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## 摘要

本論文提出了一個可重組態之低功率快速傅立葉轉換處理器，此記憶體式架構之處理器能夠處理 64 到 8192 點的傅立葉轉換。此外，本篇論文提出了一個藉由改變傅立葉係數之順序而達到最小化 switching activity 的方法。藉由 Synopsys Design Complier 的合成，在 UMC 0.18 um COMS 的製程環境下，所提出之設計在不包含記憶體的情況下僅需 53306 個邏輯閘，並且在 1.8 伏特之電壓源供應下，僅有 75.82 毫瓦的低功率消耗。
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#### Abstract

In this thesis, a low power reconfigurable FFT processor is proposed. The memory based FFT can be configured as from 64-point to 8192 -point. Besides, a modified coefficient ordering method with minimum switching activity is proposed for low power design. The switching activity of twiddle factor computation can be reduced from 633 to 480 at the first stage of 64 -point and 139 to 0 at the first stage of 16-point. The proposed design synthesized to UMC 0.18 um CMOS standard cell technology library with Synopsys Design Compiler. The gate count of the proposed architecture without ram is 53306 at 111 MHz clock rate and power consumption is 75.82 mW at power supply 1.8 V .
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## Chapter 1. Introduction.

### 1.1. Motivation

Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) plays an important role in digital signal processing and wireless communication systems. Each operation standard has different Size of FFT. In other words, a FFT processor should be able to support diverse required of applications. This is the reason why reconfigurable FFT processor becomes a notable 1896
issue. Another notable issue is low power issue especially for mobile and wireless applications. A low power device is able to be used for long time. In conclusion, a FFT processor with low area and low power consumption is needed by portable feature of applications. Due to the recursive computation architecture, the memory based FFT has less hardware than the pipeline based architecture for long-length point FFT. This thesis proposed a reconfigurable memory based FFT processor with low power consumption.

### 1.2.Discrete Fourier Transform

In the field of digital signal processing, the Discrete Fourier Transform
(DFT) plays an important role. It can be used to calculate a signal's frequency response. The N -point DFT of a sequence $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_{N}^{n k}, \quad k=0,1 \mathrm{~L} \quad N-1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})$ and $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{k})$ are the sequence of complex numbers.

The twiddle factor is


Accord to eq. (1.1), the computational complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$. It needs $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ complex multiplications and $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1)$ complex additions [1]. The FFT is an efficient algorithms to compute the DFT. The computational complexity can be reduced to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{Nlog}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{N}\right)$, where $r$ means the radix-r FFT. The radix-r FFT can be derived from DFT by decomposing the N-point DFT into a set of recursively related r-point transform. There are two basic types of FFT algorithm, decimation in time (DIT) and decimation in frequency (DIT). The DIT algorithm is to decompose $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})$ into radix-r modules sequence, and the DIF algorithm is to decompose $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{k})$ in the same way.

### 1.3. Introduction to FFT algorithm

Cooley-Tukey FFT algorithms [2] are efficient realization techniques of DFT operations. The radix-2 FFT algorithms are the simplest FFT algorithms. The decimation-in-time (DIT) radix-2 FFT recursively partitions a DFT into two half-length DFTs of the even-indexed and odd-indexed time samples. Similarly, the decimation-in-frequency (DIF) radix-2 FFT partitions the DFT computation into even-indexed and odd-indexed outputs, which can each be computed by shorter-length DFTs of different combinations of input samples. Recursive application of this decomposition to the shorter-length DFTs results in the full radix-2
decimation-in-frequency FFT. The DIF radix-2 FFT is derived as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_{N}^{n k}, \quad k=0,1 \mathrm{~L} \quad N-1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $W_{N}^{n k}=e^{-j(2 \pi n k / N)}$

Then $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})$ is decomposed into two parts as shown in eq. 1.4.

$$
\begin{align*}
X[k] & =\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} x[n] * W_{N}^{n k}+\sum_{n=\frac{N}{2}}^{N-1} x[n] * W_{N}^{n k}  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} x[n] * W_{N}^{n k}+(-1)^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right] * W_{N}^{n k}
\end{align*}
$$

Let even-indexed and odd-indexed of $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{k}]$ are 2 r and $2 \mathrm{r}+1$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}[2 \mathrm{r}]=\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} x[n] * W_{N}^{2 n r}+(-1)^{2 r} x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right] * W_{N}^{2 n r}  \tag{1.5}\\
& \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}\left(x[n]+x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right) * W_{N / 2}^{n r}  \tag{1.6}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{X}[2 \mathrm{r}+1] & =\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} x[n] * W_{N}^{n} * W_{N}^{2 n r}+(-1)^{2 r+1} x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right] * W_{N}^{n} * W_{N}^{2 n r} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1}\left(x[n]-x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right) W_{N}^{n} * W_{N / 2}^{n r}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

According to eq. (1.5) and (1.6), the even-index of $X[\mathrm{k}]$ is equal to the result of $\mathrm{N} / 2$-point DFT operation of $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]+\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{N} / 2]$, and the odd-index of $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{k}]$ is equal to the result of N/2-point DFT operation of $\left(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]-\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{N} / 21)^{*} W_{N}^{n}\right.$, as shown in Fig. 1.1. A radix-2 butterfly diagram is shown in Fig. 1.2.


