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在這篇文章中，我們找到一個由一些微分不等式所組成的系統，這個系統的解可以使 Gradient estimate 成立。適當選取這個系統的解，可以幫助我們估計薛丁格算子前兩個固有值差距之下界。
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We find a system of differential inequalities under which the gradient estimate holds. Using appropriately chosen test functions, we find some lower bounds of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $V$ be a smooth potential in $\Omega$. The spectrum of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}(\triangle-V) f+\lambda f=0 & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\ f=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

are discrete, and can be arranged in nondecreasing order as follows

$$
\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \cdots .
$$

It is an interesting problem to find a lower bound for the first gap $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$ in terms of the geometrical invariants of $\Omega$ and the given potential function $V$.

In 1983, consider bounded convex domains $\Omega$ with convex potentials $V$, M. van den Berg conjectured that the lower bound is $3 \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}$, where $d$ is the diameter of $\Omega$. In 1985, Bun Wong, Shing-Tung Yau and Stephen S.-T. Yau [1] showed that $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{4 d^{2}}$, where $\lambda=\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$. Qihuang Yu and Jia-Qing Zhong [2] later proved that $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}$ by using the log-convexity of the first eigenfunction and choosing an appropriate test function. For some special potential function $V$ and for some special domain $\Omega$, the conjecture has been proved. In 1989, Mark S. Ashbaugh and Rafael Benguria [4] proved that $\lambda \geq 3 \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}$ for one-dimensional case under the additional assumption that $V$ is a symmetric "single-well" potential.

Another direction of estimate on the lower bound $\lambda$ is considering the quantity of "global log-convexity." In [5], Shing-Tung Yau proved that

$$
\lambda \geq \theta \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+2[\cos (\sqrt{\theta} \pi)]^{2} \alpha
$$

where $\theta$ is any constant with $0<\theta<\frac{1}{4}$, and $\alpha>0$ is the infimum of the global log-convexity of the first eigenfunction,

$$
\alpha=\inf _{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ \tau \in T_{x} \Omega \\|\tau|=1}}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{\tau \tau} .
$$

Shing-Tung Yau [5] gave an interesting estimate on the lower bound of $\alpha$. He showed that if $V$ is strictly convex function such that

$$
\inf _{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ \tau \in T_{x} \Omega \\|\tau|=1}} V_{\tau \tau} \geq c
$$

for some positive constant $c$, then $\alpha \geq \sqrt{\frac{c}{2}}>0$.
In this thesis, we first derive the following theorem for finding test functions of gradient estimate. Let $v$ be the normalized ratio of the first two eigenfunctions $f_{1}$
and $f_{2}$,

$$
v=\frac{2 \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}-(M-m)}{M+m}
$$

where $M=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left(\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\right), m=-\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left(\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\right)$ with $M \geq m$ and $a=\frac{M-m}{M+m}$.
Theorem 1.1. If $f$ is a function of $v$, and satisfies the following conditions:
(a): $f>0$
(b): $f^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha) \leq 0$
(c): $f\left[f^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left[f^{\prime}+2 \lambda(v+a)\right]<0$
then $|\nabla v|^{2} \leq f(v)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.
In 2008, Jun Ling [3] proved that $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+0.62 \alpha$. More detailed, he proved that $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$ if $a=0$ or $a \geq \frac{\pi^{2} \alpha}{4 \lambda}$, and $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+0.62 \alpha$ if $0<a<\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha}{4 \lambda}$. As an application of Theorem 1.1, we finally show that the lower bound of $\lambda$ near $\frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$ if $a$ near 0 .

## 2. Proof of the Main Theorem

Throughout this thesis, we assume that the domain $\Omega$ is strictly convex, and the potential function $V$ is strictly convex. 1896

Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be the first and second eigenfunctions of (1.1). It is well known that the first eigenfunction $f_{1}$ must be a positive function and the second eigenfunction changes sign since $\int f_{1} f_{2}=0$. Since $f_{1}>0, u=f_{2} / f_{1}$ is a well-defined function, and smooth to the boundary of $\Omega$ [1].
Suppose

$$
M=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) ;-m=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) .
$$

We may assume that $M \geq m$, otherwise, we can use $-f_{2}$ instead of $f_{2}$. Setting

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =\left(u-\frac{M-m}{2}\right) /\left(M-\frac{M-m}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 u-(M-m)}{M+m} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

then $v$ is a smooth function on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} v(x)=1 ; \min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} v(x)=-1$.

