KAEHLER STRUCTURES ON $K_{\mathbf{C}}/(P, P)$

MENG-KIAT CHUAH

Abstract. Let K be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group, let $G = K_{\mathbf{C}}$, and let G = KAN be an Iwasawa decomposition. To a given K-invariant Kaehler structure ω on G/N, there corresponds a pre-quantum line bundle **L** on G/N. Following a suggestion of A.S. Schwarz, in a joint paper with V. Guillemin, we studied its holomorphic sections $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ as a K-representation space. We defined a K-invariant L^2 -structure on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$, and let $H_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ denote the space of square-integrable holomorphic sections. Then H_{ω} is a unitary K-representation space, but not all unitary irreducible K-representations occur as subrepresentations of H_{ω} . This paper serves as a continuation of that work, by generalizing the space considered. Let B be a Borel subgroup containing N, with commutator subgroup (B, B) = N. Instead of working with G/N = G/(B, B), we consider G/(P, P), for all parabolic subgroups P containing B. We carry out a similar construction, and recover in H_{ω} the unitary irreducible K-representations previously missing. As a result, we use these holomorphic sections to construct a model for K: a unitary K-representation in which every irreducible K-representation occurs with multiplicity one.

1. Introduction

Let K be a compact connected semi-simple Lie group, let $G=K_{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification, and let G=KAN be the Iwasawa decomposition. Since G and N are complex Lie groups, G/N is a complex manifold, and G acts on G/N by left action. Let T be the centralizer of A in K, so that H=TA is a Cartan subgroup of G. Since H normalizes N, there is a right action of H on G/N. We shall often be interested in the maximal compact group action of $K \times T$. We let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}$ denote the Lie algebras of G, K, H, T, A, N respectively.

The following scheme of geometric quantization was suggested by A.S. Schwarz [12]: Equip G/N with a suitable K-invariant Kaehler structure ω , and consider the pre-quantum line bundle \mathbf{L} associated to ω ([5], [11]). The Chern class of \mathbf{L} is $[\omega]$, and \mathbf{L} comes with a connection ∇ whose curvature is ω , as well as an invariant Hermitian structure \langle , \rangle . We denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ the space of holomorphic sections on \mathbf{L} . The K-action on G/N lifts to a K-representation on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$. Let μ be the $K \times A$ -invariant measure on G/N, which is unique up to a non-zero constant. Given a holomorphic section s of \mathbf{L} , we consider the integral

$$\int_{G/N} \langle s, s \rangle \mu \ .$$

Received by the editors September 16, 1995 and, in revised form, March 5, 1996.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C55.

Key words and phrases. Lie group, Kaehler, line bundle.

The author was supported in part by NSC85-2121-M-009017.

©1997 American Mathematical Society

Let $H_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ denote the holomorphic sections in which this integral converges. Since μ is K-invariant, H_{ω} becomes a unitary K-representation space. It was hoped in [12] that every irreducible K-representation occurs with multiplicity one in H_{ω} (called a *model* by I.M. Gelfand [7]).

By the method of highest weight, the irreducible K-representations can be labeled by the dominant integral weights in \mathfrak{t}^* , up to isomorphism. In joint work with V. Guillemin [4], we carried out this construction, but found that no matter how ω is chosen, the irreducibles whose highest weights lie on the wall of the Weyl chamber do not occur in the Hilbert space H_{ω} . Therefore, not all unitary K-irreducibles occur in H_{ω} . The present paper follows a suggestion of V. Guillemin ([4], p.192), by modifying the space G/N to more general classes of homogeneous spaces. As a result, we manage to recover the unitary K-irreducibles previously missing.

Let B = HN be the Borel subgroup of G. Observe that its commutator subgroup is (B,B) = N, hence G/N = G/(B,B). With this in mind, we can generalize the class of homogeneous spaces considered to G/(P,P), for P a parabolic subgroup of G containing B, and (P,P) its commutator subgroup. Since P is a complex Lie group, so is (P,P); hence G/(P,P) is a complex manifold. Clearly G acts on G/(P,P) on the left, and we shall see that a complex subgroup of H normalizes (P,P), and hence acts on G/(P,P) on the right.

Let $W \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ denote the open Weyl chamber, and \overline{W} its closure. We say that $\sigma \subset \overline{W}$ is a *cell* if there exists a subset S of the positive simple roots Δ such that

(1.1)
$$\sigma = \{ x \in \overline{W} ; (x, S) = 0, (x, \Delta \backslash S) > 0 \},$$

where the pairing used is the Killing form. This way, \overline{W} is a disjoint union of the cells of various dimensions. Using the Killing form and the almost complex structure, it is convenient to regard the cell σ as contained in any of the spaces $\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{h}^*,\mathfrak{t}^*,\mathfrak{a}^*$, depending on the context. The cell σ defines a subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_{σ} of \mathfrak{h} , by taking the complex linear span of σ . Similarly, the subalgebras $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma},\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}$ are defined by intersecting \mathfrak{h}_{σ} with $\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{a}$ respectively. These subalgebras define the subgroups $H_{\sigma}, T_{\sigma}, A_{\sigma}$ of H, T, A respectively. A bijective correspondence between the cells $\{\sigma\}$ and the parabolic subgroups $\{P\}$ containing B is given by the Langlands decomposition ([10], p.132)

$$(1.2) P = M A_{\sigma} N_{\sigma}.$$

Fix a parabolic subgroup P containing B, with σ its corresponding cell. Since H_{σ} is the normalizer of (P, P) in H, it acts on G/(P, P) on the right. Out of the action of the complex group $G \times H_{\sigma}$, we shall consider the action of the maximal compact group $K \times T_{\sigma}$ on G/(P, P). We shall show that

Theorem I. Let ω be a K-invariant Kaehler structure on G/(P,P). Then ω is $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant if and only if it has a potential function.

Though we shall be interested mostly in Kaehler structures, Theorem I holds also for a degenerate (1,1)-form ω . In the next theorem, we shall derive a necessary and sufficient condition for a (1,1)-form ω to be Kaehler. Let ω be a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant (1,1)-form, so that

$$\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$$
,

for some function F on G/(P,P). Averaging by the compact group K if necessary, we may assume that F is K-invariant. Let K^{σ} be the centralizer of T_{σ} in K. It

defines a compact semi-simple subgroup K_{ss}^{σ} of K, given by $K_{ss}^{\sigma} = (K^{\sigma}, K^{\sigma})$. We shall show that, as real manifolds and $K \times H_{\sigma}$ -spaces,

(1.3)
$$G/(P,P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}.$$

Therefore, the potential function F, being K-invariant, can be regarded as a function on A_{σ} . Since the exponential map identifies the vector space \mathfrak{a}_{σ} with A_{σ} , F becomes a function on \mathfrak{a}_{σ} . The almost complex structure identifies the dual spaces $\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$; hence the Legendre transform of F can be written as

$$L_F:\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*.$$

The significance of this map will become apparent shortly, when we study the moment map. We write $\log: A_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}$ for the inverse of the exponential map.

