

## Canonical gauge equivalences of the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 6517

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/31/30/016>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 140.113.38.11

This content was downloaded on 28/04/2014 at 11:36

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Canonical gauge equivalences of the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies

Jiin-Chang Shaw<sup>†§</sup> and Ming-Hsien Tu<sup>‡||</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

<sup>‡</sup> Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

Received 5 January 1998, in final form 18 May 1998

**Abstract.** We study the gauge transformations between the supersymmetric AKNS (sAKNS) and supersymmetric two-boson (sTB) hierarchies. The Hamiltonian nature of these gauge transformations is investigated, which turns out to be canonical. We also obtain the Darboux–Bäcklund transformations for the sAKNS hierarchy from these gauge transformations.

## 1. Introduction

During the past ten years, the theory of the soliton [1–3] has played an important role in theoretical and mathematical physics, especially in the explorations of the relationship between integrable models and string theories [4]. On the one hand, several kinds of correlation functions in string theory are governed by the integrable hierarchy equations (e.g. Korteweg–de Vries (KdV), Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) etc) [4]. On the other hand, the idea of the supersymmetric extensions of the integrable systems [5–7] has motivated people to use them to study the theory of superstrings [8].

Recently, several supersymmetric integrable systems have been proposed and studied (see, e.g., [9–17] and references therein). In this paper, we discuss only two of them; the supersymmetric Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur (sAKNS) hierarchy [13] and the supersymmetric two-boson (sTB) hierarchy [11]. The former was introduced from the study of the reduction scheme in the constrained KP hierarchy [18], and the latter was constructed from the supersymmetric extension of the dispersive long water wave equation [19, 20]. Both of them have supersymmetric Lax representations, being bi-Hamiltonian, and have infinite conserved quantities etc. Besides these properties, these two hierarchies can be related to each other via a gauge transformation [13]. Sometimes, such transformation from one hierarchy to the other is called Miura transformation. However, from our viewpoint, the connection between these two hierarchies has not been totally explored. The purpose of this work is to provide a deeper understanding about the gauge transformations between the sAKNS and the sTB hierarchies.

Our paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we recall the Lax formulation of the sAKNS hierarchy. We then discuss the gauge transformations between the sAKNS and the sTB hierarchies. Section 3 is devoted to the investigation of the canonical property of these gauge transformations from the bi-Hamiltonian viewpoint. Our approach

<sup>§</sup> E-mail address: shaw@math.nctu.edu.tw

<sup>||</sup> E-mail address: mhtu@phys.nthu.edu.tw

follows very closely that of [21, 22] for other systems. We then show, in section 4, that the Darboux–Bäcklund transformations (DBTs) for the sAKNS hierarchy itself can be constructed from these gauge transformations. Concluding remarks are presented in section 5.

## 2. sAKNS and sTB hierarchies

The sAKNS hierarchy [13] has the Lax operator of the form

$$L = \partial + \Phi D^{-1} \Psi \quad (2.1)$$

which satisfies the hierarchy equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_n} = [L_+^n, L] \quad (2.2)$$

where  $D = \partial_\theta + \theta \partial$  is the supercovariant derivative defined on a (1|1) superspace [23] with coordinates  $(x, \theta)$ .  $D^{-1} = \theta + \partial_\theta \partial^{-1}$  is the formal inverse of  $D$ , which satisfies  $D^{-1} D = D^{-1} D = 1$ . The multiplication rule for  $D$  acting on an arbitrary superfield  $U$  is  $DU = (DU) + (-1)^{|U|} U D$ . Here, we refer to the parity of a superfield  $U$  to be even if  $|U| = 0$  and odd if  $|U| = 1$ . The coefficients functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are superfields with proper parity such that  $L$  is a bosonic operator. It can be proved that (2.2) is consistent with the following equations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_n} = (L_+^n \Phi) \quad \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_n} = -((L_+^n)^* \Psi) \quad (2.3)$$

where the conjugate operation ‘\*’ is defined by  $(AB)^* = (-1)^{|A||B|} B^* A^*$  for the superpseudo-differential operators  $A, B$  and  $f^* = f$  for the arbitrary superfield  $f$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are the eigenfunction and adjoint eigenfunction of the hierarchy, respectively. It can be shown [13] that the hierarchy equations (2.2) are invariant under the supersymmetric transformations:  $\delta_\epsilon \Phi = \epsilon (D^\dagger \Phi)$  and  $\delta_\epsilon \Psi = \epsilon (D^\dagger \Psi)$  where  $\epsilon$  is an odd constant and  $D^\dagger \equiv \partial_\theta - \theta \partial$ .