By computing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta v & =\sum_{i} v_{i i}=\frac{2}{M+m} \sum_{i} u_{i i}=\frac{2}{M+m} \sum_{i}\left(\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\right)_{i i} \\
& =\frac{2}{M+m} \sum_{i}\left(\frac{\left(f_{2}\right)_{i i} f_{1}-f_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)_{i i}}{f_{1}^{2}}-2 \frac{\left(f_{1}\right)_{i}}{f_{1}} \frac{\left(f_{2}\right)_{i} f_{1}-f_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)_{i}}{f_{1}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{M+m}\left(\frac{f_{1} \Delta f_{2}-f_{2} \Delta f_{1}}{f_{1}^{2}}+2 \sum_{i}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i} u_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{M+m}\left(\frac{f_{1}\left(-\lambda_{2} f_{2}+V f_{2}\right)-f_{2}\left(-\lambda_{1} f_{1}+V f_{1}\right)}{f_{1}^{2}}+2 \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right) \nabla u\right) \\
& =-\lambda \frac{2 u}{M+m}+2 \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right) \nabla v, \\
(2.3) \triangle v & =-\lambda(v+a)+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{M-m}{M+m} ; 0 \leq a<1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial n} f_{1}\right|_{\partial \Omega} \neq 0$, here $n$ is the outward normal of $\partial \Omega$, using (2.3), $v$ satisfies the Neumann boundary condition $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} v\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Define a function on $\bar{\Omega}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=|\nabla v|^{2}-f(v) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ satisfies (a),(b) and (c).
Case 1: If $P(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, we can choose an orthonormal frame $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}$ around $x_{0}$ such that $l_{n}$ is perpendicular to $\partial \Omega$ and pointing outward. Since $P\left(x_{0}\right)$ is the maximum of $P(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{n}}\left(x_{0}\right) & =2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_{i} v_{i n}+2 v_{n} v_{n n}-f^{\prime}(v) v_{n} \\
& =2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_{i} v_{i n}, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the notation $\partial / \partial x_{n}$ is denote the restriction of $l_{n}$ on $\partial \Omega$.
From the definition of the second fundamental form of $\partial \Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i n}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_{i j} v_{j} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ is the second fundamental form and $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ is positive definite. Putting (2.7) into (2.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq-2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1} h_{i j} v_{i} v_{j} \leq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{n-1}=0$, and hence $\nabla v\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, we have $P(x) \leq P\left(x_{0}\right)=-f\left(x_{0}\right)<0$ which implies $|\nabla v|^{2}<f(v)$.
Case 2: If $P(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\nabla v\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, then we have the same conclusion as above.
Case 3: If $P(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\nabla v\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. First, we compute the Laplacian of $P(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta P(x)= & \sum_{j} P_{j j} \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i j}^{2}+2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i} v_{i j j}-\mid f^{\prime \prime}(v) \sum_{j} v_{j}^{2}-f^{\prime}(v) \sum_{j} v_{j j} \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i j}^{2}+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla(\Delta v)-f^{\prime \prime}(v)|\nabla v|^{2}-f^{\prime}(v) \Delta v \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i j}^{2} \pm 2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla\left[-\lambda(v+a)+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -f^{\prime \prime}(v)|\nabla v|^{2}-f^{\prime}(v)\left[-\lambda\left(v^{2}+a\right)+2 \nabla v \cdot \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right)\right] \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i j}^{2}+\left[-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]|\nabla v|^{2}+\underbrace{+4 \sum_{i, j} v_{j} v_{i j}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i}} \\
& +4 \sum_{i, j}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i j} v_{i} v_{j}+\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a) \\
& -2 f^{\prime}(v) \sum_{i} v_{i}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i} \\
= & 2 \sum_{i, j} v_{i j}^{2}+\left[-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]|\nabla v|^{2}+4 \sum_{i, j}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i j} v_{i} v_{j} \\
& +\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a)+2 \nabla P \cdot \nabla\left(-\log f_{1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