The K-action on G/(P,P) preserving ω is Hamiltonian: there exists a unique moment map

$$\Phi: G/(P,P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

corresponding to this action. Since Φ is K-equivariant, (1.3) implies that it is determined by its value on $A_{\sigma} \subset (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$, where A_{σ} is imbedded as its product with the identity coset $eK_{ss}^{\sigma} \in K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}$. Meanwhile, since \mathfrak{k} is semi-simple, the Killing form on \mathfrak{k} is non-degenerate; which induces the inclusion $\mathfrak{k}^* \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ from $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}$.

Theorem II. Let ω be a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant (1,1)-form on G/(P,P). Then its moment map Φ and its potential function F satisfy $\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2}L_F(\log a) \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$ for all $a \in A_{\sigma}$. Further, $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial \bar{\partial} F$ is Kaehler if and only if:

- (i) $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma})$ is strictly convex; and
- (ii) the image of $\frac{1}{2}L_F$ is contained in the cell $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$; i.e. $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \sigma$.

Since a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure ω has a potential function F, it is exact. Therefore, it is in particular integral. Let \mathbf{L} be the line bundle on G/(P,P) whose Chern class is $[\omega] = 0$, equipped with a connection ∇ whose curvature is ω ([5],[11]). The topology of \mathbf{L} is trivial, but the connection ∇ gives rise to interesting geometry on the holomorphic sections of \mathbf{L} . We recall that \mathbf{L} is equipped with an invariant Hermitian structure \langle,\rangle . Let μ be a $K \times A_{\sigma}$ -invariant measure on G/(P,P). We consider the integral

(1.4)
$$\int_{G/(PP)} \langle s, s \rangle \mu ,$$

for holomorphic sections s of \mathbf{L} . As we shall see in Theorem III, convergence of this integral is determined by the image of the moment map. The $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -action on G/(P,P) lifts to a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -representation on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$, the space of holomorphic sections of \mathbf{L} . We similarly define $H_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ to be the holomorphic sections in which (1.4) converges. Since μ is K-invariant, H_{ω} becomes a unitary K-representation space. For a dominant integral weight λ , let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ be the holomorphic sections in \mathbf{L} that transform by λ under the right T_{σ} -action. Since the left K-action commutes with the right T_{σ} -action, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ is a K-representation space. Let σ be the cell corresponding to the parabolic subgroup P, and let $\overline{\sigma}$ be its closure. Then

Theorem III. The irreducible K-representation with highest weight λ occurs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ if and only if $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$. For $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$, it occurs with multiplicity one, and is given by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$. Further, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ is contained in H_{ω} if and only if λ lies in the image of the moment map.

With this result, it is now clear that in [4], the singular representations are never contained in H_{ω} :

When P = B, σ becomes the open Weyl chamber W. Then Theorem II says that $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset W$; and by K-equivariance, $\Phi(G/(P,P)) = Ad_K^*(\Phi(A_{\sigma}))$ does not intersect the wall $\overline{W}\backslash W$. Consequently, by Theorem III, the irreducible representations $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ with highest weight $\lambda \in \overline{W}\backslash W$ cannot be contained in H_{ω} .

Similarly, for a general parabolic subgroup P, not all $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ are contained in H_{ω} : For $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma} \backslash \sigma$, Theorems II and III say that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ exists non-trivially but is not contained in H_{ω} .

We shall see that, however, for a suitable Kaehler structure ω on G/(P, P), the image of the moment map intersects $\overline{\sigma}$ in all of σ . This way, by Theorem III, all the K-irreducibles $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ with highest weights $\lambda \in \sigma$ are contained in H_{ω} . As an application, we provide a geometric construction of a unitary K-representation, containing all the irreducibles with multiplicity one.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank V. Guillemin, R. Sjamaar and D. Vogan for many helpful suggestions. The referee has helped to clarify some definitions and notations used in this paper.

2. Kaehler structures on G/(P, P)

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem I. Since K is connected and semi-simple, so is $G = K_{\mathbf{C}}$. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G containing B, and σ the cell corresponding to P. They are related by Langlands decomposition (1.2):

$$P = MA_{\sigma}N_{\sigma}$$
,

where A_{σ} is the subgroup described in §1. Then $A_{\sigma} \subset A$, $N_{\sigma} \subset N$, where A, N come from the Iwasawa decomposition of G. Further, A_{σ} normalizes N_{σ} , and is the centralizer of MA_{σ} in A. Therefore, $H_{\sigma} = T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}$ is the normalizer of $(P, P) = (M, M)N_{\sigma}$ in H, which induces a natural right H_{σ} -action on G/(P, P). We shall give another description of G/(P, P), which reflects this right action better.

Since G is semi-simple, the Killing form is non-degenerate. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ be the orthocomplement of \mathfrak{a}_{σ} with respect to the Killing form in \mathfrak{a} , and $A_{\sigma}^{\perp} \subset A$ the corresponding subgroup induced by $\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$. We construct $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}, T_{\sigma}^{\perp}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\perp}, H_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ similarly. Let K^{σ} be the subgroup of K given by

$$K^{\sigma} = \{k \in K : kt = tk \text{ for all } t \in T_{\sigma}\}.$$

Let $K_{ss}^{\sigma} = (K^{\sigma}, K^{\sigma})$ be the corresponding compact semi-simple Lie group. Then

$$(2.1) (K_{ss}^{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}} = K_{ss}^{\sigma} A_{\sigma}^{\perp} (M \cap N)$$

is the Iwasawa decomposition of the complexified group $(K_{ss}^{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since $N=(M\cap N)\ N_{\sigma}$, it follows from (2.1) that

(2.2)
$$K_{ss}^{\sigma} A_{\sigma}^{\perp} N = (K_{ss}^{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}} N_{\sigma}$$
$$= (K_{\mathbf{C}}^{\sigma})_{ss} N_{\sigma}$$
$$= (MA_{\sigma}, MA_{\sigma}) N_{\sigma}$$
$$= (M, M) N_{\sigma}$$
$$= (P, P).$$

Then, the Iwasawa decomposition G = KAN and (2.2) imply that

$$(2.3) G/(P,P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma},$$

as real manifolds and $K \times H_{\sigma}$ -spaces. With this description, the right action of $H_{\sigma} = T_{\sigma} A_{\sigma}$ is clear: T_{σ} acts on $(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$ simply because it commutes with K_{ss}^{σ} and A_{σ} , while A_{σ} acts on $(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$ by group multiplication on itself. We shall be concerned with the $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -action on G/(P, P).

Since $N = (B, B) \subset (P, P)$, there is a fibration

$$\pi: G/N \longrightarrow G/(P,P).$$

It follows from G = KAN and (2.3) that the fiber of π is $K_{ss}^{\sigma} \times A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$. Further, π sends every right H-orbit in G/N to a right H_{σ} -orbit in G/(P,P), by contracting each H_{σ}^{\perp} -coset to a point.

