DESIGN OF THE COUPLING SCHEMES FOR THE AC-3 CODER IN STEREO CODING

Chi-Min Liu, Member, IEEE, Wen-Chieh Lee and Shyh-Yan Juang Department and Institute *of* Computer Science and Information Engineering National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, 30050, Taiwan Email: cmliu @csie.nctu.edu.tw

Abstract - **When applying the Dolby AC-3 coder for the stereo music compression, the coupling scheme that combines the two channels stereo audio signals in high frequency into one channel is the key technology for the Dolby AC-3 to achieve the bit rates lower than** 96x2 kbits/sec while preserving high stereo audio **quality. This paper proposes four coupling methods for the AC-3 encoder. These four methods vary with the complexity and performance. These four methods are compared through both subjective and objective tests. These four coupling methods are also combined with the dithering scheme and examined through subjective and objective tests. The result shows that the dithering scheme can effectively ease the coupling artifacts and enhance the audio quality.**

1. INTRODUCTION

HE coupling scheme, which applies the low **1** perceptual sensitivity of the stereo signals in high frequency to audio compression, is the key technology to achieve near transparent quality at the bit rates below $96x2$ kbits/sec. The principle of the coupling scheme is derived from the stereo irrelevancy from the auditory systems. The stereo irrelevancy expresses that the ability of the human auditory system to resolve the exact location of audio sources decreases with frequency. As stated in [2] and **[3],** the localization of the stereophonic image for the frequencies above 2 kHz is determined by the signal envelope instead of the signal fine structures. Following the stereo irrelevancy, the AC-3 coder has developed the coupling scheme to achieve efficient compression. However, the standard draft [1] illustrates the decoupling process for the decoder and leaves unmentioned the coupling process for the encoder. This paper proposes and compares four coupling methods for the coupling process of the AC-3 encoder.

Fig. 1 illustrates the block diagram for the coupling

process in the Dolby AC-3. The audio sequences in stereo signal pairs are individually transformed into spectral lines and grouped into vectors referred to as the coupling bands. Fig. 1 shows the coupling process for one band corresponding to the same frequency range in a stereo signal pair. The bands from the left and the right channels are coupled through the coupling block in Fig. 1. The coupling process produces four outputs: the coupling vector or band C_{band} , the two coordinate values (s_L, s_R) and a phase flag *p*. The coupling band *Chand* is quantized and packed into the AC-3 bit stream. In this manner, the bands from the left and the right channels have been reduced into one band to achieve data reduction. The decoder multiplies the left coordinate (or the right coordinate with negative if the phase flag is on) with the coupling band to reconstruct the left band (or right band). For the coupling process, the design criterion for the encoder is to provide appropriately the four coupling information such that the stereo signal bands can be reconstructed with good listening quality.

of the Dolby AC-3 codec

As mentioned above, the sensitivity of the stereophonic image for the frequencies above 2 kHz is determined by the signal envelope instead of the signal fine structures. The coupling scheme in AC-3 keeps the audio contents through the coupling band *Cband,* and preserves the envelope through the two coordinates *(SL, SR).* Since the

two bands have been reduced to one coupling band, it is impossible to reconstruct without loss the original two bands from the single band. Hence the design objective of the coupling is to keep envelope of the two bands through the coupling coordinates and minimizes the loss of the audio content through the coupling band. The coupling scheme is similar to the intensity coding in MPEG- $1/2$ audio coding. We have applied the Karhuner-Loeve transform to the intensity scheme to achieve the above objective [4]. The AC-3 has a higher potential to achieve a better performance than the intensity stereo in MPEG because of the two additional options: the phase flag and the dithering scheme. On these potential, this paper proposes four coupling methods for the AC-3. Section **I11** gives the subjective and objective comparison for these four methods.

