



---

\$C\_{11}\$ Contractions are Reflexive

Author(s): Pei Yuan Wu

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 77, No. 1 (Oct., 1979), pp. 68-72

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2042718>

Accessed: 28/04/2014 17:08

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

## $C_{11}$ CONTRACTIONS ARE REFLEXIVE

PEI YUAN WU<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that a completely nonunitary  $C_{11}$  contraction defined on a separable Hilbert space with finite defect indices is reflexive.

In this note, only bounded linear operators defined on complex, separable Hilbert spaces will be considered. A contraction  $T$  ( $\|T\| \leq 1$ ) on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  is of class  $C_{11}$  if  $T^n x \rightarrow 0$  and  $T^{*n} x \rightarrow 0$  for any  $x \neq 0$ . It is well known that such a contraction is quasi-similar to a unitary operator. Since unitary operators (even normal operators) are reflexive (cf. [3]), the question arises: Is the property of reflexivity preserved under the quasi-similarity? In other words, is a  $C_{11}$  contraction reflexive? In the present note we show that the answer is affirmative if the  $C_{11}$  contraction is completely nonunitary (c.n.u.) and has finite defect indices. We conjecture that the general case is also true.

Recall that a contraction  $T$  is *c.n.u.* if there is no nontrivial reducing subspace on which  $T$  is unitary. The *defect indices* of  $T$  are, by definition,

$$d_T = \dim[(1 - T^*T)^{1/2}\mathfrak{H}]^-, \quad d_{T^*} = \dim[(1 - TT^*)^{1/2}\mathfrak{H}]^-.$$

If  $T$  is of class  $C_{11}$ , then  $d_T = d_{T^*}$ . In the following discussion we shall make use of the *functional model for contractions* developed by Sz. Nagy and Foiaş (cf. [4]). More specifically, if  $T$  is a c.n.u. contraction with  $d_T = d_{T^*} = n < \infty$ , then  $T$  can be considered as defined on

$$H = [H_n^2 \oplus \overline{\Delta L_n^2}] \ominus \{\Theta_T w \oplus \Delta w : w \in H_n^2\}$$

by  $T(f \oplus g) = P(e^{if} \oplus e^{ig})$  for  $f \oplus g \in H$ , where  $L_n^2$  and  $H_n^2$  denote the standard Lebesgue and Hardy spaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -valued functions defined on the unit circle,  $\Theta_T$  is the characteristic function of  $T$ ,  $\Delta = (1 - \Theta_T^* \Theta_T)^{1/2}$  and  $P$  denotes the (orthogonal) projection onto  $H$ . Any operator  $S$  in  $\{T\}'$ , the commutant of  $T$ , has the form  $P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $A$  is a bounded analytic function while  $B$  and  $C$  are bounded measurable functions satisfying  $A\Theta_T = \Theta_T A_0$  and  $B\Theta_T + C\Delta = \Delta A_0$  for some bounded analytic function  $A_0$  (cf. [5]).

For an arbitrary operator  $T$ ,  $\{T\}'$ ,  $\{T\}''$  and  $\text{Alg } T$  denote the commutant, double commutant and the weakly closed algebra generated by  $T$  and  $I$ ,

Presented to the Society, October 13, 1978; received by the editors October 24, 1978.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 47A45; Secondary 47C05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $C_{11}$  contraction, reflexive operator, functional model for contractions, quasi-similarity.

<sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by National Science Council of Taiwan, Republic of China.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/79/0000-0465/\$02.25

respectively, and  $\text{Lat } T$ ,  $\text{Lat}'' T$  denote the lattice of invariant subspaces and the lattice of bi-invariant subspaces of  $T$ , respectively. Let  $\text{Alg Lat } T$  and  $\text{Alg Lat}'' T$  denote the (weakly closed) algebras of operators which leave all the subspaces in  $\text{Lat } T$  and  $\text{Lat}'' T$  invariant, respectively. An operator  $T$  is reflexive if  $\text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T$ . Two operators  $T_1, T_2$  are quasi-similar if there exist one-to-one operators  $X$  and  $Y$  with dense ranges (called quasi-affinities) such that  $XT_1 = T_2X$  and  $YT_2 = T_1Y$ .

