Synthesis of Liquid Crystalline Polysiloxanes and Polymethacrylates with Broad Temperature Ranges of the Chiral Smectic C Phase

Chain-Shu Hsu* and Li-Jen Shih

Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30050, Republic of China

Ging-Ho Hsiue

Department of Chemistry Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30043, Republic of China

Received October 26, 1992; Revised Manuscript Received March 2, 1993

ABSTRACT: The synthesis of side-chain liquid crystalline polysiloxanes and polymethacrylates containing oligooxyethylene spacers and 4-(S)-2-methyl-1-butyl [[(4-hydroxybiphenyl-4'-yl)carbonyl]oxy]benzoate mesogenic side groups is presented. Differential scanning calorimetry, optical polarizing microscopy, and X-ray diffraction measurements reveal chiral smectic mesomorphism for all polymers. All three polysiloxanes present enantiotropic smectic A and chiral smectic C phases. The chiral smectic C phase covers a very broad temperature range (about 200 °C). Among polymethacrylates prepared in this study, the polymethacrylate which contains three oxyethylene units in its spacer is the only one revealing smectic A and chiral smectic C phases. The results seem to demonstrate that the tendency toward a chiral smectic C phase increases with increasing the flexibility of the polymer backbone and the oligooxyethylene spacer can increase dramatically the thermal stability of the chiral smectic C phase.

Introduction

The potential application of ferroelectric liquid crystals (FLCs) in fast-switching, high-resolution electrooptical devices is well documented.¹⁻³ Besides low molar mass FLCs, a number of ferroelectric liquid crystalline sidechain polymers have been successfully prepared during the past few years.⁴⁻²⁷ These polymers are interesting because of their ferroelectric properties.¹⁷⁻²⁶ This field has been reviewed by LeBarney and Dubois.²⁸ However, the detailed structure-property relationship of ferroelectric liquid crystalline polymers (LCPs) has not been very clear up until now. It seems necessary to introduce additional functional groups into the mesogenic units, spacers, and polymer backbone of side-chain liquid crystalline polymers to create some new property combinations in order to achieve these goals.

In this study, a series of new chiral smectic liquid crystalline polysiloxanes and polymethacrylates containing oligooxyethylene spacers and 4-(S)-2-methyl-1-butyl [[(4-hydroxybiphenyl-4'-yl)carbonyl]oxy]benzoate mesogenic side groups are synthesized. Their characterizations by differential scanning calorimetry, optical polarizing microscopy, and X-ray diffraction have been presented.

Experimental Section

A. Material. Poly(methylhydrogensiloxane) ($M_n = 2270$) and divinyltetramethyldisiloxane platinum catalyst were obtained from Petrarch Systems (Bristol, PA) and used was received.

S-(-)-2-Methyl-1-butanol, $[\alpha]_D = -6.5^\circ$ (from Merck), 2-(allyloxy)ethanol, 4-hydroxybiphenyl-4'-carboxylic acid (from Tokyo Kaisei Co.), and all other reagents (from Aldrich) were used as received. Toluene used in the hydrosilation reaction and 1,3-dioxane were first refluxed over sodium and then distilled under nitrogen. 2,2'-Azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN; from Fluka) was freshly recrystallized from methanol.

B. Techniques. ¹H-NMR spectra (300 MHz) were recorded on a Varian VXR-300 spectrometer. FT-IR spectra were measured on a Nicolet 520 FT-IR spectrometer. Polymer samples



н-40-сн-сн-



n = 1, 2, 3 IM - 111M

were film cast onto a KBr tablet for the IR measurements. Thermal transitions and thermodynamic parameters were determined by using a Seiko SSC/5200 differential scanning calorimeter equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooling accessory. Heating and cooling rates were 10 °C/min. Thermal transitions reported were collected during the second heating and cooling scans. A Carl-Ziess Axiophot optical polarized microscope equipped with a Mettler FP 82 hot stage and a FP 80 central processor was used to observe the thermal transitions and to analyze the anisotropic textures. Preparative gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was run on a Waters 510 LC instrument

0024-9297/93/2226-3161\$04.00/0 © 1993 American Chemical Society

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be addressed.



equipped with a 410 differential refractometer and a preparative GPC column (22.5 mm \times 60 cm) supplied by American Polymer Standard Co. X-ray diffraction measurements were performed with nickel-filtered Cu K α radiation with a Rigaku powder diffractometer. Optical rotations were measured at 25 °C on a Jasco DIP-140 polarimeter with chloroform as solvent for all compounds.

