JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 163, 20-37 (1992)

# On the Singular Behavior of the Solution of  $v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0$

#### KUO-SHUNG CHENG AND SZE-BI Hsu

Institute of Applied Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30043, Taiwan, Republic of China

#### AND

## SHIN-FENG HWANG

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan, Republic of China

Submitted by V. Lakshmikantham

Received June 5, 1989

In this paper we study the singular behavior as  $a \uparrow \pi$ , about the solution  $v(x; a)$ of the initial value problem  $v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0$ ,  $v'(0) = 0$ ,  $v(0) = a$ . We also illustrate its application to the large deformation of a heavy cantilever by its own weight.  $\circledcirc$  1992 Academic Press, Inc.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with the singular behavior of the solutions of the following initial value problem:

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
v'(0) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
v(0) = a,
$$
  $a \in \mathbb{R}.$   
\n(1)<sub>a</sub>

We denote the solution of  $(I)_a$  by  $v(x; a)$ . The qualitative behavior of the solutions  $v(x; a)$  is important to the studies of the following mathematical model (1.1) which describes the large deformations of a heavy cantilever by its own weight (see  $\lceil 1 \rceil$  or  $\lceil 2 \rceil$ ):

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
v'(0) = 0, \qquad v(K) = \pi - \alpha, 0 \le \alpha \le \pi.
$$
 (1.1)

0022-247X/92 \$3.00 Copyright  $\odot$  1992 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. In  $[2]$  the authors studied the two-point boundary value problem  $(1.1)$  by using the shooting method. From the uniqueness of the solution of the initial value problem  $(I)_{a}$ , it follows that

$$
v(x; \pi) \equiv \pi, \qquad v(x; -\pi) \equiv -\pi, \qquad v(x; 0) \equiv 0; \n v(x; 2\pi + a) = 2\pi + v(x; a), \n v(x; 2\pi - a) = 2\pi - v(x; a),
$$
\n(1.2)

and it suffices to consider the problem  $(I)_a$  only for the case  $0 < a < \pi$ . We note that from [2] for all  $0 < a < \pi$ ,  $v(x; a)$  is oscillatory over [0,  $\infty$ ) and  $-\pi < v(x; a) < \pi$  for all  $x \ge 0$ . We introduce

$$
\Delta(x; a) = \frac{dv}{da}(x; a), \qquad \phi(x) = \Delta(x; 0).
$$

Then differentiating  $(I)$ <sub>a</sub> with respect to *a* yields

$$
\Delta''(x) + x(\cos v(x; a)) \Delta(x) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta'(0) = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta(0) = 1.
$$
\n(1.3)

Setting  $a = 0$  in (1.3) yields

$$
\phi''(x) + x\phi(x) = 0, \qquad \phi'(0) = 0, \qquad \phi(0) = 1. \tag{1.4}
$$

Let  $y_n(a)$ ,  $z_n(a)$  be the nth zeros of  $v(x; a)$  and  $v'(x; a)$ , respectively, for  $n = 1, 2, ...,$  with  $0 = z_1 < y_1 < z_2 < ... < y_n < z_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < ...$  and  $\lambda_n, \gamma_n$ be *n*th zero of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi'(x)$ , respectively, for  $n = 1, 2, ...$  Then in [2] we have shown the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $0 < a < \pi$ ; then  $\Delta(x; a)$  has an infinite number of isolated zeros  $\alpha_n(a)$  and  $\Delta'(x; a)$  satisfies the following:

(i) If  $0 < a < \pi/2$ , then  $\Delta'(x; a)$  has an infinite number of isolated zeros  $\beta_n(a)$ ,  $0 = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_n < \cdots$ . Furthermore,  $\beta_1 = z_1 = 0 < y_1 <$  $\alpha_1 < z_2 < \beta_2 < y_2 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < y_n < \alpha_n < z_{n+1} < \beta_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < \cdots$ 

(ii) If  $\pi/2 \le a < \pi$  then  $\Delta'(x; a)$  has an infinite number of isolated zeros  $\beta_n(a)$ ,  $0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_n < \cdots$ . Furthermore,  $\beta_0 = z_1 =$  $0 < \beta_1 < y_1 < \alpha_1 < z_2 < \beta_2 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n < \alpha_n < z_{n+1} < \beta_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < \cdots$ 

(iii)  $\lim_{a\to 0^+} y_n(a) = \lambda_n$ ,  $\lim_{a\to 0^+} z_n(a) = \gamma_n$ , and  $\lim_{a\to \pi^-} y_n(a) = \infty$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , moreover,

$$
\frac{dy_n}{da} > 0, \qquad \frac{dz_n}{da} > 0, \qquad for \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.
$$

We introduce the following Liapunov function:

$$
V(x) = (1 - \cos v(x; a)) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(v'(x; a))^2}{x}.
$$
 (1.5)

It is easy to verify that

$$
V'(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{v'(x; a)}{x} \right]^2 \le 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad x \ge 0. \tag{1.6}
$$

Then we have

$$
1 - \cos v(0) > 1 - \cos v(z_1) > 1 - \cos v(z_2) > \cdots,
$$
 (1.7)

and it follows that  $|v(x; a)| \le a$  for all  $x \ge 0$ . That is,  $\{|v(z_n(a); a)|\}$  is a monotone decreasing sequence; moreover from [3] we have

**THEOREM** 1.2. Given  $a \in (0, \pi)$ , we have

- (i)  $v(z_{2n}(a); a)$  monotonically increases to zero as  $n \to \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $v(z_{2n+1}(a); a)$  monotonically decreases to zero as  $n \to \infty$ .

