Wide diameters of de Bruijn graphs

Jyhmin Kuo · Hung-Lin Fu

Published online: 14 April 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract The wide diameter of a graph is an important parameter to measure faulttolerance of interconnection network. This paper proves that for any two vertices in de Bruijn undirected graph UB(d, n), there are 2d - 2 internally disjoint paths of length at most 2n + 1. Therefore, the (2d - 2)-wide diameter of UB(d, n) is not greater than 2n + 1.

Keywords de Bruijn · Internally disjoint path · Wide diameter

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Reliability and efficiency are important criteria in the design of interconnection networks. In graph theory and the study of fault-tolerance and transmission delay of networks, connectivity and diameter are two very important parameters and have been studied by many researchers. Wide diameter of a graph, which combines connectivity with diameter, is a parameter that measures simultaneously the fault-tolerance and efficiency of parallel processing computer networks. Diameter with width w of a graph G is defined as the minimum integer l for which between any two distinct vertices in G there exist at least w internally vertex disjoint paths of length at most l. Throughout this paper, "disjoint paths" always means "internally vertex disjoint paths".

The connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a network G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial network. According to Menger's theorem, there are κ disjoint paths between any two vertices in a network of connectivity κ .

Dedicated to Professor Frank K. Hwang on the occasion of his sixty fifth birthday.

J. Kuo (⊠) · H.-L. Fu Department of Applied Mathematics, NCTU, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan e-mail: jyhmin.am91g@nctu.edu.tw The *w*-wide diameter $d_w(G)$ of a network G is the minimum *l* such that for any two vertices **x** and **y** there exists *w* disjoint paths of length at most *l* from *x* to *y*. The notation of *w*-wide diameter was introduced by Hsu (1994) to unify the concept of diameter and connectivity (Liaw and Chang 1999).

The well-known de Bruijn digraph is a class of important digraphs, which have been widely used in the design and analysis of interconnection networks. We recall the definition of de Bruijn digraph B(d, n) for $n \ge 1$ and $d \ge 2$. The de Bruijn diagraph B(d, n) has vertex-set $V = \{x_1x_2...x_n : x_i \in Z_d = \{0, 1, ..., d-1\}, i =$ $1, 2, ..., n\}$ and directed edge-set E, where for $\mathbf{x} = x_1x_2...x_n$, $\mathbf{y} = y_1y_2...y_n \in V$, $\mathbf{xy} \in E$ if and only if $y_i = x_{i+1}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. It has been shown that the digraph B(d, n) is d-regular and has connectivity $\kappa = d - 1$ (Sridhar 1988). In (Sridhar 1988) Sridhar also showed that for any two vertices \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in B(d, n), there are at least d - 1 disjoint paths of length at most $n + 1 = \lfloor \log N \rfloor + 1$, where N = |V|.

The de Bruijn undirected graph, denoted by UB(d, n), is obtained from B(d, n)by omitting the orientation of all directed edges and omitting multiple edges and loops. In UB(d, n), there are d vertices with degree 2d - 2, d(d - 1) vertices with degree 2d - 1, and the others with degree 2d. Moreover, UB(d, n) has diameter n, vertex-connectivity $\kappa = 2d - 2$, and edge-connectivity $\lambda = 2d - 2$ (Esfahnian and Hakimi 1985). This implies that, for any two vertices \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in UB(d, n), there are at least 2d - 2 disjoint paths from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} . But, the length of these 2d - 2 paths may be very large compared to n. It is interesting and important to know the (2d - 2)-wide diameter of UB(d, n). In this paper, we will show that $d_{2d-2}(UB(d, n)) \le 2n + 1$, i.e. for any two vertices in UB(d, n) there are 2d - 2 disjoint paths of length at most 2n + 1.