C. Synthesis of Monomers. The synthesis of olefinic monomers IM-IIIM for hydrosilation reaction and methacrylate monomers IVM-VIM is outlined in Schemes I and II. 2-[2(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethanol and 2-[2-[2-(allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]ethanol were synthesized according to a literature procedure.²⁹

2-(Allyloxy)ethyl Tosylate (1), 2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethyl Tosylate (2), and 2-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]ethyl Tosylate (3). All three compounds were prepared by the same method. The synthesis of compound 2 is described below. To a stirred solution of p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (11.7 g, 0.061 mol) in 60 mL of dried pyridine was added dropwise at 0 °C 2-[2-(allyloxy)ethoxy]ethanol (8.13 g, 0.056 mol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 4 °C overnight. The resulting solution was poured into 70 mL of ice water and extracted with diethyl ether. The ether layer was washed with 30 mL of a 6 N hydrochloric acid solution and water, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered. The diethyl ether was purfied by column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/n-hexane = 1/2 as eluent) to yield 12.9 g of a colorless oil.

1: yield 73.5%; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₆, TMS, ppm) δ 2.45 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 3.61-4.18 (m, 6H, -OCH₂CH₂- and =-CHCH₂O-), 5.18 (m, 2H, H₂C=-CH-), 5.82 (m, 1H, H₂C=-CH-), 7.33-7.81 (2d, 4 aromatic protons).

2: yield 63.6%; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 2.39 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 3.46-4.12 (m, 10H, -(OCH₂CH₂)₂-and =CHCH₂O-), 5.16 (m, 2H, H₂C=CH-), 5.82 (m, 1H, H₂C=CH-), 7.28-7.75 (2d, 4 aromatic protons).

3: yield 71.5%; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, ppm): δ 2.44 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 3.58-4.17 (m, 14H, -(OCH₂CH₂)₃- and -CHCH₂O-), 5.19 (m, 2H, H₂C-CH-), 5.89 (m, 1H, H₂C-CH-), 7.33-7.80 (2d, 4 aromatic protons).

4-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (4), 4-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (5), and 4-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (6). All three compounds were prepared by the same method. The synthesis of compound 5 is described below. 4-Hydroxybiphenyl-4'-carboxylic acid (3.06 g, 14.3 mmol), KOH (2.08 g, 37.2 mmol), and KI (0.3 g) were dissolved in 100 mL of 95% ethanol. The mixture was refluxed for 1 h, and 2-[2-(allyloxy)ethoxy]ethyl tosylate (4.70 g, 15.7 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was refluxed for 20 h, cooled to room temperature, and acidified with 6 N hydrochloric acid. The precipitated solid was filtered and recrystallized from a mixture of ethanol and water to yield 4.09 g of white crystals.

