# Letters to the Editor.

# An Optimal Variable Structure Control with Integral Compensation for Electrohydraulic Position Servo Control Systems

# Tzuen-Lih Chern and Yung-Chun Wu

Abstract—An approach employing variable structure control with integral compensation is presented for an electrohydraulic position servo control system to achieve accurate servo tracking in the presence of load disturbance and plant parameter variation. Simulations show that the proposed approach may give a rather accurate servo-tracking result and is fairly robust to plant parameter variation and load disturbance.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Processes requiring large driving forces or torques are often actuated by hydraulic servo systems. The dynamic characteristics of such systems are usually very complex and highly nonlinear due to the flow-pressure relationship of the hydraulic components. For a practical control system, it is usually desired to have a fast accurate response with small overshoot. To achieve this result, an approach using variable structure control (VSC) with integral compensation for an electrohydraulic position servo control system is presented.

# II. VARIABLE STRUCTURE CONTROL WITH INTEGRAL COMPENSATION

The system using VSC with integral compensation is described as

$$\dot{X}_i = X_{i+1}$$
  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  (1a)

$$\dot{X}_n = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i + bU - f$$
 (1b)

$$\dot{Z} = r - X_1 \tag{1c}$$

where  $X_1$  is the output, r is the input,  $a_i$  and b are the plant parameters, f is the disturbance, and U is a piecewise linear control function of the form

$$U = \begin{cases} U^+(x,t) & \text{if } \sigma > 0\\ U^-(x,t) & \text{if } \sigma < 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\sigma$  is the switching function given by

$$\sigma = c_1(X_1 - K_1Z) + \sum_{i=2}^n c_i X_i \qquad c_n = 1$$
(3)

in which  $K_I$  is the integral control gain and  $c_i$  are constants.

Manuscript received May 22, 1990; revised November 27, 1990, June 30, 1991, October 11, 1991, March 5, 1992, and April 20, 1992.

T.-L. Chern is with the Institute of Electronics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Y.-C. Wu is with the Institute of Control Engineering, National Chiao Tung University.

IEEE Log Number 9202505.

Design of such a system involves 1) and the choice of the control function U to guarantee the existence of a sliding mode, 2) the determination of the switching function  $\sigma$  and the integral control gain  $K_I$  such that the system has an optimal motion with respect to a quadratic performance index, and 3) the elimination of chattering of the control input.

## A. Choice of Control Function

From (1) and (3), one obtains

$$\dot{\sigma} = c_1 [X_2 - K_i (r - X_1)] + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_i X_{i+1} + \left( -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i + bU - f \right)$$
(4)

Let

$$a_i = a_i^0 + \Delta a_i$$
  $i = 1 \cdots n$   
 $b = b^0 + \Delta b$ 

where  $a_i^0$  and  $b^0$  are nominal values of  $a_i$  and b, and  $\Delta a_i$  and  $\Delta b$  are the deviations, respectively. Let the control function U be decomposed into

$$U = U_{ea} + \Delta U \tag{5a}$$

where  $U_{eq}$ , called the equivalent control, is defined as the solution of the equation  $\dot{\sigma} = 0$  under f = 0,  $a_i = a_i^0$  and  $b = b^0$ , that is,

$$U_{\rm eq} = \left[ c_1 K_I (r - X_1) - \sum_{i=2}^n c_{i+1} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^0 X_i \right] / b^0.$$
 (5b)

The function  $\Delta U$  is used to eliminate the influence due to the presence of  $\Delta a_i$ ,  $\Delta b$ , and f so as to guarantee the existence of a sliding mode. This function is constructed as

$$\Delta U = \Psi_1(X_1 - K_I Z) + \sum_{i=2}^n \Psi_i X_i + \Phi$$
 (5c)

where

 $\Psi_1$ 

$$= \begin{cases} \alpha_1 & \text{if } (X_1 - K_I Z)\sigma > 0\\ \beta_1 & \text{if } (X_1 - K_I Z)\sigma < 0 \end{cases}$$
(5d)

$$\Psi_i = \begin{cases} \alpha_i & \text{if } X_i \sigma > 0\\ \beta_i & \text{if } X_i \sigma < 0 \end{cases} \quad i = 2, \cdots, n$$
 (5e)

and

$$\begin{cases} \gamma & \text{ if } \sigma > 0 \\ \delta & \text{ if } \sigma < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is known that the condition for the existence and reachability of a sliding motion is [1], [2].

