



ELSEVIER

Journal of Statistical Planning and  
Inference 74 (1998) 365–370

journal of  
statistical planning  
and inference

## $(m, n)$ -cycle systems

Darryn E. Bryant<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Khodkar<sup>a,1</sup>, Hung-Lin Fu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Combinatorics, Department of Mathematics, The University of Queensland,  
Queensland 4072, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan, People's  
Republic of China

Received 14 May 1997; received in revised form 9 February 1998; accepted 9 February 1998

### Abstract

We describe a method which, in certain circumstances, may be used to prove that the well-known necessary conditions for partitioning the edge set of the complete graph on an odd number of vertices (or the complete graph on an even number of vertices with a 1-factor removed) into cycles of lengths  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$  are sufficient in the case  $|\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t\}| = 2$ . The method is used to settle the case where the cycle lengths are 4 and 5. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction and notation

The obvious necessary conditions for the existence of a decomposition of the complete graph  $K_v$  into cycles  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t$ , of lengths  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$ , whose edges partition the edge set of  $K_v$  are

- $3 \leq m_i \leq v$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ;
- $v$  is odd; and
- $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_t = v(v - 1)/2$ .

When  $v$  is even, one may, instead, consider partitioning the edge set of the complete graph with a 1-factor removed  $K_v \setminus F$  into cycles. In this case, the necessary conditions are

- $3 \leq m_i \leq v$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ;
- $v$  is even; and
- $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_t = v(v - 2)/2$ .

The question of whether these necessary conditions are sufficient was asked by Alspach (1981). Although the question remains unsolved in general, the conditions

\* Corresponding author.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the Australian Research Council.

have been proven to be sufficient in many cases and there are no known cases where they are not sufficient. Rosa (to appear) has shown that they are sufficient when  $v \leq 10$ .

A decomposition of  $K_v$  into cycles all of the same length  $m$  which partition the edge set of  $K_v$  is usually called an  $m$ -cycle system of  $K_v$ . The problem of finding all values of  $v$  for which there is an  $m$ -cycle system of  $K_v$  is unsolved for general  $m$ , though the necessary conditions have been shown to be sufficient for many values of  $m$ . Several results on  $m$ -cycle systems of  $K_v \setminus F$  also exist. See Lindner and Rodger (1992) for a survey of  $m$ -cycle systems.

There exist several results for decompositions of  $K_v$  into cycles of more than one length, see Heinrich et al. (1989) for example. One of the results in Heinrich et al. (1989) is that if  $m_i \in \{3, 4, 6\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  then the above necessary conditions are sufficient. Recently, Adams et al. (1998) solved the problem for  $m_i \in \{3, 5\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

Here, we present a method of proving (when certain conditions are satisfied) that the above necessary conditions are sufficient when  $m_i \in \{m, n\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ; that is, two different cycle lengths only. We use the method to settle the smallest open case,  $m_i \in \{4, 5\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

We need the following notation.

- If  $G_2$  is a subgraph of  $G_1$  we denote by  $G_1 \setminus G_2$  the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1 \setminus G_2) = V(G_1)$  and edge set  $E(G_1 \setminus G_2) = E(G_1) \setminus E(G_2)$ .
- When a graph  $G$  is the union of edge disjoint graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t$  we will write  $G = G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_t$ . The use of the  $+$  symbol is restricted to the case in which the graphs  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t$  are edge disjoint.
- An  $m$ -cycle on  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m\}$  with edges  $a_1a_2, a_2a_3, \dots, a_{m-1}a_m, a_ma_1$  will be denoted by  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m)$ .
- An  $(m^r, n^s)$ -cycle system of a graph  $G$  is a set consisting of  $r$   $m$ -cycles and  $s$   $n$ -cycles whose edges partition  $E(G)$ .
- For any non-negative integer  $v$ , define  $S_{m,n}(v) = \{(r, s) : mr + ns = v \text{ and } r, s \geq 0\}$  and for a given graph  $G$ , define  $\text{Type}_{m,n}(G) = \{(r, s) : \text{there exists an } (m^r, n^s)\text{-cycle system of } G\}$ . Where it is clear what  $m$  and  $n$  are, we will omit the subscripts and just write  $S(v)$  and  $\text{Type}(G)$ .
- For  $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $(r, s) + E = \{(r + x, s + y) : (x, y) \in E\}$ .
- For non-negative integers  $u$  and  $v$  with  $v \geq u$ , define  $G_u^v = K_v \setminus K_u$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are odd, and  $G_u^v = (K_v \setminus F_1) \setminus (K_u \setminus F_2)$  if  $u$  and  $v$  are even, where  $F_1$  is a 1-factor of  $K_v$  and  $F_2$  is a 1-factor of  $K_u$  with  $F_2 \subseteq F_1$ . If  $u = 0$  or 1 then we use  $G^v$ .