Consequently Theorem 1.2 says that for any given a,  $0 < a < \pi$ , the solution  $v(x; a)$  satisfies  $\lim_{x \to \infty} v(x; a) = 0$ .

# 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we illustrate the singular behavior of the solution  $v(x; a)$ as  $a \rightarrow \pi^-$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $h(a) = v^2(z_n(a); a)$ . Then  $h(a)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \pi)$ .

Proof. We have

$$
h'(a) = 2v(z_n(a); a) \left[ v'(z_n(a); a) \frac{dz_n}{da} + \Delta(z_n(a); a) \right]
$$

$$
= 2v(z_n(a); a) \Delta(z_n(a); a).
$$

From Theorem 1.1, it is easy to verify  $h'(a) > 0$  for any  $0 < a < \pi$ .

In the following, we state and prove our main result.

THEOREM 2.2. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$
\lim_{a \to \pi} v(z_n(a); a) = (-1)^{n+1}\pi, \quad \text{for all} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.
$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon = \pi - a$ , denote  $v(x; \varepsilon)$  to be the solution of the initial value problem

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
v'(0) = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
v(0) = \pi - \varepsilon \qquad 0 < \varepsilon < \pi,
$$
  
\n(2.1)

and let  $y_n(\varepsilon)$ ,  $z_n(\varepsilon)$  be the *n*th zero of  $v(x; \varepsilon)$  and  $v'(x; \varepsilon)$ , respectively, for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It is equivalent to show  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v(z_n(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) = (-1)^{n+1}\pi$ , and we prove it by mathematical induction. We have  $v(z_1(a); a) = a$ , and Theorem 2.2 holds trivially for  $n = 1$ .

Step 1. For  $n=2$  we prove  $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} v(z_2(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) = -\pi$  or  $\lim_{\varepsilon\to\pi} v(z_2(a); a)$  $= -\pi$ . Multiplying by  $v'(x)$  on both sides of (2.1) and integrating the resulting identity from  $a$  to  $b$  yields

$$
\frac{1}{2}(v'(b; \varepsilon))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(v'(a; \varepsilon))^2 = b \cos v(b; \varepsilon) - a \cos v(a; \varepsilon) - \int_a^b \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx.
$$
\n(2.2)

For any  $\delta > 0$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\delta > \varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $y(\varepsilon, \delta)$ and  $y^*(\varepsilon, \delta)$  to be the first real numbers satisfying  $v(y(\varepsilon, \delta); \varepsilon) = \pi - \delta$  and  $v(y^*(\varepsilon, \delta); \varepsilon) = \pi - \delta/2$ , respectively. Obvious  $y^*(\varepsilon, \delta) < y(\varepsilon, \delta)$ . Since  $v(x; \varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} \equiv \pi$  for all  $x \ge 0$ , and from the continuous dependence on initial data, we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y^*(\varepsilon; \delta) = +\infty. \tag{2.3}
$$

Setting  $a = 0$ ,  $b = y(\varepsilon; \delta)$  in (2.2) yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} [v'(y(\varepsilon;\delta); \varepsilon)]^2 = y(\varepsilon, \delta) \cos v(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) - \int_0^{y(\varepsilon; \delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$

$$
= \int_0^{y(\varepsilon; \delta)} [\cos v(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) - \cos v(x; \varepsilon)] dx
$$

$$
> \int_0^{y^*(\varepsilon; \delta)} [\cos(\pi - \delta) - \cos v(x; \varepsilon)] dx
$$

$$
\ge y^*(\varepsilon; \delta) [\cos(\pi - \delta) - \cos(\pi - \delta/2)].
$$

We have  $\lceil cos(\pi-\delta)-cos(\pi-\delta/2)\rceil > 0$  and from (2.3)

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) = -\infty. \tag{2.4}
$$

Consequently that  $v''(x) = -x \sin v < 0$  on  $(0, y_1(\varepsilon))$  implies that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) = -\infty. \tag{2.5}
$$

from the identity

$$
\int_{y(\varepsilon;\delta)}^{y_1(\varepsilon)} v'(x;\varepsilon) dx = -(\pi-\delta),
$$

and the concavity of v on  $(y(\varepsilon; \delta), y_1(\varepsilon))$ , we have

$$
\frac{\delta - \pi}{v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)} < y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta) < \frac{\delta - \pi}{v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon)}.
$$
\n(2.6)

Then from  $(2.4)$ ,  $(2.5)$ , and  $(2.6)$  it follows that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta) = 0. \tag{2.7}
$$

In the following, we establish that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\left[ v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) \right]^2}{y_1(\varepsilon)} = 4. \tag{2.8}
$$

Setting  $a=0$ ,  $b=y_1(\varepsilon)$  in (2.2) yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} (v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2 = y_1(\varepsilon) - \int_0^{y_1(\varepsilon)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$
  
=  $y_1(\varepsilon) - \int_0^{y(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx - \int_{y(\varepsilon;\delta)}^{y_1(\varepsilon)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx.$ 

