

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

## Linear Algebra and its Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)

# Power partial isometry index and ascent of a finite matrix



**LINEAR ALGEBRA** and Its ana<br>Applications

Hwa-Long Gau <sup>a</sup>*,*∗, Pei Yuan Wu <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li 32001, Taiwan* <sup>b</sup> *Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University,*

*Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan*

#### A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

*Article history:* Received 29 May 2014 Accepted 3 July 2014 Available online 15 July 2014 Submitted by C.-K. Li

*MSC:* 15A18 15B99

*Keywords:* Partial isometry Power partial isometry Power partial isometry index Ascent *Sn*-matrix Jordan block

We give a complete characterization of nonnegative integers *j* and *k* and a positive integer *n* for which there is an *n*-by-*n* matrix with its power partial isometry index equal to *j* and its ascent equal to *k*. Recall that the power partial isometry index  $p(A)$  of a matrix *A* is the supremum, possibly infinity, of nonnegative integers *j* such that  $I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^j$  are all partial isometries while the ascent  $a(A)$  of  $A$  is the smallest integer  $k > 0$  for which ker  $A^k$  equals ker  $A^{k+1}$ . It was known before that, for any matrix *A*, either  $p(A) \le \min\{a(A), n-1\}$  or  $p(A) = \infty$ . In this paper, we prove more precisely that there is an *n*-by-*n* matrix *A* such that  $p(A) = j$  and  $a(A) = k$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (a)  $j = k \leq n-1$ , (b)  $j \leq k-1$  and  $j+k \leq n-1$ , or (c)  $j \leq k-2$  and  $j+k = n$ . This answers a question we asked in a previous paper.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Let *A* be an *n*-by-*n* complex matrix. The *power partial isometry index*  $p(A)$  of *A* is, by definition, the supremum of the nonnegative integers *j* for which  $I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^j$  are all

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* [hlgau@math.ncu.edu.tw](mailto:hlgau@math.ncu.edu.tw) (H.-L. Gau), [pywu@math.nctu.edu.tw](mailto:pywu@math.nctu.edu.tw) (P.Y. Wu).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2014.07.001> 0024-3795/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <span id="page-1-0"></span>partial isometries. ( $A^0$  is understood to be *I*, even for  $A = 0$ .) Recall that *A* is a *partial isometry* if  $||Ax|| = ||x||$  for all vectors *x* of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which are in the orthogonal complement  $(\ker A)^{\perp}$  of ker *A*. The *ascent*  $a(A)$  of *A* is the smallest nonnegative integer *k* for which  $\ker A^{k} = \ker A^{k+1}$ . The relation between these two parameters of A was first explored in [\[1\].](#page-8-0) In particular, it was shown in [1, [Corollary 2.5\]](#page-8-0) that  $0 \le p(A) \le \min\{a(A), n-1\}$  or  $p(A) = \infty$ . We asked in [1, [p. 339\]](#page-8-0) whether such conditions on  $p(A)$  and  $a(A)$  guarantee their attainment by some *n*-by-*n* matrix *A*. In this paper, we show that this is not always the case. It turns out that the situation is more delicate than what we have expected. More precisely, we prove that, for nonnegative integers *j* and *k* and a positive integer *n*, there is an *n*-by-*n* matrix *A* with  $p(A) = j$  and  $a(A) = k$  if and only if one of the following three conditions holds: (a)  $j = k \leq n - 1$ , (b)  $j \leq k - 1$  and  $j + k \leq n - 1$ , or (c)  $j \leq k-2$  and  $j+k=n$ . This settles the question completely. The proof of it depends on the special matrix representation, under unitary similarity, of a matrix *A* for which *A,*  $A^2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $A^j$  are all partial isometries for a certain *j*,  $1 \le j \le \infty$  (cf. [1, [Theorems](#page-8-0) 2.2] and [2.4\]\)](#page-8-0). We will review the necessary ingredients from [\[1\]](#page-8-0) in Section 2 below. Section [3](#page-2-0) then gives the proof of our main result.