	yield		
monomer	(%)	[α] ²⁵ D	400-MHz ¹ H-NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm)
IM	61.8	+6.81	0.95 (t, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.01 (d, 3H, $-HC(CH_3)CH_2-$), 1.21-1.60 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.85 (m, 1H, $-CH(CH_3)-$), 3.78 ~ 4.25 (m, 8H, $-OCH_2CH_2-$, $-CH_2CH=$, and $-OCH_2CH(CH_3)-$), 5.24 (q, 2H, $H_2C=CH-$), 5.93 (m, 1H,
IIM	52.4	+7.15	$H_2C = CH^{-}$, 6.53-6.25 (m, 12 aromatic protons) 0.93 (t, 3H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.01 (d, 3H, $-HC(CH_3)CH_2^{-}$), 1.21-1.61 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.85 (m, 1H, $-CH(CH_3)^{-}$), 3.59-4.25 (m, 12H, $-(OCH_2CH_2)_2^{-}$, $-CH_2CH=$, and $-OCH_2CH(CH_3)^{-}$), 5.21 (q, 2H, $H_2C = CH^{-}$), 5.91 (m, 1H, $H_2C = CH^{-}$) 6.99-8.29 (m, 12 aromatic protons)
IIIM	61.7	+7.08	0.95 (t, 3H, -CHCH ₃), 1.01 (d, 3H, -HC(CH ₃)CH ₂ -), 1.21-1.59 (m, 2H, -CH ₂ CH ₃), 1.85 (m, 1H, -CH(CH ₃)-), 3.58-4.25 (m, 16H, -(OCH ₂ CH ₂) ₃ -, -CH ₂ CH=, and $-OCH_2CH(CH_3)-$), 5.21 (q, 2H, $H_2C=CH-$), 5.89 (m, 1H, $H_2C=CH-$), 5.99-8 25 (m, 12 aromatic protons)
IVM	51.6	+8.35	12_{2} $-CHCH_{3}$, 0.55 $-CHCH_{3}$, 1.00 (d, $3H_{1}$ $-HC(CH_{3})CH_{2}$ -), $1.24-1.57$ (m, $2H_{1}$ $-CH_{2}CH_{3}$), 1.86 (m, $1H_{1}$ $-CH(CH_{3})$ -), 1.94 (s, $3H_{2}$ $-(C)CH_{3}$), $4.10-4.53$ (m, $6H_{1}$ $-OCH_{2}CH_{2}$ - and $-OCH_{2}CH(CH_{3})$ -), 5.58 and 6.14 (d, $2H_{2}$ $-CH_{2}$), $7.01-8.23$ (m, 12 aromatic protons)
VM	44.5	+8.07	0.95 (t, 3H, $-CHCH_3$), 1.01 (d, 3H, $-HC(CH_3)CH_2-$), 1.24-1.57 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 1.87 (m, 1H, $-CH(CH_3)-$), 1.95 (s, 3H, $=(C)CH_3$), 3.70–4.33 (m, 10H, $-(OCH_2CH_2)_2-$ and $-OCH_2CH(CH_3)-$), 5.57 and 6.13 (d, 2H, $=CH_2$), 7.01–8.24 (m, 12 aromatic protons)
VIM	63.7	+8.65	0.97 (t, 3H, -CHC H_3), 1.02 (d, 3H, -HC(C H_3)CH ₂ -), 1.24-1.57 (m, 2H, -CH ₂ CH ₃), 1.87 (m, 1H, -CH(CH ₃)-), 1.95 (s, 3H, ==(C)CH ₃), 3.70-4.33 (m, 14H, -(OCH ₂ CH ₂) ₃ - and -OCH ₂ CH(CH ₃)-), 5.57 and 6.13 (d, 2H, ==CH ₂), 7.01-8.24 (m, 12 aromatic protons)



Figure 1. DSC thermograms of IIM (10 $^{\circ}$ C/min): (A) heating scan; (B) cooling scan.

4: yield 75.6%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 3.82– 4.20 (m, 6H, =CHCH₂O- and -OCH₂CH₂-), 5.30 (m, 2H, H₂C=CH-), 5.95 (m, 1H, H₂C=CH-), 7.0-7.62 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

5: yield 83.7%, ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 3.56–4.20 (m, 10H, =CHCH₂O- and -(OCH₂CH₂)₂-), 5.23 (m, 2H, H₂O=CH-), 5.87 (m, 1H, H₂C=CH-), 7.04–8.08 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

6: yield 79.3%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 3.50–4.16 (m, 14H, =CHCH₂O- and -(OCH₂CH₂)₃-), 5.11 (m, 2H, H₂C=CH-), 5.84 (m, 1H, H₂C=CHCH₂-), 7.04-8.08 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl 4-Hydroxybenzoate (7). Compound 7 was prepared by acid-catalyzed esterification of (S)-2-methyl-1-butanol with 4-hydroxybenzoic acid in benzene. 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid (5.0 g, 0.036 mol), (S)-2-methyl-1-butanol (4.76 g, 0.054 mol), and concentrated sulfuric acid (0.2 mL) were added to 15 mL of dried benzene. The reaction mixture was refluxed until 4-hydroxybenzoic acid was completely dissolved and 0.65 mL of water was collected on a Dean-Stark trap. After cooling to room temperature, the solution was washed with a 2% aqueous solution of $NaHCO_3$ and water and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed in a rotavapor. The obtained solid was purified with column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane = 1/1 as eluent) to yield 6.96 g (93%) of white crystals: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ = +5.50; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, TMS, ppm): δ 0.80– 1.10 (m, 6H, -CH₃), 1.20–1.58 (m, 2H, -CH₂-), 1.78–1.91 (m, 1H, $-CH(CH_3)-$), 4.14 (q, 2H, $-COOCH_2$), 6.9–7.9 (m, 4 aromatic protons)

