This article was downloaded by: [National Chiao Tung University 國立交通大學] On: 24 April 2014, At: 23:03 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

International Journal of Computer Mathematics

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcom20>

Ring embedding in faulty generalized honeycomb torus – GHT(m, n, n/2)

Li-Yen Hsu $^{\rm a}$, Feng-I Ling $^{\rm a}$, Shin-Shin Kao $^{\rm b}$ & Hsun-Jung Cho $^{\rm c}$ ^a Department of Aviation Management, China University of Science and Technology, Taiwan, Republic of China

b Department of Applied Mathematics, Chung-Yuan Christian University , Taiwan, Republic of China

^c Department of Transportation Technology and Management, National Chiao Tung University , Taiwan, Republic of China Published online: 02 Dec 2010.

To cite this article: Li-Yen Hsu , Feng-I Ling , Shin-Shin Kao & Hsun-Jung Cho (2010) Ring embedding in faulty generalized honeycomb torus – GHT(m, n, n/2), International Journal of Computer Mathematics, 87:15, 3344-3358, DOI: [10.1080/00207160903315524](http://www.tandfonline.com/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1080/00207160903315524)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207160903315524>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at [http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)[and-conditions](http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions)

Ring embedding in faulty generalized honeycomb torus – GHT*(m, n, n/***2***)*

Li-Yen Hsu^{a*}, Feng-I Ling^a, Shin-Shin Kao^b and Hsun-Jung Cho^c

^aDepartment of Aviation Management, China University of Science and Technology, Taiwan, Republic of China; ^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Chung-Yuan Christian University, Taiwan, Republic of China; ^cDepartment of Transportation Technology and Management, National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan, Republic of China

(*Received 6 January 2009; revised version received 31 March 2009; second revision received 8 July 2009; accepted 6 September 2009*)

The honeycomb torus $HT(m)$ is an attractive architecture for distributed processing applications. For analysing its performance, a symmetric generalized honeycomb torus, $GHT(m, n, n/2)$, with $m \ge 2$ and even $n \geq 4$, where $m + n/2$ is even, which is a 3-regular, Hamiltonian bipartite graph, is operated as a platform for combinatorial studies. More specifically, GHT(*m, n, n/*2) includes GHT(*m,* 6*m,* 3*m)*, the isomorphism of the honeycomb torus HT(*m*). It has been proven that any GHT(*m, n, n/*2*)* − *e* is Hamiltonian for any edge $e \in E(GHT(m, n, n/2))$. Moreover, any $GHT(m, n, n/2) - F$ is Hamiltonian for any $F = \{u, v\}$ with $u \in B$ and $v \in W$, where *B* and *W* are the bipartition of $V(\text{GHT}(m, n, n/2))$ if and only if $n \ge 6$ or $m = 2, n \ge 4$.

Keywords: fault-tolerance; generalized honeycomb torus; graph embedding; Hamiltonian cycle; interconnection networks

2000 AMS Subject Classifications: 05C60; 68M10; 68R10; 68M15; 94C15

1. Introduction

Network topology is a crucial factor in interconnection networks, because it determines the performance of the network [8]. For example, the number of links connected to network nodes can be as small as possible [11], and the network configuration can still fit the geometry of potential applications [9]. In addition, fault-tolerance is desired for offering more systematic reliability.

Honeycomb torus, denoted by HT(*m*) for any integer $m \geq 1$, is recognized as an attractive, symmetric, alternative architecture to existing torus interconnection networks in parallel and distributed applications [2,6,7,11]. In 1997, Stojmenovic [11] proposed several variations of honeycomb tori. Cho and Hsu [4] then proved that all honeycomb torus networks can be characterized in a unified way, the *generalized honeycomb torus*, denoted by $GHT(m, n, s)$, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer, $n \ge 4$ is an even integer, *s* is an integer with $0 \le s < n$, and $m + s$ is even. HT(*m*),

ISSN 0020-7160 print*/*ISSN 1029-0265 online © 2010 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080*/*00207160903315524 http:*//*www.informaworld.com

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: liyenhsu@cc.chit.edu.tw

Figure 1. Developing configurations of generalized honeycomb tori. (a) Honeycomb torus HT(3) and its brick presentation [10], (b) presenting HT(3) as GHT*(*3*,* 18*,* 9*)* [4], (c) GHT*(*6*,* 8*,* 2*)*, and (d) GHT*(*6*,* 8*,* 4*)*.

