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Abstract - A new reliability model, consecutive-weighted- $k$ -out-of- $n$ :F system, is proposed and an $O(n)$ algorithm is provided to evaluate its reliability. An $O(n \cdot \min (n, k))$ algorithm is also presented for the circular case of this model. We design an $O(n)$ parallel algorithm using $\boldsymbol{k}$ processors to compute the reliability of $\boldsymbol{k}$-out-of- $\boldsymbol{n}$ systems, that achieves linear speedup.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F system consists of a sequence of $n$ ordered components such that the system fails iff at least $k$ consecutive components fail. The reliability of this system was first studied by Chiang \& Niu [3], and later extensively studied in [1, 4-7, 10, 15, 17-18].

Sections 2 \& 3 state a more general consecutive-weighted-$k$-out-of- $n$ : F system, and designs an $O(n)$ algorithm to evaluate its reliability. Because $n$ components need to be checked in any algorithm, this $O(n)$ algorithm is optimal. In addition, for the circular consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n: F$ system, an $O(n \cdot \min (n, k))$ algorithm is proposed to compute the system reliability. If each component has weight 1 , the original consecutive- $k$-out-of-n:F system is a special case of this new model.

The $k$-out-of- $n$ systems were studied in [2, 8-9, 11-14, 19], where the system was [good, failed] iff the total number of [good, failed] components was at least $k$. The reliability of the $k$-out-of- $n: G$ system is the complement of the probability of failure of the $(n-k+1)$-out-of- $n: \mathrm{F}$ system. Without loss of generality, we discuss $k$-out-of-n:G systems only.

A sequential algorithm is defined as using one processor, while the parallel algorithm uses more than one processor [16]. The speedup of a parallel algorithm is the ratio [computing time of the best sequential algorithm] $\div$ [computing time of the parallel algorithm]. Given $P$ processors, we would like our parallel algorithm to run $P$ times as fast as the best sequential algorithm. When the speedup of a parallel algorithm is $P$, the
parallel algorithm achieves linear speedup. It is often hard to propose a parallel algorithm with linear speedup.

So far, the best sequential algorithm for computing the reliability of the $k$-out-of- $n$ :G systems needs $O(n \cdot k)$ time [2, $8-9,11-14,19$ ] and it is hard to improve on this time complexity. This paper designs a parallel algorithm using k processors to compute the reliability in $O(n)$ computing time, and thus achieves linear speedup (the speedup is $k$ ).

For $k$-out-of- $n$ systems, we achieved 2 important results:

- an $O(n)$ algorithm for computing system reliability;
- a parallel algorithm with linear speedup.

Section 2 describes the assumptions \& notation. Section 3 shows an $O(n)$ algorithm for consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of$n$ :F systems. Section 4 shows an $O(n \cdot \min (n, k))$ algorithm for circular consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n$ :F systems. Section 5 proposes an $O(n)$ parallel algorithm to compute the reliability of the $k$-out-of- $n$ systems. All proofs are in the appendix.

## 2. MODEL

## Notation (general)

$n \quad$ number of components in a system
$p_{i}, q_{i}$ probability that component $i$ [functions, fails]; $p_{i}+$ $q_{i}=1$
$\mathscr{G}(\cdot) \quad \mathscr{G}($ True $)=1, \mathfrak{I}($ False $)=0:$ Indicator function
Notation (weighted system)
$k$ minimum total weight of failed consecutive components which causes system failure
$w_{i} \quad$ weight of component $i$
$S_{i} \quad$ minimum event which causes system failure
total number of all possible $S_{i}$
$\operatorname{Beg}(i), \operatorname{End}(i)$ [first, last] component of $S_{i}$
Wet $(i)$ total weight of $S_{i}$
$Q(i) \prod_{j=\operatorname{Beg}(i)}^{\operatorname{End}(i)} q_{j}$
$R_{L}(i, j), R_{C}(i, j)$ reliability of [linear, circular] system consisting of components $i, i+1, \ldots, j$
$F_{\Omega}(i, j) 1-R_{\Omega}(i, j)$, for $\Omega=L, C$
Notation ( $k$-out-of- $n$ : G system)
$k$ minimum number of all good components which make the system good
$R(i, j), F(i, j) \quad$ [reliability, unreliability] of a $j$-out-of- $i: G$ system.

Other, standard notation is given in ' 'Information for Readers \& Authors' at the rear of each issue.

## Assumptions

1. Each component and the system either functions or fails.
2. All $n$ component states are mutually $s$-independent.
3. For weighted systems: a) each component has its own positive integer weight; $b$ ) the system fails iff the total weight of the failed consecutive components is at least $k$.
4. For $k$-out-of- $n$ :G systems: a) each processor is unique; b) the system is good iff the number of good components is at least $k$.