2 The main result

We use $P[x_1x_2...x_m]$, m > n, to denote the following path by deleting the repeated vertices.

$$x_1 \dots x_n \to x_2 \dots x_{n+1} \to \dots \to x_{m-n} \dots x_{m-1} \to x_{m-n+1} \dots x_m.$$

For example: for n = 5 and d = 3, $P[00100200] = 00100 \rightarrow 01002 \rightarrow 10020 \rightarrow 00200$ and $P[00000101010] = 00000 \rightarrow 00001 \rightarrow 00010 \rightarrow 00101 \rightarrow 01010$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$, $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$. In what follows, for each $a \in Z_d$, we use $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}]$ to denote the path

$$\mathbf{x} \to x_2 \dots x_n a \to x_3 \dots x_n a y_1 \to x_4 \dots x_n a y_1 y_2 \to \dots \to a y_1 \dots y_{n-1} \to \mathbf{y}.$$

 $P(\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y})$ is the path of vertices including \mathbf{y} and excluding \mathbf{x} (and similarly for $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y})$ and $P(\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y})$) (Esfahnian and Hakimi 1985). So we can also use $\mathbf{x} \to P(\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y})$ or $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}) \to \mathbf{y}$, or $\mathbf{x} \to P(\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}) \to \mathbf{y}$ to mean $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}]$. If necessary,

$$x_1x_2...x_n \rightarrow P(x_1x_2...x_nay_1y_2...y_n) \rightarrow y_1y_2...y_n$$
 equals $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}]$.

We add superscripts for long string for reading easily. For example:

$$P[x_1x_2...x_nay_1y_2...y_n] = P[x_1^1x_2^2...x_n^na^{n+1}y_1^{n+2}y_2^{n+3}...y_n^{2n+1}].$$

The boldface of a character is one vertex of UB(d, n), for example **x**, **y**.

The following lemmas and corollary are essential to the proof of the main result Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.1 For any vertex $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n \in UB(d, n)$, there are exactly 2d - 2 disjoint paths from \mathbf{y} to $\mathbf{x} = 00 \dots 0$ of length at most 2n - 2.

Proof Define $A_1 = \{00...0a : a \in Z_d^* = Z_d \setminus \{0\}\}, B_1 = \{b0...0 : b \in Z_d^*\},\$

$$A_i = \{0^1 \dots 0^{n-i} a y_1 \dots y_{i-1} : a \in Z_d^*\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \text{ and} \\ B_j = \{y_{n-j+2} \dots y_n b 0^{j+1} \dots 0^n : b \in Z_d^*\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

For any *i*, *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n*, and $i \neq j$, $A_i \cap A_j = \phi$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \phi$, since the number of 0's before *a* and after *b* are different respectively. If $A_i \cap B_j = \phi$ for any *i*, *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n*, then we have found 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to **x** with length *n* + 1, namely $WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}], P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : a, b \in Z_d^*\}$.

Now, suppose $A_i \cap B_j = \{0 \dots 0a \dots b0 \dots 0\} \neq \phi$, for some $a, b \in Z_d^*$, where t = i + j - n > 0 is the length of overlap between A_i and B_j by deleting the consecutive 0's from head and from tail respectively. Then the length of $a \dots b$ in $A_i \cap B_j$ is $t (\leq n)$. According to *i* and *j*, we have the following cases.

Case 1: $n - j + 2 > i - 1 \Rightarrow t < 3$. Case 1.1: $t = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = 0^1 \dots 0^{i-1} y_i 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^n$. Note that $y_i > 0$ and $y_j = 0$, for $j \neq i$.

• *i* = 1:

Let $y_1 = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{\mathbf{x} \to 0 \dots 0a \to \mathbf{y} : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{y}\}$$
$$\cup \{\mathbf{y} \to bw0 \dots 0 \to P[w^{1}0 \dots 0b^n 0^{n+1} \dots 0^{2n}) \to \mathbf{x} : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\}.$$

•
$$i = n$$
:

Let $y_n = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{\mathbf{y} \to a0 \dots 0 \to \mathbf{x} : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{y}\}$$
$$\cup \{\mathbf{x} \to P(0^1 \dots 0^n b^{n+1} 0^{n+2} \dots 0^{2n-1} w^{2n} b^{2n+1}] \to \mathbf{y} : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\}.$$