## 2. Main results

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $G = G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_t$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $(r_i, s_i) \in \text{Type}(G_i)$ , then  $(\sum_{i=1}^t r_i, \sum_{i=1}^t s_i) \in \text{Type}(G)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $u, v$  and  $w$  be non-negative integers with  $v \geq u$  and  $v \geq w$ . If*

- (1) *there exists an  $m$ -cycle system of  $G_u^v$ ;*
- (2) *there exists an  $n$ -cycle system of  $G_w^v$ ;*
- (3) *Type( $G^u$ ) =  $S(|E(G^u)|)$  and Type( $G^w$ ) =  $S(|E(G^w)|)$ ; and*
- (4)  *$(|E(G^w)| + |E(G^u)|) - |E(G^v)| \geq 0$ ,*

*then Type( $G^v$ ) =  $S(|E(G^v)|)$ .*

**Proof.** Since Type( $G^v$ )  $\subseteq S(|E(G^v)|)$  from the definitions of Type( $G^v$ ) and  $S(|E(G^v)|)$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $S(|E(G^v)|) \subseteq \text{Type}(G^v)$ . Let  $(r, s)$  be any element in  $S(|E(G^v)|)$  and let  $x$  and  $y$  be non-negative integers such that  $|E(G_u^v)| = xm$  and  $|E(G_w^v)| = yn$ . Then  $|E(G^v)| = rm + sn$ ,  $|E(G^u)| = |E(G^v)| - |E(G_u^v)| = (r - x)m + sn$  and  $|E(G^w)| = |E(G^v)| - |E(G_w^v)| = rm + (s - y)n$ .

(A) In the case  $r \geq x$ , it follows from the above equation and Eq. (3) in Theorem 2.2 that  $(r - x, s) \in S(|E(G^u)|)$  and  $(r - x, s) \in \text{Type}(G^u)$ . Since  $(x, 0) \in \text{Type}(G_u^v)$  and  $G^v = G^u + G_u^v$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $(r, s) \in \text{Type}(G^v)$ .

(B) In the case  $s \geq y$ , it follows from Eq. (3) in Theorem 2.2 that  $(r, s - y) \in \text{Type}(G^w)$ . Since  $(0, y) \in \text{Type}(G_w^v)$  and  $G^v = G^w + G_w^v$ , we have  $(r, s) \in \text{Type}(G^v)$ .

(C) In the case  $r < x$  and  $s < y$ , it follows that  $|E(G^u)| = (r - x)m + sn < sn$  and  $|E(G^w)| = rm + (s - y)n < rm$ . Hence, it follows from Eq. (4) that  $|E(G^v)| \leq |E(G^u)| + |E(G^w)| < rm + sn$ . Since  $|E(G^v)| = rm + sn$ , this is a contradiction. Hence,  $r \geq x$  or  $s \geq y$ .

It follows from (A)–(C) that  $S(|E(G^v)|) \subseteq \text{Type}(G^v)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove that for all positive integers  $v$ ,  $\text{Type}_{4,5}(G^v) = S_{4,5}(|E(G^v)|)$ . From here on we will omit the subscript 4,5 on Type and  $S$ . Note that in the notation  $(r, s)$ , the first coordinate represents the number of 4-cycles and the second coordinate represents the number of 5-cycles. We make use of the following results.