Partial isometries were first studied in [\[3\]](#page-8-0) and their properties have since been summarized in [2, [Chapter](#page-8-0) 15]. Power partial isometries were considered first in [\[4\].](#page-8-0)

#### 2. Preliminaries

We start with the following result from [1, [Theorem](#page-8-0) 2.2].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let A be an n-by-n nonzero matrix and  $1 \leq j \leq a(A)$ . Then  $A, A^2, \ldots, A^j$ *are partial isometries if and only if A is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form*

$$
A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_1 & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & A_{j-1} & \\ & & & 0 & B \\ & & & & C \end{bmatrix} \quad on \ \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, \tag{1}
$$

where the  $A_{\ell}$ 's satisfy  $A_{\ell}^*A_{\ell} = I_{n_{\ell+1}}$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq j-1$ , and B and C satisfy  $B^*B+C^*C=$  $I_m$ . In this case,  $n_\ell$  = nullity A if  $\ell = 1$ , and nullity  $A^{\ell}$  – nullity  $A^{\ell-1}$  if  $2 \leq \ell \leq j$ , and  $m = \text{rank } A^j$ .

Here, for any  $p \geq 1$ ,  $I_p$  denotes the *p*-by-*p* identity matrix, and, for any matrix *B*, nullity *B* means dim ker *B*.

A consequence of Theorem 2.1 is the next result from [1, [Theorem](#page-8-0) 2.4].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A be an *n*-by-*n* matrix and  $j > a(A)$ . Then the following conditions *are equivalent:*

- <span id="page-2-0"></span>(a)  $A, A^2, \ldots, A^j$  are partial isometries,
- (b) A is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form  $U \oplus J_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{k_m}$ , where U is unitary *and*  $a(A) = k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_m \geq 1$ *, or*
- (c)  $A^{\ell}$  *is a partial isometry for all*  $\ell \geq 1$ *.*

Here *J<sup>q</sup>* denotes the *q*-by-*q Jordan block*

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

An easy corollary of the preceding theorem is the following estimate for *p*(*A*) from [\[1, Corollary 2.5\].](#page-8-0)

Corollary 2.3. If *A* is an *n*-by-*n* matrix, then  $0 \leq p(A) \leq \min\{a(A), n-1\}$  or  $p(A) = \infty$ .

In constructing the examples for our main result, we need the class of *Sn*-matrices. Recall that an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  is said to be of *class*  $S_n$  if  $A$  is a contraction  $(\|A\| \equiv \max{\{|Ax\}| : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\| = 1\} \le 1)$ , its eigenvalues all have moduli strictly less than 1, and  $rank(I_n - A^*A) = 1$ . Such matrices are finite-dimensional versions of the *compressions* of the *shift*  $S(\phi)$  studied first by Sarason [\[5\],](#page-8-0) which later featured prominently in the Sz.-Nagy–Foias contraction theory  $[6]$ . A special example of  $S_n$ -matrices is the Jordan block  $J_n$ . In fact, many properties of  $J_n$  can be extended to those for the more general  $S_n$ -matrices. Part (a) of the following theorem from [1, [Proposition](#page-8-0) 3.1] is one such instance.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let A be a noninvertible  $S_n$ -matrix. Then

- (a)  $a(A)$  *equals* the *algebraic multiplicity of* the *eigenvalue* 0 *of A*,
- (b)  $p(A)$  *equals*  $a(A)$  *or*  $\infty$ *, and*
- (c)  $p(A) = \infty$  *if and only if A is unitarily similar to*  $J_n$ *.*

### 3. Main result

The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let j and k be nonnegative integers and n be a positive integer. Then there* is an n-by-n matrix A such that  $p(A) = j$  and  $a(A) = k$  if and only if one of the following *conditions holds:*

<span id="page-3-0"></span>(a)  $j = k \leq n - 1$ , (b)  $j \leq k - 1$  *and*  $j + k \leq n - 1$ *, or* (c)  $j \leq k - 2$  *and*  $j + k = n$ .

Note that if we allow *j* to be infinity, then, for any  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , there is an *n*-by-*n* matrix *A*, namely,  $A = J_k \oplus 0_{n-k}$  with  $p(A) = \infty$  and  $a(A) = k$ .

To prove [Theorem 3.1,](#page-2-0) we need the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** If A is an n-by-n matrix, which is unitarily similar to a matrix A' as in  $(1)$ *with*  $1 \leq j \leq a(A)$ *, then* (a)  $p(A) = j + p(C)$ *, and* (b)  $a(A) = j + a(C)$ *.* 

**Proof.** For any  $\ell \geq 0$ , multiplying A' with itself  $j + \ell$  times results in

$$
A'{}^{j+\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & (\prod_{p=1}^{j-1} A_p)BC^{\ell} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & (\prod_{p=2}^{j-1} A_p)BC^{\ell+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & BC^{j+\ell-1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & C^{j+\ell} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{2}
$$