It is easy to verify that

$$
y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta) \cos(\pi - \delta) - \int_{y(\varepsilon; \delta)}^{y_1(\varepsilon)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} (v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2
$$
  

$$
\leq y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta) \cos(\pi - \varepsilon) - \int_{y(\varepsilon; \delta)}^{y_1(\varepsilon)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx.
$$

From (2.7) and let  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in the above inequality, we have

$$
1 - \cos(\pi - \delta) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\left(v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)\right)^2}{2y_1(\varepsilon)} \le 1 + 1 = 2. \tag{2.9}
$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, (2.8) follows directly from (2.9). We note that from (1.5) and (1.6), we have

$$
V(0) > V(y(\varepsilon; \delta)) > V(y_1(\varepsilon))
$$

or

$$
1 - \cos(\pi - \varepsilon) \ge \left[1 - \cos(\pi - \delta)\right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon)\right)^2}{y(\varepsilon; \delta)}
$$

$$
\ge \frac{\left(v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)\right)^2}{2y_1(\varepsilon)}.
$$
(2.10)

From (2.8), (2.10) we obtain

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\left[ v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) \right]^2}{y(\varepsilon; \delta)} = 2(1 + \cos(\pi - \delta)).
$$
\n(2.11)

Then from (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9) we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2}{(v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon))^2} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2 / y_1(\varepsilon)}{(v'(y(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon))^2 / y(\varepsilon; \delta)} \frac{y_1(\varepsilon)}{y(\varepsilon; \delta)}
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{1 - \cos(\pi - \delta)}.
$$

Hence there exists a constant  $\hat{C}_1 = \hat{C}_1(\delta) > 0$ , such that for  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$
|v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)| < \hat{C}_1 |v'(y_1(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon)|. \tag{2.12}
$$

From  $(2.6)$  and  $(2.12)$ , we have

$$
0 < y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta) < \frac{\hat{C}_1(\pi - \delta)}{|v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)|} = \frac{C}{|v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)|},\tag{2.13}
$$

where  $C = C(\delta) = \hat{C}_1(\pi - \delta) > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

Now we are in a position to show that  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} v(z_2(\epsilon); \epsilon) = -\pi$ . Suppose this does not hold, then there exists  $\delta^* > 0$  such that  $v(z_2(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) > -\pi + 2\delta^*$ for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $u(x; \varepsilon) = v(x + y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)$  and  $w(x; \varepsilon) = -v(y_1(\varepsilon) - x; \varepsilon)$ , then  $u(x; \varepsilon)$  and  $w(x; \varepsilon)$  satisfy the following:

$$
u''(x) + y_1(\varepsilon) \sin u = -x \sin u,
$$
  
 
$$
u(0; \varepsilon) = 0, \qquad u'(0; \varepsilon) = v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon), \qquad \text{for all} \quad x \ge 0,
$$
 (2.14)\*

and

$$
w''(x) + y_1(\varepsilon) \sin w = x \sin w,
$$
  
\n
$$
w(0; \varepsilon) = 0, \qquad w'(0; \varepsilon) = v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon), \qquad \text{for all} \quad x \ge 0.
$$
\n(2.15)\*

Let  $\eta = xA(\varepsilon)$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) = -v'(y_1(\varepsilon); \varepsilon)$ ,  $u(x) = u(\eta/A(\varepsilon)) = \phi(\eta)$  and  $w(x) = w(\eta/A(\varepsilon)) = \psi(\eta)$ . Then  $\phi(\eta)$  and  $\psi(\eta)$  satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\phi''(\eta) + y_1(\varepsilon) A(\varepsilon)^{-2} \sin \phi &= -\eta A(\varepsilon)^{-3} \sin \phi, \qquad \eta \ge 0, \\
\phi(0; \varepsilon) &= 0, \qquad \phi'(0; \varepsilon) = -1.\n\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}
$$

and

$$
\psi''(\eta) + y_1(\varepsilon) A(\varepsilon)^{-2} \sin \psi = -\eta A(\varepsilon)^{-3} \sin \psi, \qquad \eta \ge 0,
$$
  
 
$$
\psi(0; \varepsilon) = 0, \qquad \psi'(0; \varepsilon) = -1.
$$
 (2.15)

If we choose  $\delta = \delta^* > 0$ , then from (2.13) there exists a constant  $C = C(\delta^*)$ independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $0 < y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon; \delta^*) < CA(\varepsilon)^{-1}$ , provided  $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Choose  $M > C$ ; from (2.5), (2.8), and the continuous dependence on parameter  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small

$$
|\phi(\eta) - \psi(\eta)| \leq \delta^*/4, \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq M.
$$

In particular, let  $\eta = (y_1(\varepsilon) - y(\varepsilon, \delta^*)) A(\varepsilon)$ ; then

$$
w(y_1(\varepsilon)-y(\varepsilon;\delta^*))+\delta^*/4>u(y_1(\varepsilon)-y(\varepsilon;\delta^*))>-\pi+2\delta^*
$$

or

$$
-(\pi-\delta^*)+\delta^*/4>-\pi+2\delta^*
$$

This is a desired contradiction and we complete the proof for the case  $n = 2$ .