4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-yl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (IM), 4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-yl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (IIM), and 4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'vl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (IIIM). The olefinic monomers IM-IIIM were prepared by the esterification of (S)-2-methyl-1-butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate with the corresponding acid compounds 4-6. An example is described below. 4-[2-[2-(Allyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic acid (1.5g, 4.38 mmol) was reacted at room temperature with excess thionyl chloride containing a drop of dimethylformamide in 7 mL of methylene chloride for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude acid chloride. The product was dissolved in 10 mL of methylene chloride and slowly added to a cold solution of (S)-2-methyl-1-butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (0.9 g, 4.38 mmol) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (0.7 g) in 100 mL of methylene chloride. The solution was allowed to stand for 2 h, and then the solvent was removed in a rotavapor. The obtained crude product was purified by column chromatography (silicagel, ethyl acetate/ n-hexane = 1/3 as eluent) to yield 1.22 g (52.4 %) of white crystals. Table I summarizes the optical rotations and ¹H-NMR chemical shifts for monomers IM-IIIM.



A



В

Figure 2. Optical polarizing micrographs displayed by IIM: (A) S_A texture obtained after cooling to 125 °C; (B) S_C^* texture obtained after cooling to 50 °C.

4-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (8), 4-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (9), and 4-[2-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'carboxylic Acid (10). The compounds 8-10 were prepared by etherification of 4-hydroxybiphenyl-4'-carboxylic acid with corresponding 2-chloroethanol, 2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethanol and 2-[2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethoxy]ethanol according to similar synthetic procedures given for compounds 4-6.

8: yield 83.7%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 4.25– 4.59 (m, 4H, $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2$ -), 7.05–8.08 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

9: yield 52.5%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 3.59–4.20 (m, 8H, -(OCH₂CH₂)₂-], 7.05–8.08 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

10: yield 61.5%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 3.51– 4.20 (m, 12H, -(OCH₂CH₃)₃-), 7.16–8.08 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

4-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (11), 4-[2-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'carboxylic Acid (12), and 4-[2-[2-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic Acid (13). All three compounds were prepared by esterification of methacrylic acid with corresponding compounds 8-10. The synthesis of compound 12 is described below. 4-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-carboxylic acid (3 g, 9.9 mmol), methacrylic acid (8.5 g, 0.099 mol), p-toluenesulfonic acid (0.94 g), and hydroquinone (0.44 g) were dissolved in 50 mL of dried benzene. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 40 h, and water was collected on a Dean-Stark trap. After this reaction time, benzene and excess methacrylic acid were distilled off and the crude product was dissolved in ethyl ether. The ether solution was washed with water and dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was removed

Table II. Phase Transitions and Phase Transition Enthalpies for Monomers IM-VIM

monomer	n^a		phase transitions, °C (corresponding enthalpy changes, kcal/mol) b
IM	1	heating	K 95.4 (5.36) S _A 171.5 (1.16) I L 168.8 (1.16) S ₂ 76.8 (-) S ₂ * 58.1 (1.48) K
IIM	2	heating	K 20.5 (0.99) S_{C}^{\bullet} 72.5 (0.03) S_{A} 140.2 (0.82) I L 138.5 (0.76) S_{A} 69.1 (0.03) S_{C} * 12.1 (0.98) K
IIIM	3	heating	K 7.6 (0.93) S_{C}^{*} 57.4 (0.03) S_{A} 106.6 (0.73) I I 103.2 (0.75) S_{A} 54.5 (0.01) S_{C}^{*} 1.4 (0.80) K
IVM	1	heating	K 78.0 (6.51) S_A 113.8 (0.36) I L 104.7 (0.15) S_A 43.0 (1.05) K
VM	2	heating	K 13.6 (0.77) S_A 99.1 (0.65) I 190.0 (0.15) S_A 28.3 (1.63) K
VIM	3	heating cooling	K 25.6 (0.65) S_C^* 53.5 (-) S_A 75.2 (0.27) I I 69.1 (0.30) S_A 45.14 (-) S_C^* 3.3 (0.62) K

^a n according to Schemes I and II. ^b K = crystalline, S_A = smectic A, S_C* = chiral smectic C, N* = chiral nematic, I = isotropic.



