

# 圖目錄

頁次

Fig. 1. The instrument of capillary Electrophoresis.....	52
Fig. 2. Electrophoretic mobility of analyte.....	53
Fig. 3. The choice of on-line concentration techniques.....	54
Fig. 4. Field-Amplified Sample Stacking.....	55
Fig. 5. The schematic of steps of CZE with LVSS under reversed polarity conditions.....	56
Fig. 6. The schematic of steps of CZE with dynamic pH junction.....	57
Fig. 7. Evolution of micelles and neutral analyte molecules during sweeping.....	58
Fig. 8. Sweeping of a charged analyte in electrokinetic chromatography with a neutral pseudostationary phase.....	59
Fig. 9. Schematic diagram of a stacking mechanism by sweeping using a cationic surfactant.....	60
Fig. 10. Schematic diagram of a stacking mechanism by sweeping using a anionic surfactant.....	61
Fig. 11. Schematic illustration of the anionic sample stacking mechanism of the ASEI-sweeping-MEKC model.....	62
Fig. 12. Evolution of analyte zones in CSEI-sweep-MEKC.....	63
Fig. 13. Evolution of analyte zones in LVSS-sweeping-MEKC.....	64
Fig. 14. The structure of preservatives.....	65
Fig. 15. Effect of the proportion of methanol in running buffer.....	66
Fig. 16. Effect of the concentration of SDS in separation running buffer..	67
Fig. 17. Effect of the pH of separation running buffer.....	68
Fig. 18. Effect of the concentration of citric acid/disodium hydrogen phosphate running buffer.....	69
Fig. 19. Effect of the concentration of sample matrix.....	70
Fig. 20. Effect of injection time.....	71
Fig. 21. Detection sensitivity of analytes measured under two different separation conditions.....	72
Fig. 22. The structure of phenolic acids.....	73
Fig. 23. The current of stacking and separation.....	74
Fig. 24. Effect of the stacking time .....	75
Fig. 25. Effect of the concentration of SDS in running buffer.....	76

Fig. 26. Effect of the pH of micellar buffer and nonmicellar buffer.....	77
Fig. 27. Effect of the concentration of micellar buffer.....	78
Fig. 28. Effect of the concentration of nonmicellar buffer.....	79
Fig. 29. Effect of the proportion of methanol in sample matrix.....	80
Fig. 30. Effect of the proportion of salt in sample matrix.....	81
Fig. 31. Detection sensitivity of analytes measured under three different separation conditions.....	82
Fig. 31. Detection sensitivity of analytes measured under two different separation conditions.....	83