Step 2. We now assume inductively that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v(z_k(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) = (-1)^{k+1} \pi \tag{2.16}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(v'(y_{k-1}(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2}{y_{k-1}(\varepsilon)} = 4,
$$
\n(2.17)

for all  $k = 3, 4, 5, ..., n-1$ . We show that (2.16), (2.17) hold for  $k=n$ . For simplicity, we may assume that *n* is an odd number. We have that  $(2.16)$  holds for  $k = 2, 3, 4, ..., n - 1$ . Given any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $y_1^r(\epsilon; \delta)$ ,  $y_k^l(\epsilon; \delta)$ and  $y_k^r(\varepsilon, \delta)$ , satisfying

$$
0 < y_1^r(\varepsilon; \delta) < y_1(\varepsilon), \quad \text{with} \quad v(y_1(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) = \pi - \delta;
$$
\n
$$
y_{k-1}(\varepsilon) < y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta) < y_k^r(\varepsilon; \delta) < y_k(\varepsilon),
$$
\n
$$
\text{with} \quad v(y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) = v(y_k^r(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon) = (-1)^{k+1}(\pi - \delta)
$$

for  $k = 2, 3, ..., n - 1$ , provided  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, (see Fig. 1). We claim that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_{k-1}(\varepsilon) = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad k = 2, 3, ..., n-1,
$$
 (2.18)

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} y_k(\varepsilon) - y_k(\varepsilon; \delta) = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n - 1.
$$
 (2.19)

Let  $V(x) = (1 - \cos v(x; \varepsilon)) + (v'(x; \varepsilon))^2/2x$ . Then from the fact that  $V'(x) \le 0$ , we have  $V(y_{k-1}(\varepsilon)) > V(y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)) > V(z_k(\varepsilon))$ , for  $k = 2, 3, ...$ ,  $n-1$ . Consequently from (2.16), (2.17), we have  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)) = 2$ , or

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(v'(y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon))^2}{y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)} = 2(1 + \cos(\delta - \pi)).
$$
\n(2.20)



FIG. 1. The graph for the solution  $v(x, \varepsilon)$  of (2.1).

From (2.20) we obtain

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |v'(y^1_k(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon)| = \infty. \tag{2.21}
$$

Consider the following identity:

$$
\int_{y_{k-1}(\varepsilon)}^{y_k^1(\varepsilon,\,\delta)} v'(x;\,\varepsilon)\,dx = (-1)^{k+1}(\pi-\delta).
$$

Then we have

$$
v'(y_{k-1}(\varepsilon))(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta)-y_{k-1}(\varepsilon)) < \delta - \pi < v'(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta))(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta)-y_{k-1}(\varepsilon))
$$
  
when  $k$  is even

or

$$
v'(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta))(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta)-y_{k-1}(\varepsilon)) < \pi - \delta < v'(y_{k-1}(\varepsilon))(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta)-y_{k-1}(\varepsilon))
$$
  
when  $k$  is odd.

In both cases we have

$$
0 < y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_{k-1}(\varepsilon) < \frac{\pi - \delta}{|v'(y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta))|},\tag{2.22}
$$

Hence (2.18) follows directly from (2.21), (2.22). Similarly if we prove

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |v'(y_k^r(\varepsilon; \delta); \varepsilon)| = \infty, \tag{2.23}
$$

then (2.19) holds. Setting  $a = y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)$ ,  $b = y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)$  in (2.2) yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} (v'(y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta); \varepsilon))^2 - \frac{1}{2} (v'(y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta); \varepsilon))^2
$$
\n
$$
= (y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta) - y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta)) \cos(\pi - \delta) - \int_{y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta)}^{y_k^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx \ge 0.
$$

That is,

$$
|v'(y_k^r(\varepsilon;\delta);\varepsilon)| \geqslant |v'(y_k^1(\varepsilon;\delta);\delta)|.
$$

Then (2.23) follows directly from (2.21).

We are now in a position to show that (2.17) holds for  $k = n$ . We set  $a=0, b=y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)$  in (2.2) to obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} (v'(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2 = y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - \int_0^{y_1(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - \int_0^{y_1'(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \int_{y_k'(\varepsilon;\delta)}^{y_k'(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \int_{y_{k-1}(\varepsilon;\delta)}^{y_k'(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx - \int_{y_{n-1}'}^{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon;\delta)} \cos v(x; \varepsilon) dx.
$$

It is easy to verify the following inequality

$$
1 - \frac{y_1^r(\varepsilon; \delta)}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \cos (\pi - \delta) - \frac{\cos(\pi - \delta)}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k^r(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)) - \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_{k-1}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon; \delta)) - \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} (y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - y_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon; \delta)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(v'(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon); \varepsilon))^2}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \leq 1 + \frac{y_1^r(\varepsilon; \delta)}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k^r(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta)) + \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k^1(\varepsilon; \delta) - y_{k-1}^1(\varepsilon; \delta)) + \frac{1}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} (y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - y_{n-1}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon; \delta)).
$$

Since  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} y_{n-1}(\epsilon) = \infty$  and  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, (2.18) and (2.19) imply

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\left(v'(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon))^{2}}{y_{n-1}(\varepsilon)} = 4.
$$
\n(2.24)

Hence we establish (2.17) for  $k = n$ .