Figure 3. DSC thermograms of IP (10 °C/min): (A) heating scan; (B) cooling scan.

Scheme III. Synthesis of Polysiloxanes IP-IIIP



n =1,2,3 IP - IIIP

in a rotavapor. The obtained solid was recrystallized with a mixture of dichloromethane and n-hexane to yield 3.28 g of crystals.

11: yield 83.5%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_{6} , TMS, ppm): δ 1.94 (s, 3H, =(C)CH₃), 4.25-4.52 (m, 4H, -OCH₂CH₂-), 5.58 and 6.13 (d, 2H, =CH₂), 6.97-8.12 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

12: yield 89.5%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 1.92 (s, 3H, =-(C)CH₃), 3.40-4.20 (m, 8H, -(OCH₂CH₂)₂-), 5.58 and 6.10 (d, 2H, =-CH₂), 6.95-8.07 (m, 8 aromatic protons).



A



В

Figure 4. Optical polarizing micrographs displayed by IP: (A) S_A texture obtained after cooling to 221 °C; (B) S_C^* texture obtained after cooling to 178 °C.

13: yield 84.3%; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , TMS, ppm): δ 1.92 (s, 3H, =(C)CH₃), 3.64-4.25 (m, 12H, -(OCH₂CH₂)₃-), 5.57 and 6.06 (2d, 2H, =CH₂), 6.92-8.07 (m, 8 aromatic protons).

4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-yl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (IVM), 4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-yl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (VM), and 4-(S)-2-Methyl-1-butyl [[[4-[2-[2-[2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethoxy]ethoxy]biphenyl-4'-yl]carbonyl]oxy]benzoate (VIM). Monomers IVM-VIM were prepared by esterification of (S)-2methyl-1-butyl 4-hydroxybenzoate with corresponding acid com-

Table III. Phase Transitions and Phase Transition Enthalpies for Polymers IP-IIIP

polymer	nª		phase transitions, °C (corresponding enthalpy changes, kcal/mru) ^b
IP	1	heating	G 9.8 S_{C} * 215.2 (0.07) S_{A} 234.6 (0.80) I
IIP	2	heating	$G = 11.2 S_{C} * 208 (-) S_{A} 211.7 (0.03) S_{C} * 4.1 G$ G = 11.2 S _C * 208 (-) S _A 211.8 (0.85) I
IIIP	3	cooling heating cooling	$1212.0 (0.49) S_A 206 (-) S_C^* - 15.0 G$ G -25.1 S _C * 168 (-) 190.3 (0.94) I I 184.2 (0.98) S _A 161 (-) S _C * -20.8 G

^a n according to Sche	eme III. ^o mru =	mole repeating	unit, G =
glassy, $S_A = $ smectic A, i	Sc* = chiral sme	ectic C, I = isotr	opic.



Figure 5. Temperature-dependent X-ray measurements for polymer IIP at (A) 160, (B) 130, (C) 100, and (D) 70 °C.

pounds 11-13 according to similar synthetic procedures given for monomers IM-IIIM. The yields, optical rotations, and ¹H-NMR chemical shifts of monomers IVM-VIM are also summarized in Table I.

D. Synthesis of Polysiloxanes IP-IIIP. The synthesis of liquid crystalline polysiloxanes is outlined in Scheme II. A general synthetic procedure is described below.

The olefinic derivative (1.0 g, 10 mol % excess versus the Si-H groups present in polysiloxane) was dissolved in 100 mL of dry, freshly distilled toluene together with the proper amount of poly-(methylhydrogensiloxane). The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C under nitrogen, and $100 \,\mu\text{g}$ of divinyltetramethyldisiloxane platinum catalyst was then injected with a syringe as a solution in toluene (1 mg/mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed (110 °C) under nitrogen for 24 h. After this reaction time the FT-IR analysis showed that the hydrosilation reaction was complete. The polymers were separated, purified by several reprecipitations



from a tetrahydrofuran solution into methanol and further purified by preparative GPC, and then dried under vacuum.