Figure 1.1 Decomposition graph of DIF FFT


Figure 1.2 Radix-2 butterfly diagram

DIF radix-4 algorithm is similar to DIF radix-2 algorithm. In the DIF radix-4 algorithm, $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]$ is decomposed into four parts instead of two parts.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}[4 \mathrm{r}]=\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1}\left\{\left(x[n]+x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right)+\left(x\left[n+\frac{N}{4}\right]+x\left[n+\frac{3 N}{4}\right]\right)\right\} * W_{N / 4}^{n r}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \mathrm{X}[4 \mathrm{r}+1]=\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1}\left\{\left(x[n]-x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right)-j\left(x\left[n+\frac{N}{4}\right]-x\left[n+\frac{3 N}{4}\right]\right)\right\} W_{N}^{n} * W_{N / 4}^{n r}  \tag{1.8}\\
& \mathrm{X}[4 \mathrm{r}+2]=\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1}\left\{\left(x[n]+x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right)-\left(x\left[n+\frac{N}{4}\right]+x\left[n+\frac{3 N}{4}\right]\right)\right\} W_{N}^{2 n} * W_{N / 4}^{n r}  \tag{1.9}\\
& \mathrm{X}[4 \mathrm{r}+3]=\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1}\left\{\left(x[n]-x\left[n+\frac{N}{2}\right]\right)+j\left(x\left[n+\frac{N}{4}\right]-x\left[n+\frac{3 N}{4}\right]\right)\right\} W_{N}^{3 n} * W_{N / 4}^{n r} \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The results of each part are shown in eq. 1.7 to eq. 1.10, respectively. Fig 1.3 shows a radix- 4 butterfly diagram. Radix- 4 algorithm has lower computational complexity than radix- 2 algorithm for N -point FFT which N is equal to $4^{n}$. In conclusion, the high radix algorithm has low computational complexity but it is complex to implement.


Figure 1.3 Radix-4 butterfly diagram

### 1.4.Introduction to FFT architecture



In general, there are two kinds of FFT architecture. One is pipeline based 1896
architecture. The other is memory based architecture. Pipeline based architecture has
higher throughput than memory based architecture. On the other hand, memory based has the advantage of low area for long-length point FFT due to a fixed processing element. We discuss several kinds of architecture in the following sections.

### 1.4.1. Memory based FFT architecture



Figure 1.4 Memory based FFT Architecture

Fig. 1.4 shows a memory based FFT architecture. It consists of a processing element with radix-r and a dual port memory. The recursive computation is a problem due to only one processing element. Therefore, the memory based architecture usually has lower throughput than pipeline base architecture. In general, a complete computation of N-point FFT needs $N / r * \log _{r} N$ butterfly operations.

### 1.4.2. Pipeline based FFT architecture



Figure 1.5 Radix-2 Multipath Delay Commutator (R2MDC)

Fig. 1.5 shows R2MDC [3] architecture of 16-point FFT. R2MDC is the simplest pipeline based architecture to implement. An input sequence is divided to two parallel data streams, and then enters the butterfly-operator at the correct time. The utilization of each complex multiplier and butterfly operator is about $50 \%$. It needs $\log _{2} N-1$ complex multipliers, $2 * \log _{2} N$ complex adder and $1.5 \mathrm{~N}-2$ registers to complete a 16-point FFT.


Figure 1.6 Radix-2 Single-path Delay Feedback (R2SDF)

Fig. 1.6 shows R2SDF [4] architecture of 16-point FFT. Since the utilization of registers in R2MDC is only $50 \%$, the R2SDF architecture reduces its registers by half.

With feedback delay registers, the utilization can achieve $100 \%$. The modified butterfly architecture can not only do butterfly operation, but also pass to the next stage.

### 1.4.3. Summary

According to the above section, we can obtain the table 1.1. From table 1.1, we can know the number of processing element of pipeline based architecture increased for long-length point FFT. From hardware consideration, memory based architecture is a good choice for long-length point FFT due to a fixed processing element.

MIITI

Table 1.1 Comparisons of FFT architectures

|  | R2MDC | R2SDF | Memory-based <br> Radix-r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of complex <br> multiplier | $\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}-1$ | $\log _{2} \mathrm{~N}-1$ | $\mathrm{r}-1$ |
| \# of complex <br> adder | $2^{*} \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | $2 * \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{*} \log _{2} \mathrm{r}$ |
| Memory Size | $1.5 \mathrm{~N}-2$ | $\mathrm{~N}-1$ | N |

## Chapter 2. Low Power Design

### 2.1.Introduction to Switching Activity

Low power is an important issue in hardware design because of mobile and wireless systems. In this thesis, we discuss low power architecture by reducing the dynamic power. Dynamic power dissipation occurs when the transistor is charging or discharging. As shown in Fig. 2.1, A CMOS inverter with output load capacitance charged.


Figure 2.1 Charging of inverter output load capacitance $C_{L}$

The dynamic power dissipation is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {dynamic }}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~V}^{2} \mathrm{f} \alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the total output capacitance, V is the supply voltage, f is the operating frequency and $\alpha$ is the switching activity factor which is defined as the average number of times the gate makes an active transition in a single clock cycle. Therefore,
in order to achieve low power design in CMOS circuits, minimum switching activity is an efficient method.