•
$$1 < i < n$$
:
Let $y = w > 0$

Let $y_i = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{ \mathbf{x} \to P(0^{1} \dots 0^{n} a^{n+1} \dots a^{n+i}) \to 0^{1} \dots 0^{n-i} a^{n-i+1} \dots a^{n} \\ \text{connect } \mathbf{y} \to P(0^{1} \dots w^{i} \dots 0^{n} a^{n+1} \dots a^{n+i}) \\ \to 0^{1} \dots 0^{n-i} a^{n-i+1} \dots a^{n} : a \in \mathbb{Z}_{d}^{*} \} \\ \cup \{ b^{1} \dots b^{i} 0^{i+1} \dots 0^{n} \to P(b^{1} \dots b^{i} 0^{i+1} \dots 0^{n+i}) \to \mathbf{x} \\ \text{connect } b^{1} \dots b^{i} 0^{i+1} \dots 0^{n} \to P(b^{1} \dots b^{i} 0^{i+1} \dots w^{2i} \dots 0^{n+i}) \\ \to \mathbf{y} : b \in \mathbb{Z}_{d}^{*} \}.$$

This case has the longest path of length 2n - 2.

Case 1.2: $t = 2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} = b0^2 \dots 0^{i-1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-1} a$. Let v = w0...0u, w, u > 0. Then, $WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\}$ $\cup \{ P[\mathbf{v}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in \mathbb{Z}_d^* \setminus \{w\} \} \cup \{ \mathbf{x} \to w0 \dots 0 \to 0w0 \dots 0 \to w0 \dots 0u = \mathbf{y} \}.$ Case 2: $n - j + 2 \le i - 1 \Rightarrow t \ge 3$. Case 2.1: $n - t + 2 \le t - 1 \Rightarrow n + 3 \le 2t \Rightarrow \frac{n+3}{2} \le t < n$. $\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{n-i+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} 0^t \dots 0^{i-1} y_i \dots y_n,$ with $y_1 \dots y_{t-2} = y_{n-t+3} \dots y_n$. Let $y_{t-1} = b = w > 0$. Then, $WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}ww\mathbf{x}]\}.$ Example 1: n + 3 = 2t. $\mathbf{v} = 0^1 \dots 0^{n-j} \mathbf{v}_{n-i+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2=t-1} 0^t \dots 0^{i-1} \mathbf{v}_{n-i+1} 0^{i+1} \dots 0^n.$ Example 2: n + 4 = 2t. $\mathbf{v} = b^1 0^2 \dots 0^{n-t+1} = t^{-3} a^{t-2} b^{t-1} 0^t \dots 0^{n-1} a^n$ *Case* 2.2: $n - t + 2 > t - 1 \Rightarrow n + 3 > 2t$. Define Min = min{t, n - j + 2} and Max = max{i - 1, n - t + 1}. Then $\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{Min-1} 0^{Min} \dots 0^{Max} y_{Max+1} \dots y_n$ with $y_1 ... y_{t-2} = y_{n-t+3} ... y_n$. *Case* 2.2.1: Min = t and Max = n - t + 1. $t \le n - j + 2$ and $i - 1 \le n - t + 1 \Rightarrow 3 \le t \le \frac{n + 4}{2}$, $\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} 0^t \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_n.$

Let $y_{t-1} = b = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}ww\mathbf{x}]\}.$$

Case 2.2.2: Min = t and Max = i - 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = 0^1 \dots 0^{i+t-n-1} y_{i+t-n} \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} 0^t \dots 0^{i-1} y_i \dots y_n \Rightarrow a = y_{n-t+2} = 0,$$

this is impossible.

Case 2.2.3: Min = n - j + 2 and Max = n - t + 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{n-j+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_{2n-t-j+3} 0^{2n-t-j+4} \dots 0^n,$$

and $b = y_{t-1} = 0$, this is impossible.

Case 2.2.4: Min = n - j + 2 and Max = i - 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = 0^1 \dots 0^{i+t-n-1} y_{i+t-n} \dots y_{n-j+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{i-1} y_i \dots y_{2n-t-j+3}$$
$$\times 0^{2n-t-j+4} \dots 0^n,$$

 $a = y_{n-t+2} = 0$, and $b = y_{t-1} = 0$. This is impossible.

Since cases 2.2.2, 2.2.3, and 2.2.4 are impossible, we get exactly 2d - 2 disjoint paths. This completes the Lemma.