At $x_{0}$, we have $\nabla P\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, i.e., $2 \sum_{i} v_{i} v_{i j}-f^{\prime}(v) v_{j}=0$ for all $j$.
We can choose an orthonormal frame around $x_{0}$ such that

$$
v_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0, v_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq n .
$$

Hence at $x_{0}, 2 v_{1} v_{1 j}=f^{\prime}(v) v_{j}$ for all $j$, which implies,

$$
v_{1 j}=\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(v) \frac{v_{j}}{v_{1}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(v) & \text { if } j=1,  \tag{2.10}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \geq & \triangle P\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 2 v_{11}^{2}+\left[-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]|\nabla v|^{2} \\
& +4 \sum_{i, j}\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{i j} v_{i} v_{j}+\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[f^{\prime}(v)\right]^{2}+\left[-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right] v_{1}^{2}+4\left(-\log f_{1}\right)_{11} v_{1}^{2}+\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left[f^{\prime}(v)\right]^{2}+\left[4 \alpha-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right] v_{1}^{2}+\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[f^{\prime}(v)\right]^{2}+\left[4 \alpha-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]\left[|\nabla v|^{2}-f(v)\right] \\
& +f(v)\left[4 \alpha-2 \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(v)\right]+\lambda f^{\prime}(v)(v+a) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Rewrite (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(v)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(v)+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right] & -\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(v)\left[f^{\prime}(v)+2 \lambda(v+a)\right] \\
& \geq-\left[f^{\prime \prime}(v)+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right] P\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (2.12) holds for any arbitrary $P(x)=|\nabla v|^{2}-f(v)$, there is no restriction on $f$. Now, let $\sigma=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}\left[|\nabla v|^{2}-f(v)\right]$. Suppose $|\nabla v|^{2}>f(v)$ somewhere, then $\sigma>0$ and $\tilde{f}=f+\sigma_{6}$ satisfies (2.12) for $\widetilde{P}=|\nabla v|^{2}-\widetilde{f}(v)$ and there exists a point $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \widetilde{P}(x)=\widetilde{P}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Hence, at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(f+\sigma)\left[f^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left[f^{\prime}+2 \lambda(v+a)\right] \geq 0 \\
\sigma\left[f^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]+f\left[f^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left[f^{\prime}+2 \lambda(v+a)\right] \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

which is impossible. Thus $\sigma \leq 0$ and $|\nabla v|^{2} \leq f(v)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Remark. The result holds if $\Omega$ is a smooth strictly convex domain in a Riemannian manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature.

These conditions $(a),(b)$ and (c) can simplify if we let $w=v+a$.
Corollary 2.1. If $\varphi$ is a function of $w=v+a, w \in[-1+a, 1+a], \varphi$ satisfies the following conditions:
( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ): $\varphi>0$
(b'): $\varphi^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha) \leq 0$
( $\left.\mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right): \varphi\left[\varphi^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]-\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left[\varphi^{\prime}+2 \lambda w\right]<0$
then $|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \varphi(w)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

## 3. Lower bounds

In this section, we use Theorem 1.1 and its corollary to derive some interesting lower bound. The following Theorem was proved by Shing-Tung Yau [5], and the proof is similarly to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. $\lambda \geq 2 \alpha$.
Proof. We repeat the process of Theorem 1.1. Let $P(x)=|\nabla v|^{2}$ and $P\left(x_{0}\right)=\max P$.
Case 1: If $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ or $x_{0} \in \Omega$ with $\nabla v\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. then $|\nabla v|^{2}(x)=P(x) \leq$ $P\left(x_{0}\right)=|\nabla v|^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. This implies that $v_{1}=v_{2}=\cdots=v_{n}=0$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Thus $v$ is a constant which is impossible.
Case 2: If $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\nabla v\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. From (2.12) we have


Bun Wong, Shing-Tung Yau and Stephen S.-T. Yau [1] use gradient estimate to show that $\lambda \geq \pi^{2} / 4 d^{2}$. Here we verify this result by choosing an appropriate test function that satisfies the assumption $\left(a^{\prime}\right),\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(c^{\prime}\right)$.