Given a K-invariant Kaehler structure ω on G/(P,P), we want to show that it is invariant under the right T_{σ} -action if and only if it has a potential function. Our strategy is to work on the (1,1)-form $\pi^*\omega$ on G/N using results in [4], then transfer this result back to ω . Let V be the orthocomplement of \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{k} with respect to the Killing form, so that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus V$. The Killing form also induces $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$ from $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$. If F is a function on A, then by the exponential map, it becomes a function on \mathfrak{a} . Using the almost complex structure, $\mathfrak{a}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}^*$. Therefore, the Legendre transform of F can be written as

$$(2.5) L_F: \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

Given $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, we let ξ^{\sharp} denote its infinitesimal vector field on G/N induced by the K-action. Let J be the almost complex structure on G/N. For $\eta = J\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, we define η^{\sharp} to be the vector field $J\xi^{\sharp}$. Let $a \in A \subset KA = G/N$. Then its tangent space is $T_a(G/N) = \mathfrak{h}_a^{\sharp} \oplus V_a^{\sharp}$. We recall the following result from [4]:

Proposition 2.1 ([4]). Let ω be a $K \times T$ -invariant (1,1)-form on G/N. Then $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$, where $F \in C^{\infty}(A)$ by K-invariance. It satisfies $\omega(\mathfrak{h}^{\sharp}, V^{\sharp})_a = 0$. The K-action is Hamiltonian, with moment map $\Phi: G/N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ satisfying

- $\Phi(a) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ for all $a \in A \subset KA = G/N$;
- $\Phi: A \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ is given by $\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2} L_F(\log a)$.

Let $m = \dim \sigma$, $n = \dim \mathfrak{t}$. Let $\{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r\}$ be the positive roots of \mathfrak{g} , where $\{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_n\}$ are simple. Here $m \leq n \leq r$. Then dim V = 2r, and dim $\mathfrak{k} = n + 2r$. In the following proposition, we give a useful decomposition of V. Recall that we defined the cell σ in (1.1) using a subset S of the positive simple roots Δ . By switching the roles of S and ΔS , we can define another cell σ' , with dimension n-m. We call σ' the complementary cell to σ . Let J be the almost complex structure on $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$. Recall that V is the orthocomplement of \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{k} .

Proposition 2.2. Let σ, σ' be complementary cells of dimensions m, n-m respectively, where $m \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim V$. There exists a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} V_i$ into two-dimensional subspaces \bar{V}_i . Each V_i is preserved by J and satisfies $[V_i, V_i] \subset \mathfrak{t}$.

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{1}^{m} [V_i, V_i], \\ \text{(ii)} & \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{m+1}^{n} [V_i, V_i]. \end{array}$

If ω is a $K \times T$ -invariant (1,1)-form on G/N, then $\omega(V_i^{\sharp}, V_i^{\sharp})_a = 0$ for all $i \neq j, a \in A \subset KA = G/N$.

Proof. Let $\{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r\}$ be the positive roots of \mathfrak{g} , indexed such that the first n of them are simple. Further, we can require that

$$(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0$$
, $(\lambda_i, \sigma') = 0$; $i = 1, ..., m$,

and

$$(\lambda_i, \sigma) = 0, (\lambda_i, \sigma') > 0; i = m + 1, ..., n,$$

where the pairing is the Killing form.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm i}$ be the root spaces corresponding to $\pm \lambda_i$. Then there exist $\xi_{\pm i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm i}$ such that

$$\{ \zeta_i = \xi_i - \xi_{-i} , \gamma_i = \sqrt{-1}(\xi_i + \xi_{-i}) \}_{i=1,\dots,r}$$

form a basis of V ([8], p.421). Here $\{\zeta_i, \gamma_i\}$ are orthogonal to $\mathfrak t$ because the root spaces $\mathfrak g_i$ are orthogonal to $\mathfrak h$. Further, $\{\xi_{\pm i}\}$ can be chosen such that $[\zeta_i, \gamma_i] \in \mathfrak t$, and dual to $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak t^*$ with respect to the Killing form. We define

$$V_i = \mathbf{R}(\zeta_i, \gamma_i).$$

Then $[V_i, V_i] \subset \mathfrak{t}$. Let J be the almost complex structure on $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$. From (2.6), it follows that J sends ζ_i to γ_i , and sends γ_i to $-\zeta_i$. Therefore, each V_i is preserved by J.

For $i=1,...,m,\ (\lambda_i,\sigma')=0$. Since $[\zeta_i,\gamma_i]$ is dual to λ_i , it follows that $[\zeta_i,\gamma_i]\in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Hence $[V_i,V_i]\subset \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ for i=1,...,m. But the dual vectors of $\lambda_1,...,\lambda_m$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$; hence $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}[V_i,V_i]$.

For i = m + 1, ..., n, $(\lambda_i, \sigma) = 0$. By a similar argument, $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp} = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} [V_i, V_i]$.

Let ω be a $K \times T$ -invariant (1,1)-form on G/N. Suppose that $i \neq j$; we want to show that $\omega(V_i^{\sharp}, V_j^{\sharp})_a = 0$ for $a \in A \subset KA = G/N$. Let $p : \mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ be the orthogonal projection, annihilating V. Let $\xi \in V_i, \eta \in V_j$. From (2.6), it follows that $[\xi, \eta]$ is either 0 or in V_k , depending on whether $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ is some positive root λ_k . In any case,

(2.7)
$$p[\xi, \eta] = 0 \; ; \; \xi \in V_i, \eta \in V_j.$$

Let $\Phi: G/N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ be the moment map corresponding to the K-action preserving ω . Then $\Phi(a) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, by Proposition 2.1. Consequently,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \omega(\xi^{\sharp},\eta^{\sharp})_{a} &= (\Phi(a),[\xi,\eta]) \\ &= (\Phi(a),p[\xi,\eta]) \quad \text{ since } \Phi(a) \in \mathfrak{t}^{*} \\ &= 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\omega(V_i^{\sharp}, V_j^{\sharp})_a = 0$ for $i \neq j$. This proves the proposition.

Let ω be a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure on G/(P,P). Let π be the fibration in (2.4). Then $\pi^*\omega$ is a $K \times TA_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ -invariant (1,1)-form on G/N. By Proposition 2.1, it has the form

$$\pi^*\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f,$$

where f is a K-invariant function on G/N. Since G/N = KA, $f \in C^{\infty}(A)$. We shall show that f can be replaced with another function F which is in the image of

$$\pi^*: C^{\infty}(G/(P,P)) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(G/N),$$

so that we get a potential function for ω .