II. FOUR PROPOSED COUPLING METHODS

We developed four methods for the coupling scheme. These four methods differ in the complexity and the associated fidelity concepts as illustrated in Fig. 2-Fig. *5.* Considering the SUM algorithm in Fig. **2,** the coupling vector *Chand* is evaluated by summing the band signals *Rband* and *Lband* in the left and the right channels. For energy preservation, the two coordinate values *(SL, sR)* are calculated from the square root of the energy ratio for the *Rband* and *Cband,* and the ratio *Lband* and *Cband.* The phase flag P is fixed to be 0 in this method. The detailed algorithm of the SUM algorithm is illustrated as follows:

Encoding process for the SUM algorithm

1. The phase Jag evaluation process

```
Pband<sup>=0.</sup>
```
- *2. The summation process Cband"L band+Rband*
- *3. The coordinates evaluation process* s_L =Energy(L_{band})^{0.5}/Energy(C_{band})^{0.5} $s_R = \text{Energy}(R_{band})^{0.5}/\text{Energy}(C_{band})^{0.5}$

where
$$
Energy(S_{\text{band}}) = \sum_{\text{bin in hand}} S_{\text{bin}}^2
$$
.

(1)

For the NORM-SUM algorithm in Fig. 3, the coupling vector *Cband* **is** calculated by summing the energynormalized signals $R_{band}/Energy(R_{band})^{0.5}$, $L_{band}/$ *Energy(L_{band})* $^{(0,5)}$. The two coordinate values *(s_L, sR)* and the phase flag *p* are decided in the same way as the SUM algorithm. The NORM SUM algorithm indicates that the larger value of L or R will not dominate during the summation process as the SUM algorithm. The detailed algorithm of the NORM SUM algorithm is illustrated as follows:

Encoding process for the NOM-SUM algorithm 1. The phase Jag evaluation process

- P *band*^{$=$ 0.}
- *2. The summation process* $Chand^=$

*L*_{band}/*Energy*(*L*_{band})^{0.5}+R_{band}/*Energy*(*R*_{band})^{0.5} *3. The coordinates evaluation process*

 s_L =Energy(L_{band}) 0.5 /Energy(C_{band}) 0.5 *~R=Energy(Rband) O. 5/Energy(Cband) O. where Energy(S_{band})* is defined in (1).

The KLT-MSE algorithm in Fig. 4 directly applies the Karhuner-Loeve (KL) transform to the coupling process in AC-3. The KL transform and the inverse KLT for **N=2** can be viewed as the rotation matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} I \\ E \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ R \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} L \\ R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ E \end{bmatrix}
$$

(2)

(3)

(4)

where L and R are signals of the left and right channels, and I and E are transformed intensity and error channel. The rotation angle α for the KL transform can be evaluated from

$$
\tan(2\alpha) = \frac{2c_{lr}}{c_{ll} - c_{rr}}; -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

where C_{II} and C_{II} are the autocorrelation coefficients of the left and the right channels. C_{lr} is the cross-correlation coefficient of the left and the right channels. In least mean square error sense between decoded signals and input signals, the error channel is ignored and the KLT matrix becomes

$$
\begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L \\ R \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} L \\ R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

From (4), the coordinates of left and right channels for the KLT-MSE algorithm are $cos\alpha$ and $sin\alpha$, and the coupling vector can be obtained by $L_{band} \cos \alpha + R_{band} \sin \alpha$. In order to embed into the AC-3, the coordinates in AC-3 allow only positive values. Thus, by the phase modifier flag *p,* the coordinates of left and right channels and the coupling vector are changed to $cos\alpha$, $sin\alpha(-1)^p$ and $L_{bandcos} \alpha + R_{bandsin} \alpha (-1)P$. From above, the KLT-MSE algorithm ensures the least mean square error of the original coupling vector and decoded coupling vector even the signals of the left and the right channels are negatively correlated. The detailed KLT-MSE algorithm is demonstrated as follows:

Encoding process for the KLTMSE algorithm

- *I. The rotation angle evaluation process*
- *The rotation angle* α *is defined in (2). 2. The phase Jag evaluation process*
	- $p=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{on}(0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ [1 if $sin(\alpha) < 0$
- *3. The summation process*
- $C_{band} = L_{band} \cos \alpha + R_{band} \sin \alpha (-1)P$.
- *4. The coordinotes evaluation process*

sL=cosa $S_R = \frac{sin\alpha(-1)}{P}$

For the KLT-ENG algorithm in Fig. *5,* a compromise between the SUM and KLT MSE algorithm is considered. The two coordinate values *(SL, sR)* are decided from the square root of the energy ratio for *the R_{band}* and *C_{band}*, and the energy ratio for *Lband* and *Cband.* The detailed algorithm of the KLT-ENG algorithm is shown as follows:

Encoding process for the KLT_ENG algorithm 1. The rotation angle evaluation process

-
- *The rotation angle* α *is defined in (2).*
- *The phase Jag evaluation process* $p=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{or } x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ \int 1 if sin(α) < 0
	-
- *The summation process*
- $C_{band} = L_{band} \cos \alpha + R_{band} \sin \alpha (-1) P$.
- *The coordinates evaluation process sL=Energy(Lb,d)O. 5/EnergY(Cband)o. sR =Energy(Rband) O. 5/,%ergy(Cband) o. where Energy(Sband) is defined in (1).*

Among them, the methods in Fig. 4 and Fig. *5* are developed based on the KL transform. The KLT can minimize the square-errors during the coupling of two bands into one band. However, the KLT also leads to higher complexity than the other two methods.

Fig. 2 The SUM algorithm for the coupling process

Fig. 3 The NORM_SUM algorithm for the coupling process

Fig. 4 The KLT_MSE algorithm for the coupling process

111. EXPERIMENTS ON THE COUPLING METHODS

The performances of the four coupling methods are compared through objective tests and subjective tests. **A** total of nine 20 sec stereo audio songs including vocal, symphony, piano and so on are taken as the materials for testing. The detailed descriptions of the test materials are listed in Table I. The objective measure is verified by the segmental noise-to-masking ratio (NMR) value defined by averaging the NMR values in each coupling band in each frame as

$$
\textit{NMR}_{\textit{seg}} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{f} (\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b} \textit{SMR}_{f, b} - \textit{SNR}_{f, b})
$$

where the *SMR* stands for the signal-to-masking ratio in dB, the *SNR* for the signal-to-noise ratio in dB, *F* for the total audio frames, f for the frame number, B for the total

coupling bands, and *b* for the coupling band number. Negative values of the *NMRsgg* indicate that the noise of the coded signal is inaudible, and larger negative values of *NMRSeg* indicate the noise may be more inaudible. The coupling scheme is performed in the range of 3.14 KHz to 12.45 KHz. The coupling methods are performed under high bit rate and the exponents are transmitted with D15 mode for six times in a frame. Table 2 illustrates the testing results. The results indicate that the KLT-MSE and KLT-ENG algorithm can have better *NMRseg* values than the SUM and NORM-SUM algorithm. The SUM and NORM-SUM algorithms cause coupling artifacts and poor *NMR_{seg}* values due to signal cancellation when *Lband* and *Rband* are negatively correlated. We further consider the encoding for the bit rate at 128 kbits/s and the exponents strategy D15 is transmitted once per frame. The test results are summarized in [Table 3](#page-4-0) which indicates the order of the performance being the KLT-MSE, KLT-ENG, SUM and NORM-SUM algorithm.

In the subjective test under the critical bit rate at 128 kbits/s, the same test materials in Table 1 are evaluated. The results of the listening test show the order of the quality performance of the four coupling methods is the KLT-ENG, SUM, NORM-SUM, KLT_MSE algorithm. Although the excellent performance of the objective test, the KLT-MSE algorithm gives poor subjective performance due to some ringing noise. The noise may be due to the discontinuous coordinates across different bands in the KLT-MSE algorithm. To sum up, the KLT-ENG algorithm gives high performances on both objective and subjective tests because it takes the advantages from the KLT-MSE algorithm on the signal preservation and the SUM algorithm on the energy preservation.