Lemma 2.2 For any vertex $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n \in UB(d, n)$, there are at least 2d - 2 disjoint paths from \mathbf{y} to $\mathbf{x} = 100 \dots 0$ of length at most 2n.

Proof First, we consider the case when $\mathbf{y} = u0...0$, $u \neq 1$. If u = 0, then by Lemma 2.1, we are done. Now, suppose u > 1. Then, the 2d - 2 disjoint paths are

$$WD = \{\mathbf{x} \to 0 \dots 0a \to \mathbf{y} : a \in Z_d^*\}$$
$$\cup \{\mathbf{y} \to P[b^1 u^2 0^3 \dots 0^n b^{n+1} 1^{n+2} 0^{n+3} \dots 0^{2n}] \to \mathbf{x} : b \in Z_d^*\}.$$

In what follows, we suppose $\mathbf{y} \neq u0...0$.

Define $A_1 = \{00...0a : a \in Z_d^*\}, B_1 = \{b10...0 : b \in Z_d^*\},\$

$$A_{i} = \{0^{1} \dots 0^{n-i} a y_{1} \dots y_{i-1} : a \in Z_{d}^{*}\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \text{ and}$$
$$B_{j} = \{y_{n-j+2} \dots y_{n} b 1^{j+1} 0^{j+2} \dots 0^{n} : b \in Z_{d}^{*}\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

For $i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, i \neq j, A_i \cap A_j = \phi$ and $B_i \cap B_j = \phi$, since the number of 0's before *a* and after *b* are different respectively. If $A_i \cap B_j = \phi$ for any i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, then we have found 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to **x** with length n + 1, namely $WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}], P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : a, b \in Z_d^*\}$.

Now, suppose $A_i \cap B_j = \{0 \dots 0a \dots b10 \dots 0\} \neq \phi$, for some $a, b \in Z_d^*$, where t = i + j - n > 0 is the length of overlap between A_i and B_j by deleting the consecutive 0's from head and from tail respectively and the special 1 following after b. In other words, $a \dots b1$ has length t. According to i and j, we have the following cases.

Case 1: $n - j + 2 > i - 1 \Rightarrow t < 3$. Case 1.1: $t = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = 10 \dots 0y_i 0 \dots 0$. Note that $y_i > 0$ and i > 1.

•
$$i = n$$

$$WD = \{\mathbf{x} \to a10 \dots 0 \to \mathbf{y} : a \in Z_d^*\}$$
$$\cup \{\mathbf{x} \to P(\mathbf{x}b^{n+1} \dots b^{2n}) \to b \dots b \text{ connect } \mathbf{y} \to P(\mathbf{y}b^{n+1} \dots b^{2n})$$
$$\to b \dots b : b \in Z_d^*\}.$$

This case has the longest length 2n.

• 1 < *i* < *n*

$$WD = \{\mathbf{x} \to P(\mathbf{x}a^{n+1} \dots a^{n+i+1}) \to 0^1 \dots 0^{n-i-1}a^{n-i} \dots a^n$$

connect $\mathbf{y} \to P(\mathbf{y}a^{n+1} \dots a^{n+i+1}) \to 0^1 \dots 0^{n-i-1}a^{n-i} \dots a^n, : a \in \mathbb{Z}_d^*\}$
 $\cup \{b^1 \dots b^n \to P(b^1 \dots b^n \mathbf{x}) \to \mathbf{x} \text{ connect } b^1 \dots b^n$
 $\to P(b^1 \dots b^n \mathbf{y}) \to \mathbf{y} : b \in \mathbb{Z}_d^*\}.$

This case also has the longest length 2n.

Case 1.2: $t = 2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = b\delta 0^3 \dots 0^{i-1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-1} a$, where $\delta = 0$ or 1. Let $y = w\delta 0 \dots 0u$, $\delta = 0$ or 1, w, u > 0.

