. B **72**, 167 (2001)
- 8. Y.F. Chen, Y.P. Lan, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 063807 (2001)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>9. A.A. Ishaaya, N. Davidson, G. Machavariani, E. Hasman, A.A. Friesem, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **39**, 74 (2003)
- 10. T. Moser, M.A. Ahmed, F. Pigeon, O. Parriaux, E. Wyss, Th. Graf, Laser Phys. Lett. **1**, 234 (2004)
- 11. J.F. Bisson, Yu. Senatsky, K.I. Ueda, Laser Phys. Lett. **2**, 327 (2005)
- 12. M.A. Porras, R. Borghi, M. Santarsiero, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **18**, 177 (2001)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span><span id="page-5-8"></span>13. J. Durnin, J.J. Miceli, Jr., J.H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1499 (1987)
- 14. A. Hakola, A. Shevchenko, S.C. Buchter, M. Kaivola, N.V. Tabiryan, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **23**, 637 (2006)
- 15. A. Hakola, T. Hakkarainen, R. Tommila, T. Kajava, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **27**, 2342 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>16. A.N. Khilo, E.G. Katranji, A.A. Ryzhevich, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **18**, 1986 (2001)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>17. F. Wu, Y. Chen, D. Guo, Appl. Opt. **46**, 4943 (2007)
- 18. Y. Matsuoka, Y. Kizuka, T. Inoue, Appl. Phys. A **84**, 423 (2006)
- 19. F. Courvoisier, P.-A. Lacourt, M. Jacquot, M.K. Bhuyan, L. Furfaro, J.M. Dudley, Opt. Lett. **34**, 3163 (2009)
- 20. T. Wulle, S. Herminghaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1401 (1993)
- 21. C. Altucci, R. Bruzzese, D. D'Antuoni, C. de Lisio, S. Solimeno, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **17**, 34 (2000)
- 22. S. Orlov, A. Stabinis, V. Smilgevičius, G. Valiulis, A. Piskarskas, Opt. Lett. **32**, 68 (2007)
- 23. W. Koechner, *Solid-State Laser Engineering*, 6th edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2005)