Using the same argument as we did in Step 1 yields

$$
0 < y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - y_{n-1}(\varepsilon; \delta) < \frac{C}{|v'(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon))|} \tag{2.25}
$$

for some  $C = C(\delta) > 0$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Since *n* is odd, we show that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} v(z_n(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) = \pi. \tag{2.26}
$$

Suppose (2.26) does not hold. Then there exists a  $\delta^* > 0$ , such that

$$
v(z_n(\varepsilon); \varepsilon) < \pi - 2\delta^* \qquad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2.27}
$$

Let  $u(x; \varepsilon) = v(x + y_{n-1}(\varepsilon); \varepsilon), w(x; \varepsilon) = -v(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - x; \varepsilon)$ . From (2.24), (2.17) and the arguments for the case  $n = 2$ , we obtain

$$
\pi - 2\delta^* > u(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - y_{n-1}^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon; \delta^*); \varepsilon)
$$
  
> 
$$
w(y_{n-1}(\varepsilon) - y_{n-1}^{\mathsf{T}}(\varepsilon; \delta^*); \varepsilon) - \delta^*/4
$$
  
= 
$$
-(-\pi + \delta^*) - \delta^*/4
$$
  
= 
$$
\pi - 5\delta^*/4.
$$

This is a desired contradiction. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

## 3. THE APPLICATION

In  $\lceil 1, 2 \rceil$  the authors discussed a mathematical model describing the deformation of a cantilever by its own weight. It is assumed that a cantilever of uniform cross-section, uniform density  $\rho$ , and total length  $L$  is held fixed at an angle  $\alpha$  at one end, say the origin, and is free at the other end. Let s' be the arc length from the origin, and  $\theta = \theta(s')$  be the local angle of inclination. Then we have the governing equation

$$
\operatorname{EI} \frac{d^2 \theta}{ds'^2} = \rho(L - s') \sin \theta,
$$
  

$$
\theta(0) = \alpha, \qquad \frac{d\theta}{ds'}(L) = 0,
$$
 (3.1)

where EI is the flexural rigidity of the material. Let  $s = s'/L$ , then the governing equation becomes

$$
\frac{d^2\theta}{ds^2} = K^3(1-s)\sin\psi, \qquad 0 \le s \le 1, K > 0,
$$
  

$$
\theta'(1) = 0, \qquad \theta(0) = \alpha, \qquad -\pi \le \alpha \le \pi,
$$
 (P) <sub>$\alpha$</sub> 

where  $K = (\rho L^3 / E I)^{1/3}$  represents the importance of density and length relative to that of flexural rigidity. Let  $s = x$ ,  $v(x) = \theta(1 - x/K) - \pi$ ; then we reformulate our equation as the following:

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0, \qquad' = d/dx,v'(0) = 0, \qquad v(K) = \alpha - \pi.
$$
 (3.2)

The vertical case  $\alpha = \pi$  was completely analyzed in [2].

We note that from (1.2) we have  $v(K; a) = \pi - \alpha$  if and only if  $v(K; -a) = \alpha - \pi$ . For simplicity, instead of (3.2), we study the multiplicities of the solutions of the following boundary value problem:

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
v'(0) = 0, \qquad v(K) = \pi - \alpha, \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 < \alpha < \pi.
$$
 (3.3)

To solve (3.3) by the shooting method, we consider the following initial value problem:

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
v'(0) = 0, \qquad v(0) = a, \qquad \text{for} \quad -\pi < a < \pi.
$$
\n(3.4)

THEOREM 3.1. Given  $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ ,

(i) For each  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ , there exists a unique  $a_{2n+1} = a_{2n+1}(\alpha)$ ,  $a_{2n+1} \in (\pi-\alpha, \pi)$ , satisfying  $v(z_{2n+1}(a_{2n+1}); a_{2n+1}) = \pi-\alpha$ ; moreover,  $a_1 =$  $\pi - \alpha < a_3 < a_5 < \cdots < a_{2n+1} < \cdots < \pi$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{2n+1} = 0$ .



o- oxis

FIG. 2. The graph of the functions  $Y_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{u}}(a)$  and  $Y_{2n+1}^{\mathfrak{t}}(a)$  for fixed  $0 < a < \pi$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

(ii) Given any  $n \ge 1$ , for each  $a \in (a_{2n-1}, a_{2n+1})$ , the equation  $v(x; a) = \pi - \alpha$ , has exactly  $2n - 1$  isolated zeros  $\{y_1^u, y_{2m+1}^v, y_{2m+1}^u\}_{m=1}^{n-1}$ , where  $y_1^u = y_1^u(a; \alpha)$ ,  $y_{2m+1}^1 = y_{2m+1}^1(a; \alpha)$ ,  $y_{2m+1}^u = y_{2m+1}^u(a; \alpha)$ , satisfying  $0 = z_1(a) < y_1^u < y_3^1 < z_3(a) < y_3^u < \cdots < y_{2n-1}^1 < z_{2n-1}(a) < y_{2n-1}^u$ ; moreover, for  $a=a_{2n-1}$ , we have  $y_{2n-1}^1(a_{2n-1},\alpha)=y_{2n-1}^0(a_{2n-1},\alpha)=z_{2n-1}(a_{2n-1}).$ 

(iii) For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , as a function of a,  $y_{2n+1}^1(a)$  attains global minimum at a point  $\eta_{2n+1} \in (\pi - \alpha, \pi)$ ,  $a_{2n+1} < \eta_{2n+1}$ , satisfying  $y_{2n+1}^1(\eta_{2n+1}) = \alpha_{2n}(\eta_{2n+1}),$  where  $\alpha_{2n}(a)$  is the 2nth zero of  $\Delta(x; a)$ , and  $\lim_{a \to \pi^-} y_{2n+1}^1(a) = +\infty$ . On the other hand,  $y_{2n+1}^u(a)$  is strictly increasing on  $[a_{2n+1}, \pi)$  and  $\lim_{a \to \pi^-} y_{2n+1}^u(a) = +\infty$ . (See Fig. 2.)