Figure 2.2 Switching activity of a multiplier

From a multiplier consideration, as shown in Fig. 2.2, W1 is one input of the multiplier. When W2 enter the multiplier in the next clock cycle, dynamic power consumes because of switching activity. We can obtain the switching activity by hamming distance [5].


Hamming distance is defined as the number of 1 's of XOR operation between two binary coefficients. Fig 2.3 shows that three bit is different from W1 to W2. It means that the hamming distance between W 1 and W 2 is 3 .


Figure 2.3 Hamming distance between W1 and W2

We discuss the switching activity from a system consideration. Fig. 2.4 shows a general architecture of memory based FFT with a radix-4 processing element.

Dynamic power dissipation occurs during the operation of processing element.

Further, the complex multiplier within the processing element is one of the most
power-consuming units of the FFT processor. We investigate to reduce dynamic power consumption by minimizing the switching activity of the complex multipliers in the processing element. The following section discusses minimum switching activity by coefficient ordering technique.


Figure 2.4 Memory based FFT with radix-4 processing element

### 2.2. Minimum Switching Activity

### 2.2.1 Minimum switching activity of $\mathbf{1 6}$-point FFT

In this section, we investigate to minimize switching activity by reordering
coefficient sequence. Fig. 2.5 shows the data flow graph of a 16 -point FFT. The
number in the open circle represents the data sequence at the corresponding stage. The signal flow of the first, the second, the third and the fourth four data with the corresponding coefficients at stage 010 are shown in Fig. 2.6(a), 2.6(b), 2.6(c) and 2.6(d) respectively.


Figure 2.5 The data flow graph of a 16-point FFT


Figure 2.6 Signal flow of 16 -point FFT

According to Fig. 2.6, we arrange data sequence and the corresponding
coefficients at stage 010 of 16 -point FFT in table 2.1. From this table, we can obtain the switching activity of the successive coefficients by hamming distance when the processing element finished computation of stage 010 of 16 -point FFT.

Table 2.1 Data sequence and the corresponding coefficients at stage 010 of 16-point

| Data Seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corresponding | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ |
| Coefficients | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{2}$ | $W_{16}^{4}$ | $W_{16}^{6}$ |
|  | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{1}$ | $W_{16}^{2}$ | $W_{16}^{3}$ |
|  | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{3}$ | $W_{16}^{6}$ | $W_{16}^{9}$ |

Table 2.2 is obtained from table 2.1 by computing the hamming distance between
each coefficient set (Let four coefficients of the same data sequence are a set). We define that $\mathrm{TM}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is the switching activity between coefficient set of data seq. i and coefficient set of data seq. j. For example, TM (1,2) represents the switching activity of the two coefficient sets of data seq. 1 and data seq.2. The value 51 means the hamming distance between this two coefficient sets, as shown in Fig. 2.7. Form this transition matrix, we can compute the switching activity of original coefficient sequence at stage 010 of 16 -point FFT by the eq. 2.2.
total_switching_activity $=T M(1,2)+T M(2,3)+T M(3,4)=139$

Table 2.2 Transition matrix of
the switching activity with 16 bit word length at stage 010 of 16-point FFT

| Data Seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 51 | 39 | 55 |
| 2 | 51 | 0 | 42 | 48 |
| 3 | 39 | 42 | 0 | 46 |
| 4 | 55 | 48 | 46 | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Hamming } \\
\text { distance } \\
\text { \{Real,Image }\}
\end{array}
\end{array} \\
& W_{16}^{0} \text { 0400_0000 } \longleftrightarrow 0 \text { 0400_0000 } W_{16}^{0} \\
& W_{16}^{0} \text { 0400_0000 } \stackrel{17}{ } 2 \text { d41_d2be } W_{16}^{2} \\
& W_{16}^{0} 0400 \_0000 \longleftarrow \stackrel{15}{\longleftrightarrow} 3 \mathrm{~b} 20 \_ \text {e } 782 W_{16}^{1} \\
& W_{16}^{0} 0400 \_0000 \stackrel{19}{\longrightarrow} \text { 187d_c4df } W_{16}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 2.7 Hamming distance between two coefficient sets

In order to minimize switching activity, we can reduce the diverseness of any two successive coefficient sets by twiddle factor symmetry property. For example, table 2.1 lists a common coefficient sets at stage 010 of 16-point FFT. By means of twiddle factor, we can modify the coefficient sets so that the diverseness of any two successive coefficient sets is reduced, as shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 The modified coefficient sets from Table 2.1

| Data seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{0}$ |
| Coefficients | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{2}$ | $W_{16}^{0} *(-j)$ | $W_{16}^{2} *(-j)$ |
|  | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{1}$ | $W_{16}^{2}$ | $W_{16}^{3}$ |
|  | $W_{16}^{0}$ | $W_{16}^{3}$ | $W_{16}^{2} *(-j)$ | $W_{16}^{1} *(-1)$ |

It means that the coefficient, $W_{N}^{C}$, can be decomposed as eq. 2.3.