**Theorem 2.3** (See Bryant et al., 1997). *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be odd with  $u < v$ . Then there exists a 4-cycle system of  $G_u^v$  if and only if  $v \equiv u \pmod{8}$ .*

**Theorem 2.4** (See Sotheau, 1981). *The complete bipartite graph  $K_{x,y}$  can be decomposed into edge disjoint 4-cycles if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are even.*

**Theorem 2.5** (See Bryant et al., 1996). *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be odd. Then there exists a 5-cycle system of  $G_u^v$  if and only if:*

- (a)  $v \geq 3u/2 + 1$ , and
- (b)  $u \equiv v \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ , or  $u, v \equiv 1$  or  $5 \pmod{10}$ , or  $u, v \equiv 7$  or  $9 \pmod{10}$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (See Bryant and Khodkar, to appear). *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be even. Then there exists a 5-cycle system of  $G_u^v$  if and only if:*

- (a)  $v \geq 3u/2 + 2$ , and
- (b)  $u, v \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{10}$ , or  $u, v \equiv 4$  or  $8 \pmod{10}$ , or  $u \equiv v \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** For  $t \geq 4$  we have  $(0, 2) + (2t - 4, 0) + \text{Type}(G^{2t-3}) \subseteq \text{Type}(G^{2t+1})$ .

**Proof.** Since  $G^{2t+1} = G^5 + K_{2t-4,4} + G^{2t-3}$  the result follows by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $v$  be odd. Then  $\text{Type}(G^v) = S(|E(G^v)|)$  for  $v \geq 5$ .

**Proof.** In the case  $v \leq 25$ ,  $v = 31$  and  $v = 33$ , it follows from Rosa [10] and the appendix that Theorem 2.8 holds. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that Theorem 2.8 holds in the case  $v \geq 27$ ,  $v \neq 31$  and  $v \neq 33$ .

In the case  $v \geq 27$ , let  $(r, s)$  be any element in  $S(|E(G^v)|)$  and let  $u(v)$  denote the largest odd integer  $u$  such that there exists a 5-cycle system of  $G_u^v$  for a given odd integer  $v$ . Since  $|E(G^v)| = v(v - 1)/2$ , it follows from Theorem 2.5 that  $4r + 5s = v(v - 1)/2$ ,  $u(23) = 13$ ,  $u(25) = 15$ ,  $u(27) = 17$ ,  $u(29) = 17$ ,  $u(31) = 15$ ,  $u(33) = 13$ ,  $u(35) = 21$ ,  $u(37) = 19$ ,  $u(39) = 19$ ,  $u(41) = 25$ ,  $u(43) = 23$ ,  $u(45) = 25$ ,  $u(47) = 29$ ,  $u(49) = 29$  and  $u(51) = 31$ . By Theorem 2.5, there exists a positive integer  $y$  such that  $|E(G_{u(v)}^v)| = 5y$ , where  $y = (v(v - 1) - u(v)(u(v) - 1))/10$ . Since  $|E(G^v)| = 4r + 5s$  and  $|E(G_{v-8}^v)| = |E(G^v)| - |E(G^{v-8})| = v(v - 1)/2 - (v - 8)(v - 9)/2 = 4(2v - 9)$ , it follows that  $|E(G^{v-8})| = 4(r - (2v - 9)) + 5s$ .

(A) In the case  $r \leq u(v)(u(v) - 1)/8$ , it follows that  $|E(G^{u(v)})| = |E(G^v)| - |E(G_{u(v)}^v)| = 4r + 5(s - y)$ . Since  $4r + 5s = v(v - 1)/2$ , it follows that  $s \geq y$  if and only if  $r \leq u(v)(u(v) - 1)/8$ . Hence  $(r, s - y) \in S(|E(G^{u(v)})|)$  and  $(r, s - y) \in \text{Type}(G^{u(v)})$  by induction on  $v$ . Since  $G^v = G^{u(v)} + G_{u(v)}^v$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $(r, s) \in \text{Type}(G^v)$ .

(B) In the case  $r \geq 2v - 9$ , it follows that  $(r - 2v + 9, s) \in S(|E(G^{v-8})|)$ . Since  $(r - 2v + 9, s) \in \text{Type}(G^{v-8})$  by induction on  $v$ , we have  $(r, s) \in \text{Type}(G^v)$ .

(C) In the case  $v \leq r < 2v - 9$ , it follows from Corollary 2.7 that  $(r, s) \in \text{Type}(G^v)$ . Since  $v \leq u(v)(u(v) - 1)/8$  in the case  $v \geq 27$ ,  $v \neq 31$  and  $v \neq 33$ , it follows from (A)–(C), Rosa (to appear) and the appendix that  $S(|E(G^v)|) \subseteq \text{Type}(G^v)$  for any odd integer  $v \geq 5$ . Since  $\text{Type}(G^v) \subseteq S(|E(G^v)|)$  for any odd integer  $v \geq 5$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

It is worth noting that when  $v \geq 47$ ,  $2(|E(G^{v-8})| + |E(G^{u(v)})| - |E(G^v)|) = u(v)(u(v) - 1) - 8(2v - 9) \geq 0$ . Hence, once Theorem 2.8 is proved for the case  $v < 47$ , the case  $v \geq 47$  follows immediately by induction from Theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $v \geq 4$  be even. Then  $\text{Type}(G^v) = S(|E(G^v)|)$ .