Let σ be the cell which corresponds to P by (1.2), and σ' its complementary cell. Then σ' defines subgroups $H_{\sigma'}, T_{\sigma'}, A_{\sigma'}$ of H, T, A respectively. By taking

the orthocomplements of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma'}, \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}, \mathfrak{a}_{\sigma'}$, we construct the subgroups $H_{\sigma'}^{\perp}, T_{\sigma'}^{\perp}, A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ as before. Note in particular that $A = A_{\sigma}^{\perp} A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Define $F \in C^{\infty}(A)$ by

$$(2.8) F = \rho^* f , \rho : A \longrightarrow A_{\sigma'}^{\perp} \longrightarrow A,$$

where ρ is the composite function of the submersion $A \longrightarrow A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ annihilating A_{σ}^{\perp} , followed by the inclusion $A_{\sigma'}^{\perp} \longrightarrow A$. By G/N = KA, F extends uniquely to a $K \times TA_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ -invariant function on G/N. Note that F is in the image of π^* . We define the $K \times TA_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ -invariant (1,1)-form

$$\Omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F.$$

We shall show that

$$(2.9) \Omega = \pi^* \omega.$$

Here both Ω and $\pi^*\omega$ are $K \times TA_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ -invariant. Since $G/N = KA_{\sigma}^{\perp}, A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$, we only have to compare them at $a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Also, Proposition 2.1 says that \mathfrak{h}_a^{\sharp} and V_a^{\sharp} are complementary with respect to both Ω_a and $\pi^*\omega_a$. Therefore, (2.9) will follow if we can show that

(2.10)
$$\Omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a} = \pi^{*} \omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a} \; ; \; \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ or } \xi, \eta \in V, \; a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}.$$

This will be checked by the following two lemmas. Recall that $L_F, L_f : \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ are the Legendre transforms of F and f, described in (2.5).

Lemma 2.3.
$$\Omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_a = \pi^* \omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_a$$
 for all $\xi, \eta \in V, a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2, the spaces $(V_1)_a^{\sharp}, ..., (V_r)_a^{\sharp}$ are pairwise complementary with respect to Ω_a and $\pi^*\omega_a$, $a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Therefore, to prove this lemma, we may consider $\xi, \eta \in V_i$ for each component V_i separately. Since each V_i is two-dimensional, it suffices to consider $\xi = \zeta_i, \eta = \gamma_i$. Let

$$\Phi_F, \Phi_f: G/N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

be the moment maps of the K-actions preserving Ω , $\pi^*\omega$ respectively. We recall from Proposition 2.1 that $\Phi_F(a) = \frac{1}{2} L_F(\log a)$, $\Phi_f(a) = \frac{1}{2} L_f(\log a)$. We follow the indices i=1,...,r used in Proposition 2.2, as well as the cells σ,σ' of dimensions m,n-m respectively. In what follows, we break up our arguments into three cases, according to the different values of the index i.

Case 1. i = 1, ..., m.

$$\Omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a = (\Phi_F(a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i])$$

= $(\frac{1}{2}L_F(\log a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i]).$

By Proposition 2.2, $[\zeta_i, \gamma_i] \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$, for i = 1, ..., m. By (2.8), $L_F(\log a)$ and $L_f(\log a)$ agree on $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$, for $a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Therefore, the last expression is

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\frac{1}{2}L_f(\log a),[\zeta_i,\gamma_i]) &= (\Phi_f(a),[\zeta_i,\gamma_i]) \\ &= \pi^*\omega(\zeta_i^\sharp,\gamma_i^\sharp)_a. \end{array}$$

Case 2. i = m + 1, ..., n.

We recall (2.6), which implies that

$$[v,\zeta_i] = \sqrt{-1}(\lambda_i, v)\gamma_i , [v,\gamma_i] = -\sqrt{-1}(\lambda_i, v)\zeta_i$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{t}$. Therefore, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k}^{σ} of K^{σ} is given by

$$\mathfrak{k}^{\sigma} = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{k} ; [\xi, \sigma] = 0 \} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus_{(\lambda_i, \sigma) = 0} V_i.$$

The center of this Lie algebra is \mathfrak{t}_{σ} ; hence the semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{ss}^{\sigma}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{t}_{ss}^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp} \oplus_{(\lambda_{i},\sigma)=0} V_{i}.$$

For i = m + 1, ..., n, $(\lambda_i, \sigma) = 0$; hence $\zeta_i, \gamma_i \in \mathfrak{t}_{ss}^{\sigma}$. But K_{ss}^{σ} is in the fiber of π , so $\iota(\xi^{\sharp})\pi^*\omega_a = 0$ for all $\xi \in V_i$.

We shall show that

$$i(\xi^{\sharp})\Omega_a = 0$$

for all $\xi \in V_i$. Since each V_i is two-dimensional, this will follow if we can show that $\Omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a = 0$, for i = m+1, ..., n. But

$$\Omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a = (\frac{1}{2} L_F(\log a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i]) = 0,$$

since $[\zeta_i, \gamma_i] \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ and by (2.8), $L_F(\log a)$ vanishes there.

Case 3. i = n + 1, ..., r.

From Cases 1, 2, we see that $L_F(\log a), L_f(\log a) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ agree on the spaces $\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}, \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. Since $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$, it follows that $L_F(\log a) = L_f(\log a) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Therefore,

$$\Omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a = (\Phi_F(a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i])
= (\frac{1}{2} L_F(\log a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i])
= (\frac{1}{2} L_f(\log a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i])
= (\Phi_f(a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i])
= \pi^* \omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a.$$

This proves Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. $\Omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_a = \pi^* \omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_a$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{h}, a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{h}_{σ} , $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma'}$ denote the subalgebras of \mathfrak{h} , by taking the complex linear spans of σ , σ' respectively. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ denote their orthocomplements with respect to the Killing form. Then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$.

Case 1. $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$.

Let $\iota: H_{\sigma'}^{\perp} \longrightarrow H$ denote the inclusion. From (2.8), we get

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\iota^*F) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\iota^*f),$$

where $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ are Dolbeault operators on $H_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ here. Therefore, given $a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp} \subset H_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$,

$$\Omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a} = (\iota^{*}\Omega)(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a}$$

$$= (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\iota^{*}F))(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a}$$

$$= (\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\iota^{*}F))(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a}$$

$$= (\iota^{*}\pi^{*}\omega)(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a}$$

$$= \pi^{*}\omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_{a}.$$

Case 2. $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$.

We shall show that

$$(2.13) i(\xi^{\sharp})\pi^*\omega_a = i(\xi^{\sharp})\Omega_a = 0,$$

which completes the proof of this lemma. Since $\pi^*\omega$ and Ω are (1,1)-forms, it suffices to check (2.13) for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$.

The fiber of π is $K_{ss}^{\sigma} \times A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$, which contains H_{σ}^{\perp} . Therefore,

$$i(\xi^{\sharp})\pi^*\omega_a = 0.$$

We observe that, as complex manifolds,

$$H = \mathbf{C}^n/\mathbf{Z}^n, \quad H_{\sigma}^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}^{n-m}/\mathbf{Z}^{n-m}, \quad H_{\sigma'}^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m,$$

and $H = H_{\sigma}^{\perp} H_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$. We introduce complex coordinates $\{z_1, ..., z_m\}$ on $H_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$ as well as $\{z_{m+1}, ..., z_n\}$ on H_{σ}^{\perp} , so that H adopts the product coordinates. Let $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$, and let x, y be the coordinates on T, A respectively. From H = TA, G/N = KA and $T \subset K$, we get a natural holomorphic imbedding $\iota : H \longrightarrow G/N$. Then ι^*F , being T-invariant, is a function on y only. For simplicity we still denote it by F. It follows from (2.8) that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} = 0 \text{ for } i = m+1,...,n.$$

Therefore, for $a \in A_{\sigma'}^{\perp}$,

(2.14)
$$i(\xi^{\sharp})(\iota^{*}\Omega)_{a} = i(\xi^{\sharp})(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F)_{a}$$

$$= i(\xi^{\sharp})\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial y_{j}\partial y_{k}}dx_{j}\wedge dy_{k}\right)$$

$$= i(\xi^{\sharp})\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k=1}^{m}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial y_{j}\partial y_{k}}dx_{j}\wedge dy_{k}\right).$$

On the other hand, since $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$, the vector field ξ^{\sharp} on H is of the form

$$\xi^{\sharp} = \sum_{m+1}^{n} c_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

This, together with (2.14), implies that

$$i(\xi^{\sharp})\Omega_{\alpha}=0.$$

This proves (2.13).