• w = 1 and $\delta = 0$

$$WD = \{\mathbf{x} \to a10 \dots 0 \to \mathbf{y} : a \in Z_d^*\}$$
$$\cup \{\mathbf{x} \to P(\mathbf{x}b^{n+1} \dots b^{2n}) \to b \dots b \text{ connect } \mathbf{y} \to P(\mathbf{y}b^{n+1} \dots b^{2n})$$
$$\to b \dots b : b \in Z_d^*\}.$$

• otherwise

$$WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in Z_d \setminus \{w\}\}.$$

Case 2: $n - j + 2 \le i - 1 \Rightarrow t \ge 3$. Case 2.1: $n - t + 2 \le t - 1 \Rightarrow n + 3 \le 2t \Rightarrow \frac{n+3}{2} \le t \le n$

$$\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{n-j+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} \delta^{t}$$

 $\times 0^{t+1} \dots 0^{i-1} y_i \dots y_n,$

with $y_1 \dots y_{t-2} = y_{n-t+3} \dots y_n$, and $\delta = 0$ or 1. Let $y_{t-1} = b = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}ww\mathbf{x}]\}.$$

Case 2.2: $n - t + 2 > t - 1 \Rightarrow n + 3 > 2t$. Define Min = min{t, n - j + 2} and Max = max{i - 1, n - t + 1}. Then

$$\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{\text{Min}-1} 0^{\text{Min}} \dots 0^{\text{Max}} y_{\text{Max}+1} \dots y_n$$

with $y_1 \dots y_{t-2} = y_{n-t+3} \dots y_n$, and $y_t = \delta = 0$ or 1. *Case* 2.2.1: Min = t and Max = n - t + 1.

$$t \le n - j + 2$$
 and $i - 1 \le n - t + 1 \Rightarrow 3 \le t \le \frac{n + 4}{3}$,
 $\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} \delta^t 0^{t+1} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_n$.

Let $y_{t-1} = b = w > 0$. Then,

$$WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}] : a \in Z_d^*\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}] : b \in Z_d^* \setminus \{w\}\} \cup \{P[\mathbf{y}ww\mathbf{x}]\}.$$

Case 2.2.2: Min = t and Max = i - 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = 0^1 \dots 0^{i+t-n-1} y_{i+t-n} \dots y_{t-2} b^{t-1} \delta^t 0^{t+1} \dots 0^{i-1} y_i \dots y_n,$$

and $a = y_{n-t+2} = 0$, this is impossible.

Case 2.2.3: Min = n - j + 2 and Max = n - t + 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = y_1 \dots y_{n-j+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{n-t+1} a^{n-t+2} y_{n-t+3} \dots y_{2n-t-j+3} 0^{2n-t-j+4} \dots 0^n,$$

and $b = y_{t-1} = 0$, this is impossible.

Case 2.2.4: Min = n - j + 2 and Max = i - 1.

$$\mathbf{y} = 0^{1} \dots 0^{i+t-n-1} y_{i+t-n} \dots y_{n-j+1} 0^{n-j+2} \dots 0^{i-1} y_{i} \dots y_{2n-t-j+3}$$
$$\times 0^{2n-t-j+4} \dots 0^{n}.$$

and a = b = 0, this is impossible.

Combining all the cases, we have at least 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to **x** = 100...0 of length at most 2n.

Corollary 2.3 For any vertex $\mathbf{y} \in UB(d, n)$, there are at least 2d - 2 disjoint paths from \mathbf{y} to distinct vertex \mathbf{x} of length at most 2n when $\mathbf{x} = cc...c$, or ce...e, or c...e, where $c, e \in Z_d$, and $c \neq e$.

Proof The proof follows directly from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.4 For any two distinct vertices $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$, $\mathbf{z} = z_1 z_2 \dots z_n \in UB(d, n)$, there are 2d - 2 disjoint paths from \mathbf{y} to \mathbf{z} with length at most 2n + 1.

Proof If **y** or **z** is in the forms of **x** in Corollary 2.3 then we are done. Therefore, we suppose that both of **y** and **z** are not in the forms of **x** in Corollary 2.3.