**Proof.** For  $v \leq 10$  see Rosa (to appear). For  $v \geq 12$  apply Theorem 2.2 with  $w = v - 2$  and an integer  $u$  which satisfies Theorem 2.2 parts (1) and (4). Since  $v \geq 12$  one can see that such an integer  $u$  always exists.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the referees for helpful comments.

## Appendix

In this appendix, we prove that  $\text{Type}_{4,5}(G^v) = S_{4,5}(|E(G^v)|)$  for  $v = 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 31$  and  $33$ . We will omit the subscript  $4,5$  on  $\text{Type}$  and  $S$ . Note that in the notation  $(r,s)$ , the first coordinate represents the number of 4-cycles and the second coordinate represents the number of 5-cycles.

$\text{Type}(K_{11}) = S(|E(K_{11})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{11})|) = \{(0, 11), (5, 7), (10, 3)\}$ . Corollary 2.7 takes care of  $(10, 3)$ . By Theorem 2.5 we have  $(0, 11) \in \text{Type}(K_{11})$ . To see  $(5, 7) \in \text{Type}(K_{11})$  let the vertex set of  $K_{11}$  be  $\{1, \dots, 11\}$ . Let the 5-cycles be  $(2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ ,  $(2, 4, 6, 3, 5)$ ,  $(7, 8, 9, 10, 11)$ ,  $(7, 9, 11, 8, 10)$ ,  $(1, 2, 9, 4, 7)$ ,  $(1, 4, 11, 6, 9)$  and  $(1, 6, 8, 3, 11)$ , and let the 4-cycles be  $(1, 3, 9, 5)$ ,  $(1, 8, 4, 10)$ ,  $(2, 7, 3, 10)$ ,  $(2, 8, 5, 11)$  and  $(5, 7, 6, 10)$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{13}) = S(|E(K_{13})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{13})|) = \{(2, 14), (7, 10), (12, 6), (17, 2)\}$ . Since  $K_{13} = K_{4,2} + K_9 \setminus K_7 + K_{11}$ , by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(2, 14), (7, 10), (12, 6)$  are in  $\text{Type}(K_{13})$ . Since  $K_{13} = K_{13} \setminus K_5 + K_5$ , by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.1,  $(17, 2) \in \text{Type}(K_{13})$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{15}) = S(|E(K_{15})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{15})|) = \{(0, 21), (5, 17), \dots, (25, 1)\}$ . Since  $K_{15} = K_{6,2} + K_9 \setminus K_7 + K_{13}$ , by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(5, 17), (10, 13), (15, 9), (20, 5)$  are in  $\text{Type}(K_{15})$ . By Theorem 2.5 we have  $(0, 21) \in \text{Type}(K_{15})$ . Since  $K_{15} = K_{15} \setminus K_7 + K_7$ , by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.1,  $(25, 1) \in \text{Type}(K_{15})$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{17}) = S(|E(K_{17})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{17})|) = \{(4, 24), (9, 20), \dots, (34, 0)\}$ . Since  $K_{17} = K_{17} \setminus K_9 + K_9$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(4, 24), (9, 20) \in \text{Type}(K_{17})$ . Finally, Corollary 2.7 and Theorem 2.3 take care of other types.

$\text{Type}(K_{19}) = S(|E(K_{19})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{19})|) = \{(4, 31), (9, 27), \dots, (39, 3)\}$ . Since  $K_{19} = K_{19} \setminus K_9 + K_9$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(4, 31), (9, 27) \in \text{Type}(K_{19})$ . Finally, Corollary 2.7 takes care of the remaining types.

