Products of Nilpotent Matrices

Pei Yuan Wu* Department of Applied Mathematics National Chiao Tung University Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

Submitted by Thomas J. Laffey

ABSTRACT

We show that any complex singular square matrix T is a product of two nilpotent matrices A and B with rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B = \operatorname{rank} T$ except when T is a 2×2 nilpotent matrix of rank one.

An $n \times n$ complex matrix T is *nilpotent* if $T^n = 0$. It is easily seen that a product of finitely many nilpotent matrices must be singular. The purpose of this note is to prove the converse.

THEOREM. Any complex singular square matrix T which is not 2×2 nilpotent is a product of two nilpotent matrices with ranks both equal to rank T.

Fong and Sourour [3] considered the product of two quasinilpotent operators on a complex separable Hilbert space. They proved that on an infinite-dimensional space every compact operator is a product of two compact quasinilpotent operators. The preceding theorem gives the finitedimensional analogue.

We remark that singular square matrices can also be expressed as products of idempotent matrices (cf. [2], [4], and [1]).

To prove our theorem, we start with the following lemma, which was observed in [3].

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 96:227–232 (1987)

0024-3795/87/\$3.50

227

 $[\]ast$ Research supported in part by a project grant from the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

[©] Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc., 1987 52 Vanderbilt Ave., New York, NY 10017

LEMMA 1. The 2×2 matrix

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

is not the product of any two nilpotent matrices.

Proof. Since 2×2 nilpotent matrices must be of the following forms

$$a\begin{bmatrix}1&x\\-1/x&-1\end{bmatrix}$$
, $b\begin{bmatrix}0&0\\1&0\end{bmatrix}$, and $c\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{bmatrix}$,

it is easily seen that the product of any two of them cannot be equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2. For any $n \neq 2$, the $n \times n$ matrix

is the product of two nilpotent matrices with ranks equal to rank J.

Proof.

J =	0 0 1 0 : 0	0 0 1 : 0	 0 0 	0 0 1	0 0 0 : 0	0 1 0 0 : 0	0 0 : 0 0	1 0 : 0 0 0	0 1 	0 0 · . 0 0 0	 1 0 0	0 0 : 0 0 0	
for odd	n ar	nd											
ſ	0	0	•			0	0	1	1	0	•••	0]

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

228

for even n are the required product. [To get some insight into the factorizations above, it is instructive to observe that for odd n the n-cycle $(123 \cdots n)$ is the product of the two n-cycles $(135 \cdots n246 \cdots n-1)$ and $(1nn - 1 \cdots 32)$ and that the factorization of J in this case can be obtained by replacing appropriate ones by zeros in the corresponding permutation matrices.] The nilpotency of the factors can be verified by showing that their characteristic polynomials are all x^n .

LEMMA 3. Any $n \times n$ $(n \neq 2)$ nilpotent matrix T is the product of two nilpotent matrices with ranks equal to rank T.

Proof. Since nilpotency is preserved under the similarity of matrices, we need only consider a nilpotent Jordan matrix. In view of Lemma 2 we may further reduce the problem to the factorizations of $J_k \oplus J_2$ $(k \ge 2)$ and $J_2 \oplus J_2 \oplus J_2$, where J_i denotes the nilpotent Jordan block of size *i*:

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the former, we have

and for the latter

$\int J_2$	2 0	0]	0	0	0 0		0	1 0 0 0		0			
	<i>J J Z</i>	ľ	$\frac{0}{-}$ =	$\left \begin{array}{c} \overline{J_2} \\ \overline{0} \end{array} \right $	0	(5	0	0		1	0	ŀ	
[(0 0	J_2]	0	J_2	()	$\overline{J_2}$						

Finally, we are ready for the proof of our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem. We need only consider Jordan matrices. Let J be an $n \times n$ singular Jordan matrix. We will prove by induction on n that if J is not 2×2 nilpotent, then j is the product of two nilpotent matrices J = AB such that

(a) the *i*th row of A (*j*th column of B) is zero if and only if the *i*th row of J (*j*th column of J) is zero, i, j = 1, 2, ..., n, and

(b) the nonzero rows of A (nonzero columns of B) are independent.