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{N}^{C} & =\left\{W_{N}^{C^{\prime}}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 4}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 2}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+3 N / 4}\right\} \\
& =W_{N}^{C^{\prime}} *\{1,-j,-1, j\} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This technique is usually used to reduce the coefficient memory size [6] because the coefficient memory size just requires N/4 word. A novel application of this technique is proposed in this thesis. By means of associativity of multiplication, we can let the data and the modified coefficient do multiplication first, and then the phase of the output of multipliers is recovered. In another word, dynamic power consumption is reduced in the multipliers because the diverseness of coefficients is obviously reduced.

In Fig. 2.1, we can see a radix-4 processing element which has three multipliers in order to deal with four data at a time. Table 2.3 shows that only three kinds of coefficients are needed at stage 010 of 16 -point FFT ( $W_{16}^{0}$ is ignored because it doesn't need do multiplication). A novel multiplier module is proposed. It doesn't change any coefficient in multipliers at stage 010 of 16-point FFT to minimize switching activity. We discuss the detail in chapter 3.3.

### 2.2.2 Minimum switching activity of 64-point FFT



Figure 2.8 The data flow graph of a 64-point FFT

Fig. 2.8 shows the data flow of a 64 -point FFT. The number in the open circle represents the data sequence at stage 011 . Table 2.4 lists the corresponding coefficient sets which are similar to 16 -point FFT in section 2.2.1. We also need to modify the coefficient sets so that the diverseness of any two coefficient sets is reduced, as shown in Table 2.5.

Table 2.4 Data sequence and
the corresponding coefficients at stage 011 of 64-point

| Data seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding <br> Coefficients | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{2}$ | $W_{64}^{4}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{8}$ | $W_{64}^{10}$ | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{14}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{1}$ | $W_{64}^{2}$ | $W_{64}^{3}$ | $W_{64}^{4}$ | $W_{64}^{5}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{7}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{3}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{9}$ | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{15}$ | $W_{64}^{18}$ | $W_{64}^{21}$ |


| Data seq. | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding <br> Coefficients | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{16}$ | $W_{64}^{18}$ | $W_{64}^{20}$ | $W_{64}^{22}$ | $W_{64}^{24}$ | $W_{64}^{26}$ | $W_{64}^{28}$ | $W_{64}^{30}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{8}$ | $W_{644}^{9}$ | $W_{64}^{10}$ | $W_{64}^{11}$ | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{13}$ | $W_{64}^{14}$ | $W_{64}^{15}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{24}$ | $W_{64}^{27}$ | $W_{64}^{30}$ | $W_{64}^{33}$ | $W_{64}^{36}$ | $W_{64}^{39}$ | $W_{64}^{42}$ | $W_{64}^{45}$ |

Table 2.5 The modified coefficient sets from Table 2.4

| Data seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding <br> Coefficients | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{2}$ | $W_{64}^{4}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{8}$ | $W_{64}^{10}$ | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{14}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{1}$ | $W_{64}^{2}$ | $W_{64}^{3}$ | $W_{64}^{4}$ | $W_{64}^{5}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{7}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{3}$ | $W_{64}^{6}$ | $W_{64}^{9}$ | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{15}$ | $W_{64}^{2} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{5} *(-j)$ |


| Data seq. | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding <br> Coefficients | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0} * *$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{0} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{2} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{4} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{6} *(-j)$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{8}$ | $W_{64}^{9}$ | $W_{64}^{10}$ | $W_{64}^{11}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{8} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{11} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{14} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{1} *(-1)$ |


| Data seq. | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ | $W_{64}^{0}$ |
| Coefficients | $W_{64}^{8} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{10} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{12} *(-j)$ | $W_{64}^{14} *(-j)$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{12}$ | $W_{64}^{13}$ | $W_{64}^{14}$ | $W_{64}^{15}$ |
|  | $W_{64}^{4} *(-1)$ | $W_{64}^{7} *(-1)$ | $W_{64}^{10} *(-1)$ | $W_{64}^{13} *(-1)$ |