We start the proof with the following:

LEMMA 1. Let  $T$  be a normal operator on a separable Hilbert space. Then  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat}'' T = \{T\}''$ .

PROOF. By the spectral theorem, we may assume that  $T = M_{E_1} \oplus M_{E_2} \oplus \dots$  acting on

$$H = L^2(E_1, \mu) \oplus L^2(E_2, \mu) \oplus \dots,$$

where  $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$  are Borel subsets of the complex plane,  $\mu$  is a finite positive Borel measure and  $M_{E_j}$  denotes the operator of multiplication by independent variable on  $L^2(E_j, \mu)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  (cf. [2, p. 916]). Let  $S \in \{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat}'' T$ . Since for normal operators bi-invariant subspaces are exactly reducing subspaces,  $S \in \{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat}'' T$  implies that  $S = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 \oplus \dots$ , where  $\varphi_j \in L^\infty(E_j, \mu)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Consider the reducing (hence bi-invariant) subspace

$$K = \{f_1 \oplus f_2 \oplus \dots \in H: \chi_{E_j} f_i = f_j \text{ for all } i < j\}.$$

We have  $SK \subseteq K$ , which implies that  $\chi_{E_j} \varphi_i f_i = \varphi_j f_j$  for all  $f_i \in L^2(E_i, \mu)$ ,  $f_j \in L^2(E_j, \mu)$ ,  $i < j$ . In particular, if  $f_i = \chi_{E_i}$  and  $f_j = \chi_{E_j}$ , we have  $\varphi_i = \varphi_j$  on  $E_j$ . Hence

$$S = \varphi_1 \oplus \chi_{E_2} \varphi_1 \oplus \chi_{E_3} \varphi_1 \oplus \dots = \varphi_1(T) \in \{T\}''.$$

This shows that  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat}'' T \subseteq \{T\}''$ . Since the other inclusion is trivial, this completes the proof.

The next lemma characterizes the operators in  $\{T\}''$  for a c.n.u.  $C_{11}$  contraction  $T$  with finite defect indices.

LEMMA 2. Let  $T$  be a c.n.u.  $C_{11}$  contraction with  $d_T = d_{T^*} = n < \infty$  defined on

$$H = [H_n^2 \oplus \overline{\Delta L_n^2}] \ominus \{\Theta_T w \oplus \Delta w: w \in H_n^2\}.$$

Then  $\{T\}'' = \{P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}: A \Theta_T = \Theta_T A_0, B \Theta_T + C \Delta = \Delta A_0 \text{ for some bounded analytic function } A_0, \text{ and } C \text{ is scalar-valued}\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $S = P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}$  be an operator in  $\{T\}''$ , where  $A \Theta_T = \Theta_T A_0$  and  $b \Theta_T + C \Delta = \Delta A_0$  for some bounded analytic function  $A_0$ , and let  $U$  be the operator of multiplication by  $e^{it}$  on  $\overline{\Delta L_n^2}$ . It was shown in [6, Lemma 3.1] that  $C \in \{U\}''$ . As in the proof of Lemma 1,  $C = \varphi(U)$  for some  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , that is,  $C$  is scalar-valued.

For the other inclusion, let  $S = P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \in \{T\}'$  be such that  $C$  is scalar-valued, and  $\text{let } S' = P \begin{bmatrix} A' & 0 \\ B' & C' \end{bmatrix} \in \{T\}'$ . Note that the linear manifold  $K = \{P(0 \oplus g) : g \in \Delta L_n^2\}$  is dense in  $H$ . Indeed, since  $\Theta_T$  is an outer function, for any  $f \in H_n^2$  there exists a sequence  $\{w_j\}$  of elements in  $H_n^2$  such that  $\Theta_T w_j \rightarrow f$  in norm. Hence

$$P(0 \oplus -\Delta w_j) = P(\Theta_T w_j \oplus 0) \rightarrow P(f \oplus 0).$$

It follows that

$$P(f \oplus g) = \overline{P(f \oplus 0) + P(0 \oplus g)} \in \overline{K}$$

for any  $f \in H_n^2$  and  $g \in \Delta L_n^2$ . Thus  $\overline{K} = H$ , as asserted. Let  $Y = S|_K$  and  $Y' = S'|_K$  be operators (not necessarily bounded) defined on  $K$ . It is easily seen that  $YY' = Y'Y$ . By the denseness of  $K$ , this implies that  $SS' = S'S$  whence  $S \in \{T\}''$ .