## Theorem 3.2.

$$
\lambda \geq\left[\frac{\pi}{2}+\arcsin \left(\frac{1-a}{1+a}\right)\right]^{2} / d^{2}
$$

Proof. If we choose $\varphi(w)$ with following form [2]

$$
\varphi(w)=\lambda\left(b^{2}-w^{2}\right)
$$

for $b>1+a, w \in[-1+a, 1+a]$ and $w=v+a$. Then $\varphi$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ), ( $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ). Thus $|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \lambda\left(b^{2}-w^{2}\right)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda} \geq \frac{|\nabla w|}{\sqrt{b^{2}-w^{2}}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $x_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $w\left(x_{1}\right)=-1+a, w\left(x_{2}\right)=1+a$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the line segment between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. $\mathcal{L}$ lies on $\bar{\Omega}$ completely, because it is convex. We integrate both sides of (3.13) along $\mathcal{L}$ from $x_{1}$ to $x_{2}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda} d \geq \int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{|\nabla w|}{\sqrt{b^{2}-w^{2}}} d l \geq \arcsin \left(\frac{1+a}{b}\right)-\arcsin \left(\frac{-1+a}{b}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $b \longrightarrow 1+a$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\lambda} d \geq \frac{\pi}{2}-\arcsin \left(\frac{-1+a}{1+a}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $a=0$, then $\lambda \geq \pi^{2} / d^{2}$ [2]. Furthermore, since

$$
I(a)=\left[\frac{\pi}{2}+\arcsin \left(\frac{1-a}{1+a}\right)\right]^{2} / d^{2}
$$

is a continuous function of $a$, the lower bound near $\pi^{2} / d^{2}$ as $a$ near 0 .
Jun Ling[3] gave several lower bounds for different $a$. He showed that $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$ if $a=0$ or $a \geq \frac{\delta \pi^{2}}{4}$ where $\delta=\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$. And $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+0.62 \alpha$ for $0<a<\frac{\delta \pi^{2}}{4}$. However, using the same argument as above, we find that an estimate of the lower bound which is a continuous function of $a$, and show that the lower bound is almost $\frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$ when $a$ near 0 .

Theorem 3.3.
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & \geq \frac{1}{d^{2}} \frac{\left(\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a) /(1+a)]}^{\pi / 2} 1 d t\right)^{3}}{\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a) /(1+a)]}^{\pi / 2(t) d t}} \\
& z(t)=\delta\left(1+2 t \tan t+\frac{t^{2}}{(\cos t)^{2}} \frac{-\pi^{2} / 44}{(\cos t)^{2}}\right)+1 \text { and } \delta=\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $a=0$ we have $\lambda \geq \frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$.
Proof. Let $t=\arcsin \left(\frac{w}{b}\right)$ where $w=v+a, b>1+a$ and let $z$ be a function of $t$ such that $z(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[\arcsin \left(\frac{-1+a}{b}\right), \arcsin \left(\frac{1+a}{b}\right)\right]$. Consider the test function with following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(w)=\lambda b^{2} \cos ^{2} t z(t) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d w} \varphi(w) & =-2 \lambda b \sin t z+\lambda b \cos t z^{\prime}  \tag{3.17}\\
\frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}} \varphi(w) & =-2 \lambda z-3 \lambda \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} z^{\prime}+\lambda z^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (3.16)-(3.18) into ( $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ), one can get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda b^{2} \cos ^{2} t z\left[\lambda z^{\prime \prime}-3 \lambda \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} z^{\prime}-2 \lambda z+2(\lambda-2 \alpha)\right]- \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left[\lambda b \cos t z^{\prime}-2 \lambda b \sin t z\right]\left[\lambda b \cos t z^{\prime}-2 \lambda b \sin t z+2 \lambda w\right]<0 \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $2 b^{2} \lambda^{2} z>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \cos ^{2} t z^{\prime \prime}-\sin t \cos t z^{\prime}-z+1-2 \delta \cos ^{2} t+ \\
& \frac{z^{\prime}}{4 z} \cos t\left[2 \sin t z-\cos t z^{\prime}-2 \sin t\right]<0 \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta=\alpha / \lambda$.
From (3.20), we find that if $z(t)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i): $z(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[\arcsin \left(\frac{-1+a}{b}\right), \arcsin \left(\frac{1+a}{b}\right)\right]$
(ii): $-2 \lambda z-3 \lambda \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} z^{\prime}+\lambda z^{\prime \prime}+2(\lambda-2 \alpha) \leq 0$
(iii): $\frac{1}{2} \cos ^{2} t z^{\prime \prime}-\sin t \cos t z^{\prime}-z+1-2 \delta \cos ^{2} t \leq 0$
(iv): $z^{\prime}\left[2 \sin t z-\cos t z^{\prime}-2 \sin t\right] \leq 0$
where the left hand side of (iii) and (iv) are not all zero at the same $t$, then $\varphi(w)=$ $\lambda b^{2} \cos ^{2} t z(t)$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$, ( $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, from the corollary we know $|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \varphi(w)$. Now, we must find a function $z(t)$ that satisfies these conditions. To simplify this problem, we let $z(t)=\frac{\delta}{\cos ^{2} t} y(t)+1$ and solve $y(t)$ that satisfies:
(i'): $y(t)>\frac{-\cos ^{2} t}{\delta}$
(ii'): $\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} \tan t y^{\prime}-2 \cos ^{2} t \leq 0$
(iii'): $\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\tan t y^{\prime}-2 \cos ^{2} t \leq 0 \quad$ S
(iv'): $y^{\prime}\left[2 \sin t y+\cos t y^{\prime}\right] \geq 0$
where the left hand side of (iii') and (iv') are not all zero at the same $t$. Solve the differential equation (iii'), we have 1896