E. Synthesis of Polymethacrylates IVP-VIP. The radical polymerizations of the monomers were carried out in Schlenk tubes equipped with septa, under argon. The polymerization tube containing the dioxane solution of the monomer (10%, w/v) and the initiator (AIBN, 1 wt % vs monomer) was first degassed by several freeze-pump-thaw cycles under vacuum and then filled with argon. All polymerizations were carried out at 60 °C for 15 h. After the polymerization time, the polymers were precipitated into methanol, filtered, and purified by reprecipitation from THF solutions into methanol. The polymerization results have been summarized in Table IV.

Results and Discussion

The synthetic route used for the preparation of monomers IM-IIIM is outlined in Scheme II. The chiral group was inserted into these mesogenic compounds starting with the commercially available (S)-(-)-2-methyl-1-butanol. This was done by a sequence of reactions which avoided its racemization. Three monomers were characterized by differential scanning calorimetry and optical polarizing microscopy. Representative DSC traces of monomer IIM are presented in Figure 1. Monomer IIM exhibits a melting transition at 20.5 °C, a chiral smectic C to smectic A phase transition at 72.5 °C, and a smectic A to isotropic phase transition at 140.2 °C on the heating scan (curve A). Crystallization temperature is more supercooled on the cooling scan (curve B) than the other two-phase transition temperatures. Parts A and B of Figure 2 display respectively the typical smectic A and chiral smectic C textures exhibited by IIM. Table II summaries the thermal transitions and corresponding enthalpy changes of monomers IM-VIM. Monomer IM exhibits an enantiotropic smectic A phase and a monotropic chiral smectic C phase, while both monomers IIM and IIIM present enantiotropic smectic A and chiral smectic C phases. As can be seen from the data listed in Table II, the isotropization temperature, melting temperature, and chiral smectic C to smectic A phase temperature decrease by increasing the length of the oligooxyethylene spacer.

The synthesis of polymers IP-IIIP is described in Scheme III. An excess amount of olefinic monomers was usually used to carry the hydrosilation reaction to completion. The unreacted monomers were removed by several reprecipitations from THF solution into methanol and by preparative GPC. Therefore the polymers were isolated with high purity. The polymers were characterized by differential scanning calorimetry and optical polarizing

polymer	nª	$ar{M}_{ m n}$	$ ilde{M}_{ m w}$	$ar{M}_{ m w}/ar{M}_{ m n}$		phase transitions, °C (corresponding enthalpy changes, kcal/mru) ^b
IVP	1	10 386	16 872	1.62	heating	G 35.3 S _A 159.4 (0.26) I
					cooling	I 139.2 (0.25) S _A 35.2 G
VP	2	12 382	19 083	1.54	heating	G 17.8 S _A 130.6 (0.39) I
					cooling	I 127.8 (0.51) S _A 21.8 G
VIP	3	11957	19 480	1.63	heating	G -7.7 S _C * 120 (-) S _A 154.8 (1.15) I
					cooling	I 133.1 (0.78) S _A 114 (-) S _C * -10.6 G

Table IV. Phase Transitions and Phase Transition Enthalpies for Polymers IVP-VIP

^a n according to Scheme IV. ^b mru = mole repeating unit, G = glassy, S_A = smectic A, S_C * = chiral smectic C, I = isotropic.

microscopy. Figure 3 presents the representative DSC heating and cooling traces of polymer IP. On the heating scan (curve A), it shows a glass transition temperature (T_g) at 9.8 °C followed by a chiral smectic C to smectic A phase transition at 215.2 °C and a smectic A to isotropic phase transition at 234.6 °C. The cooling scan (curve B) looks almost identical to the heating scan, except that a very small supercooling (less than 5 °C) is observed for both exothermic transitions. Parts A and B of Figure 4 display respectively the smectic A and chiral smectic C textures exhibited by IP. Table III summarizes the thermal transitions and corresponding enthalpy changes of polymers IP-IIIP. All the polymers present enantiotropic chiral smectic C and smectic A phases. The existence of the chiral smectic C phase for these polymers was also proved by X-ray diffraction measurements. Figure 5 presents the temperature-dependent X-ray diffraction diagrams obtained from the powder sample of IIP at 160, 130, 100, and 70 °C. Since the maximum measuring temperature for the X-ray instrument used in this study is 170 °C, it is not able to obtain the diffraction patterns of a polymer at its smectic A phase. The diffraction patterns obtained at four different temperatures look almost the same. A broad reflection at wide angles (associated with the laterial packings) and a sharp reflection at low angles (associated with the smectic layers) are respectively shown by all curves. Only their d-spacings are different. The layer spacing decreases with decreasing the measuring temperature. This indicates the existence of the chiral smectic C phase because the tilt angle of the side chains usually increases with decreasing the temperature for a chiral smectic C side-chain LCP.