 $\Phi =$ 

$$\sigma \dot{\sigma} < 0 \tag{6}$$

(5f)

### 0278-0046/92\$03.00 © 1992 IEEE

# IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS, VOL. 39, NO. 5, OCTOBER 1992

Substitution of (5) into (4) yields

$$\dot{\sigma}\sigma = (-\Delta a_1 + a_1^0 \Delta b/b^0 + b\Psi_1)(X_1 - K_I X)\sigma + \sum_{i=2}^n [(-\Delta a_i + a_i^0 \Delta b/b^0 - c_{i-1} \Delta b/b^0 + b\Psi_i)X_i\sigma] + [b\Phi + N(t)]\sigma$$
(7)

where

$$N(t) = \{-K_{I}Z(\Delta a_{1} - a_{1}^{0}\Delta b/b^{0})$$

$$[c_1K_l(r-X_1)]\Delta b/b^0-f\}$$

Thus, the conditions for satisfying the inequality (6) are

$$\Psi_{i} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i} < (\Delta a_{i} - a_{i}^{0} \Delta b/b^{0} + c_{i-1} \Delta b/b^{0})/b \\ \beta_{i} > (\Delta a_{i} - a_{i}^{0} \Delta b/b^{0} + c_{i-1} \Delta b/b^{0})/b \\ i = 1, \cdots, n \qquad c_{0} = 0 \quad (8a) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi = \begin{cases} \gamma < -N(t)/b \\ \delta > N(t)/b. \end{cases}$$
(8b)

# B. Determination of Switching Plane and Integral Control Gain

Under sliding motion, the system described by (1) can be reduced to [1], [2]

$$\dot{X}_i = X_{i+1}$$
  $i = 1, \cdots, n-2$  (9a)

$$\dot{X}_{n-1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i X_i + c_1 K_i Z$$
(9b)

$$\dot{Z} = r - X_1 \tag{9c}$$

or, in the matrix form,

$$\dot{X} = AX + BV + Er \tag{9d}$$

$$V = GX \tag{9e}$$

where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Z}{X_1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \qquad A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0}{0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0} \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{0}{0} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \qquad E = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{0} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$$

and

$$G = [c_1 K_I - c_1 - c_2 \cdots - c_{n-1}]_{1 \times n}$$

In order to find the optimal gain matrix G by means of the optimal linear regulator technique, the quadratic index I as shown in the following equation must be minimized [3]:

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_s}^{\infty} (X^T Q^T X + V^T R V)$$
 (10)

where  $Q = Q^T > 0$  and  $R = R^T > 0$  are weighting matrices and  $t_s$  is the time from which the sliding mode begins. The weighting matrix Q can be chosen as

$$Q = D^T D \tag{11}$$

where D is a  $1 \times n$  vector and the pair (A, D) is observable.

Then the optimal gain matrix G is given by

$$G = -R^{-1}B^T P \tag{12}$$

where P is the solution of the matrix Riccati equation

$$PA + A^{T}P - PBR^{-1}B^{T}P + Q = 0.$$
(13)

C. Chattering Considerations

For the control law given by (5), if  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_i$  are chosen as

$$\Phi = \gamma = -\delta \qquad \Psi_i = \alpha_i = -\beta_i \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

then the control function U can be represented as

$$U = \left[ c_1 K_I (r - X_1) - \sum_{i=2}^n c_{i-1} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^0 X_i \right] / b^0 + \left( \Psi_1 | X_1 - K_I Z | + \sum_{i=2}^n \Psi_i | X_i | + \Phi \right) \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \quad (14)$$

Since the control U contains the sign function  $sign(\sigma)$ , direct application of such a control signal to the plant may give rise to chatterings. To obtain a continuous control signal, the discontinuous function  $sign(\sigma)$  in (14) can be replaced by a proper continuous function [4] as

$$S_{\delta}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{|\sigma| + \delta}$$
(15)

where  $\delta$  is a positive number. If this number is too small, the chattering phenomenon may not be effectively suppressed, and if it is too large, the sliding action may be slow so that the advantage of robustness of VSC is lost. For improving the result, the value of  $\delta$  is therefore chosen as a function of  $|X_1 - r|$  as

$$\delta = \delta_0 + \delta_1 |X_1 - r|$$

where  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  are positive constants, and the proper continuous function is modified as

$$M_{\delta}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{|\sigma| + \delta_0 + \delta_1 |X_1 - r|}.$$
 (16)