## 3. CONSECUTIVE-WEIGHTED- $k$-out-OF- $n: F$ SYSTEMS

We present our algorithm to compute the reliability of a consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F system. Before deriving the algorithm, we need lemmas $1 \& 2$.

Lemma 1. A consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n: F$ system needs only $O(n)$ computing time to derive each $S_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots$, $m$.

```
Algorithm A
begin
    /* Set initial value for variables. */
    \(\mathrm{m}=0\); event \(=1\);
    Wet(event) \(=0 ; Q\) (event) \(=1 ; \operatorname{Beg}(\) event \()=1\);
    \({ }^{*}\) * Scan components from the first one. */
    for component \(=1\) to \(n\)
    begin
        Wet(event) \(=\) Wet(event) \(+W_{\text {component }}\);
        \(Q(\) event \()=Q(\) event \() \cdot q_{\text {component }}\);
        if Wet(event) \(\geq k\) then
        /* New event appears */
        begin
            \(m=m+1 ;\)
            End(event) = component;
            /* Check whether the event is minimum */
            while (Wet(event) \(-W_{\text {Beg(event) }} \geq k\) ) then
                begin
                    Wet(event) \(=\) Wet(event) \(-W_{\text {Beg(event })}\);
                    \(Q(\) event \()=Q(\) event \() / q_{\text {Beg(event) }} ;\)
                    \(\operatorname{Beg}(\) event \()=\operatorname{Beg}(\) event \()+1\);
                end
            endwhile
        \(\operatorname{Beg}(\) event +1\()=\operatorname{Beg}(\) event \()+1 ;\)
        Wet(event +1\()=\) Wet(event) \(-W_{\text {Beg(event) }}\);
        \(Q(\) event +1\()=Q(\) event \() / q_{\text {Beg(event })}\);
        event \(=\) event +1 ;
        end
    end
endfor
end
/* End of Algorithm */
```


## Example 1. Illustration of Algorithm A

Given a consecutive-weighted-4-out-of-7:F system, the weights of components $1-7$ are: $1,1,1,2,3,2,1$. Use algorithm $A$ to obtain the results in figure 3.1. There are 3 minimum events ( $S_{1}: 2-4, S_{2}: 4-5, S_{3}: 5-6$ ) which cause system failure. Figure 3.1 shows these minimum events as dark consecutive components. The computing time of the for-loop is $7=n$, and the while-loop is $5=\operatorname{Beg}(m)$.

| event | event weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for } \\ & \text { copp } \end{aligned}$ | while loop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | (1) 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 |  | (1) ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}^{2}$ |
|  | 3 | 3 |  | $\begin{array}{lll} (1) & (2) & (3) \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ |
|  | 5 | 4 |  | $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & (2) & (3) & (4) \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
|  | 4 |  | 1 | $\underset{1}{(2)} \underset{1}{(3)} \underset{2}{4}$ |
|  | 4 |  | 2 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1273 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |
| 2 |  | 5 |  | (3) (4) ${ }_{1}{ }_{2}(5)$ |
|  | 5 |  | 3 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (4) } & \text { (5) } \\ 2\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | 4 | 울 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 |  | (5) ${ }_{3}{ }^{(6)}$ |
|  | 5 |  | 5 | $\text { (3) } 3$ |
| 4 | 3 | 7 |  | (6) ${ }_{2}{ }^{(7)}$ |

Figure 3.1. An Example of a Consecutive-Weighted-4-out-of-7:F system

We need to derive $F_{L}(1, i)$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Lemma 2. For a consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F system, the $F_{L}(1, i)$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, is:
$F_{L}(1, i)=0$, for $\mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \operatorname{End}(1)-1$.
$F_{L}(1, i)=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{j}\right\}=F_{L}(1$, End $(j))$,
for $i=\operatorname{End}(j), \operatorname{End}(j)+1, \ldots, \operatorname{End}(j+1)-1$,
and $j=1,2, \ldots, m-1$.
$F_{L}(1, i)=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{m}\right\}=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(m))$,
for $i=\operatorname{End}(m), \operatorname{End}(m)+1, \ldots, n$.
(3-3) 4

Apply lemmas $1 \& 2$; only $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ computing time is needed to obtain $R_{L}(1, n)$ - as stated formally in theorem 1.