Table 2.6 Transition matrix of
the switching activity with 16 bit word length

| Date <br> seq. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 63 | 52 | 55 | 51 | 44 | 56 | 50 | 34 | 52 | 46 | 58 | 51 | 47 | 50 | 39 |
| 2 | 63 | 0 | 29 | 48 | 36 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 29 | 39 | 45 | 42 | 46 | 43 | 52 |
| 3 | 52 | 29 | 0 | 53 | 39 | 46 | 54 | 48 | 44 | 44 | 30 | 44 | 47 | 39 | 46 | 43 |
| 4 | 55 | 48 | 53 | 0 | 42 | 45 | 37 | 41 | 49 | 47 | 47 | 29 | 42 | 44 | 39 | 46 |
| 5 | 51 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 0 | 39 | 47 | 33 | 45 | 35 | 39 | 43 | 32 | 42 | 47 | 42 |
| 6 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 39 | 0 | 46 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 46 | 46 | 43 | 29 | 44 | 45 |
| 7 | 56 | 47 | 54 | 37 | 47 | 46 | 0 | 36 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 46 | 39 | 47 | 30 | 39 |
| 8 | 50 | 47 | 48 | 41 | 33 | 44 | 36 | 0 | 40 | 42 | 48 | 40 | 35 | 47 | 44 | 29 |
| 9 | 34 | 49 | 44 | 49 | 45 | 40 | 48 | 40 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 45 | 47 | 48 | 45 |
| 10 | 52 | 29 | 44 | 47 | 35 | 40 | 48 | 42 | 44 | 0 | 36 | 44 | 33 | 41 | 48 | 47 |
| 11 | 46 | 39 | 30 | 47 | 39 | 46 | 46 | 48 | 44 | 36 | 0 | 46 | 47 | 37 | 54 | 47 |
| 12 | 58 | 45 | 44 | 29 | 43 | 46 | 46 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 46 | 0 | 39 | 45 | 46 | 45 |
| 13 | 51 | 42 | 47 | 42 | 32 | 43 | 39 | 35 | 45 | 33 | 47 | 39 | 0 | 42 | 39 | 36 |
| 14 | 47 | 46 | 39 | 44 | 42 | 29 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 41 | 37 | 45 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 48 |
| 15 | 50 | 43 | 46 | 39 | 47 | 44 | 30 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 54 | 46 | 39 | 53 | 0 | 29 |
| 16 | 39 | 52 | 43 | 46 | 42 | 45 | 39 | 29 | 45 | 47 | 47 | 45 | 36 | 48 | 29 | 0 |

Table 2.6 is obtained from table 2.5 by computing the hamming distance between
any two coefficient sets. From this transition matrix, we can arrange the sequence of
coefficient sets in order to minimize switching activity. However, finding an optimal sequence with minimum switching activity is difficult by manpower. An algorithm for finding the sequence with minimum switching activity is shown in Fig.2.9. Step 1 is set maximum value to itself and set initial state. Where cs represents the current sequence, index represents the corresponding index, and $t$ represents the remaining
times needs to execute. Step 2 is find the next sequence with minimum switching activity. Step 3 is update cs, index, and $t$ to next state. Step 4 is return to step 2 until $t$ is equal to zero.

```
For i=1 to N/4
    TM(i,i)=99 (set maximum)
cs=1
index=1
t=N/4-1
minimum switching activity=0
    while t
        minimum switching activity = minimum switching activity }+\boldsymbol{min}(\textrm{TM}(\textrm{cs},-)
        TM(-,cs)=99
        cs=find(min(TM(cs,-)))
        min_seq(index)=cs;
        t=t-1
        1=1+1
```

Figure 2.9 Algorithm of minimum switching activity

According to this algorithm, we can find an optimal data sequence with
minimum switching activity. The switching activity of twiddle computation is thus
reduced from 633 to 480 . The reordered data sequence is $1,9,6,14,11,3,2,10,13,5$,
$8,16,15,7,4,12$.

### 2.3. Summary

Table 2.7 Comparison of original and proposed switching activity for different stage

| Stage | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original <br> Switching <br> Activity | 139 | 633 | 2237 | 7707 | 24721 |
| Proposed <br> Switching <br> Activity | 0 | 480 | 1900 | 6844 | 22839 |
| Reduction <br> $(\%)$ | 100 | 24.2 | 15.1 | 11.2 | 7.6 |
| Trade off | Proposed <br> multiplier <br> module | $6 * 16 \mathrm{ROM}$ | $8 * 64 \mathrm{ROM}$ | $10 * 256 \mathrm{ROM}$ | $12 * 1024 \mathrm{ROM}$ |



Table 2.7 shows comparison of original and proposed switching activity for different stage. Stage 100 is the first stage of 256-point FFT. Stage 101 is the first stage of 1024 -point FFT. Stage 110 is the first stage of 256 -point FFT. In general, the coefficient ordering technique can be used at the complex multiplier of any stage. However, reference [7] shows that the coefficient ordering technique better used in the short-length FFT processor like 16-point FFT or later stage of long-length FFT processor. This is the reason that we choose the stage 010 and 011 to implement this technique.

Table 2.8 Comparison of original and proposed
switching activity for different Size of FFT

| FFT Size | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 | 8192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original <br> Switching <br> activity | 1189 | 3134 | 6993 | 16618 | 35679 | 79714 | 167437 | 360124 |
| Proposed <br> Switching <br> activity | 480 | 1716 | 4157 | 10946 | 24335 | 57026 | 122061 | 269372 |
| Reduction <br> $(\%)$ | 59.6 | 45.2 | 40.6 | 34.1 | 31.8 | 28.5 | 27.1 | 25.2 |

Table 2.8 shows the switching activity of each Size of FFT. From table 2.8, we know that the reduction of power consumption decreases when the size of FFT increases. The report of power consumption is shown in chapter 4.

Because of coefficient ordering technique, we need to reorder the address sequence so that we can obtain the correct data at the correct time. Table 2.9 and Table 2.10 show the switching activity of original and proposed address sequence respectively. We can see that the proposed address sequence almost don't cause extra power consumption.

