



The Hyperinvariant Subspace Lattice of a Contraction of Class SC_0

Author(s): Pei Yuan Wu

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 72, No. 3 (Dec., 1978), pp. 527-530

Published by: [American Mathematical Society](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2042465>

Accessed: 28/04/2014 17:11

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

THE HYPERINVARIANT SUBSPACE LATTICE OF A CONTRACTION OF CLASS $C_{\cdot 0}$

PEI YUAN WU¹

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if T is a $C_{\cdot 0}$ contraction with finite defect indices, then $\text{Hyperlat } T$ is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker \psi(T)$ or $\text{ran } \xi(T)$, where ψ and ξ are scalar-valued inner functions.

For a bounded linear operator T on a complex Hilbert space H , $\text{Hyperlat } T$ denotes the lattice of all hyperinvariant subspaces for T , that is, the lattice of those subspaces which are invariant for all operators commuting with T . Recently, Fillmore, Herrero and Longstaff [1] showed that on a finite-dimensional space H , $\text{Hyperlat } T$ is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker p(T)$ or $\text{ran } q(T)$, where p and q are polynomials. In this note we generalize this to the following

THEOREM. *Let T be a contraction of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with finite defect indices acting on a separable Hilbert space. Then $\text{Hyperlat } T$ is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker \psi(T)$ or $\text{ran } \xi(T)$, where ψ and ξ are scalar-valued inner functions.*

Recall that a contraction T ($\|T\| \leq 1$) is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ if $T^{*n}x \rightarrow 0$ for all x . The *defect indices* of T are, by definition, $d_T = \text{rank}(1 - T^*T)^{1/2}$ and $d_{T^*} = \text{rank}(1 - TT^*)^{1/2}$. If T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then $d_T \leq d_{T^*}$. For operators T, T' acting on H, H' , respectively, $T \prec_{\text{ci}} T'$ means that there exists a family of operators $\{X_\alpha\}$ from H to H' such that (i) for each α , X_α is one-to-one, (ii) $\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha H = H'$, and (iii) for each α , $X_\alpha T = T' X_\alpha$. If $T \prec_{\text{ci}} T'$ and $T' \prec_{\text{ci}} T$, then T, T' are said to be *completely injection-similar*, and this is denoted by $T \sim_{\text{ci}} T'$. For contractions of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ and with $d_T = m < \infty$, $d_{T^*} = n < \infty$, there has been developed a *Jordan model* which is, in a certain sense, analogous to the Jordan model for finite matrices. More specifically, if T is such a contraction then it is completely injection-similar to a uniquely determined *Jordan operator* of the form

$$S(\varphi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(\varphi_k) \oplus S_{n-m},$$

Received by the editors July 19, 1977 and, in revised form, January 25, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B99; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. $C_{\cdot 0}$ contraction, hyperinvariant subspace.

¹This research was partially supported by the National Science Council of Taiwan, Republic of China.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

where φ_i 's are nonconstant inner functions satisfying $\varphi_{i-1}|\varphi_i$, $S(\varphi_i)$ denotes the operator on $H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2$ which is the compression of the multiplication by z to the space $H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and S_{n-m} denotes the unilateral shift operator on H_{n-m}^2 . For more details, the readers are referred to [3].

We first prove our theorem for the case when T is a Jordan operator.

LEMMA 1. *Let $T = S(\varphi_1) \oplus \dots \oplus S(\varphi_k)$ be a Jordan operator. Then Hyperlat T is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker \psi(T)$ or $\text{ran } \xi(T)$, where ψ and ξ are scalar-valued inner functions.*

PROOF. Let $K \in \text{Hyperlat } T$ and for $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $T_i = S(\varphi_i)$. Uchiyama [4] showed that K corresponds to a regular factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \varphi_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \xi_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \psi_k \end{bmatrix}$$

of the characteristic function

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \varphi_k \end{bmatrix}$$

of T , where ξ_i, ψ_i satisfy $\xi_{i-1}|\xi_i, \psi_{i-1}|\psi_i, i = 2, \dots, k$. Also

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^k \oplus (\xi_i H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2).$$

We claim that $K = \bigvee_{i=1}^k [\ker \psi_i(T) \cap \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T)}]$.

Since for each i , $\xi_i H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2 = \ker \psi_i(T_i) = \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T_i)}$, one inclusion is trivial. To prove the other, fix $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$, and let $x = \sum_{i=1}^k \oplus x_i$ be an element in $\ker \psi_j(T) \cap \overline{\text{ran } \xi_j(T)}$. Let $\{y_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \oplus y_{in}\}$ be a sequence of vectors such that $\xi_j(T)y_n \rightarrow x$ in norm. Thus for each i , we have $\xi_j(T)y_{in} \rightarrow x_i$. For $i \leq j$, $\xi_i|\xi_j$, and therefore there is an inner ρ_i such that $\xi_j = \xi_i \rho_i$. Hence $\xi_i(T)\rho_i(T)y_{in} = \xi_j(T)y_{in} \rightarrow x_i$, which implies $x_i \in \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T)} = \xi_i H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2$. On the other hand, for $j \leq i$, $\psi_j|\psi_i$, and therefore there is an inner ω_i such that $\psi_i = \omega_i \psi_j$. Hence $\psi_i(T)x_i = \omega_i(T)\psi_j(T)x_i = 0$, which implies $x_i \in \ker \psi_i(T) = \xi_i H^2 \ominus \varphi_i H^2$. It follows $x \in K$, completing the proof.