(a) Note that  $A'^{j+\ell}$  is a partial isometry if and only if  $A'^{j+\ell^*}A'^{j+\ell}$  is an (orthogonal) projection (cf. [2, [Problem](#page-8-0) 127]), and the latter is equivalent to

$$
\left(\sum_{q=\ell}^{j+\ell-1} C^{q*} B^* \left(\prod_{p=q-\ell+1}^{j-1} A_p\right)^* \left(\prod_{p=q-\ell+1}^{j-1} A_p\right) B C^q\right) + C^{j+\ell^*} C^{j+\ell} \tag{3}
$$

being a projection. Making use of  $A_p^* A_p = I_{n_{p+1}}$ ,  $1 \le p \le j-1$ , and  $B^* B + C^* C = I_m$ , we can simplify (3) to

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left(\sum_{q=\ell}^{j+\ell-1} C^{q*} B^* B C^q\right) + C^{j+\ell^*} C^{j+\ell} \\
&= \left(\sum_{q=\ell}^{j+\ell-2} C^{q*} B^* B C^q\right) + C^{j+\ell-1^*} (B^* B + C^* C) C^{j+\ell-1} \\
&= \left(\sum_{q=\ell}^{j+\ell-2} C^{q*} B^* B C^q\right) + C^{j+\ell-1^*} C^{j+\ell-1} \\
&= \left(\sum_{q=\ell}^{j+\ell-3} C^{q*} B^* B C^q\right) + C^{j+\ell-2^*} (B^* B + C^* C) C^{j+\ell-2} \\
&= \cdots \\
&= C^{\ell^*} C^{\ell}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Thus  $C^{\ell^*}C^{\ell}$  is a projection, which is equivalent to  $C^{\ell}$  being a partial isometry. From these, we conclude that  $p(A) = p(A') = j + p(C)$ .

(b) For any  $\ell \geq 0$ , let  $s_{\ell}$  (resp.,  $t_{\ell}$ ) denote the geometric (resp., algebraic) multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of  $A^{j+\ell}$ , and let  $u_{\ell}$  (resp.,  $v_{\ell}$ ) be the corresponding multiplicities of 0 of  $C^{\ell}$ . Obviously, we have  $t_{\ell} = t_0$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $v_{\ell} = v_1$  for  $\ell \geq 1$ . We claim that  $s_{\ell} = (\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i) + u_{\ell}$  for  $\ell \geq 0$ . Indeed, let  $x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_j \oplus y$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$  be any vector in ker  $A'^{j+\ell}$ . From [\(2\),](#page-3-0) we have  $(\prod_{p=q-\ell+1}^{j-1} A_p)BC^q y = 0, \ell \le q \le j+\ell-1$ , and  $C^{j+\ell}y = 0$ . Since  $A_p^*A_p = I_{n_{p+1}}$  for  $1 \leq p \leq j-1$ , we obtain  $BC^q y = 0$  for  $\ell \le q \le j + \ell - 1$ . Applying  $B^*B + C^*C = I_m$  to the vector  $C^{j+\ell-1}y$  yields that

$$
C^{j+\ell-1}y = B^* (BC^{j+\ell-1}y) + C^* (CC^{j+\ell-1}y) = 0 + 0 = 0.
$$

We may then apply  $B^*B + C^*C = I_m$  again to  $C^{j+\ell-2}y$  as above to obtain  $C^{j+\ell-2}y = 0$ . Repeating this process inductively, we finally reach  $C^{\ell}y = 0$ , that is, *y* is in ker  $C^{\ell}$ . This shows that ker  $A'{}^{j+\ell}$  is contained in the subspace  $\mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \oplus \ker C^{\ell}$ . Since the reversed containment is easily seen to be true, it follows that

$$
s_{\ell} = \text{nullity } A^{j+\ell} = \text{nullity } A'^{j+\ell} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + u_{\ell}
$$

for any  $\ell \geq 0$  as claimed. Note that, for any matrix *T*, its ascent is equal to the smallest nonnegative integer *k* for which the geometric and algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalue 0 of  $T^k$  coincide. Thus

$$
u_{a(C)} = v_{a(C)} = \begin{cases} v_1 & \text{if } a(C) \ge 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } a(C) = 0, \end{cases} \text{ and } u_{a(C)-1} < u_{a(C)} = v_1 \text{ if } a(C) \ge 1.
$$

Therefore,

$$
s_{a(C)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + u_{a(C)} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + v_1 = t_0 = t_{a(C)} & \text{if } a(C) \ge 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i & \text{if } a(C) = 0, \end{cases}
$$

where the third equality follows from the upper-triangular block structure of *A* , and

$$
s_{a(C)-1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + u_{a(C)-1} < \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + v_1 = t_0 = t_{a(C)-1} \quad \text{if } a(C) \ge 1.
$$

This shows that  $j + a(C)$  is the smallest integer k for which the geometric and algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalue 0 of  $A^k$  are equal to each other. Thus  $a(A) = j + a(C)$ follows.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.3.** Let A be an *n*-by-*n* matrix with  $p(A) < \infty$ .