Since the Lax operator (2.1) is assumed to be homogeneous under  $Z_2$ -grading, the gradings of the (adjoint) eigenfunction should satisfy  $|\Phi| + |\Psi| = 1$ . There are two cases to be discussed:

- (a)  $|\Phi| = 0$  and  $|\Psi| = 1$ ,
- (b)  $|\Phi| = 1$  and  $|\Psi| = 0$ .

In the following, the sAKNS Lax operators for the case (a) and case (b) will be denoted by  $L_a = \partial + \Phi_a D^{-1} \Psi_a$  and  $L_b = \partial + \Phi_b D^{-1} \Psi_b$ , respectively, and thus  $|\Phi_a| = |\Psi_b| = 0$  and  $|\Psi_a| = |\Phi_b| = 1$ . For both cases, (2.2) contains the ordinary AKNS hierarchy equations in the bosonic limit.

Given a sAKNS hierarchy we can construct a non-standard Lax hierarchy via a gauge transformation. For case (a), let us perform the following transformation

$$M_a : L_a \rightarrow K = \Phi_a^{-1} L_a \Phi_a \equiv \partial - (D J_0) + D^{-1} J_1 \quad (2.4)$$

where both  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  are odd superfields which can be expressed in terms of  $\Phi_a$  and  $\Psi_a$  as follows

$$J_0 = -(D \ln \Phi_a) \quad J_1 = \Phi_a \Psi_a. \quad (2.5)$$

The hierarchy equations then become

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t_n} = [K_{\geq 1}^n, K] \quad (2.6)$$

which is the so-called sTB hierarchy [11]. It can be shown [11] that the hierarchy equations (2.6) are invariant under the supersymmetric transformations:  $\delta_\epsilon J_0 = \epsilon(D^\dagger J_0)$ ,  $\delta_\epsilon J_1 = \epsilon(D^\dagger J_1)$ .

For case (b), we need another gauge transformation to do the job since  $|\Phi_b| = 1$  in this case. Let us consider the following transformation

$$M_b : L_b \rightarrow K = D^{-1}\Psi_b L_b \Psi_b^{-1} D \equiv \partial - (DJ_0) + D^{-1}J_1 \quad (2.7)$$

which implies that

$$J_0 = (D \ln \Psi_b) \quad J_1 = \Phi_b \Psi_b + (D^3 \ln \Psi_b) \quad (2.8)$$

and the Lax operator  $K$  still satisfies the hierarchy equations (2.6).

In fact, both gauge transformations  $M_a$  and  $M_b$  have their inverse transformations  $N_a$  and  $N_b$ , respectively. In other words, for a given sTB Lax operator  $K$ , one can perform the following transformation to gauge away the constant term and to obtain the Lax operator  $L_a$  [13]

$$N_a : K \rightarrow L_a = e^{-\int^x (DJ_0)} K e^{\int^x (DJ_0)} \equiv \partial + \Phi_a D^{-1} \Psi_a \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\Phi_a = e^{-\int^x (DJ_0)} \quad \Psi_a = J_1 e^{\int^x (DJ_0)}. \quad (2.10)$$

It can be proved that  $L_a$  satisfies (2.2) if  $K$  satisfies (2.6).

Similarly, for case (b), we have

$$N_b : K \rightarrow L_b = e^{-\int^x (DJ_0)} D K D^{-1} e^{\int^x (DJ_0)} \equiv \partial + \Phi_b D^{-1} \Psi_b \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\Phi_b = (J_1 - J_{0x}) e^{-\int^x (DJ_0)} \quad \Psi_b = e^{\int^x (DJ_0)}. \quad (2.12)$$

We would like to mention that the parity of the gauge operator associated with the gauge transformation  $M_a$  is even, whereas for  $M_b$  is odd. Since  $N_a(N_b)$  is the inverse of  $M_a(M_b)$  and *vice versa*, thus we obtain the correspondences between the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies.