#### For analogous results, we have

(i)\* For each  $n = 1, 2, ...,$  there exists a unique  $a_{2n} = a_{2n}(\alpha)$ ,  $a_{2n} \in (-\pi, -\pi + \alpha)$ , satisfying  $v(z_{2n}(a_{2n}); a_{2n}) = \pi - \alpha$ . Moreover,  $0 > a_2 >$  $a_4 > \cdots > a_{2n} > \cdots > -\pi$ , and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{2n} = -\pi$ .

(ii)\* Given any  $n \ge 1$ , for each  $a \in (a_{2n}, a_{2n+2})$ , the equation  $v(x; a) =$  $\pi-\alpha$  has exactly 2n isolated zeros  $\{y_{2m}^1, y_{2m}^u\}_{m=1}^n$ , where  $y_{2m}^1 = y_{2m}^1(a; \alpha)$ ,



FIG. 3. The graph of the functions  $Y_{2n}^u(a)$  and  $Y_{2n}^1(a)$  for fixed  $0 < \alpha < \pi$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

 $y_{2m}^{\mathrm{u}} = y_{2m}^{\mathrm{u}}(a; \alpha)$ , satisfying  $y_2^{\mathrm{u}} < z_2(a) < y_2^{\mathrm{u}} < \cdots < y_{2n}^{\mathrm{u}} < z_{2n}(a) < y_{2n}^{\mathrm{u}}$ , moreover, for  $a = a_{2n}$ , we have  $y_{2n}^1(a_{2n}, \alpha) = y_{2n}^u(a_{2n}, \alpha) = z_{2n}(a_{2n}).$ 

(iii)\* For each  $n = 1, 2, ..., y_{2n}^1(a)$ , defined on  $(-\pi, a_{2n})$ , attains a global minimum at a point  $\eta_{2n} \in (-\pi, \alpha-\pi)$  with  $a_{2n} > \eta_{2n}$ , satisfying  $y_{2n}^{1}(\eta_{2n})=\alpha_{2n-1}(\eta_{2n})$ , where  $\alpha_{2n-1}(a)$  is the  $(2n-1)$ th zero of  $\Delta(x; a)$  and  $\lim_{a \to -\pi^+} y^1_{2n}(a) = +\infty$ . On the other hand,  $y^u_{2n}(a)$  is strictly increasing on  $(-\pi, a_{2n}]$  and  $\lim_{a \to -\pi^+} y_{2n}^u(a) = +\infty$ . (See Fig. 3.)

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, it is easy to show that there exists a unique  $a_{2n+1}$ , depending on  $\alpha$ ,  $a_{2n+1} \in (\pi - \alpha, \pi)$ , satisfying  $v(z_{2n+1}(a_{2n+1}); a_{2n+1}) = \pi - \alpha$ , and  $a_1 = \pi - \alpha < a_3 < a_5 < \cdots < a_{2n+1} < \pi$ .<br>We claim  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{2n+1} = \pi$ . If not, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{2n+1} = \pi - \delta_0$  for some  $\delta_0$  > 0. Choose  $a = \pi - \delta_0/2$ . Then from Lemma 3.2 for any  $n = 1, 2, ...$  $v(z_{2n+1}(a); a) > v(z_{2n+1}(a_{2n+1}); a_{2n+1}) = \pi - \alpha$ . This is a desired contradiction to Lemma 3.1. Thus we complete the proof for part (i). Part (ii) follows directly from Lemma 3.2 and the oscillatory behavior of the solution  $v(x; a)$  and (3.3). We have the relation

$$
v(y_{2n+1}^1(a; \alpha); a) = \pi - \alpha. \tag{3.5}
$$

Differentiating (3.5) with respect to a yields

$$
\frac{dy_{2n+1}^1(a;\alpha)}{da} = \frac{-A(y_{2n+1}^1(a;\alpha);a)}{v'(y_{2n+1}^1(a;\alpha);a)}.
$$
\n(3.6)

Since  $y_{2n+1}^1(a_{2n+1}, \alpha) = z_{2n+1}(a_{2n+1})$ , we have

$$
\left.\frac{dy_{2n+1}^1(a;\alpha)}{da}\right|_{a=a_{2n+1}}=-\infty.
$$

However,  $y_{2n}(a) \leq y_{2n+1}^1(a, \alpha)$  and  $\lim_{a \to \pi} y_{2n}(a) = +\infty$ ; this shows  $\lim_{a \to \pi} y_{2n+1}^1(a) = +\infty$  and the existence of a global minimum  $\eta_{2n+1}$  of  $y_{2n+1}^{1}(a, \alpha)$ . From (3.6) we have

$$
0 = \frac{dy_{2n+1}^{1}(\eta_{2n+1}; \alpha)}{da} = -\frac{\Delta(y_{2n+1}^{1}(\eta_{2n+1}; \alpha); \eta_{2n+1})}{v'(y_{2n+1}^{1}(\eta_{2n+1}; \alpha); \eta_{2n+1})},
$$
(3.7)

and  $y_{2n+1}^1(\eta_{2n+1}; \alpha) = \alpha_{2n}(\eta_{2n+1})$  follows directly from (3.7). On the other hand, we have

$$
\frac{dy_{2n+1}^{0}(a;\alpha)}{da} = \frac{-A(y_{2n+1}^{0}(a;\alpha);a)}{v'(y_{2n+1}^{0}(a;\alpha);a)}.
$$