Combining the results in Cases 1,2, we have proved Lemma 2.4.

Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 imply (2.10), and hence (2.9). Namely, we have shown that given a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure ω on G/(P, P), there exists a function F, which is in the image of π^* by virtue of (2.8), such that

$$\pi^*\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F.$$

Since F is in the image of π^* , and since π^* is injective, it follows that ω itself has a potential function.

Conversely, suppose that a K-invariant Kaehler structure ω on G/(P,P) has a potential function F. Averaging by the compact group K if necessary, we may assume that F is K-invariant. But by (2.3), this means that F is just a function on A_{σ} , and is automatically $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant. Then ω is also $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant. This proves Theorem I.

We note that our arguments do not require ω to be positive definite. Namely, Theorem I holds even if ω is merely a K-invariant (1, 1)-form. In the next section,

we use the moment map to derive a necessary and sufficient condition for a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant (1, 1)-form to be Kaehler.

3. Moment map

Let ω be a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant (1, 1)-form on G/(P, P), with moment map

$$\Phi: G/(P,P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

corresponding to the Hamiltonian action of K on G/(P,P) preserving ω . It is easy to see that this action is Hamiltonian; either from the semi-simplicity of K ([6], §26), or from the fact that $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$ implies $\omega = d\beta$ for some K-invariant real 1-form β ([1], Theorem 4.2.10). We shall study the moment map Φ , and derive a necessary and sufficient condition for ω to be Kaehler.

Suppose now that ω is a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure. We want to derive the two conditions stated in Theorem II. By Theorem I, ω has a potential function F. Averaging by K if necessary, we may assume that F is K-invariant. By (2.3), $G/(P,P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$; so the K-invariant function F is just a function on A_{σ} . Let π be the fibration in (2.4). Then

$$\Phi \circ \pi : G/N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

is the moment map corresponding to the K-action on $(G/N, \pi^*\omega)$. Recall that P corresponds to a cell σ via (1.2). Also, G/N = KA and $G/(P, P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$ induce the inclusions

$$A \hookrightarrow \{e\} \times A \subset KA = G/N, \quad A_{\sigma} \hookrightarrow \{eK_{ss}^{\sigma}\} \times A_{\sigma} \subset (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma} = G/(P, P) \ .$$

Therefore, we can regard A and A_{σ} as contained in G/N and G/(P, P) respectively. Note that $\pi(A) = A_{\sigma}$. From Proposition 2.1, we see that

$$(\Phi \circ \pi)(A) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$$
.

Since the fibration π sends A to A_{σ} , it follows that $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$. By K-equivariance of Φ , $\Phi|_{A_{\sigma}}$ determines Φ entirely. The exponential map from \mathfrak{a}_{σ} to A_{σ} is a diffeomorphism, and we let log be its inverse. This way, the potential function F becomes a function on \mathfrak{a}_{σ} . Then, by the almost complex structure, $\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$. Consequently, the Legendre transform of F is

$$L_F:\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*.$$

We shall show that

$$\Phi: A_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$$

is given by $\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2} L_F(\log a)$ for all $a \in A_{\sigma}$. Let

$$i: H_{\sigma} \longrightarrow G/(P, P)$$

be the natural holomorphic imbedding of $H_{\sigma} = T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}$. Then $i^*\omega$ is a T_{σ} -invariant Kaehler structure on $T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}$, with potential function i^*F . For simplicity, we still write i^*F as F. Let m be the dimension of the cell σ . Then, as a complex manifold, $H_{\sigma} = \mathbf{C}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m$. Therefore, we can introduce complex coordinates $\{z_1, ..., z_m\}$ on H_{σ} , where

(3.1)
$$H_{\sigma} = \mathbf{C}^{m}/\mathbf{Z}^{m} = \{z_{1},...,z_{m}\}, T_{\sigma} = \mathbf{R}^{m}/\mathbf{Z}^{m} = \{x_{1},...,x_{m}\}, A_{\sigma} = \mathbf{R}^{m} = \{y_{1},...,y_{m}\}, z_{i} = x_{i} + \sqrt{-1}y_{i}.$$

Since F is T_{σ} -invariant, it is a function on y only. Then $i^*\omega$ becomes (here $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ are Dolbeault operators on H_{σ})

(3.2)
$$i^*\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y_j \partial y_k} dx_j \wedge dy_k,$$

where $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^m)$. Since ω is Kaehler, so is $i^*\omega$; and (3.2) says that $i^*\omega$ is Kaehler if and only if the Hessian matrix of F is positive definite, i.e. F is strictly convex.

The moment map Φ of the K-action on $(G/(P, P), \omega)$ restricts to be the moment map Φ' of the T_{σ} -action on $(T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}, i^*\omega)$. Let

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_j} dx_j$$

be a T_{σ} -invariant 1-form on $T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}$. From (3.2), it follows that $d\beta=\imath^*\omega$, so the moment map Φ' of the T_{σ} -action is

$$(\Phi'(ta), \xi) = -(\beta, \xi^{\sharp})(ta)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{j}} dx_{j}, \sum_{k=1}^{m} \xi_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right)(ta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{j}}(a)\xi_{j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (L_{F}(a), \xi) ,$$

where $ta \in T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}, \xi \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathbf{R}^m$. Our computation identifies \mathfrak{a} with A by the exponential map, so in fact $\Phi'(ta) = \frac{1}{2}L_F(\log a)$ for all $ta \in T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma}$. But Φ and Φ' agree on A_{σ} , so $\Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2}L_F(\log a)$. Hence $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$. We claim further that $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \sigma$:

Let $V_i \subset V \subset \mathfrak{k}$ be the subspaces constructed in Proposition 2.2, and let $\{\zeta_i, \gamma_i\} \in V_i$ be the vectors in (2.6). Recall that these indices are with respect to the positive roots $\{\lambda_i\}$. Since $G/(P,P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$, the infinitesimal vector fields $\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp}$ on G/(P,P) are non-zero if and only if $\zeta_i, \gamma_i \notin \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^{\sigma}$. By (2.12), this is equivalent to $(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0$. Let J be the almost complex structure in G/(P,P), $a \in A_{\sigma}$, and $(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0$, so that $\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp} \neq 0$. By (2.6), $J\zeta_i = \gamma_i$. Since ω is Kaehler,

(3.3)
$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, J\zeta_i^{\sharp})_a \\ &= \omega(\zeta_i^{\sharp}, \gamma_i^{\sharp})_a \\ &= (\Phi(a), [\zeta_i, \gamma_i]) \\ &= (\Phi(a), \lambda_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that, for all $a \in A_{\sigma}$, $(\Phi(a), \lambda_i) > 0$ whenever λ_i is a positive root satisfying $(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0$. This, together with $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$, implies that $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) \subset \sigma$, as claimed.