### 3. Canonical property and Hamiltonian structures

The discussions presented in the previous section establish the gauge equivalences between the sAKNS and the sTB hierarchies at Lax formulation level. In this section, we would like to discuss the Hamiltonian nature of these gauge transformations. Let us start from the sTB hierarchy.

The Lax equation (2.6) of the sTB hierarchy has a bi-Hamiltonian description as follows

$$\partial_{t_n} \begin{pmatrix} J_0 \\ J_1 \end{pmatrix} = \Theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_{n+1} / \delta J_0 \\ \delta H_{n+1} / \delta J_1 \end{pmatrix} = \Theta_2 \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_n / \delta J_0 \\ \delta H_n / \delta J_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

where the first structure  $\Theta_1$  and the second structure  $\Theta_2$  are given by [11]

$$\Theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -D \\ -D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2D + 2D^{-1}J_1 D^{-1} - D^{-1}J_{0x} D^{-1} & -D^3 + D(DJ_0) - D^{-1}J_1 D \\ D^3 + (DJ_0)D + DJ_1 D^{-1} & J_1 D^2 + D^2 J_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

which have been investigated [11] and found to be compatible by using the prolongation method [24]. The Hamiltonians  $H_n$  are defined by

$$H_n = \frac{-1}{n} \text{str} K^n \equiv \frac{-1}{n} \int dx d\theta \text{sres} K^n \tag{3.4}$$

where the super-residue (sres) picks up the coefficient of the  $D^{-1}$  term of a super-pseudo-differential operator.

Since the bi-Hamiltonian structure is one of the most important properties of an integrable system, it is quite natural to ask whether the gauge transformations discussed here are canonical or not. To see this, from the gauge transformation  $N_a$ , we can obtain the linearized map  $N'_a$  and its transposed map  $N_a{}^{\dagger}$  as follows

$$N'_a = \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_a D^{-1} & 0 \\ \Psi_a D^{-1} & \Phi_a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad N_a{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1} \Phi_a & -D^{-1} \Psi_a \\ 0 & \Phi_a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\Phi_a$  and  $\Psi_a$  are related to  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  via equation (2.5) (or equation (2.10)). A straightforward calculation shows that

$$N'_a \Theta_1 N_a{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv P_a \tag{3.6}$$

$$N'_a \Theta_2 N_a{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a D - D \Phi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a & D^2 + D \Phi_a D^{-2} \Psi_a + \Phi_a D^{-2} (D \Psi_a) \\ -2 \Phi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a \Psi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a & +2 \Phi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a \Psi_a D^{-2} \Psi_a \\ D^2 + \Psi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a D + (D \Psi_a) D^{-2} \Phi_a & -\Psi_a D^{-2} (D \Psi_a) - (D \Psi_a) D^{-2} \Psi_a \\ +2 \Psi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a \Psi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a & -2 \Psi_a D^{-2} \Phi_a \Psi_a D^{-2} \Psi_a \end{pmatrix} \equiv Q_a \tag{3.7}$$

where  $P_a$  and  $Q_a$  are just the first and the second Hamiltonian structures obtained in [14]. Moreover, it has been shown [14] that  $P_a$  and  $Q_a$  are compatible through the method of prolongation and describe the hierarchy equations (2.2) as follows

$$\partial_{t_n} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_a \\ \Psi_a \end{pmatrix} = P_a \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_{n+1} / \delta \Phi_a \\ \delta H_{n+1} / \delta \Psi_a \end{pmatrix} = Q_a \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_n / \delta \Phi_a \\ \delta H_n / \delta \Psi_a \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

where the Hamiltonians  $H_n$  are defined by  $H_n = -(1/n) \text{str} L_a^n$ . Hence, the gauge transformation  $N_a$  (or  $M_a$ ) is a canonical map.