## III. AN ELECTROHYDRAULIC POSITION CONTROL SERVO PROBLEM

The block diagram of the electrohydraulic position servo control system to be studied is shown in Fig. 1. The relation between the valve displacement  $X_{\nu}$  and the load flow rate  $Q_L$  is described as [5], [6]

$$Q_L = X_v K_j \sqrt{P_s - \operatorname{sign}(X_v) P_L} = X_v K_s$$
(17)

where  $K_j$  is a constant for a specific hydraulic motor,  $P_s$  is the supply pressure,  $P_L$  is the load pressure, and  $K_s$  is the valve flow gain that varies under different operating points. The flow continuity property of the motor chamber yields

$$Q_L = D_m \omega_c + K_{ce} P_L + (V_t/4\beta) \dot{P_L}$$
(18)

where  $D_m$  is the volumetric displacement,  $K_{ce}$  is the total leakage coefficient,  $V_t$  is the total volume of the oil,  $\beta$  is the bulk modulus of the oil, and  $\omega_c$  is the velocity of the motor shaft. The torque balance equation for the motor is given by

$$D_m P_L = J\dot{\omega}_c + B_m \omega_c + T_L \tag{19}$$

where  $B_m$  is the viscous damping coefficient, J is the inertia of motor and  $T_t$  is the load disturbance.

Based on the block diagram as shown in Fig. 1, by combining (17)-(19), the servo valve gain  $K_v$ , and the VSC with integral

461



Fig. 1. The electrohydraulic position servo system using VSC with integral compensation.

compensation the following set of state equations can be obtained:

$$\dot{X}_1 = X_2 \tag{20a}$$

$$\dot{X}_2 = X_3 \tag{20b}$$

$$\dot{X}_3 = -a_2 X_2 - a_3 X_3 + bU - f$$
 (20c)  
 $\dot{Z} = r - X_1$  (20d)

(20d)

(22b)

where

$$a_{2} = \frac{4\beta}{V_{t}} \frac{D_{m}^{2}}{J} + \frac{4\beta}{V_{t}} \frac{B_{m}}{J} K_{ce} \qquad a_{3} = \frac{B_{m}}{J} + \frac{4\beta}{V_{t}} K_{ce}$$
$$b = 57.3 K_{v} K_{s} \frac{4\beta}{V_{t}} \frac{D_{m}}{J} \qquad f = 57.3 \frac{4\beta}{V_{t}} \frac{K_{ce}}{J} T_{L} + 57.3 \frac{1}{J} \dot{T}_{L}$$
$$X_{1} = \theta_{c}$$

is the position of the motor shaft and  $r = \theta_r$  is the reference input.

Following the design procedure given in Section II, one obtains the control function

$$U = [c_1 K_I (r - X_1) - c_1 X_2 - c_2 X_3 + a_2^0 X_2 + a_3^0 X_3] / b^0 + (\Psi_1 | X_1 - K_I Z | + \Psi_2 | X_2 | + \Psi_3 | X_3 | + \Phi) M_\delta(\sigma)$$
(21)

with

$$\Psi_{i} < -|\Delta a_{i} - a_{i}^{0} \Delta b/b^{0} + c_{i-1} \Delta b/b^{0}|/b$$
  
$$i = 1, \dots, 3 \qquad c_{0} = 0 \quad (22a)$$

and

where

$$\Phi < -|N(t)|/b$$

$$\begin{split} N(t) &= \{-K_I Z (\Delta a_1 - a_1^0 \Delta b/b^0) \\ &+ \big[ c_1 K_I (r - X_1) \big] \Delta b/b^0 - f \}. \end{split}$$

The  $\sigma$  function is obtained from (3) as

$$\sigma = c_1(X_1 - K_1Z) + c_2X_2 + X_3 \tag{23}$$

and, by suitably choosing Q and R, one can obtain the optimal gains of  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $K_I$ .

#### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The robustness of the proposed approach against large plant parameter variations and external load disturbance has been simulated for demonstration. The nominal values of the hydraulic system parameters are listed in Table I. The weighting

TABLE I SYSTEM PARAMETERS FOR SIMULATION

Parameter	Value	Dimension
K <sub>s</sub>	$0.03 \times \sqrt{P_s - \operatorname{sign}(X_v)P_L}$	in <sup>2</sup> /s
$P_{c}$	2000	psi
β	50000	psi
$V_{t}$	2.0	in <sup>3</sup>
K <sub>ce</sub>	0.001	in <sup>3</sup> /s/psi
$D_m$	1.0	in <sup>3</sup> /rad
J	0.5	in-lb-s <sup>2</sup> /rad
$B_m$	75	in-lb.s/rad
$K_v$	20.	in/V

matrices are chosen as

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 10^5 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 50 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R = 10^{-5}.$$

Then, from (12), the optimal gain matrix can be obtained as

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -10^5 & -5873.1 & -147.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $K_1 = 17$ ,  $c_1 = 5873.1$ , and  $c_2 = 147.3$ .