By Lemma 2.1, we can get 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to $\mathbf{x} = 0...0$ and from **z** to **x**, respectively. Now, let the sets A_i and B_j are defined as in Lemma 2.1. Similar to Lemma 2.1, define $C_1 = A_1$, $D_1 = B_1$,

$$C_i = \{0^1 \dots 0^{n-i} a z_1 \dots z_{i-1} : a \in Z_d^*\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \text{ and}$$
$$D_j = \{z_{n-j+2} \dots z_n b 0^{j+1} \dots 0^n : b \in Z_d^*\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

We use $A_i(a') (\in A_i)$ to denote the vertex $0^1 \dots 0^{n-i} a' y_1 \dots y_{i-1}$ in the set A_i . Then $A_i \cap A_j = B_i \cap B_j = C_i \cap C_j = D_i \cap D_j = \phi$, for $i \neq j$, and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This immediately implies $C_i(a') = B_i(b') = C_j(a'')$ which is impossible.

Since $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z}$, we let $p = \min\{i : y_i \neq z_i\}$ and $q = \max\{i : y_i \neq z_i\}$, then $1 \le p \le q \le n$. Now, $A_i \cap C_j = \phi$ for i, j > p, and $B_i \cap D_j = \phi$, for i, j > n - q + 1.

If $A_i(a') = B_j(b')$ (or $C_{i'}(a'') = D_{j'}(b'')$), then we choose an arbitrary β from $Z_d^* \setminus \{b', b''\}$, and replace **x** with $\beta\beta \dots \beta$. This implies that there are 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to $\mathbf{x} = \beta\beta \dots \beta$, { $P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}], P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}], a, b \in Z_d \setminus \{\beta\}$ }, with $A_i \cap B_j = \phi$ (respectively from **z** to **x** with $C_i \cap D_j = \phi$).

If $\mathbf{y} = a'b'a'b' \dots a'b'$ (or $a'b'a'b' \dots a'b'a'$), then \mathbf{y} has degree 2d - 1. The case a = b' and b = a' (or a = b', b = b') may cause the vertex $b'a'b'a' \dots b'a'$



Fig. 1 Main process

(or $b'a'b' \dots b'a'b'$) repeated. Then we replace **x** with $\beta\beta\dots\beta$, where $\beta = a'$. Now we have 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to **x** and $WD = \{P[\mathbf{x}a\mathbf{y}], P[\mathbf{y}b\mathbf{x}], a, b \in Z_d \setminus \{a'\}\}$ with $A_i \cap B_j = \phi$. As to **z**, the process is similar, we omit the details.

Now we have case $A_i \cap D_j \neq \phi$ or $B_i \cap C_j \neq \phi$ left to consider. Suppose not. Then, $A_i \cap D_j = B_i \cap C_j = \phi$, we can find 2d - 2 disjoint paths from **y** to **z** as follows:

$$WD = \{0 \dots 0a y_1 \dots y_{p-1} \to P(0^1 \dots 0^{n-p} a^{n-p+1} y_1^{n-p+2} \dots y_n^{2n-p}) \to \mathbf{y} \text{ connect } 0 \dots 0a z_1 \dots z_{p-1} \to P(0^1 \dots 0^{n-p} a^{n-p+1} z_1^{n-p+2} \dots z_n^{2n-p}) \to \mathbf{z} : a \in \mathbb{Z}_d^* \} \cup \{\mathbf{y} \to P(y_1^1 \dots y_n^n b^{n+1} 0^{n+2} \dots 0^{n+q}) \to y_{q+1} \dots y_n b 0 \dots 0 \text{ connect } \mathbf{z} \to P(z_1^1 \dots z_n^n b^{n+1} 0^{n+2} \dots 0^{n+q}) \to z_{q+1} \dots z_n b 0 \dots 0 : b \in \mathbb{Z}_d^* \}.$$

Note that $0...0ay_1...y_{p-1} = 0...0az_1...z_{p-1}$ and $y_{q+1}...y_nb0...0 = z_{q+1}...z_nb0...0$. Moreover, 0 may be replaced with β if it is necessary in the previous cases. We call this "normal process".

Suppose $A_{i'} \cap D_{i'} \neq \phi$ or $B_i \cap C_i \neq \phi$:

• $A_{j'} \cap D_{i'} = \phi$ and $B_i(b') = v = C_j(a')$, for some i > n - q + 1, j > p, a' and b'. We fix the two disjoint paths as:

$$\mathbf{y} \to B_n(b') \to B_{n-1}(b') \to \dots \to v \to C_{j+1}(a') \to \dots \to C_n(a') \to \mathbf{z},$$
$$\mathbf{z} \to P(z_1^1 \dots z_n^n b' 0^{n+2} \dots 0^{2n}] \to P[0^1 \dots 0^{n-1} a' y_1^{n+1} \dots y_n^{2n}) \to \mathbf{y}.$$

We use Fig. 1 as an example to explain our idea.