Next, let us turn to the gauge transformation  $N_b$ . From (2.11), the linearized map  $N'_b$  and its transposed map  $N_b{}^{\dagger}$  can be constructed as follows

$$N'_b = \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_b D^{-1} - \Psi_b^{-1} \partial & \Psi_b^{-1} \\ \Psi_b D^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad N_b{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial \Psi_b^{-1} + D^{-1} \Phi_b & -D^{-1} \Psi_b \\ \Psi_b^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\Phi_b$  and  $\Psi_b$  are related to  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  via (2.8) (or (2.12)). Using (3.9), we can obtain two Poisson structures of the sAKNS hierarchy for the case (b). After some algebras, we have

$$N'_b \Theta_1 N_b{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv -P_b \tag{3.10}$$

$$N'_b \Theta_2 N_b{}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi_b D^{-2} (D \Phi_b) - (D \Phi_b) D^{-2} \Phi_b & D^2 + \Phi_b D^{-2} \Psi_b D + (D \Phi_b) D^{-2} \Psi_b \\ -2 \Phi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b \Psi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b & +2 \Phi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b \Psi_b D^{-2} \Psi_b \\ D^2 + D \Psi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b + \Psi_b D^{-2} (D \Phi_b) & -\Psi_b D^{-1} \Psi_b D - D \Psi_b D^{-2} \Psi_b \\ +2 \Psi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b \Psi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b & -2 \Psi_b D^{-2} \Phi_b \Psi_b D^{-2} \Psi_b \end{pmatrix} \equiv -Q_b \tag{3.11}$$

which imply that the hierarchy equations (2.2) for case (b) can be written as

$$\partial_{t_n} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_b \\ \Psi_b \end{pmatrix} = P_b \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_{n+1} / \delta \Phi_b \\ \delta H_{n+1} / \delta \Psi_b \end{pmatrix} = Q_b \begin{pmatrix} \delta H_n / \delta \Phi_b \\ \delta H_n / \delta \Psi_b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.12}$$

Note that the parity of the gauge operator of the gauge transformation  $N_b$  is odd. Hence, from (3.4), (2.11) and the identity  $\text{str}AB = (-1)^{|A||B|}\text{str}BA$ , the Hamiltonians in (3.12) can be expressed in terms of  $L_b$  as  $(1/n)\text{str}L_b^n$  which are just the Hamiltonians of the sAKNS hierarchy defined earlier with a minus sign. Therefore, the minus sign appearing in the front of  $P_b$  and  $Q_b$  in (3.10) and (3.11) is used to compensate the sign from the Hamiltonians. We follow the same line in [14] to investigate the Jacobi identity for  $P_b$  and  $Q_b$  by using the prolongation method. It turns out that  $P_b$  and  $Q_b$  are compatible and indeed define a bi-Hamiltonian structure of the associated hierarchy. Hence, just like  $N_a$ , the gauge transformation  $N_b$  is canonical as well.

To sum up, the canonical property of the gauge transformations between the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies can be summarized as follows

$$N'_i \Theta_1 N_i{}^\dagger = (-1)^{|N_i|} P_i \quad N'_i \Theta_2 N_i{}^\dagger = (-1)^{|N_i|} Q_i \quad i = a, b. \tag{3.13}$$

#### 4. Darboux–Bäcklund transformations

Having constructed the canonical gauge transformations between the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies, now we would like to use these gauge transformations to derive the Darboux–Bäcklund transformations (DBTs) for the sAKNS hierarchy itself. Given a sAKNS Lax operator, say  $L_a$ , we can perform the gauge transformation  $M_a$  followed by  $N_b$  to obtain the Lax operator  $L_b$  as follows

$$L_a \xrightarrow{M_a} K \xrightarrow{N_b} L_b. \tag{4.1}$$

That is, using (2.4) and (2.11), we can define the gauge operator  $T(\Phi_a) = \Phi_a D \Phi_a^{-1}$  such that

$$L_a \rightarrow L_b = T L_a T^{-1} \equiv \partial + \Phi_b D^{-1} \Psi_b \tag{4.2}$$

where the (adjoint) eigenfunctions are related by

$$\Phi_b = \Phi_a (\Phi_a \Psi_a + (D^3 \ln \Phi_a)) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\Psi_b = \Phi_a^{-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Notice that although the gauge transformation (4.2) preserves the form of the Lax operator and the Lax formulations, the parity of the transformed (adjoint) eigenfunction has been changed due to the fact that the parity of the gauge operator  $T$  is odd. Thus, strictly speaking, the gauge transformation (4.2) is not a DBT but a ‘quasi-DBT’. On the other hand, we can construct another quasi-DBT from  $L_b$  to  $L_a$  as follows

$$L_b \xrightarrow{M_b} K \xrightarrow{N_a} L_a \tag{4.5}$$

which is triggered by the gauge operator  $S(\Psi_b) = \Psi_b^{-1} D^{-1} \Psi_b$  such that

$$L_b \rightarrow L_a = S L_b S^{-1} \equiv \partial + \Phi_a D^{-1} \Psi_a. \tag{4.6}$$