Table 2.9 The switching activity of original address sequence

| DATA seq. | ADDR1[3:0] | ADDR2[3:0] | ADDR3[3:0] | ADDR4[3:0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0000 | 0100 | 1000 | 1100 |
| 2 | 1100 | 0000 | 0100 | 1000 |
| 3 | 1000 | 1100 | 0000 | 0100 |
| 4 | 0100 | 1000 | 1100 | 0000 |
| 5 | 1101 | 0001 | 0101 | 1001 |
| 6 | 1001 | 1101 | 0001 | 0101 |
| 7 | 0101 | 1001 | 1101 | 0001 |
| 8 | 0001 | 0101 | 1001 | 1101 |
| 9 | 1010 | 1110 | 0010 | 0110 |
| 10 | 0110 | 1010 | 1110 | 0010 |
| 11 | 0010 | 0110 | 1010 | 1110 |
| 12 | 1110 | 0010 | 0110 | 1010 |
| 13 | 0111 | 1011 | 1111 | 0011 |
| 14 | 0011 | 0111 | 1011 | 1111 |
| 15 | 1111 | 0011 | 0111 | 1011 |
| 16 | 1011 | 1111 | 0011 | 0111 |
| Total <br> switching <br> activity | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |

Table 2.10 The switching activity of proposed address sequence

| DATA seq. | ADDR1[3:0] | ADDR2[3:0] | ADDR3[3:0] | ADDR4[3:0] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0000 | 0100 | 1000 | 1100 |
| 9 | 1010 | 1110 | 0010 | 0110 |
| 6 | 1001 | 1101 | 0001 | 0101 |
| 14 | 0011 | 0111 | 1011 | 1111 |
| 11 | 0010 | 0110 | 1010 | 1110 |
| 3 | 1000 | 1100 | 0000 | 0100 |
| 2 | 1100 | 0000 | 0100 | 1000 |
| 10 | 0110 | 1010 | 1110 | 0010 |
| 13 | 0111 | 1011 | 1111 | 0011 |
| 5 | 1101 | 0001 | 0101 | 1001 |
| 8 | 0001 | 0101 | 1001 | 1101 |
| 16 | 1011 | 1111 | 0011 | 0111 |
| 15 | 1111 | 0011 | 0111 | 1011 |
| 7 | 0101 | 1001 | 1101 | 0001 |
| 4 | 0100 | 1000 | 1100 | 0000 |
| 12 | 1110 | 0010 | 0110 | 1010 |
| Total <br> switching <br> activity | 25 | 26 | 25 | 26 |

## Chapter 3. Proposed architecture



Figure 3.1 The proposed Memory based FFT architecture

Fig. 3.1 shows the proposed Memory based FFT processor. This architecture
adopts a dual port memory with four banks because of radix-4 algorithm. The size of Each bank is $32 * 2048$ bit. The first commutator receives the outputs from memory and arranges them to the right inputs of butterfly operator. The butterfly operator and
multiplier module carry out two parallel radix-2 or one radix-4 butterfly computation.

Coefficients are provided by the coefficient ROM. The outputs of multiplier module are fed into the phase compensators or buffers. The phase compensators recover the right phase of outputs of multiplier module because of modified coefficients which discuss in chapter 2 . The buffers just delay one clock cycle because the four data need to be synchronized. The second commutator receives the outputs from phase compensators and buffers and arranges them to the right inputs of memory.

### 3.1. Design issue

We discuss some idea of hardware design in this section. Table 3.1 shows the control code for different Size of FFT. In order to achieve simple control circuit, control code adopts four bit instead of three bit. The most significant bit (MSB) of control code is the Radix-2_Flag. When the Radix-2_Flag is high, the radix-2/4 butterfly operator executes radix-2 butterfly computation. The last three bit of control code is related to initial stage, as shown in eq. 3.1. According to Fig. 3.2, when N is equal to $128,512,2048$ and 8192 , butterfly operator need an extra step of radix-2 computation first.

Table 3.1 Different size of FFT and the corresponding control code

| FFT <br> Size | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 | 8192 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control <br> code | 0100 | 1100 | 0101 | 1101 | 0110 | 1110 | 0111 | 1111 |

Initial stage $= \begin{cases}\text { control code[2:0] } & \text {,Radix-2_Flag=1 } \\ \text { control code[2:0]-1 } & \text {,Radix-2_Flag }=0\end{cases}$


Figure 3.2 Control of signal flow

### 3.2.Radix-2/4 Butterfly

The proposed radix-2/4 butterfly operator is shown in Fig. 3.3. Radix-4 computation is the common mode. Radix- 2 mode is enabled when N is equal to 128 , 512, 2048 and 8192. The proposed architecture can execute two radix- 2 computations at a time. So the throughput is the double of conventional architecture.


Figure 3.3 Radix-2/4 butterfly operator

### 3.3.Multiplier module



Figure 3.4 The proposed multiplier module

Fig. 3.4 shows the proposed multiplier module. It consists of three complex
multiplier and several multiplexers. Exactly as we discussed in chapter 2.2.1,it has no switching activity at stage 010, as shown in Fig. 3.5. Fig. 3.5(a), (b), (c) and (d)
represent the state of execution of data sequence $1,2,3$, and 4 at stage 010 ,
respectively.