We have shown that if ω is Kaehler, then the two conditions stated in Theorem II have to be satisfied. We next show that, conversely, these two conditions are sufficient for ω to be Kaehler.

Recall that the infinitesimal vector field ξ^{\sharp} on G/(P,P) vanishes if $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^{\sigma}$. Hence the tangent space at $a \in A_{\sigma} \subset G/(P,P)$ is spanned by $(\mathfrak{k}_{ss}^{\sigma \perp})_{a}^{\sharp}, (\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma})_{a}^{\sharp}$. Here we define

 η^{\sharp} for $\eta = J\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}$ by $\eta^{\sharp} = J\xi^{\sharp}$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}$. However, it follows from (2.12) that $\mathfrak{k}_{\circ \circ}^{\sigma \perp} = \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma} \oplus_{(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0} V_i,$

where V_i is the space described in Proposition 2.2. Here the distinct V_i are orthogonal to one another, due to the orthogonality of the root spaces \mathfrak{g}_i ([8], p.166). Consequently, the tangent space at $a \in A_{\sigma} \subset G/(P, P)$ is

(3.4)
$$T_a(G/(P,P)) = (\mathfrak{h}_\sigma)_a^{\sharp} \oplus_{(\lambda_i,\sigma)>0} (V_i)_a^{\sharp}.$$

We claim that $\omega(\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\sharp}, V_i^{\sharp})_a = \omega(V_i^{\sharp}, V_j^{\sharp})_a = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Since J preserves \mathfrak{h}_{σ} and V_i , and ω is a (1,1)-form, the first part follows if we can show that $\omega(\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\sharp}, V_i^{\sharp})_a = 0$. Let $p: \mathfrak{t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ be the orthogonal projection annihilating V. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}, \eta \in V_i$. Then $p[\xi, \eta] = 0$, by (2.11). Since $\Phi(a) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ for $a \in A$,

$$\omega(\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp})_a = (\Phi(a), [\xi, \eta]) = (\Phi(a), p[\xi, \eta]) = 0.$$

Hence $\omega(\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{\sharp}, V_i^{\sharp})_a = 0$. For $i \neq j$, it follows from (2.7) that $p[V_i, V_j] = 0$. So, by a similar argument, $\omega(V_i^{\sharp}, V_i^{\sharp})_a = 0$, as claimed.

Therefore, by K-invariance of ω and (3.4), the positive definiteness of ω follows if we can check that

(3.5)
$$\omega(\xi^{\sharp}, J\xi^{\sharp})_a > 0 \; ; \; \xi \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} \text{ or } \xi \in V_i, \; (\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0, a \in A_{\sigma}.$$

But they follow from the two conditions of Theorem II: Condition (i) of Theorem II implies that the expression in (3.2) is positive definite and hence (3.5) holds for $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$. Condition (ii) of Theorem II implies that $(\Phi(a), \lambda_i) > 0$ whenever $(\lambda_i, \sigma) > 0$, so it follows from (3.3) that (3.5) holds for $\xi \in V_i$. This proves Theorem II.

4. Line bundle

Fix a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure ω on G/(P,P). By Theorem I, ω has a potential function F. Recall that P determines the subgroup A_{σ} by (1.2). By K-invariance and (2.3), we can regard F as a function on A_{σ} . In particular, the expression $\omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F$ also implies that ω is exact. Hence ω is integral, and there exists a complex line bundle L on G/(P,P) whose Chern class is $[\omega]$ 0, equipped with a connection ∇ whose curvature is ω , as well as an invariant Hermitian structure \langle , \rangle ([5], [11]). The line bundle **L** is trivial since $[\omega] = 0$, but the connection ∇ gives rise to interesting geometry. We say that a section s is holomorphic if ∇s annihilates anti-holomorphic vector fields on G/(P,P). We shall show that the $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -action on G/(P,P) lifts to a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -representation on the space of holomorphic sections of L. To do this, we shall construct a global trivialization of **L**. The following topological property of G/(P,P) is useful in this construction:

Lemma 4.1.
$$H^1(G/(P, P), \mathbf{C}) = 0.$$

Proof. By (2.3), $G/(P,P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$. Since A_{σ} is Euclidean, it suffices to show that $H^1(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{C}) = 0$.

The fibration $K \longrightarrow K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}$ induces a long exact sequence of homotopy groups,

$$(4.1) \qquad \dots \longrightarrow \pi_1(K) \longrightarrow \pi_1(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \longrightarrow \pi_0(K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

However, by ([2], p.223).

$$\pi_1(K) \cong \ker(\exp : \mathfrak{t} \to T)/\mathbf{Z}(\text{roots of }\mathfrak{k}).$$

Therefore, since K is semi-simple, $\pi_1(K)$ is finite. By compactness of K_{ss}^{σ} , $\pi_0(K_{ss}^{\sigma})$ is finite. Hence $\pi_1(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma})$, being caught in the middle in (4.1), is also finite. It follows that

$$H^1(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}, \mathbf{C}) \cong Hom(\pi_1(K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}), \mathbf{C}) = 0,$$

which proves the lemma.

We return to our pre-quantum line bundle **L** on G/(P,P), corresponding to the $K\times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant Kaehler structure ω . Let β be the 1-form $-\sqrt{-1}\partial F$, so $d\beta=\omega$. We claim that

Proposition 4.2. There exists a non-vanishing section s_o on L, with the property

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\nabla s_o}{s_o}.$$

This section is unique up to a non-zero constant multiple, and is holomorphic. Up to a non-zero constant,

$$\langle s_o, s_o \rangle = e^{-F}.$$

Proof. Since $[\omega] = 0$, **L** is a trivial bundle; so there exists a nowhere zero section s_1 of **L**. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\nabla s_1}{s_1}.$$

By the definition of the curvature form on **L**, $d\alpha = \omega$; so $d(\beta - \alpha) = 0$. Since $H^1(G/(P,P), \mathbf{C}) = 0$, there exists a complex-valued function f such that $\beta = \alpha + df$. Let $s_o = (\exp \sqrt{-1}f)s_1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\nabla s_o}{s_o} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\nabla s_1}{s_1} + df = \beta.$$

This proves the existence of a holomorphic section s_o satisfying (4.2).

Suppose that s_1 and s_2 are two sections satisfying this formula. Let $h = \frac{s_2}{s_1}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\nabla s_2}{s_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\nabla s_1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} d \log h,$$

which implies that h is a constant. Hence, up to a constant, the solution of (4.2) is unique.