Here

$$\Phi_a = \Psi_b^{-1} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Psi_a = \Phi_b (\Phi_b \Psi_b + (D^3 \ln \Psi_b)). \tag{4.8}$$

Note that both quasi-DBTs (4.2) and (4.6) are canonical since they are constructed out from the canonical transformations  $M_i$  and  $N_i$ . We also remark that the form of the gauge operator  $T$  was first considered in [25] for studying the DBT for the Manin–Radul super KdV equation [5]. Motivated by the above discussions, we may have true DBTs by considering the hierarchy equations (2.2) associated with the Lax operator

$$L = \partial + \Phi_1 D^{-1} \Psi_1 + \Phi_2 D^{-1} \Psi_2 \quad (4.9)$$

with parity  $|\Phi_1| = |\Psi_2| = 0$  and  $|\Psi_1| = |\Phi_2| = 1$ . Let us consider the DBT triggered by the eigenfunction  $\Phi_1$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L \rightarrow \hat{L} &= TLT^{-1} & T(\Phi_1) &\equiv \Phi_1 D \Phi_1^{-1} \\ &\equiv \partial + \hat{\Phi}_1 D^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_1 + \hat{\Phi}_2 D^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where the transformed (adjoint) eigenfunctions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_1 &= \Phi_1 (D \Phi_1^{-1} \Phi_2) = (T(\Phi_1) \Phi_2) \\ \hat{\Psi}_1 &= \Phi_1^{-1} (D^{-1} \Phi_1 \Psi_2) = (S(\Phi_1) \Psi_2) \\ \hat{\Phi}_2 &= \Phi_1 (\Phi_1 \Psi_1 - \Phi_2 \Psi_2 + (D^3 \ln \Phi_1) + (D \Phi_1^{-1} \Phi_2)(D^{-1} \Phi_1 \Psi_2)) = (T(\Phi_1) L \Phi_1) \\ \hat{\Psi}_2 &= \Phi_1^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

with parity  $|\hat{\Phi}_1| = |\hat{\Psi}_2| = 0$  and  $|\hat{\Psi}_1| = |\hat{\Phi}_2| = 1$ . On the other hand, we can consider the DBT triggered by the adjoint eigenfunction  $\Psi_2$  as follows

$$L \rightarrow \hat{L} = SLS^{-1} \quad S(\Psi_2) \equiv \Psi_2^{-1} D^{-1} \Psi_2 \equiv \partial + \hat{\Phi}_1 D^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_1 + \hat{\Phi}_2 D^{-1} \hat{\Psi}_2 \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_1 &= \Psi_2^{-1} \\ \hat{\Psi}_1 &= (\Phi_2 \Psi_2 - \Phi_1 \Psi_1 + (D^3 \ln \Psi_2) + (D^{-1} \Psi_2 \Phi_1)(D \Psi_1 \Psi_2^{-1})) \Psi_2 = -(T(\Psi_2) L^* \Psi_2) \\ \hat{\Phi}_2 &= \Psi_2^{-1} (D^{-1} \Psi_2 \Phi_1) = (S(\Psi_2) \Phi_1) \\ \hat{\Psi}_2 &= \Psi_2 (D \Psi_2^{-1} \Psi_1) = (T(\Psi_2) \Psi_1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

with parity  $|\hat{\Phi}_1| = |\hat{\Psi}_2| = 0$  and  $|\hat{\Psi}_1| = |\hat{\Phi}_2| = 1$ . Finally, we would like to mention that the above scheme can be generalized to a class of supersymmetric hierarchies which have Lax operators of the form