From the relation  $\alpha_{2n}(a) < z_{2n+1}(a) < y_{2n+1}(a) < y_{2n+1}(a)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ...$ , it

follows that  $dy_{2n+1}^{\alpha}/da>0$  for all  $a\in(\alpha_{2n+1}, \pi)$ . The analogous results for  $(i)$ <sup>\*</sup>,  $(ii)$ <sup>\*</sup>, and  $(iii)$ <sup>\*</sup> can be proved similarly.

Remark 1. For each  $n = 2, 3, ...$ , if every extremum of the function  $y_n^1(a; \alpha)$  is a local minimum, then  $\eta_n$  is the unique local minimum and  $y_n^1(a; \alpha)$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) for  $a \geq \eta_n$  ( $a \leq \eta_n$ ) provide n is odd (even). Differentiating the identity

 $v(y_n^1(a;\alpha); a) = \pi - \alpha$ ,

twice with respect to a and setting  $dy_n^1/da = 0$  yields

$$
\frac{d^2 y_n^1(a, \alpha)}{da^2} = \frac{-(d\Delta/da)(y_n^1(a, \alpha); a)}{v'(y^1(a, \alpha); a)},
$$
\n(3.8)

From Theorem 3.1 (iii) and (iii)\*, if  $dy_n^1/da = 0$  then  $y_n^1(a, \alpha) = \alpha_{n-1}(a)$ , and (3.8) becomes

$$
\frac{d^2 y_n^1(a, \alpha)}{da^2} = \frac{-(d\Delta/da)(\alpha_{n-1}(a), a)}{v'(\alpha_{n-1}(a), a)}.
$$
(3.9)

Since  $\Delta(\alpha_{n-1}(a), a) = 0$  for all  $a \in (0, \pi)$ , it follows that

$$
\frac{d\alpha_{n-1}}{da} = \frac{-(d\Delta/da)(\alpha_{n-1}(a), a)}{\Delta'(\alpha_{n-1}(a), a)}.
$$
(3.10)

From (3.9), (3.10), and Theorem 2.1(i), (ii),  $d^2y_n^1(a, \alpha)/da^2 > 0$  provide  $d\alpha_{n-1}/da > 0$ , for all  $a \in (0, \pi)$ ,  $n = 2, 3, ...$  Let  $w(x; a) = (dA/da)(x; a)$ , then  $w(x; a)$  satisfies

$$
w''(x) + xw \cos v = x\Delta^2 \sin v, \qquad w(0) = 0, \qquad w'(0) = 0. \tag{3.11}
$$

We recall that

$$
v''(x) + x \sin v = 0, \qquad v(0) = a, \qquad v'(0) = 0. \tag{3.12}
$$

$$
\Delta''(x) + x \Delta \cos v = 0, \qquad \Delta(0) = 1, \qquad \Delta'(0) = 0. \tag{3.13}
$$

We conjecture that the following hold:

(i) For  $0 < a < \pi$ ,  $w(x; a)$  and  $w'(x; a)$  are oscillatory over [0,  $\pi$ ) with zeros  $p_n = p_n(a)$ ,  $q_n = q_n(a)$ , respectively, for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ , where  $p_1=q_1=0.$ 

(ii) For  $0 < a < \pi/2$ , we have

$$
0 = p_1 = q_1 = z_1 = \beta_1 < y_1 < q_2 < \alpha_1 < z_2 < p_2 < \beta_2 < y_2 < q_3 < \cdots
$$
\n
$$
\langle \cdots < y_n < q_{n+1} < \alpha_n < z_{n+1} < p_{n+1} < p_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < \cdots. \tag{3.14}
$$

For  $\pi/2 \le a < \pi$ , we have

$$
0 = p_1 = q_1 = z_1 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < y_1 < q_2 < \alpha_1 < z_2 < p_2 < \beta_2 < y_2 < q_3 < \cdots
$$
\n
$$
\langle \cdots < y_n < q_{n+1} < \alpha_n < z_{n+1} < p_{n+1} < p_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < \cdots \rangle \tag{3.15}
$$

From (3.10), (3.14), and (3.15) it is easy to verify that  $\alpha_n(a)$  is strictly increasing on  $(0, \pi)$ . In Fig. 4 we plot a graph for the functions  $K = y_n^u(a; \alpha)$ and  $K = y_n^1(a; \alpha)$  for  $0 < \alpha < \pi$ . From the figure there follow the bifurcation phenomena of problem  $(P)_x$ ,  $0 < x < \pi$ , or (4.2) as the parameter K varies. It is interesting to note that when  $x = 0$  the problem  $(P)$ <sub>0</sub> has a unique solution [2] for any K while our results show that given any  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \pi$ , and any positive integer n, there exists K such that  $(P)$ , has n distinct solutions.