We remark that in the preceding proof we actually showed that

$$K = \ker \psi_1(T) \bigvee \left[\bigvee_{i=2}^{k-1} (\ker \psi_i(T) \cap \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T)}) \right] \bigvee \overline{\text{ran } \xi_k(T)},$$

since for $j = 1, k$, we only used the assumptions $x \in \ker \psi_1(T)$ and $x \in \overline{\text{ran } \xi_k(T)}$ to prove the assertion.

LEMMA 2. *Let $T = S(\varphi_1) \oplus \dots \oplus S(\varphi_k) \oplus S_{n-m}$ be a Jordan operator.*

Then Hyperlat T is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker \psi(T)$ or $\overline{\text{ran } \xi(T)}$, where ψ and ξ are scalar-valued inner functions.

PROOF. Let $S = S(\varphi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(\varphi_k)$ and $H = (H^2 \ominus \varphi_1 H^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (H^2 \ominus \varphi_k H^2)$. Uchiyama showed in [5] that the hyperinvariant subspaces of T must be of the form $K_1 \oplus K_2$, where $K_1 \subseteq H$, $K_2 \subseteq H_{n-m}^2$ are hyperinvariant for S , S_{n-m} , respectively, such that either $K_2 = 0$ or there exists an inner function φ such that $K_2 = \varphi H_{n-m}^2$ and $K_1 \supseteq \varphi(S)H$. Note that for any inner function φ , $\ker \varphi(S_{n-m}) = 0$ and $\overline{\text{ran } \varphi(S_{n-m})} = \varphi H_{n-m}^2$. Thus by Lemma 1 we can easily check that if $K_2 = 0$ then

$$K_1 \oplus K_2 = K_1 \oplus 0 = \bigvee_{i=1}^k [\ker \psi_i(T) \cap \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T)}],$$

otherwise

$$K_1 \oplus K_2 = \overline{\text{ran } \varphi(T)} \vee \left[\bigvee_{i=1}^k (\ker \psi_i(T) \cap \overline{\text{ran } \xi_i(T)}) \right].$$

This proves our assertion.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Let T be completely injection-similar to its Jordan model $T' = S(\varphi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(\varphi_k) \oplus S_{n-m}$, and suppose that T and T' are acting on the spaces H and H' , respectively. Note that the complete injection-similarity can be implemented by two suitably chosen operators $\{X_1, X_2\}$ from H to H' and two operators $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ from H' to H (cf. [2] and [3]). Uchiyama [5] showed that in this case the induced mappings $\alpha: K \rightarrow X_1 K \vee X_2 K$ and $\beta: K' \rightarrow Y_1 K' \vee Y_2 K'$ are (lattice) isomorphisms between Hyperlat T and Hyperlat T' , which are inverses to each other. Thus in view of Lemmas 1 and 2 to complete the proof we have only to show that (i) $\beta(\ker \psi(T')) = \ker \psi(T)$ and (ii) $\beta(\overline{\text{ran } \xi(T')}) = \overline{\text{ran } \xi(T)}$ hold for arbitrary ψ, ξ in H^∞ .

To prove (i), let $x = Y_1 y$, where $y \in \ker \psi(T')$. Since $\psi(T)x = \psi(T)Y_1 y = Y_1 \psi(T')y = 0$, we have $x \in \ker \psi(T)$. This shows that $Y_1 \ker \psi(T') \subseteq \ker \psi(T)$. Similarly, $Y_2 \ker \psi(T') \subseteq \ker \psi(T)$, and hence $\beta(\ker \psi(T')) \subseteq \ker \psi(T)$. In a similar fashion, we have $\alpha(\ker \psi(T)) \subseteq \ker \psi(T')$. Thus $\ker \psi(T) = \beta(\alpha(\ker \psi(T))) \subseteq \beta(\ker \psi(T'))$, which proves (i). (ii) can be proved analogously. This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

Fillmore, Herrero and Longstaff's result [1] follows as a corollary.

COROLLARY. Let T be a linear transformation on a finite-dimensional space H . Then Hyperlat T is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker p(T)$ or $\text{ran } q(T)$, where p and q are polynomials.

PROOF. For $0 < \alpha < 1/\|T\|$, $S \equiv \alpha T$ is a strict contraction, hence a contraction of class C_0 . The Theorem implies that Hyperlat $S = \text{Hyperlat } T$ is (lattice) generated by those subspaces which are either $\ker U$ or $\text{ran } V$, where U, V are operators in $\{S\}'' = \{T\}''$, the double commutants of S and T . Our assertion follows from the fact that $\{T\}''$ consists of polynomials in T .

REFERENCES

1. P. A. Fillmore, D. A. Herrero and W. E. Longstaff, *The hyperinvariant subspace lattice of a linear transformation*, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **17** (1977), 125–132.
2. E. A. Nordgren, *On quasi-equivalence of matrices over H^∞* , *Acta Sci. Math.* **34** (1973), 301–310.
3. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Jordan model for contractions of class $C_{\cdot 0}$* , *Acta Sci. Math.* **36** (1974), 305–322.
4. M. Uchiyama, *Hyperinvariant subspaces of operators of class $C_0(N)$* , *Acta Sci. Math.* **39** (1977), 179–184.
5. _____, *Hyperinvariant subspaces for contractions of class $C_{\cdot 0}$* , *Hokkaido Math. J.* **6** (1977), 260–272.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSINCHU, TAIWAN, REPUBLIC OF CHINA