As a preliminary step toward showing that  $C_{11}$  contractions are reflexive, the next result says that they satisfy  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be a c.n.u.  $C_{11}$  contraction with  $d_T = d_{T^*} = n < \infty$  defined on*

$$H = \left[ H_n^2 \oplus \overline{\Delta L_n^2} \right] \ominus \left\{ \Theta_T w \oplus \Delta w : w \in H_n^2 \right\}.$$

(1) *If  $\Theta_T(e^{it})$  is isometric for  $t$  in a set of positive Lebesgue measure, then  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T = \{T\}''$ .*

(2) *If  $\Theta_T(e^{it})$  is not isometric for almost all  $t$ , then  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T = \{u(T) : u \in H^\infty\}$ .*

**PROOF.** We first show that  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T \subseteq \{T\}''$ . Let  $S = P \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \in \{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T$ . Let  $U, V$  be the operators of multiplication by  $e^{it}$  on  $\Delta L_n^2, \Delta_* L_n^2$ , respectively, where  $\Delta_* = (1 - \Theta_T \Theta_T^*)^{1/2}$ , and let  $X: H \rightarrow \Delta_* L_n^2$  be the quasi-affinity  $X(f \oplus g) = -\Delta_* f + \Theta_T g$  (cf. [6, Lemma 3.4]). Since  $\Theta_T \Delta = \Delta_* \Theta_T$ , we may consider  $\Theta_T$  as a multiplication operator from  $\Delta L_n^2$  to  $\Delta_* L_n^2$ . For any  $K \in \text{Lat}'' U$ , let  $H_0 = X^{-1}(\overline{\Theta_T K})$ . Since operators in  $\{V\}''$  are of the form  $\varphi(V)$  where  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , it is easily seen that  $\overline{\Theta_T K} \in \text{Lat}'' V$ . By Corollary 3.6 of [6],  $H_0 \in \text{Lat}'' T$ . Hence  $SH_0 \subseteq H_0$ . Thus for any  $f \oplus g \in H_0$ ,  $XS(f \oplus g) \in \overline{\Theta_T K}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [6], it can be shown that

$$XS(f \oplus g) = \Theta_T C \Theta_T^{-1} (-\Delta_* f + \Theta_T g).$$

Note that  $\Theta_T$  admits scalar multiples. Let  $\delta$  be an outer scalar multiple of  $\Theta_T$  and let  $\Omega$  be a contractive analytic function such that  $\Theta_T \Omega = \Omega \Theta_T = \delta I_{C^n}$ . Since  $\Theta_T^{-1} = \delta^{-1} \Omega$ , we conclude that

$$\Theta_T C \Omega (-\Delta_* f + \Theta_T g) \in \delta \overline{\Theta_T K}$$

for any  $f \oplus g \in H_0$ . By Corollary 3.6 of [6],  $XH_0$  is dense in  $\overline{\Theta_T K}$ . Therefore,

$$\Theta_T C \delta K = \Theta_T C \Omega \Theta_T K \subseteq \overline{\delta \Theta_T K}.$$

Hence for any  $x \in K$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements in  $K$  such that

$\delta\Theta_T x_n \rightarrow \Theta_T C\delta x$ , which implies that  $\delta^2 x_n = \delta\Omega\Theta_T x_n \rightarrow \Omega\Theta_T C\delta x = \delta^2 Cx$ . Since  $\delta^2 x_n \in K$  for all  $n$ ,  $\delta^2 Cx \in K$ . This shows that  $\delta^2 CK \subseteq K$ , and hence by Lemma 1 we conclude that  $\delta^2 C \in \{U\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } U = \{U\}''$ . Thus  $\delta^2 C$  is scalar-valued, and so is  $C$ . By Lemma 2,  $S \in \{T\}''$ . If  $\Theta_T(e^{it})$  is isometric for  $t$  in a set of positive Lebesgue measure, then  $\{T\}'' = \text{Alg } T$  (cf. [6, Theorem 3.8]). This shows that  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T \subseteq \text{Alg } T$ . Since the other inclusion is trivial, we have proved (1).

