

List of Tables

4.1	The statistics of the selected coefficients at different JPEG and JPEG 2000 compression settings after the Robustness Stage (stage 1) and the Reliability Stage (stage 2) for image Lena.....	53
4.2	The estimated statistics of the selected coefficients at different JPEG and JPEG2000 compression settings after the reliability improvement stage for image Lena.....	53
4.3	The absolute differences between the estimated and measured average $E\{c H_1\}$ and $E\{c H_0\}$, and the differences in ratio for watermarked and unwatermarked Lena images.....	54
4.4	The processing complexity of the reliability improvement stage for images Lena and Baboon.....	54
5.1	Misclassification rates in three 2-D feature spaces.....	75
5.2	The classifiers at different JPEG quality factors.....	75
5.3	The comparisons of the selected coefficients for Lena. (The number of selected coefficients by simplified scheme equals to the number of overlapped coefficients by both the original Stage One and simplified scheme.).....	80
5.4	The comparisons of the selected coefficients for Baboon. (The number of selected coefficients by simplified scheme equals to the number of overlapped coefficients by both the original Stage One and simplified scheme.).....	81

5.5	The computation complexity (coefficient process time) for image Lena.....	81
6.1	Fraction of correctly detected watermark disks under common signal processing attacks.....	111
6.2	Fraction of correctly detected watermark disks under geometric distortion attacks.....	112
6.3	PSNR values.....	113



List of Figures

2.1	A general watermarking system framework.....	7
2.2	A general watermark embedding scheme.....	7
2.3	A general watermark detection scheme.....	8
2.4	Basic elements of a digital communication system.....	8
4.1	Watermark detection scheme.....	45
4.2	The (absolute) difference image between the original image and the watermarked image. The magnitude in display is amplified by a factor of 15: (a) Lena, Q=50. (b) Lena, Q=80. (c) Baboon, Q=50. (d) Baboon, Q=80.....	54
4.3	The mean of the normalized correlation sum $C_{E\{c H_1\}}$ after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for watermarked images: (a) Lena and (b) Baboon.....	55
4.4	The percentage of correctly decoded coefficients at the detector after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for image Lena: (a) Watermarked and (b) Unwatermarked.....	56
4.5	The mean of the normalized correlation sum $E\{c H_1\}$ under various signal processing attacks: (a) Lena and (b) Baboon.....	57
4.6	The percentage of correctly detected watermarks (images) under various signal processing attacks: (a) Lena and (b) Baboon.....	57
4.7	The variance of the normalized correlation sum $\text{Var}\{c H_1\}$ after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for the watermarked image Lena.....	58
4.8	The mean and variance of the normalized correlation sum after the JPEG	58

	attacks (at four different quality factors) for the unwatermarked image Lena: (a) Mean $E\{c H_0\}$ and (b) Variance $\text{Var}\{c H_0\}$	
4.9	The maximum and minimum values of the normalized correlation sum $E\{c H_0\}$ after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for the unwatermarked image Lena: (a) Maximum and (b) Minimum.....	59
4.10	The mean of the normalized correlation sum due to JPEG attacks for image Lena of designated capacity: (a) Watermarked and (b) Unwatermarked images.....	60
4.11	The variance of the normalized correlation sum due to JPEG attacks for image Lena of designated capacity: (a) Watermarked and (b) Unwatermarked images.....	61
4.12	The detection performance due to JPEG attacks for the middle-frequency watermarked image Lena: (a) The mean of the normalized correlation sum and (b) The percentage of correctly detected images.....	62
4.13	The detection performance due to JPEG attacks for the middle-frequency unwatermarked image Lena: (a) The mean of the normalized correlation sum and (b) The percentage of correctly detected images.....	62
4.14	The variance of the normalized correlation sum due to JPEG attacks for the middle-frequency watermarking for image Lena: (a) Watermarked images and (b) Unwatermarked images.....	63
4.15	The detection performance for JPEG2000-trained image Lena under JPEG and JPEG2000 attacks: (a) The mean of the normalized correlation sum, (b) The percentage of correctly decoded coefficients, and (c) The variance of the normalized correlation sum.....	65
4.16	The detection performance for unwatermarked image Lena under the	