Theorem 1. For a consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F system, the $F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j))$, for $j=2,3, \ldots, m$, is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j))=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j-1)) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)-1} R_{L}(1, \operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i-1) \cdot p_{\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot\left[\prod_{l=\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i+1}^{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-1} q_{l}\right] \cdot Q(j) \tag{3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the time to obtain $R_{L}(1, n)$ is $O(n)$.

## Example 2. Compute $R_{L}(1, n)$ in $O(n)$

For a consecutive-weighted-4-out-of-7:F system, the weight for components $1-7$ is $1,1,1,2,3,2,1$. From example 1, there are 3 minimum events ( $E_{1}: 2-4, E_{2}: 4-5, E_{3}: 5-6$ ) which cause system failure.

Initially, by algorithm $A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Beg}(1)=2, \operatorname{End}(1)=4, Q(1)=q_{2} \cdot q_{3} \cdot q_{4} ; \\
& \operatorname{Beg}(2)=4, \operatorname{End}(2)=5, Q(2)=q_{4} \cdot q_{5} ; \\
& \operatorname{Beg}(3)=5, \operatorname{End}(3)=6, Q(3)=q_{5} \cdot q_{6} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

in $O(n)$ computing time. Then, by lemma 2 :
$F_{L}(1,1)=F_{L}(1,2)=F_{L}(1,3)=0 ;$
$F_{L}(1,4)=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(1)) ;$
$F_{L}(1,5)=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(2)) ;$
$F_{L}(1,6)=F_{L}(1,7)=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(3)) ;$
in $O(n)$ computing time. Furthermore, by theorem 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(1))=Q(1) \\
& F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(2))=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(1))+R(2) \cdot p_{3} \cdot Q(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+R(1) \cdot p_{2} \cdot q_{3} \cdot Q(2)
$$

$$
F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(3))=F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(2))+R(3) \cdot p_{4} \cdot Q(3) ;
$$

in $O(n)$ computing time. So, it takes $O(n)$ computing time to derive $F_{L}(1,7)$. Finally,

$$
R_{L}(1,7)=1-F_{L}(1,7) .
$$

## 4. CIRCULAR CONSECUTIVE-WEIGHTED-k-out-OF-n:F SYSTEM

Section 3 gives an $O(n)$ algorithm for consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n:$ F systems, and [18] proposed an $O(n \cdot k)$ algorithm for circular consecutive- $k$-out-of- $n: \mathrm{F}$ systems. Combining these two algorithms, we propose an $O(n \cdot \min (n, k))$ algorithm to compute the reliability of circular consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n$ : F systems. The formula for circular consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of- $n: \mathrm{F}$ systems is:
$R_{C}(1, n)=\sum_{A} \delta(s, l)$,
$A_{k, n, s, l} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} w_{i}+\sum_{j=l+1}^{n} w_{j}<k$
$\delta(s, l) \equiv\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} q_{i}\right] \cdot p_{s} \cdot R_{L}(s+1, l-1) \cdot p_{l}$
$\cdot\left[\prod_{j=l+1}^{n} q_{j}\right] \cdot \mathscr{J}\left(A_{k, n: s, l}\right)$.
Consider two cases: 1) $n \geq k$, and 2) $n<k$.
$4.1 n \geq k$
Because each component has at least weight 1, (4-1) can be presented as:
$R_{C}(1, n)=\sum_{s-1+n-l<k} \delta(s, l)=\sum_{s=1}^{k} \sum_{l=n-k+s}^{n} \delta(s, l)$.

It takes $O\left(k^{2}\right)$ computing time to check condition A since number of terms in (4-2) is $1 / 2 k \cdot(k+1)$. To obtain $\delta(s, l)$, we need to calculate:
$\left\{R_{L}(2, j)\right\}_{j=n-k}^{n-1}$,
$\left\{R_{L}(3, j)\right\}_{j=n-k+1}^{n-1}$,
$\vdots$
$\left\{R_{L}(k, j)\right\}_{j=n-1}^{n-1} ;$
$\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{k-1},\left\{\prod_{i=j}^{n} q_{i}\right\}_{j=n-k+2}^{n}$.
In section 3 , while computing $R_{L}(1, n)$, we also obtain $\left\{R_{L}(1, j)\right\}_{1}^{n-1}$ in $O(n)$ time. By this property, we can compute (4-3) in $O(n \cdot k)$ time. It needs only $O(k)$ time to obtain (4-4). Finally, (4-2) contains $1 / 2 k \cdot(k+1)$ terms, so the time complexity for computing $R_{C}(1, n)$ is:
$O\left(k^{2}\right)+O(n \cdot k)+O(k)+O\left(k^{2}\right)=O(n \cdot k)$.