(a) *If*  $p(A) + a(A) > n$ *, then*  $p(A) = a(A)$ *.* (b) *If*  $p(A) + a(A) = n$ *, then*  $p(A) = a(A)$  *or*  $p(A) \leq a(A) - 2$ *.* 

**Proof.** By [Theorem 2.1,](#page-1-0) *A* is unitarily similar to a matrix *A'* in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) with  $j = p(A)$ . In particular, this implies that  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_j \geq 1$  for if  $n_j = \text{nullity } A^j$ nullity  $A^{j-1} = 0$ , then we would have ker  $A^{j} = \text{ker } A^{j-1}$ , which yields the contradictory  $p(A) \leq a(A) \leq j-1$  by [Corollary 2.3.](#page-2-0)

(a) Assuming  $p(A) + a(A) > n$ , we first check that  $n_j = 1$ . Indeed, if otherwise  $n_j \geq 2$ , then  $n_i \geq 2$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq j$ . Making use of [Lemma 3.2,](#page-3-0) we have

$$
n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + m \ge 2j + \left(a(C) - p(C)\right)
$$
  
= 2p(A) + \left(a(A) - p(A)\right) = p(A) + a(A) > n,

which is a contradiction. Thus  $n_j = 1$ . This means that *B* is a 1-by-*m* matrix. If  $p(A)$  $a(A)$ , then  $p(C) < a(C)$  by [Lemma 3.2](#page-3-0) again. In particular, this says that  $a(C) > 0$ or 0 is an eigenvalue of *C*. After a unitary similarity, we may assume that *C* is upper triangular with a zero first column. Let *C* be partitioned as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{array}\right],
$$

where  $C_1$  (resp.,  $C_2$ ) is a 1-by- $(m-1)$  (resp.,  $(m-1)$ -by- $(m-1)$ ) matrix. We deduce from  $B^*B + C^*C = I_m$  that  $B = [e^{i\theta} \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$  for some real  $\theta$  and  $C_1^*C_1 + C_2^*C_2 = I_{m-1}$ . Thus  $A'$  is of the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & A_1 & & & & \\
 & & \ddots & & & \\
 & & & 0 & e^{i\theta} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
 & & & & 0 & C_1 & \\
 & & & & & C_2\n\end{bmatrix}\n\quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-1}.
$$

[Theorem 2.1](#page-1-0) then leads to the contradictory  $p(A) = p(A') > j = p(A)$ . We conclude from [Corollary 2.3](#page-2-0) that  $p(A) = a(A)$ .

(b) Assume that  $p(A) + a(A) = n$  and  $p(A) = a(A) - 1$ . We consider two separate cases, both of which will lead to contradictions:

(i)  $a(C) - p(C) \leq m - 1$ . In this case, we proceed as in (a) to first prove that  $n_j = 1$ . Indeed, if  $n_j \geq 2$ , then

$$
n - 1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) + m - 1 \ge 2j + \left(a(C) - p(C)\right)
$$

$$
= 2p(A) + (a(A) - p(A)) = a(A) + p(A) = n,
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $n<sub>j</sub> = 1$  and *B* is a 1-by-*m* matrix. Then, since  $p(A) <$  $a(A)$ , the second-half arguments in proving (a) yield that  $p(A) = a(A)$ , which contradicts our assumption of  $p(A) = a(A) - 1$ .

(ii)  $a(C) - p(C) = m$ . Note that this can happen only when  $a(C) = m$  and  $p(C) = 0$ . Thus  $m = a(C) - p(C) = a(A) - p(A) = 1$  by [Lemma 3.2](#page-3-0) and our assumption. This shows that *C* is a 1-by-1 matrix, say,  $C = [c]$  with  $a(C) = 1$  and  $p(C) = 0$ . The former condition  $a(C) = 1$  yields that  $c = 0$ , which results in  $p(C) = \infty$ , contradicting the latter  $p(C) = 0.$ 

We conclude that  $p(A) + a(A) = n$  implies  $p(A) \neq a(A) - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

Finally, we are ready to prove [Theorem 3.1.](#page-2-0)

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The existence of an *n*-by-*n* matrix A with  $p(A) = j$  and  $a(A) = k$ implies, by [Corollary 2.3,](#page-2-0) that  $j \leq \min\{k, n-1\}$ . [Lemma 3.3](#page-4-0) then yields that one of (a), (b) and (c) must hold.