IV. DITHERING ON THE COUPLING BANDS

In AC-3, dithering scheme is to add white noise to the coded bands in the decoding process. For low bit rate audio coding, quantization leads to the noises which are correlated with signals. Such a correlation is very sensitive for the human hearing systems. Especially, the coupling scheme can also lead to the artifacts as mentioned in last section. Dithering can reduce the artifacts from either the quantization or the coupling process. The four coupling methods presented in last section are examined through subjective tests when the dithering in **AC-3** is applied. In our subjective listening test for the SUM and NORM-SUM algorithm, the dithering can significantly reduce the coupling noise. **As** a result, the quality from the KLT-ENG, SUM, and NORM-SUM algorithm become indistinguishable when the dithering is applied.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, four coupling methods for the **AC-3** encoder have been introduced. These four methods vary with the complexity and performance. Both subjective and objective tests have been conducted and demonstrated the performance of the KLT-ENG algorithm is better than other algorithms. We have also demonstrated that the dithering scheme gives great improvement on the quality of the coupling methods. With the dithering scheme, the performance of the four coupling methods is similar and the algorithm with low complexity will be more essential.

REFERENCES

- [I] J. C. McKinney, R. Hopkins, "Digital audio compression standard (AC-3)," *Advanced television system committee,* Dec. 1995.
- [2] D. H. Teh, A. P. Tan, "An Improved Stereophonic Coding Scheme Compatible to the ISO/MPEG Audio Coding Algorithm," *ICCS* 1992, pp. 437-441.
- [3] J. Herre, K. Brandenburg, D. Lederer, "Intensity Stereo Coding," *96th AES Convention,* Feb. 1994, Preprint 3799.
- [4] C. M. Liu and J. C. Liu, "A new intensity stereo coding scheme for MPEGl audio encoder- layer I and 11," *IEEE Trans. on Consumer Electronics,* Vol. 42, Aug. 1996, pp. 535-539.

Test song	Description				
Symphony	The Choral symphony (Choral part)				
Piano	Pure and clear piano				
Violin	Violin playing from low to high frequency				
Flute	Clear flute sound				
Woman	Pure woman vocal song				
Pipe	Pure pipe sound				
man	Man vocal song; country music song				
Violoncello	Violoncello sound in low frequency				
Drum	Pure pipe sound & sudden and loud drum				

Table **1** Testing audio segments and their descriptions

Algorithms	SUM			INORM SUM KLT MSE			KLT ENG	
ID15	lleft	right left		right	left	right left		right
6 times								
Symphony - 2.19 - 2.75			-2.61	-0.95		$-5.07 - 7.17$	$1-3.82$ -6.18	
Pianol-6.99		1.21	-5.72	1.29		-10.1 -6.01	-9.221	-4.72
Violin	5.90	7.81	5.74	10.2		$1.421 - 1.67$	2.721	-0.66
	Flute -4.23	2.89	0.74	2.31	-10.1		$[-1.36] - 9.49$	0.02
Woman	1.17	8.35	1.26	9.23	0.45	1.17	1.36	1.96
		Pipe - 12.4 - 11.2	-12.1	-10.8			-12.9 -15.5 -12.4 -15.0	
manl	-2.91	16.5	-2.75	16.5	-3.19		$ -3.94 -2.97 -3.72 $	
Violoncello -8.61		-9.99	-9.56	-8.20			-8.23 -12.7 -7.35 -12.2	
Drum	5.88	5.27	6.87	6.42	4.33	3.56	5.59	4.80

Table 2 *NMR_{seg}* values for the four proposed coupling methods under high bit rate with D15 mode 6 times per frame

882 IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, Vol. 44, No. 3, AUGUST 1998

Table 3 *NMR_{seg}* values for the four proposed coupling methods under the bit rate of 128 kbits/sec with D15 mode once per frame

Chi-Min Liu received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Tatung Institute of Technology, Taiwan, R.O.C. in 1985, and the M.S. degree and Ph. D. degree in electronics from National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, in 1987 and 1991, respectively.

He is currently an Associate Professor of the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan. His research interests include video/audio compression, speech recognition, radar processing, and application-specific VLSI architecture design.

Wen-Chieh Lee was bom in Toayuan, Taiwan in Oct. 1972. He received the E. *S.* degree from the Department of Computer Science and Infomation Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan in 1995. He is currently a Ph. D. Candidate of the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering,

National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan. His research interests are audio compression and real-time computer architecture.

Shyh-Yan Juang received the B.S. degree from the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan in 1995. **Me** graduated from the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan in 1997.

He is currently in the military service. His research

interests are audio compression and real-time computer architecture.