Figure 3.5 The behavior of multiplier module at stage 010

### 3.4.Phase Compensator



Figure 3.6 Phase compensator

Fig 3.6 shows the architecture of phase compensator. The purpose is to recover the phase of outputs from multiplier module since the modified coefficients are fed into the multiplier module. Fromeq. 2.3, we assume the modified coefficient, $W_{N}^{C^{\prime}}$,

$$
1895
$$

is fed into the multiplier module. We derived the output of phase compensator as
follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Assume input }=X * W_{N}^{C^{\prime}} \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\text { out } 1 & =\text { input } * 1=X^{*} W_{N}^{C^{\prime}} \\
\text { out } 2 & =\text { input } * j=X * W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+3 N / 4} \\
\text { out } 3 & =\text { input } *(-1)=X^{*} W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 2} \\
\text { out } 4 & =\text { input } *(-j)=X^{*} W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 4} \\
\text { output } & =X^{*}\left\{W_{N}^{C^{\prime}}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 4}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+N / 2}, W_{N}^{C^{\prime}+3 N / 4}\right\} \\
& =X^{*} W_{N}^{C}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5.Memory Address Assignment

We adopt the in-place memory addressing scheme for the radix-4 FFT
algorithm [8]. For the concurrent read and write operations, the memory is partitioned into four banks. Table 3.2 shows the address assignment for a 16-point FFT.

Table 3.2 Address assignment for a 16-point FFT

| 00 | Bank1 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | Bank2 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 14 |
| 10 | Bank3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 15 |
| 11 | Bank4 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 |

According to this table, four inputs can be read from different banks and four outputs can be written to different banks for all butterfly computation of 16-poin FFT.

SEL is the selection of memory banks. In our design, we use several adders to implement, as shown in eq. 3.2.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{SEL}= & \text { addr_counter }[1: 0]+\text { addr_counter }[3: 2] \\
& + \text { addr_counter }[5: 4]+\ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

This memory assignment strategy can be extended for long-length point FFT.

Table 3.3 shows the address assignment for a 64 -point FFT. We can see table 3.2 is a sub-block in table 3.3. It means that the memory assignment strategy is adaptable for reconfigurable design.

Table 3.3 Address assignment for a 64 -point FFT

| Bankl | 0 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 47 | 49 | 52 | 59 | 62 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 35 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 50 | 53 | 56 | 63 |
| Bank3 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 27 | 30 | 32 | 39 | 42 | 45 | 51 | 54 | 57 | 60 |  |
| Bank4 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 55 | 58 | 61 |  |

## Chapter 4. Simulation and Performance Analysis

In this chapter we discuss simulation and verification with ideal model which is built by MATLAB. The ideal model can provide a complete mathematical and simulation environment. The design flow is illustrated in Fig 4.1, and this is a kind of waterfall models which is worked well up to 100 k gate count design.

After RTL code is developed, we verify the ideal model and RTL model to check if they have the same function. There are two ways for implement design after function verification, one is synthesis for ASIC, the other is FPGA prototyping. FPGA prototyping is usually used to verify design, because FPGA can verify the behavior of real hardware. We synthesize the RTL design to Gate-level netlist by reasonable design constrain after the FPGA prototyping. The synthesis report shows the timing, area. We also run Gate-level simulation to double check the function and then run PrimePower by waveform from Gate-level simulation to analyze power consumption.


Figure 4.1 Design and verification flow

### 4.1.Simulation



Figure 4.2 Simulation environment

Fig. 4.2 shows the RTL simulation environment that determines the signal to quantization noise ratio (SNQR) between the ideal FFT and a Fixed-point FFT model. Ideal FFT is built by MATLAB and practical FFT is our RTL design. The input data are 100 random patterns with 16 bit word length for each Size of FFT. The definition of SNQR is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S N Q R=10 * \log \left(\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X(n)^{2}}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta X_{q}(n)^{2}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table .4.1 shows the mean square error for each Size of FFT. Fig. 4.3 is plotted Ha according to table 4.1. We can see that mean square error increases when the size of FFT increases. The SNQR has the same conclusion, as shown in Fig 4.4.

Table 4.1 Mean square error for each size of FFT

| FFT size | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 | 8192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mean square error <br> $\left(10^{\wedge}-4\right)$ | 1.5 | 2.29 | 2.4 | 3.96 | 4.3 | 7.13 | 8.59 | 14.1 |



Figure 4.3 Mean square error versus different size of FFT


Figure 4.4 SNQR of each Size of FFT

### 4.2.FPGA prototyping



Fig. 4.5 shows the used FPGA. It is convenient to verify the design because it
can connect to computer through USB. Patterns are fed to FPGA by computer and the results of computation return back to monitor. Fig 4.6 shows the waveform of the design in FPGA. The first four signals are inputs which are made by MATLAB, and the rest are outputs which are delivered to computer by FPGA.


Figure 4.6 Waveform of the proposed design in FPGA


Figure 4.7 Verification flow of FPGA

Fig 4.7 shows the verification flow of FPGA. The sine wave which is sampled by N-point is fed to FPGA, and then we export the output file from FPGA to MATLAB.

The output file is converted to waveform by MATLAB in order to verification easily.

The following figures show the verifications for each Size of FFT according to Fig
4.7.