If v is an anti-holomorphic vector field, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\nabla_v s_o}{s_o} = \iota(v)\beta = 0,$$

as β is a form of type (1,0). Hence s_o is holomorphic. Since β is $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant, s_o induces a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -representation on the space of holomorphic sections on \mathbf{L} , where s_o is $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -invariant. Namely, given a holomorphic section fs_o of \mathbf{L} (note that s_o is non-vanishing), $K \times T_{\sigma}$ acts by

$$(4.3) L_k^* R_t^* (f s_o) = (L_k^* R_t^* f) s_o, \quad k \in K, t \in T_\sigma,$$

where $L_k^* R_t^* f$ denotes the standard action on the holomorphic functions lifted from the $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -action on G/(P, P). Hence s_{σ} defines a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -equivariant trivialization.

For this section s_o , we now show that $\langle s_o, s_o \rangle = e^{-F}$. By K-invariance, it suffices to show that this is the case when restricted to A_{σ} . Let σ be the cell corresponding to the parabolic subgroup P, and let m be the dimension of σ . We

write $T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma} = \mathbf{C}^m/\mathbf{Z}^m = \{z_1, ..., z_m\}$ as in (3.1), so that F, being T_{σ} -invariant, is a function of y only. Let $i: T_{\sigma}A_{\sigma} \longrightarrow G/(P, P)$ be the natural inclusion. Then

(4.4)
$$i^*\beta = -\sqrt{-1}\partial F = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} dz_i.$$

Let $\nabla_i = \nabla_{\partial/\partial u_i}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \langle s_o, s_o \rangle = \ \langle \nabla_i s_o, s_o \rangle + \langle s_o, \nabla_i s_o \rangle.$$

However, by (4.2) and (4.4),

$$\frac{\nabla_i s_o}{s_o} = \sqrt{-1}(\beta, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i}$$

so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \log \langle s_o, s_o \rangle = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i}.$$

Therefore, up to a non-zero constant multiple,

$$\langle s_o, s_o \rangle = e^{-F}$$
.

This proves the proposition.

Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ denote the space of holomorphic sections of the line bundle \mathbf{L} on G/(P,P). By Proposition 4.2, s_o induces a $K \times T_\sigma$ -representation on $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$, given by (4.3), where s_o is $K \times T_\sigma$ -invariant. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_\sigma^*$ be a dominant integral weight, and let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_\lambda$ denote the holomorphic sections that transform by λ under the right T_σ -action. Since this action commutes with the left K action, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_\lambda$ is a K-subrepresentation of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$. We now show that the K-finite vectors in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ decompose into $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_\lambda : \lambda \in \overline{\sigma}\}$ as irreducible K-representations with highest weights λ . Using the holomorphic section s_o of Proposition 4.2, it suffices to consider the holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}(G/(P,P))$, since

$$\mathcal{O}(G/(P,P)) \otimes s_o = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$$

is a $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -equivariant trivialization.

Recall that \overline{W} is the closure of the Weyl chamber W, and $\sigma \subset \overline{W}$ is the cell corresponding to P. Let $\overline{\sigma}$ denote its closure in \overline{W} . For a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{O}(G/(P,P))$ denote the holomorphic functions that transform by λ under the right T_{σ} -action. Since the right T_{σ} -action commutes with the left K-action, each \mathcal{O}_{λ} is a K-representation space.

Proposition 4.3. The irreducible K-representation with highest weight λ occurs in $\mathcal{O}(G/(P,P))$ if and only if $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$. For $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$ it occurs with multiplicity one, and is given by \mathcal{O}_{λ} .

Proof. The fibration π of (2.4) induces an injection of holomorphic functions,

$$\pi^*: \mathcal{O}(G/(P,P)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(G/N).$$

This map intertwines with the $K \times T_{\sigma}$ -action.

Let λ be a dominant integral weight, but suppose that $\lambda \notin \overline{\sigma}$. We shall show that the K-irreducible with highest weight λ does not occur in $\mathcal{O}(G/(P,P))$. By the Borel-Weil theorem, the K-irreducible with highest weight λ occurs in $\mathcal{O}(G/N)$ with multiplicity one, and can be taken as the holomorphic functions in G/N that

transform by λ under the right T-action. We denote this space by $V_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{O}(G/N)$. Since π^* is injective, it suffices to show that

(4.5)
$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}(G/(P, P)) \cap V_{\lambda} = 0.$$

Since $\lambda \notin \overline{\sigma}$, $(\lambda, \xi) \neq 0$ for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}$. Let $0 \neq f \in V_{\lambda}$. Then the right action R_{ξ}^* on V_{λ} satisfies

$$(4.6) R_{\varepsilon}^* f = (\lambda, \xi) f \neq 0.$$

Since T_{σ}^{\perp} is in the fiber of π , the image of π^* is T_{σ}^{\perp} -invariant. Therefore, (4.6) says that f cannot be in the image of π^* . This proves (4.5).

Conversely, suppose that $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$ is a dominant integral weight. We again let $V_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{O}(G/N)$ be the holomorphic functions that transform by λ . By the Borel-Weil theorem, V_{λ} is an irreducible representation with highest weight λ , and such an irreducible occurs with multiplicity one. Therefore, to complete the proof of Proposition 4.3, we need to show that

(4.7)
$$V_{\lambda} \subset \pi^* \mathcal{O}(G/(P, P)), \quad \lambda \in \overline{\sigma}.$$

Recall from (2.2) that the fiber of π is $(K_{ss}^{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}}/(M \cap N) = K_{ss}^{\sigma} \times A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$. Choose a fiber of π , and let

$$i: K_{ss}^{\sigma} A_{\sigma}^{\perp} \hookrightarrow G/N$$

be a holomorphic $K_{ss}^{\sigma} \times A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$ -equivariant imbedding as this fiber of π . Let $f \in V_{\lambda}$. We claim that f is constant on this fiber:

By applying the Borel-Weil theorem on $(K_{ss}^{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}}/(M\cap N) = K_{ss}^{\sigma} \times A_{\sigma}^{\perp}$, we see that i^*f , which is right T_{σ}^{\perp} -invariant since $(\lambda, \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^{\perp}) = 0$, has to be a constant function. Hence f is constant on that fiber, as claimed.

Since our argument is independent of the choice of fiber and element of V_{λ} , we conclude that every element of V_{λ} is constant on every fiber of π . This implies (4.7), and Proposition 4.3 is now proved.

We have shown that the irreducible K-representation with highest weight λ occurs in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ if and only if $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$. For $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$, it occurs with multiplicity one, and is given by $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$. We shall decide which of these irreducible K-representations are square-integrable, in the following sense.

From the description $G/(P, P) = (K/K_{ss}^{\sigma}) \times A_{\sigma}$, we see that there is a $K \times A_{\sigma}$ -invariant measure μ on G/(P, P), which is unique up to a non-zero constant. Given a holomorphic section s of \mathbf{L} , we consider the integral

$$\int_{G/(P,P)} \langle s,s \rangle \mu .$$

Let $H_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})$ be the holomorphic sections in which this integral converges. Since the Hermitian structure \langle , \rangle and μ are K-invariant, H_{ω} becomes a unitary K-representation space. The next proposition shows which irreducible K-representations occur in H_{ω} .