For the converse, assume that (a) holds. If  $j = k = 0$ , then  $A = (1/2)I_n$  will do. Otherwise, we have  $1 \leq j = k \leq n-1$ . Let A be a noninvertible  $S_n$ -matrix whose eigenvalue 0 has algebraic multiplicity *k*. Then [Theorem 2.4](#page-2-0) gives  $p(A) = j = k = a(A)$ .

Next assume that (b) holds. Let  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ , where  $A_1$  (resp.,  $A_2$ ) is an  $S_{i+1}$ -matrix (resp., *S<sup>n</sup>*−*j*−<sup>1</sup>-matrix) whose eigenvalue 0 has algebraic multiplicity *j* (resp., *k*). Then  $a(A_1) = j$  and  $a(A_2) = k$ . Hence  $a(A) = \max\{a(A_1), a(A_2)\} = k$ . On the other hand, we also have  $p(A_1) = a(A_1) = j$  and

$$
p(A_2) = \begin{cases} a(A_2) = k & \text{if } k < n - j - 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } k = n - j - 1, \end{cases}
$$

by [Corollary 2.3](#page-2-0) and [Theorem 2.4.](#page-2-0) Thus  $p(A) = \min\{p(A_1), p(A_2)\} = j$ .

Finally, if (c) holds, then there are two cases to be considered:

(i)  $j = k - 2$ . In this case, let

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_2 & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & I_2 & \\ & & & 0 & B \\ & & & & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^2}_{j} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2,
$$

where  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $\binom{0}{0}$   $\binom{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Since  $n = j + k = j + (j + 2) = 2j + 2$ , *A* is indeed an *n*-by-*n* matrix with  $B^*B + C^*C = I_2$ . We infer from [Lemma 3.2\(](#page-3-0)a) (resp., (b)) that

$$
p(A) = j + p(C) = j + 0 = j
$$
 (resp.,  $a(A) = j + a(C) = j + 2 = k$ ).

(ii)  $j \leq k - 3$ . Let  $m = k - j \geq 3$ , and let

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_2 & & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & I_2 & \\ & & & 0 & B \\ & & & & C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n = \underbrace{\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}^2}_{j} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m,
$$

where

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \overbrace{0 & \cdots & 0}^{m-3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

and

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1/2 \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Since  $n = j + k = 2j + m$ , *A* is an *n*-by-*n* matrix with  $B^*B + C^*C = I_m$ . Again, we infer from [Lemma 3.2\(](#page-3-0)a) that

$$
p(A) = j + p(C) = j + 0 = j,
$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that  $C^*C$  is not a projection and hence  $C$  is not a partial isometry. On the other hand, Lemma  $3.2(b)$  implies that

$$
a(A) = j + a(C) = j + m = j + (k - j) = k,
$$

where the second equality holds because *C* is similar to  $J_m$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The two authors acknowledge the supports from the National Science Council of the Republic of China under NSC-102-2115-M-008-007 and NSC-102-2115-M-009-007, respectively. The second author was also supported by the MOE-ATU project.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>References

- [1] H.-L. Gau, P.Y. Wu, Structures and numerical ranges of power partial [isometries,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib31s1) Linear Algebra Appl. 440 (2014) [325–341.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib31s1)
- [2] P.R. Halmos, A Hilbert Space Problem Book, 2nd ed., [Springer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib32s1) New York, 1982.
- [3] P.R. Halmos, J.E. [McLaughlin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib33s1) Partial isometries, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1962) 585–596.
- [4] P.R. Halmos, L.J. Wallen, Powers of partial [isometries,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib34s1) J. Math. Mech. 19 (1970) 657–663.
- [5] D. Sarason, Generalized [interpolation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib35s1) in *H*∞, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967) 179–203.
- [6] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, H. Bercovici, L. Kérchy, Harmonic Analysis of [Operators](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib36s1) on Hilbert Space, 2nd ed., [Springer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0024-3795(14)00438-8/bib36s1) New York, 2010.