We feed a sine wave in image part and observe the output from FPGA. We decreased
the magnitude of sine wave for long-length point FFT like 4096 and 8192 to avoid overflow. So the figures have a little distortion. Fig 4.16 shows that the synthesis report of proposed architecture from Xiline ISE.
$\mathrm{N}=64$ :


Input signal


Real part of output


Image part of output
Figure 4.8 Verification of 64-point FFT
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Figure 4.9 Verification of 128 -point FFT
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Figure 4.10 Verification of 256-point FFT

## $\mathrm{N}=512$
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Figure 4.11 Verification of 512-point FFT
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Figure 4.12 Verification of 1024-point FFT
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Figure 4.13 Verification of 2048-point FFT
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Figure 4.14 Verification of 4096-point FFT
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Figure 4.15 Verification of 8192-point FFT

| Device Utilization Summary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logic Utilization | Used | Available | Utilization | Note(s) |
| Number of Slioe Flip Flops | 1,079 | 53,248 | 2\% |  |
| Number of 4 input LUTs | 14,669 | 53,248 | 27\% |  |
| Logic Distribation |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cocupied Slices | 8,603 | 26,624 | 32\% |  |
| Number of Slioes containing only related logic | 8,603 | 8,603 | 100\% |  |
| Number of Slioes containing unvelated logic | 0 | 8,603 | 0\% |  |
| Total Number 4 input LUTs | 14,714 | 53,248 | 27\% |  |
| Number used as logic | 14,669 |  |  |  |
| Number used as a route-thru | 45 |  |  |  |
| Number of bonded IOBs | 72 | 640 | 11\% |  |
| Number of BUFG/BUFGCTRLs | 1 | 32 | $3 \%$ |  |
| Number used as BUFGs | 1 |  |  |  |
| Number used as BUFGCTRLs | 0 |  |  |  |
| Nurnber of FIFO16RAMB16s | 16 | 160 | 10\% |  |
| Number used as FIFO16s | 0 |  |  |  |
| Number used as RAMB16s | 16 |  |  |  |
| Total equivalent gate count for design | 115,416 |  |  |  |
| Additional JTAG gate count for IOBs | 3,456 |  |  |  |

Fig 4.16 The synthesis report of proposed architecture from Xilinx ISE

### 4.3.Synthesis Reports and Power Analysis

In this section, we discuss the implementation of the proposed FFT design. The proposed design synthesized to UMC 0.18um CMOS standard cell technology 1896
library with Synopsys Design Compiler. The gate count of the proposed architecture is shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Synthesis report of proposed architecture

|  | Gate count | Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed FFT <br> (not include memory) | 53306 | x |
| RAM | $4 * 483248$ | $4 * 32 * 2048$ |
| Coefficient Rom1 | 6201 | $32 * 1024$ |
| Coefficient Rom2 | 10417 | $32 * 2048$ |
| Coefficient Rom3 | 6502 | $32 * 1024$ |

Table 4.3 shows the power consumptions for each Size of FFT. The report of power consumptions is obtained by the waveform of gate level simulation which is fed to PrimePower. The whole time of power consumption measurement includes the time which data write into memory and the time of computation and the time which data read from memory to output. According to table 2.8, the reduction of switching activity decreases as the increasing size of the FFT. Table 4.3 proves the conclusion of chapter 2.3. Fig. 4.17 is plotted according to table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Power consumption for each Size of FFT


Figure 4.17 Power consumption versus each size of FFT

### 4.4.Comparisons

The following tables shows the comparisons of gate count, power consumption and latency.

Table 4.4 Comparisons of gate count and power consumption

|  | Proposed (not include Memory) | Reference[9] <br> (not include <br> Memory) | Reference[10] | Reference[11] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gate Count | $\begin{array}{r} 53306 \\ (16 \mathrm{bit}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91000 \\ & (8 \text { bit }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245400 \\ & (12 \mathrm{bit}) \end{aligned}$ | N.A |
| Power <br> consumption <br> (mW) | $\begin{array}{r} 75.82 \\ (83 \mathrm{Mhz} @ 1.8 \mathrm{~V}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ (12 \mathrm{Mhz} @ 2.5 \mathrm{~V}) \end{array}$ | N.A | $\begin{array}{r} 307.7 \\ (71.4 \mathrm{Mhz} @ 1.8 \mathrm{~V}) \end{array}$ |

Table 4.5 Comparisons of latency

| FFT size | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | 4096 | 8192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed | 51 | 131 | 259 | 643 | 1283 | 3075 | 6147 | 14339 |
| Reference[9] | 63 | 148 | 276 | 665 | 1305 | 3102 | 6174 | 14371 |
| Reference[10] | 82 | 178 | 386 | 834 | 1794 | 3842 | 8194 | 17410 |

## Chapter 5.

## Conclusions and Future works

In this thesis, we propose a low power reconfigurable FFT/IFFT processor. The proposed memory based FFT processor can be configured as from 64-point to 8192-point. A low power design with minimum switching activity is proposed. Chapter 4.3 shows that it is efficient for short-length point FFT. The maximum power consumption is 75.82 at power supply 1.8 V . The gate count of the proposed architecture without memory is 53306 under Synopsys Design Complier with UMC $0.18 u m$ library.


A low power reconfigurable FFT is presented in this thesis. The minimum 4 4inin switching activity is efficient to reduce the dynamic power consumption. However, this technique restricts the flexible of the FFT processor. In the future, we can try to use the digital signal processor to implement this technique.
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