The synthetic procedures for methacrylate monomers are outlined in Scheme II. Again all reaction steps were performed with retention of the configuration of the chiral end groups. The thermal transitions and thermodynamic parameters of monomers IVM-VIM are also reported in Table II. Both monomers IVM-VIM are also reported in antiotropic smectic A phase, while VIM presents both enantiotropic chiral smectic C and smectic A phases. The results demonstrate that the tendency toward chiral smectic C mesomorphism increases by increasing the length of the oligooxyethylene spacer for these monomers.

The synthesis of polymers IVP-VIP is described in Scheme IV. The results of the radical polymerization of the monomers are summarized in Table IV. The molecular weights of these polymers were determined by GPC using a calibration based on polystyrene standards and therefore have only a relative meaning. The thermal transitions and thermodynamic parameters of polymers IVP-VIP are also reported in Table IV. All three polymers present smectic mesomorphism. Both polymers IVP and VP show respectively a glass transition temperature at 35.3 °C and 17.8 °C and an enantiotropic smectic A phase. Polymer VIP shows a glass transition temperature at -7.7 °C and the enantiotropic chiral smectic C and smectic A phases. Upon comparison of the thermal transitions of polymethacrylates IVP-VIP with those of polysiloxanes IP-

Т	able	v.	Inf	luenc	e of	the	Polymer	B	ackbone	an	d Space	r
0 n	the	Chi	ral	Smec	tic (C Te	mperatu	re i	Breadth	of	Polyme	rs

polymer	polymer backbone and spacer	temp breadth of chiral smectic C phase (°C)
IP	CH ₃ - - (-SiO-) _n - CH ₂ - CH ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂ -	205.4
IIP	CH ₃ - (-SIO-), - CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ (-OCH ₂ CH ₂ -) ₂	239.2
IIIP	CH ₃ (-SIO-),, CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ (-OCH ₂ CH ₂ -) ₃	193.1
IVP	СH ₃ (СH ₂ С-), 0 ^С ОСН ₂ СH ₂ -	0
VP	CH3 (-CH2C-), 0 (OCH2CH2-)2	0
VIP	CH ₃ (-CH ₂ C-), C (OCH ₂ CH ₂ -) ₃	127.7

IIIP, a flexible polymer backbone can be seen here to have a tendency toward having a lower glass transition and a wider mesomorphic temperature range. The most important tendency is that the flexible polymer backbone also leads to a wider temperature range of the chiral smectic C phase. Table V presents the data illustrating the chiral smectic C temperature breadth of all polymers prepared in this study. As can be seen from the data reported in Table V, all the synthesized polysiloxanes with flexible oligooxyethylene spacers reveal a very wide temperature range of the chiral smectic C phase. Nevertheless, among the three prepared polymethacrylates with flexible oligooxyethylene spacers, VIP is the only one that presents a chiral smectic C phase and its chiral smectic C temperature range is smaller than that of its corresponding polysiloxane. The results demonstrate that the flexibility of the polymer backbone has a pronounced effect on the thermal stability of the chiral smectic C phase.

In conclusion, a series of new side-chain liquid crystalline polysiloxanes and polymethacrylates containing oligooxyethylene and 4-(S)-2-methyl-1-butyl [[(4-hydroxybiphenyl-4'-yl)carbonyl]oxy]benzoate side groups have been prepared. All the obtained polymers exhibit smectic mesomorphism. The nature of the flexible oligooxyethylene spacer plays a very important role in the thermal stability of the chiral smectic C phase. The flexibility of the polymer has an even more profound effect on the thermal stability of the chiral smectic C phase. A flexible polymer backbone enhances the decoupling of the motions of the side chain and main chain and therefore tends to give rise to a higher thermal stability of the mesophases, including the chiral smectic C phase.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support of this work (Grant NSC81-0416-E009-02).