*Remark* 2. We note that for any  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

$$
\lim_{x \to 0} a_n(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \pi.
$$
 (3.16)

It follows directly from  $\pi - \alpha = a_1(\alpha) < a_3(\alpha) < \cdots < a_{2n+1}(\alpha) < \cdots < \pi$ , and  $-\pi + \alpha > a_2(\alpha) > a_4(\alpha) > \cdots > a_{2n}(\alpha) > \cdots > -\pi$ . (3.16) indicates that the bifurcation phenomena will disappear as  $\alpha = 0$ .



FIG. 4. The graph of the functions  $K = Y_{\mu}^{\mu}(a; \alpha)$  and  $K = Y_{\mu}^{\mu}(a; \alpha)$  for  $0 < \alpha < \pi$ , which are the bifurcation pictures for the boundary value problem (3.2).

Remark 3. As  $\alpha \rightarrow \pi$  we have

(i)  $\lim_{x \to \pi} a_n(\alpha) = 0$ , for all  $n = 1, 2, ...$ . (ii)  $\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^1(a_n(\alpha); \alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^0(a_n(\alpha); \alpha) = \gamma_n.$ (iii) For arbitrary  $\mu > 0$ , we have

$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^1(a; \alpha) = y_{n-1}(a)
$$
 uniformly for all  $a \in [\mu, \pi)$  if *n* is odd.  
\n
$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^0(a; \alpha) = y_n(a)
$$
 uniformly for all  $a \in [\mu, \pi)$  if *n* is odd.  
\n
$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^1(a; \alpha) = y_{n-1}(a)
$$
 uniformly for all  $a \in (-\pi, -\mu]$  if *n* is even.  
\n
$$
\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} y_n^0(a; \alpha) = y_n(a)
$$
 uniformly for all  $a \in (-\pi, -\mu]$  if *n* is even.

To prove (i) we shall only consider the case  $n$  is odd; the argument is similar for the case  $n$  is even. We have the relation

$$
v(z_n(a_n(\alpha)); a_n(\alpha)) = \pi - \alpha. \tag{3.17}
$$

Differentiating (3.17) with respect to  $\alpha$  yields

$$
v'(z_n; a_n(\alpha)) \frac{dz_n}{da} \frac{da_n(\alpha)}{d\alpha} + \Delta(z_n; a_n(\alpha)) \frac{da_n(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = -1.
$$

From Theorem 1.1  $\Delta(z_n; a_n(\alpha))$  is positive for odd *n* and  $v'(z_n; a_n(\alpha)) = 0$ , then we have  $da_n(\alpha)/d\alpha < 0$ . If  $\lim_{\alpha \to \pi} a_n(\alpha) \neq 0$ , say  $A = \lim_{\alpha \to \pi} a_n(\alpha) > 0$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
0 < v^2(z_n(A); A) < v^2(z_n(a_n(\alpha)); a_n(\alpha)) = (\pi - \alpha)^2. \tag{3.18}
$$

Let  $\alpha \to \pi$  in (3.18), then this leads to a contradiction,  $v(z_n(A);A) = 0$ . Part (ii) follows directly from Theorem 1.1 (iii) and Theorem 3.1 (ii), (ii)\*. For part (iii), we consider only the first case,  $n$  an odd number. Given  $\mu > 0$ , from (i) there exists  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\mu) > 0$ , such that  $a_n(\alpha) < \mu < \pi$ , provided  $|\pi - \alpha| < \delta_1$ . Hence  $y_n^1(a; \alpha)$  is well-defined for  $a \in [\mu, \pi)$  and  $|\pi - \alpha| < \delta_1$ . Consider the identity

$$
\int_{y_{n-1}(a)}^{y_n^1(a;\alpha)} v'(x;a) dx = \pi - \alpha.
$$

We have

$$
v'(y_n^1(a; \alpha), a)(y_n^1(a; \alpha)-y_{n-1}(a)) < \pi - \alpha
$$

or

$$
0 < y_n^1(a; \alpha) - y_{n-1}(a) < \frac{\pi - \alpha}{|v'(y_n^1(a; \alpha), a)|}.\tag{3.19}
$$

From (2.21) we have

$$
\lim_{a\to\pi}v'(y_n^1(a;\alpha),a)=+\infty.
$$

Then

$$
M=\max_{a\in[\mu,\pi)}\frac{1}{|v'(y_n^1(a;\alpha),a)|}<\infty.
$$

Hence given  $\mu > 0$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \min{\{\varepsilon/M, \delta_1\}}$ ; then

$$
|y_n^1(a; \alpha) - y_{n-1}(a)| < \varepsilon, \qquad \text{for all} \quad a \in [\mu, \pi),
$$

provided  $|\pi - \alpha| < \delta$ . Hence the first case of part (iii) holds. By similar arguments it is easy to verify the other cases of part (iii). (See Fig. 4.)

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. C. Y. WANG, Large deformation of heavy cantilever, Quart. Appl. Math. 39 (1981). 261-274.
- 2. S. B. Hsu AND S. F. HWANG, Analysis of large deformation of a heavy cantilever, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19, No. 4 (1988), 854-866.
- 3. W. M. NI AND S. B. Hsu, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of  $v''(x) + x \sin v(x) = 0$ . Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 16, No. 2 (1988), 109-114.