Let $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$ be a dominant integral weight. Let

$$\Phi: G/(P, P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$$

be the moment map of the K-action on $(G/(P, P), \omega)$. Recall that \mathcal{O}_{λ} and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ are respectively the holomorphic functions and sections that transform by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$ under the right T_{σ} -action.

Proposition 4.4. Let $s \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$. Then $s \in H_{\omega}$ if and only if λ is in the image of the moment map.

Proof. Let s_o be the unique holomorphic section of Proposition 4.2. Therefore, $\langle s_o, s_o \rangle = e^{-F}$, where F is the potential function of ω . Since s_o is non-vanishing and $K \times T_\sigma$ -invariant,

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \otimes s_o.$$

Therefore, we are reduced to showing that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ satisfies

(4.8)
$$\int_{G/(P,P)} |f|^2 e^{-F} \mu < \infty$$

if and only if λ is in the image of Φ .

Here μ is the product of a K-invariant measure dk on K/K_{ss}^{σ} and a Haar measure da on A_{σ} . By the exponential map, the measure da on A_{σ} can be identified with the Lebesgue measure dy on \mathbf{R}^m , where $m = \dim \sigma$. Given $k \in K$, the left K-action on \mathcal{O}_{λ} , $L_k^* : \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$, is

$$(L_k^* f)(p) = f(kp).$$

Let $f_1, ..., f_N$ be a basis of \mathcal{O}_{λ} which is orthonormal with respect to the (unique) K-invariant inner product on \mathcal{O}_{λ} . Given an element $f = \sum c_i f_i$ of \mathcal{O}_{λ} ,

$$f(ky) = (L_k^* f)(y) = \sum_{i} c_i a_{ir}(k) f_r(y),$$

where $a_{ir}(k)$ is the *irth* matrix coefficient of the K-representation on \mathcal{O}_{λ} with respect to the basis above. Thus

$$\int |f(ky)|^2 dk = \sum c_i \overline{c_j} \left(\int a_{ir}(k) \overline{a_{js}}(k) dk \right) f_r(y) \overline{f_s(y)},$$

where the integrals are taken over K/K_{ss}^{σ} . However, by Peter-Weyl the inner integral is equal to

$$\frac{1}{N}\delta_{ij}\delta_{rs}$$

([3], p.186), so the integral (4.8) reduces to

(4.9)
$$\frac{1}{N} ||f||^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \sum |f_r(y)|^2 e^{-F(y)} dy,$$

where ||f|| is the norm of f with respect to the given K-invariant inner product structure on \mathcal{O}_{λ} . However, each of the functions $f_r(y)$ transforms under the infinitesimal \mathfrak{t}_{σ} -action according to the character $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\sigma}^*$, and therefore, being holomorphic, transforms under the action of $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} = (\mathfrak{t}_{\sigma})_{\mathbf{C}}$ according to the complexified character $\lambda_{\mathbf{C}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^*$. In particular, $|f_r(y)|^2$ is a constant multiple of $e^{2\lambda(y)}$. Hence if $f \neq 0$, (4.9) is a constant multiple of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^m} e^{-F(y)+2\lambda(y)} dy.$$

However, this integral converges if and only if 2λ is in the image of the Legendre transform of F ([4], Appendix); or equivalently if and only if λ is in the image of the moment map. This proves the proposition.

With this result, Theorem III follows. We see from Theorems II, III that not all irreducibles are contained in H_{ω} : The irreducible representation $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda}$ with highest weight λ satisfies $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda} \subset H_{\omega}$ if and only if $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}L_F(\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}) \subset \sigma$. This necessarily excludes $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma} \setminus \sigma$. However, in the next section, we shall see that the potential function F can be constructed such that $\frac{1}{2}L_F(\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}) = \sigma$, and hence $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L})_{\lambda} \subset H_{\omega}$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma$.

5. Construction of a model

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G, and σ its corresponding cell of dimension m, given in (1.2). There exist dominant fundamental weights $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathfrak{a}_{\sigma}^*$ ([9], p. 498) such that

$$\sigma = \{ \sum_{1}^{m} y_i \alpha_i \; ; \; y_i > 0 \}.$$

Let $F_P : \mathfrak{a}_{\sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by

(5.1)
$$F_P(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{\alpha_i(v)}.$$

Then $F_P \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}_{\sigma})$ is strictly convex, and the image of its Legendre transform is exactly σ . Therefore, the moment map Φ satisfies $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) = \sigma$. Extend F_P to G/(P,P) by K-invariance, and it follows from Theorem II that

$$\omega_P = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}F_P$$

is a Kaehler structure on G/(P,P). Let \mathbf{L}_P be the corresponding line bundle, described before. For a dominant integral weight λ , we let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L}_P)_{\lambda}$ denote the holomorphic sections of \mathbf{L}_P that transform by λ under the right T_{σ} -action. Let H_{ω_P} be the holomorphic sections that are square-integrable under (1.4), so that it is a unitary K-representation space. By Theorem III, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L}_P)_{\lambda}$ is an irreducible K-representation with highest weight λ , whenever $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma}$. Further, since $\Phi(A_{\sigma}) = \sigma$, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{L}_P)_{\lambda} \subset H_{\omega_P}$ whenever $\lambda \in \sigma$.

We repeat this geometric construction among all the parabolic subgroups P containing the fixed Borel subgroup B = HN. In each case, we use F_P in (5.1) as the potential function for the Kaehler structure ω_P on G/(P,P). Then the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{B\subset P} H_{\omega_P}$$

is a model in the sense of I.M. Gelfand [7]: a unitary K-representation where all irreducibles occur with multiplicity one.

References

- R. Abraham and J. Marsden, Foundations of Mechanics, 2nd. ed., Addison-Wesley, 1985. MR 81e:58025
- T. Brocker and T. tom Dieck, Representations of compact Lie groups, Springer-Verlag, N.Y. 1985. MR 86i:22023
- 3. C. Chevalley, Theory of Lie Groups, Princeton U. Press, Princeton 1946. MR 7:412c
- 4. M.K. Chuah and V. Guillemin, Kaehler structures on $K_{\mathbb{C}}/N$, Contemporary Math. 154: The Penrose transform and analytic cohomology in representation theory (1993), 181–195. MR 94k:22028

- V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, Invent. Math. 67 (1982), 515–538. MR 83m:58040
- V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Symplectic techniques in physics, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge 1990. MR 91d:58073
- I.M. Gelfand and A. Zelevinski, Models of representations of classical groups and their hidden symmetries, Funct. Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 183–198. MR 86i:22024
- S. Helgason, Differential Geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, 1978. MR 80k:53081
- 9. S. Helgason, Groups and Geometric Analysis, Academic Press, 1984. MR 86c:22017
- 10. A. Knapp, Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups, Princeton U. Press, Princeton 1986. MR $\bf 87j$:22022
- B. Kostant, Quantization and unitary representations, Lecture Notes in Math. 170, Springer 1970, 87–208. MR 45:3638
- H.S. La, P. Nelson, A.S. Schwarz, Virasoro Model Space, Comm. Math. Phys. 134 (1990), 539–554. MR 92c:22041

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN

E-mail address: chuah@math.nctu.edu.tw