In particular, rank A and rank B will both equal rank J. Note that the factorizations for nilpotent J given in Lemmas 2 and 3 do satisfy these properties.

If n = 2, then

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (a \neq 0),$$

as asserted. For n = 3, a nonnilpotent J can be factored as one of the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/a \\ 1 & -a & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & a \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -a & 0 & -a \end{bmatrix} \quad (a \neq 0),$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & c & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (a, b \neq 0),$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (a \neq 0).$$

PRODUCTS OF NILPOTENT MATRICES

Now assume that our assertion holds for n-1 $(n \ge 4)$. Let $J = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_m$, where, for k = 1, 2, ..., m,

$$J_k = \begin{bmatrix} c_k & & & 0 \\ 1 & \cdot & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & 1 & c_k \end{bmatrix}$$

is a Jordan block associated with the eigenvalue c_k . We may assume that $c_m \neq 0$. Let J' be the singular $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from J by deleting its *n*th row and *n*th column. By the induction hypothesis, J' = A'B', where A' and B' are nilpotent matrices with properties (a) and (b). Let $a_i^T(b_j)$ denote the *i*th row of A' (*j*th column of B'), i, j = 1, 2, ..., n-1. To complete the proof, we need only determine a_n^T and b_n such that they are independent of $a_1^T, ..., a_{n-1}^T$ and $b_1, ..., b_{n-1}$, respectively, and satisfy

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J' & | & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & d & | & c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A' & | & 0 \\ \hline a_n^T & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B' & | & b_n \\ \hline 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv AB,$$

where d is either 0 or 1. In other words, a_n^T and b_n are to satisfy

$$a_n^T b_j = 0$$
 $(1 \le j \le n-2),$ $a_n^T b_{n-1} = d,$ $a_n^T b_n = c_m,$ (1)

and

$$a_i^T b_n = 0 \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1) \tag{2}$$

besides the independence property. Note that the A and B thus defined are indeed nilpotent with properties (a) and (b).

We first prove the existence of b_n which satisfies (2) and is independent of b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} . Indeed, by the induction hypothesis we have rank A' =rank $J' \leq n-2$. Hence there exists a nonzero b_n satisfying (2). If b_n is dependent on b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} , say,

$$b_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j b_j$$

—where we may assume that $x_i = 0$ whenever $b_i = 0$, or, equivalently,

 $b_n = B'x$,

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

-then

$$J'x = A'B'x = A'b_n = 0.$$

From the structure of the Jordan matrix J', it is easily seen that $x_j = 0$ for all j and therefore $b_n = 0$, contradicting our choice of b_n .

On the other hand, since rank $B' = \operatorname{rank} J' \leq n-2$, the number of nonzero independent b_j 's is at most n-1. Note that $c_m \neq 0$ implies that if d = 1, then the size of J_m is at least 2, whence $b_{n-1} \neq 0$ by property (a). Hence there exists an a_n^T satisfying (1). If a_n^T is dependent on a_1^T, \ldots, a_{n-1}^T , say

$$a_n^T = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i a_i^T$$

then

$$c_m = a_n^T b_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i a_i^T b_n = 0,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- 1 C. S. Ballantine, Products of idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 19:81-86 (1978).
- 2 J. A. Erdos, On products of idempotent matrices, *Glasgow Math. J.* 8:118-122 (1967).
- 3 C. K. Fong and A. R. Sourour, Sums and products of quasi-nilpotent operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 99A:193-200 (1984).
- 4 J. B. Hawkins and W. J. Kammerer, A class of linear transformations which can be written as the product of projections, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19:739-745 (1968).

Received 14 October 1986; revised 19 January 1987