For the rest of the proof we assume that  $\Theta_T(e^{it})$  is not isometric for almost all  $t$ . Let

$$Y: \overline{\Delta_* L_n^2} \rightarrow L^2 \oplus L^2(E_2) \oplus \dots \oplus L^2(E_k)$$

be the unitary transformation which intertwines  $V$  and  $M \oplus M_{E_2} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{E_k}$ , where  $E_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_k$  are Borel subsets of the unit circle and  $M, M_{E_2}, \dots, M_{E_k}$  denote the operators of multiplication by  $e^{it}$  on  $L^2, L^2(E_2), \dots, L^2(E_k)$ , respectively (cf. [4, pp. 272–273]). For any  $x \in K \equiv Y^{-1}(H^2 \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0)$ , consider the element

$$f \oplus g \equiv P(0 \oplus \Omega x) = (0 \oplus \Omega x) - (\Theta_T w \oplus \Delta w)$$

in  $H$ , where  $w \in H_n^2$ . Since  $\delta \in H^\infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_* f + \Theta_T g &= -\Delta_*(-\Theta_T w) + \Theta_T(\Omega x - \Delta w) = \Theta_T \Omega x \\ &= \delta x \in \delta K = Y^{-1}(\delta H^2 \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0) \subseteq K. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f \oplus g \in X^{-1}K$ . Since  $X^{-1}K \in \text{Lat } T$ ,  $S(f \oplus g) \in X^{-1}K$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} XS(f \oplus g) &= XP \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} = XP \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Omega x \end{bmatrix} = XP(0 \oplus C\Omega x) \\ &= \Theta_T C\Omega x = C\delta x \in K \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in K$ . In particular, for  $h \in H^2$  consider

$$x = Y^{-1}(h \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0).$$

Then

$C\delta x = Y^{-1}(C\delta h \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0) \in K = Y^{-1}(H^2 \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0)$ , which implies that  $C\delta h \in H^2$  for any  $h \in H^2$ . Since  $\delta$  is outer,  $\delta H^2$  is dense in  $H^2$ . From above we conclude that  $CH^2 \subseteq H^2$  whence  $C \in H^\infty$ .

Note that the linear manifold  $\{P(0 \oplus g): g \in \overline{\Delta L_n^2}\}$  is dense in  $H$  (cf. the proof of Lemma 2). Hence

$$SP(0 \oplus g) = P(0 \oplus Cg) = C(T)P(0 \oplus g)$$

for any  $g \in \overline{\Delta L_n^2}$  implies that  $S = C(T)$  on  $H$ . Thus  $S = C(T) \in \text{Alg } T$ , which proves (2).

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $T$  be an operator on  $H$  satisfying  $\{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T$ . If  $T$  is quasi-similar to a normal operator, then  $T$  is reflexive.*

PROOF. It was proved by Apostol [1] that if  $T$  is quasi-similar to a normal operator, then there exists a sequence  $\{H_n\}$  of invariant subspaces for  $T$  which are basic and such that  $T_n \equiv T|_{H_n}$  is similar to a normal operator for each  $n$ . Let  $S \in \text{Alg Lat } T$ . Then  $SH_n \subseteq H_n$ . Let  $S_n = S|_{H_n}$  for each  $n$ . Since  $T_n$ , being similar to a normal operator, is reflexive, we have  $S_n \in \text{Alg Lat } T_n = \text{Alg } T_n$ . It follows that  $ST = TS$  on  $H_n$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\{H_n\}$  spans  $H$ ,  $ST = TS$  on  $H$ . Thus  $S \in \{T\}' \cap \text{Alg Lat } T = \text{Alg } T$ . This shows that  $T$  is reflexive.

THEOREM 5. *If  $T$  is a c.n.u.  $C_{11}$  contraction with finite defect indices, then  $T$  is reflexive.*

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 3 and Lemma 4.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, *Operators quasisimilar to a normal operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 104–106.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part II, Interscience, New York, 1967.
3. D. Sarason, *Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 511–517.
4. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.
5. ———, *On the structure of intertwining operators*, Acta Sci. Math. **35** (1973), 225–254.
6. P. Y. Wu, *Bi-invariant subspaces of weak contractions*, J. Operator Theory (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN, REPUBLIC OF CHINA