## $4.2 \mathrm{n}<k$

$\mathrm{Eq}(4-1)$ can be presented as:
$R_{C}(1, n)=\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} \sum_{l=s+2}^{n} \delta(s, l)$
where $\delta(s, l$ is $)$ the same as in section 4.1 ; and it is necessary to get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{R_{L}(2, j)\right\}_{j=2}^{n-1} \\
& \left\{R_{L}(3, j)\right\}_{j=3}^{n-1} \\
& \vdots \\
& \left\{R_{L}(n-1, j)\right\}_{j=n-1}^{n-1}  \tag{4-6}\\
& \left\{\prod_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}\right\}_{j=1}^{n-2},\left\{\prod_{i=j}^{n} q_{i}\right\}_{j=3}^{n} \tag{4-7}
\end{align*}
$$

The time complexity for computing $R_{C}(1, n)$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)+$ $O\left(n^{2}\right)+O(n)+O\left(n^{2}\right)=O\left(n^{2}\right)$. Therefore the time complexity for computing $R_{C}(1, n)$ is $O(n \cdot \min (n, k))$.

## 5. $k$-out-OF- $n:$ G SYSTEM

This section presents an $O(n)$ parallel algorithm using $k$ processors to compute the reliability of the $k$-out-of- $n$ : G system.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(n, k)=0, \text { if } n<k \tag{5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start with an $O(n \cdot k)$ sequential algorithm.
Let $n \geq k$, for $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, n$, and $j=1,2, \ldots, k$. We derive a recurrence relation:
$R(i, j)=p_{i} \cdot R(i-1, j-1)+q_{i} \cdot R(i-1, j)$.
In order to derive $R(n, k)$, by (5-2), it is necessary to obtain $R(i, j)$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, and $j=0,1, \ldots, k$, during the recursive processing. Put all $R(i, j)$ in a table with ( $n+1$ ) rows and $(k+1)$ columns as in figure 5.1. We have initial $R(i, j)$ in row 1 and column 1:
$R(0, j)=0$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, k ;$
$R(i, 0)=1$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$.
The following details the method for computing $R(n, k)$.
By (5-1), if $n<k$ then $R(n, k)=0$. Otherwise, by (5-3) \& (5-4), we construct column 1 and row 1 in the $R(i, j)$ table. Then, by (5-2), we construct row 2 , row $3, \ldots$, row $n+1$ in that order. $R(n, k)$ is eventually derived. Because the size of the $R(i, j)$ table is $(n+1) \cdot(k+1)$, the sequential algorithm needs $O(n \cdot k)$ running time.

| $\underline{R(i, j)}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | - - | k-1 | k |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | --.. | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{R}(1,1)$ | 0 | - - - | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | $\mathbf{R}(2,1)$ | $\mathrm{R}(2,2)$ | ---- | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | । | 1 |
| k-1 | 1 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{k}-1,1)$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{k}-1,2)$ | --- | $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{k}-1, \mathrm{k}-1)$ | 0 |
| k | 1 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathbf{k}, 1)$ | R(k, 2) | --... | $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}-1)$ | R(k, $\mathbf{k}$ ) |
| I | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | , |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| n-1 | 1 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}-1,1)$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}-1,2)$ | -..-- | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{k}-1)$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{k})$ |
| n | 1 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}, 1)$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}, 2)$ | ----- | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}-1)$ | R( $n, k$ ) |

Figure 5.1 The $R(i, j)$ Table with $(n+1)$ Rows and ( $k+1$ ) Columns.

We describe an $O(n)$ parallel algorithm to compute $R(n, k)$. Each of our designed processors contains, as shown in figure 5.2:

- 2 inputs (I, J),
- 1 output ( $O$ ),
- 1 buffer ( $B$ ).


Figure 5.2 Our Designed Processor. There are two impusts $(I, J)$, One Output ( $O$ ) and One Buffer ( $B$ ). The Circular Object Represents the Logic Cirguit for Computing Operation: $\&=j \cdot I+(1-J) \cdot B$.

The computing operation is:
$O=J \cdot I+(1-J) \cdot B$.
The processor stores $(O)$ in $(B): B=O$.
We use $k$ processors and route them as figure 5.3 shows. Initially for each processor, the buffer stores 0 , and input port $J$ receives $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$; and input port $I$ receives the output of its left processor. Input port $I$ of the most-left processor always receives 1 .


Figure 5.3 The Routing for $k$ Processors. Each Processor's Buffer Initially Stores Zero. In Each Step, Each Processor's $J$ Input Port Receives $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}$, Orderly; and / Input Port Recieves Its Left Processor's Output. The / Input of Processor 1 is Always 1.