References and Notes

- Clark, N. A.; Lagerwall, S. T. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1980, 36, 899.
 Lagerwall, S. T.; Dahl, I. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1984, 114, 151.
- (3) Lagerwall, S. T.; Otterholm, B.; Skarp, K. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1987, 152, 503.
- Shihaev, V. P.; Kozlovsky, M. V.; Beresnev, L. A.; Blinov, L. M.; Plate, N. A. Polym. Bull. 1984, 12, 299.
 Decobert, G.; Soyer, F.; Dubois, J. C. Polym. Bull. 1985, 14, 179.
- Guglielminetti, J. M.; Decobert, G.; Dubois, J. C. Polym. Bull. 1986, 16, 411.
- (7) Decobert, G.; Dubois, J. C.; Esselin, S.; Noel, C. Liq. Cryst. 1986, 1, 307.
- Dubois, J. C.; Decobert, G.; LeBarny, P.; Esselin, S.; Friedrich, (8) C.; Noel, C. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1986, 137, 349. Esselin, S.; Bosio, L.; Noel, C.; Decobert, G.; Dubois, J. C. Liq.
- Cryst. 1987, 2, 505.

- (10) Zentel, R.; Rekert, G.; Reck, B. Liq. Cryst. 1987, 2, 83.
 (11) Hahn, B.; Percec, V. Macromolecules 1987, 20, 2961.
- (12) Bualek, S.; Kapitza, H.; Meyer, J.; Schmidt, G. F.; Zentel, R. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1988, 155, 47.
- (13) Uchida, S.; Morita, K.; Miyoshi, K.; Hashimoto, K.; Kawasaki, K. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1988, 155, 93. (14) Esselin, S.; Noel, C.; Decobert, G.; Dubois, J. C. Mol. Cryst. Liq.
- Cryst. 1988, 155, 371.
- (15) Kapitza, H.; Zental, R. Makromol. Chem. 1988, 189, 1793.
- (16) Zentel, R. Liq. Cryst. 1988, 3, 531.
- (17) Zentel, R.; Reckert, G.; Bualek, S.; Kapitza, H. Makromol. Chem. 1989. 190. 2869.
- Vallerien, S. U.; Zentel, R.; Kremer, F.; Kapitza, H.; Fischer, E. (18)W. Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun. 1989, 10, 33.
- (19) Scherowsky, G.; Schliwa, A.; Springer, J.; Kuhnpast, K.; Trapp, W. Liq. Cryst. 1989, 5, 1281.
- (20) Shibaev, V. P.; Kozlovsky, M. V.; Plate, N. A. Liq. Cryst. 1990. 8, 1281.
- (21) Dumon, M.; Nguyen, H. T.; Mauzac, M.; Destrade, C.; Achard, M. F.; Gasparoux, H. Macromolecules 1990, 23, 355.
- (22) Vallerien, S. U.; Kremer, F.; Fischer, E. W. Makromol. Chem. Rapid Commun. 1990, 11, 593.
- Vallerien, S. U.; Kremker, F.; Kapitza, H.; Zentel, R.; Fischer, E. W. Ferroelectrics 1990, 109, 273.
- (24) Brand, H. R.; Pleiner, H. Makromol. Chem., Rapid. Commun. 1990, 11, 607.
- (25) Endo, H.; Hachiya, S.; Uchida, S.; Hashimoto, K.; Kawasaki, K. Liq. Cryst. 1991, 9, 635.
- (26) Kapitza, H.; Zentel, R. Makromol. Chem. 1991. 192. 1859.
- (27) Bomelburg, J.; Heppke, G.; Hollidt, J. Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun. 1991, 12, 483.
- (28) LeBarny, P.; Dubois, J. C. In Side Chain Liquid Crystal Polymers; McArdle, C. B., Ed.; Blackie: Glasgow, Scotland, and London, 1989; p 130.
- (29) Percec, V.; Hsu, C. S. Polym. Bull. 1990, 23, 463.