Fig. 2 Special process

• $A_{j'}(a') = w = D_{i'}(b')$ and $B_i \cap C_j = \phi$, for some i', j', a' and b'.

$$\mathbf{z} \to D_n(b') \to D_{n-1}(b') \to \dots \to w \to A_{j'+1}(a') \to \dots \to A_n(a') \to \mathbf{y},$$
$$\mathbf{y} \to P(y_1^1 \dots y_n^n b' 0^{n+2} \dots 0^{2n}] \to P[0^1 \dots 0^{n-1} a' z_1^{n+1} \dots z_n^{2n}) \to \mathbf{z}.$$

• $A_{j'}(a') = w = D_{i'}(b')$ and $B_i(b') = v = C_j(a')$ for some i, j, i', j', a' and b'.

$$\mathbf{y} \to B_n(b') \to B_{n-1}(b') \to \dots \to v \to C_{j+1}(a') \to \dots \to C_n(a') \to \mathbf{z},$$
$$\mathbf{z} \to D_n(b') \to D_{n-1}(b') \to \dots \to w \to A_{j'+1}(a') \to \dots \to A_n(a') \to \mathbf{y}.$$

We call the previous three cases "main process".

Finally, $B_i(b') = v = C_j(a')$ and $B_{i'}(b') = w = C_k(a'')$ happen at the same time for i, i', j, k, a', a'', b' and i > i'. In this case, we have $\mathbf{y} \to B_n(b') \dots \to B_i(b') = v = C_j(a') \to \dots \to C_n(a') \to \mathbf{z}$ first, and the others are decided by Fig. 2. We call this "special process".

We give an algorithm to end this proof.

Algorithm

Step 1: According to **y** and **z**, define A_i , B_j , C_i , and D_j . If $A_i(a') = B_j(b')$ or $C_i(a'') = D_j(b'')$, then choose an arbitrary β from $Z_d^* \setminus \{b', b''\}$, and let $\mathbf{x} = \beta \dots \beta$. Step 2: Routing by "normal process".

Step 3: repeat if $B_i(b') = C_j(a')$ and $B_{i'}(b') = C_k(a'')$ routing "special process" end-repeat. Step 4: repeat if $A_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ or $B_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ routing "main process"

end-repeat.

end

Note here that **y** and **z** are not equal to either $ce \dots e$ or $c \dots ce$ (in Corollary 2.3), so we always have $a0 \dots 0$ and $0 \dots 0b$ (complete bipartite graph) to use. Since the main process and the special process do not occur usually, the "normal process" always work.

3 Concluding remark

For any two distinct vertices **y** and **z**, indeed we have at least 2d - 2 paths from **y** to **z** with length n + 1: { $P[\mathbf{y}a\mathbf{z}]$ and $P[\mathbf{z}b\mathbf{y}] a, b \in Z_d$ }. But they may not be internally disjoint. In this paper, we manage to find 2d - 2 disjoint paths in UB(d, n) for any two vertices and these paths have length at most 2n + 1. Therefore, the (2d - 2)-wide diameter is not greater than 2n + 1. It is interesting to know the exact (2d - 2)-wide diameter of a de Bruijn graph.

Acknowledgements We would like to express our appreciation to Professor F.K. Hwang for introducing this research problem to us and providing many helpful comments. We also thank to the referee for his patience in correcting errors and giving suggestions in revising the paper.

References

Esfahnian AH, Hakimi SL (1985) Fault-tolerant routing in de Bruijn communication networks. IEEE Trans Comput C34:777–788

Hsu DF (1994) On container with and length is graphs, groups, and networks. IEEE Trans Fundam Electron Commun Comput Sci E77-A:668–680

Liaw SC, Chang GJ (1999) Wide diameters of butterfly networks. Taiwan J Math 3(1):83–88 Sridhar MA (1988) On the connectivity of the de Bruijn graph. Inf Process Lett 27:315–318