	JPEG2000 and JPEG attacks: (a) The mean of the normalized correlation sum, and (b) The variance of the normalized correlation sum.....	65
5.1	The thirty 256x256 natural images used for rule finding.....	73
5.2	The classifiers corresponding to JPEG compression quality factor (a) 50 (b) 60 (c) 70 (d) 80 with coefficients from 30 natural images.....	77
5.3	The mean and variance of the normalized correlation sum after JPEG attacks at different quality factors for watermarked image Lena: (a) Mean $E\{c H_1\}$ and (b) Variance $Var\{c H_1\}$	82
5.4	The mean and variance of the normalized correlation sum after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for the unwatermarked image Lena: (a) Mean $E\{c H_0\}$ and (b) Variance $Var\{c H_0\}$	83
5.5	The percentage of correctly decoded coefficients at the detector after JPEG attacks for image Lena: (a) Watermarked and (b) Unwatermarked.....	84
5.6	The maximum and minimum values of the normalized correlation sum $E\{c H_0\}$ after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for the watermarked image Lena: (a) Maximum and (b) Minimum.....	84
5.7	The maximum and minimum values of the normalized correlation sum $E\{c H_0\}$ after the JPEG attacks (at four different quality factors) for the unwatermarked image Lena: (a) Maximum and (b) Minimum.....	85
5.8	The mean of the normalized correlation sum after various signal processing attacks for watermarked image Lena.....	86
6.1	(a) Mexican Hat wavelet filtered image at scale $i=2$. (b) Mexican Hat wavelet filtered image at scale $i=4$. (c) The difference image between (a) and (b). (d) The center of each disk is a feature point.....	91
6.2	Feature extraction by Mexican Hat wavelet scale interaction.....	92

6.3	(a) Two ordered points A and B in the normalized image (ellipse). (b) Two corresponding points a and b in the original image (disk). (c) A 32×32 block is constructed in the original image disk. (d) Two symmetric 32×32 blocks in the original image disk are formed.....	97
6.4	The crossing points of the grid represent the integer pel locations on the original disk. (a) If the slope (absolute value) of a line segment is less than or equal to 1, the integer pels closest to the line segment horizontally are chosen to form the data line segment. (b) If the slope (absolute value) of a line segment is greater than 1, the integer pels closest to the line segment vertically are chosen to form the data line segment.....	97
6.5	Each disk contains two 32×32 blocks for watermark embedding (Lena).....	98
6.6	Watermark embedding scheme.....	98
6.7	Two points (x_i, y_i) and $(-y_i, x_i)$, 90° apart, on the upper half DFT plane are used for embedding one watermark bit.....	100
6.8	Watermark detection scheme.....	101
6.9	The false-alarm probability of an unwatermarked image. The probability is generated for r_1 and r_2 satisfying the following two conditions: (1) $r_1 \geq 10$, $r_2 \geq 10$, and (2) $r_1 + r_2 \geq T$. ($n=16$, $m=3$, and $N=10$.).....	105
6.10	The miss probability on image Lena. The plot is generated for r_1 and r_2 satisfying the following two conditions: (1) $r_1 \geq 10$, $r_2 \geq 10$, and (2) $r_1 + r_2 \geq T$. ($n=16$, $m=3$, and $N=10$.).....	106
6.11	The difference image between the original image and the watermarked image. The magnitudes in display are amplified by a factor of 30. (a) Lena, (b) Baboon, and (c) Peppers.....	110

6.12	The watermarked coefficients are chosen from the shaded area.....	110
6.13	(a) Local warping is applied to watermarked image Lena, in the eyes and mouth area (b) Watermark detection result for (a). Seven watermarked disks are correctly detected among the original eight.....	111