Label the processors from left to right: $1,2, \ldots, k$.

- By (5-3), the buffer of processor $j$ initially stores $R(0, j)$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
- By (5-4), the input port $I$ of process 1 receives $R(i, 0)$ (value $=0$ ), in step $i$.
- By (5-2), (5-5), (5-6), the buffer of processor $j$ stores $R(i, j)$ (value $=1$ ), in step $i$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $j=1,2, \ldots, k$.
- After $n$ steps, $R(n, k)$ can be obtained in the buffer of the processor $k$.

This is an $O(n)$ parallel algorithm using $k$ processors for computing $R(n, k)$.

## APPENDIX

## A. 1 Proof of Lemma 1

Initially, scan from the first component and compute the total weight until the total-weight $\geq k$, then check whether this event is minimum. Try to remove components from the beginning of this event until the total-weight $<k$. Hence obtain $S_{1}$. Let the beginning component of the second event be Beg(1) + 1, and continue to scan from the component End(1) +1 . By the this method, we obtain $S_{i}$, for $i=2, \ldots, m$. The details of this method are given in algorithm $A$.

In algorithm $A$, the computing time of the For loop is $O(n)$, and the worst computing time of the while loop is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Beg}(1)+(\operatorname{Beg}(2)-\operatorname{Beg}(1))+(\operatorname{Beg}(3)-\operatorname{Beg}(2))+\ldots \\
& +(\operatorname{Beg}(m)-\operatorname{Beg}(m-1))=\operatorname{Beg}(m)=O(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

So it needs only $O(n)$ computing time to derive each $S_{i}$, and obtain $\operatorname{Beg}(i), \operatorname{End}(i), Q(i)$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Q.E.D.

## A. 2 Proof of Lemma 2

$$
F_{L}(1, i)=0, \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, \operatorname{End}(1)-1
$$

$F_{L}(1, i)=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{j}\right\}$, for $i=\operatorname{End}(j)$, and $j=1$,
$2, \ldots, m$.

Consider a consecutive-weighted- $k$-out-of $i: \mathrm{F}$ system, for $i=$ $\operatorname{End}(j), \operatorname{End}(j)+1, \ldots$, End $(j+1)-1$, and $j=1,2, \ldots$, $m-1$; all the minimum events which cause the system failure are still $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{j}$. So (3-2) is proved.

Eq. (3-3) is obtained similarly to (3-2), if we consider only components from $\operatorname{End}(m)$ to $n$.
Q.E.D.

## A. 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Initially, by lemma $2, F_{L}(1,0) \equiv 0$; and $R_{L}(1,0)=1$. By the sum-of-disjoint-products method, for $j=2,3, \ldots, m-$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j))=\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{j}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{j-1}\right\}+\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\overline{\left.S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{j-1} \cap S_{j}\right\}}\right. \\
& =F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j-1))+R_{L}(1, \operatorname{Beg}(j)-2) \cdot p_{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-1} \cdot Q(j) \\
& +R_{L}(1, \operatorname{Beg}(j)-3) \cdot p_{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-2} \cdot q_{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-1} \cdot Q(j)+\ldots \\
& \\
& +R_{L}(1, \operatorname{Beg}(j-1)-1) \cdot p_{\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)} \cdot q_{\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-1} \cdot Q(j) \\
& =F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j-1)) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)-1} R_{L}(1, \operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i-1) \cdot p_{\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i}  \tag{A-1}\\
& \cdot\left[\prod_{l=\operatorname{Beg}(j-1)+i+1}^{\operatorname{Beg}(j)-1} q_{l}\right] \cdot Q(j) .
\end{align*}
$$

Apply lemma 1; we need only $O(n)$ computing time to derive each $S_{i}$, and obtain $\operatorname{Beg}(i), \operatorname{End}(i), Q(i)$, for $i=1,2, \ldots$, $m$. By lemma 2, each $F_{L}(1, i)$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, is zero or any one of the $F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j))$, for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$. By (A-1), the worst computing time for deriving $F_{L}(1, \operatorname{End}(j))$, for $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$, is:

```
\(\operatorname{Beg}(1)+(\operatorname{Beg}(2)-\operatorname{Beg}(1))+(\operatorname{Beg}(3)-\operatorname{Beg}(2))+\ldots\)
    \(+(\operatorname{Beg}(m)-\operatorname{Beg}(m-1))=\operatorname{Beg}(m)=O(n)\).
```

Because $R_{L}(1, n)=1-F_{L}(1, n)$, it takes $O(n)$ computing time to derive $R(n)$.
Q.E.D.
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