$\text{Type}(K_{21}) = S(|E(K_{21})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{21})|) = \{(0, 42), (5, 38), \dots, (50, 2)\}$ . Since  $K_{21} = K_{21} \setminus K_{11} + K_{11}$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(0, 42), (5, 38), (10, 34) \in \text{Type}(K_{21})$ . Since  $K_{21} = K_{8,4} + K_{17} \setminus K_9 + K_{13}$ , by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(15, 30) \in \text{Type}(K_{21})$ . Finally, Corollary 2.7 takes care of the remaining types.

$\text{Type}(K_{23}) = S(|E(K_{23})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{23})|) = \{(2, 49), (7, 45), \dots, (62, 1)\}$ . Since  $K_{23} = K_{23} \setminus K_{13} + K_{13}$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(2, 49), (7, 45), (12, 41), (17, 37) \in \text{Type}(K_{23})$ . From Corollary 2.7 it follows that  $(22, 33), (27, 29), \dots, (57, 5) \in \text{Type}(K_{23})$  and since  $K_{23} = K_{23} \setminus K_{15} + K_{15}$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 we have  $(62, 1) \in \text{Type}(K_{23})$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{25}) = S(|E(K_{25})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{25})|) = \{(0, 60), (5, 56), \dots, (75, 0)\}$ . Since  $K_{25} = K_{25} \setminus K_{15} + K_{15}$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(0, 60), (5, 56), \dots, (25, 40) \in \text{Type}(K_{25})$ . From Corollary 2.7 it follows that  $(20, 44), (25, 40), \dots, (70, 4) \in \text{Type}(K_{25})$  and by Theorem 2.3 (with  $u = 1$  and  $v = 25$ ), we have  $(75, 0) \in \text{Type}(K_{25})$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{31}) = S(|E(K_{31})|)$ :  $S(|E(K_{31})|) = \{(0, 93), (5, 89), \dots, (115, 1)\}$ . Since  $K_{31} = K_{31} \setminus K_{15} + K_{15}$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(0, 93), (5, 89), \dots, (25, 73) \in \text{Type}(K_{31})$ . From Corollary 2.7 it follows that  $(30, 69), (35, 65), \dots, (110, 5) \in \text{Type}(K_{31})$  and since  $K_{31} = K_{31} \setminus K_{23} + K_{23}$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 we have  $(115, 1) \in \text{Type}(K_{31})$ .

$\text{Type}(K_{33}) = S(|E(K_{33})|): S(|E(K_{33})|) = \{(2, 104), (7, 100), \dots, (132, 0)\}$ . Since  $K_{33} = K_{33} \setminus K_{13} + K_{13}$ , by Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1,  $(0, 90) + \text{Type}(K_{13}) \subseteq \text{Type}(K_{33})$ . Since  $K_{33} = K_{33} \setminus K_{25} + K_{25}$ , by Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.1,  $(57, 0) + \text{Type}(K_{25}) \subseteq \text{Type}(K_{33})$ . Finally, for the remaining types, we apply Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 and Lemma 2.1 with  $K_{33} = K_{12,4} + K_{29} \setminus K_{17} + K_{21}$ .

## References

- Adams, P., Bryant, D., Khodkar, A., 1998. 3,5-cycle decompositions. *J. Combin. Des.* 6, 91–110.
- Alspach, B., 1981. Research problems, Problem 3. *Discrete Math.* 36, 333.
- Bryant, D., Hoffman, D.G., Rodger, C.A., 1996. 5-cycle systems with holes. *Des Codes Cryptography* 8, 103–108.
- Bryant, D., Khodkar, A., 5-cycle systems of  $K_r - F$  with a hole. *Utilitas Math.*, to appear.
- Bryant, D., Rodger, C.A., Spicer, E.R., 1997. Embeddings of  $m$ -cycle systems and incomplete  $m$ -cycle systems:  $m \leq 14$ . *Discrete Math.* 171, 55–75.
- Heinrich, K., Horak, P., Rosa, A., 1989. On Alspach's conjecture. *Discrete Math.* 77, 97–121.
- Lindner, C.C., Rodger, C.A., 1992. Decomposition into cycles II, Cycle systems. In: Dinitz, J.H., Stinson, D.R. (Eds.), *Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys*. Wiley, New York, pp. 325–369.
- Rosa, A., Alspach's conjecture is true for  $n \leq 10$ . *Math. Reports*, McMaster University, to appear.
- Sotteau, D., 1981. Decompositions of  $K_{m,n}$  ( $K_{m,n}^*$ ) into cycles (circuits) of length  $2k$ . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 30, 75–81.