$$L = \partial + \sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi_{2i-1} D^{-1} \Psi_{2i-1} + \Phi_{2i} D^{-1} \Psi_{2i}) \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (4.14)$$

with parity  $|\Phi_{2i-1}| = |\Psi_{2i}| = 0$  and  $|\Phi_{2i}| = |\Psi_{2i-1}| = 1$ . The gauge operators of the DBTs then can be constructed from the even (adjoint) eigenfunctions as  $T_i = \Phi_{2i-1} D \Phi_{2i-1}^{-1}$  or  $S_i = \Psi_{2i}^{-1} D^{-1} \Psi_{2i}$  which not only preserve the Lax formulations but also the parity content of the (adjoint) eigenfunctions in the Lax operator.

## 5. Concluding remarks

We have established the gauge equivalences between the sAKNS and sTB hierarchies. We have also shown that the gauge transformations connecting these two hierarchies are canonical, in the sense that the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the sAKNS hierarchy is mapped to the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the sTB hierarchy according to equation (3.13). Using these gauge transformations, the (quasi) DBTs for the sAKNS hierarchy and its generalizations can be constructed, which turns out to be canonical as well. Some other topics such as iterated DBTs, soliton solutions and non-local conserved charges of these hierarchies are worth further investigation [26]. We leave this work to a future publication.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor W-J Huang for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan under grant No NSC-87-2811-M-007-0025. MHT also wishes to thank the National Centre for Theoretical Sciences of the National Science Council of Taiwan for partial support.

## References

- [1] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1987 *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons* (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Das A 1989 *Integrable Models* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Dickey L A 1991 *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems* (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [4] Nissimov E and Pacheva S 1993 String theory and integrable systems *Preprint* hep-th/9310113  
Marshakov A 1994 String theory and classical integrable systems *Preprint* hep-th/9404126 and references therein
- [5] Manin Y I and Radul A O 1985 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **98** 65
- [6] Kupershmidt B A 1987 *Elements of Super Integrable Systems* (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- [7] Mathieu P 1988 *J. Math. Phys.* **29** 2499  
Mathieu P 1988 *Phys. Lett.* **203B** 287
- [8] Alvarez-Gaumé L and Manés J L 1991 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6** 2039  
Alvarez-Gaumé L, Itoyama H, Manés J L and Zadra A 1992 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** 5337  
Becker M 1994 *PhD Thesis* Bonn University hep-th/9403129  
Stanciu S 1994 *PhD Thesis* Bonn University hep-th/9403129
- [9] Figueroa-O'Farrill J M, Mas J and Ramos E 1991 *Rev. Math. Phys.* **3** 479
- [10] Oevel W and Popowicz Z 1991 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** 441
- [11] Brunelli J C and Das A 1994 *Phys. Lett.* **337B** 303  
Brunelli J C and Das A 1995 *Phys. Lett.* **354B** 307  
Brunelli J C and Das A 1995 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **10** 4563
- [12] Bonora L, Krivonos S and Sorin A 1996 *Nucl. Phys. B* **477** 835
- [13] Aratyn H and Rasinariu C 1997 *Phys. Lett.* **391B** 99
- [14] Aratyn H and Das A 1998 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13** 1185
- [15] Delduc F and Gallot L 1997 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **190** 395
- [16] Popowicz Z 1996 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **29** 1281
- [17] Krivonos S, Sorin A and Toppan F 1995 *Phys. Lett.* **206A** 146
- [18] Cheng Y 1992 *J. Math. Phys.* **33** 3774  
Xu B and Li Y 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** 2957  
Sidorenko J and Strampp W 1993 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** 1  
Oevel W and Strampp W 1993 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** 51 and references therein
- [19] Kaup D J 1975 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **54** 396
- [20] Broer L J F 1975 *Appl. Sci. Res.* **31** 377
- [21] Morosi C and Pizzocchero L 1994 *J. Math. Phys.* **35** 2397
- [22] Shaw J C and Tu M H 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 4805
- [23] Dewitt B 1992 *Supermanifolds* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [24] Olver P J 1986 *Applications of Lie Group to Differential Equations (Graduate Texts in Mathematics 117)* (New York: Springer)
- [25] Liu Q P 1995 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **35** 115
- [26] Shaw J C and Tu M H, in preparation