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}(t)=\cos ^{2} t+2 t \sin t \cos t+t^{2}+C_{1}(t+\sin t \cos t)+C_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants. Put (3.21) into (ii'), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 t+C_{1}\right) \sin t \cos t \geq 0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $C_{1}=0$. If we take $C_{2}=\frac{-\pi^{2}}{4}$, then $y_{0}(t)$ satisfies ( $\left.\mathrm{i}^{\prime}\right)$, (ii') and (iii'). Now we have to modify $y_{0}(t)$ to get an appropriate test function $\varphi(w)$. Let $y_{\epsilon}(t)=$ $y_{0}(t)+\epsilon \cos ^{2} t$, where $\epsilon>0$ is a constant. Then we can find that $y_{\epsilon}(t)$ satisfies all conditions for sufficient small $\epsilon$. Thus

$$
\varphi_{\epsilon}(w)=\lambda b^{2} \cos ^{2} t\left(\frac{\delta}{\cos ^{2} t} y_{\epsilon}(t)+1\right)
$$

is an appropriate test function that satisfies $|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \varphi_{\epsilon}(w)$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \varphi(w), \text { where } \varphi(w)=\lambda b^{2} \cos ^{2} t\left(\frac{\delta}{\cos ^{2} t} y_{0}(t)+1\right)
$$

To estimate the lower bound of $\lambda$, we integrate both sides of $|\nabla w|^{2} \leq \varphi(w)$ as in Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\lambda} d & \geq \int_{w=-1+a}^{w=1+a} \frac{1}{b \cos t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(t)}} d w \\
& =\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a) / b]}^{\arcsin [(1+a) / b]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z(t)}} d t \\
& \geq\left(\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a) / b]}^{\arcsin [(1+a) / b]} 1 d t\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} /\left(\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a) / b]}^{\arcsin [(1+a) / b]} z(t) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any arbitrary constnat $b>1+a$. Theorem follows as we let $b \longrightarrow 1+a$.

Remark. The lower bound

$$
\widetilde{I}(a)=\frac{1}{d^{2}} \frac{\left(\int_{\operatorname{arcsinn}[(-1+a) /(1+a)]}^{\pi / 2} 1 d t\right)^{3}}{\int_{\arcsin [(-1+a)) /(1+a)]}^{\pi / 2} z(t) d t}
$$

is a continuous function of $a \in[0,1)$. Thus the lower bound of $\lambda$ in Theorem 3.3 near $\frac{\pi^{2}}{d^{2}}+\alpha$ as $a$ near 0 .
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