Gains  $\Psi_1$ ,  $\Psi_2$ ,  $\Psi_3$ , and  $\Phi$  must be chosen to satisfy (22) and, based on simulations, one possible choice is

$$\Psi_1 = -1$$
  $\Psi_2 = -0.01$   $\Psi_3 = -0.00002$   $\Phi = -0.001$ 

This VSC with integral compensation approach gives a control function

$$U = [c_1 K_I (r - X_1) - c_1 X_2 - c_2 X_3 + a_2^0 X_2 + a_3^0 X_3] / b^0$$
$$+ (\Psi_1 | X_1 - K_I Z | + \Psi_2 | X_2 | + \Psi_3 | X_3 | + \Phi) M_\delta(\sigma)$$

where  $\sigma = 5873.1(X_1 - K_1Z) + 147.3X_2 + X_3$  and  $\delta =$  $20000|X_1 - r| + 500.$ 

The following approaches are presented for performance comparison.

1) Conventional VSC approach: Let the control function Ube

$$U = (-1|X_1 - r| - 0.01|X_2| - 0.00002|X_3|)M_{\delta}(\sigma)$$

where  $\sigma = 800(X_1 - r) + 40X_2 + X_3$  and  $\delta = 20000|X_1|$ -r|+500.

2) Linear PI approach: Let the transfer function of the controller be

$$K_p + K_I/S$$

where  $K_p = 0.0095$  and  $K_I = 0.0158$ .

Fig. 2 shows the dynamic responses of the three approaches. It is seen that, in the presence of a shaft-angle-dependent external load disturbance  $T_L$  and the variations of plant parameters  $K_v$ and J, the responses of the proposed approach can be maintained almost identically but vary significantly for other approaches. Fig. 3 shows the waveform of the control function U. It is clear that by using a modified proper continuous function the chattering phenomena can be effectively suppressed. Thus, the proposed approach seems amenable for practical implementation.



Fig. 2. Angular responses in the presence of load disturbance  $T_L$  and variations of plant parameters  $K_v$  and J. (a) The proposed VSC with integral compensation approach. (b) The conventional VSC approach. (c) The linear PI approach.

-•-: normal  $(T_L = 0, K_v = 20 \text{ in/V}, J = 0.5 \text{ in-lb-s}^2/\text{rad})$ --O-::  $T_L = 500|\theta_c|$ ----: - 50% change in  $K_v$ —□—: 1000% change in J

## V. CONCLUSIONS

A VSC with integral compensation for an electrohydraulic position servo control system is presented. It has been shown that the proposed approach is theoretically robust to the plant parameter variations. It can achieve a zero steady-state error for step input and has an optimal motion with respect to a quadratic



Fig. 3. Control signal of the proposed approach.

performance index. Simulations show that the proposed approach can give a quite accurate servo-tracking response in the face of large plant parameter variations and external load disturbance.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Utkin, "Variable structure systems with sliding modes," IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-22, pp. 212–222, 1977. U. Itkis, Control Systems of Variable Structure. New York: Wiley,
- 1976
- [3] B. D. O. Anderson and J. B. Moore, Linear Optimal Control Systems. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1971
- [4] G. Ambrosino, G. Celentano, and F. Garofalo, "Variable structure model reference adaptive control system," Int. J. Contr., vol. 39, pp. 1339-1349, 1984.
- H. E. Merrit, Hydraulic Control System. New York: Wiley, 1967.
- [6] J. S. Yun and H. S. Cho, "Adaptive model following control of electrohydraulic velocity control systems subjected to unknown disturbances," IEE Proc., pt. D., vol. 135, pp. 149-156, 1988.

# A Rotor Time Constant Evaluation for **Vector-Controlled Induction Motor Drives**

### Piotr J. Chrzan and Piotr Kurzyński

Abstract-The on-line identification method of the rotor time constant of an induction machine is derived from the steady-state analysis of the machine space vectors. Simulation of the indirect field orientation system is performed to verify the method convergence in quasi-steadystate operation, independently of the initial controller parameters.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Digital vector control techniques incorporating PWM inverters have made possible the development of high-performance induction motor drives. However, in these solutions the control gains depend heavily on the motor parameters, particularly on the rotor resistance or the rotor time constant, which change widely with temperature, frequency, and current amplitude.

Manuscript received April 11, 1992; revised June 18, 1992.

The authors are with the Electrical Engineering Department, Technical University of Gdansk, 80-952, Gdansk, Poland.

IEEE Log Number 9202535.

0278-0046/92\$03.00 © 1992 IEEE