 $m \ge 2$, is isomorphic to GHT(*m*, 6*m*, 3*m*) (Figures 1(a) and (b)). Two examples of GHT(*m*, *n*, *s*) are shown in Figures 1(c) and (d). Ring embedding is one of the most important subjects in interconnection networks [3,6,7,11,12]. Megson *et al*. studied fault-tolerant ring embedding in HT(*m*) with two adjacent faulty nodes [7]. In this paper, finding a fault-free ring in $GHT(m, n, n/2)$ is intended on the failure of any pair of bipartite nodes and the failure of any one edge. Obviously, $GHT(m, n, n/2)$ serves as a larger platform that contains $HT(m)$ as a subset, and this paper deals with more arbitrary faulty conditions than [7]. Moreover, GHT(*m*, *n*, *n*/2) can offer the property of symmetry, which can help GHT(*m, n, n/*2) be prototyped for applications. Many studies have been conducted recently on generalized honeycomb tori [9,10,13,14].

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we define some graphing terms that are used in the paper and give a formal definition of the generalized honeycomb torus. In Section 3, we present a recursive property of ring embedding in GHT*(m, n,* 2*/n)*. In Section 4, we discuss the ring-embedding properties of GHT $(m, n, n/2) - F$, where *F* consists of a pair of nodes from opposite sets of the partition of *V* (GHT(*m, n, n/*2)). In Section 5, we prove the ring-embedding property of HT $(m, n, n/2)$ – *e* for any $e \in E(\text{GHT}(m, n, n/2))$.

2. Preliminaries

Computer and communication networks are usually represented by graphs, where nodes represent processors and edges represent links between processors. In this paper, a network is represented

as an undirected graph. For graph definition and notation, we follow [1]. $G = (V, E)$ is a *graph*, with *V* being a finite set and *E* being a subset of $\{(u, v) | (u, v)$ is an unordered pair of *V* }. We say that *V* is the *node* set, and *E* is the *edge* set of *G*. Two nodes, *u* and *v*, are *adjacent* if $(u, v) \in E$. A *path* is a sequence of nodes such that two consecutive nodes are adjacent. A path is delimited by $\langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1} \rangle$. We use P^{-1} to denote the reverse path $\langle x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_2, x_1, x_0 \rangle$ if P is the path $\langle x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1} \rangle$. A path is called a *Hamiltonian path* if its nodes are distinct and span *V* . A *cycle* is a path of at least three nodes, such that the first node is the same as the last node. A cycle is called a *Hamiltonian cycle* if its nodes are distinct, except for the first node and the last node, and if they span *V* . A graph is called *Hamiltonian* if it has a Hamiltonian cycle. A graph *G* = (V, E) is 1-*edge Hamiltonian* if *G* − *e* is Hamiltonian for any $e \in E$. A Hamiltonian bipartite graph *G* is 1_p -*Hamiltonian* if $G - F$ remains Hamiltonian for any $F = \{u, v\}$ with $u \in B$ and $v \in W$, where *B* and *W* are the bipartition of *G* [3,5,7]. The following definition and notions can be found in [4]. For any two positive integers *r* and *d*, we use $[r]_d$ to denote *r* (mod *d*). Let *m*, *n*, and *s* be positive integers with $m \ge 2$, $n \ge 4$, and both *n* and $m + s$ are even. The *generalized honeycomb torus* GHT (m, n, s) is the graph with the node set $\{(i, j)|0 \le i < m, 0 \le j < n\}$, such that (i, j) and (k, l) with $i \leq k$ are adjacent if they satisfy one of the following conditions:

$$
(k,l) = (i, [j \pm 1]_n),
$$
\n(1)

$$
0 \le i \le m - 2, i + j \text{ is odd, and } (k, l) = (i + 1, j),
$$
 (2)

$$
i = 0, j
$$
 is even, and $(k, l) = (m - 1, [j + s]_n)$. (3)

From condition (1) above, *m* rings of *n* nodes can be inherently formed in GHT(*m, n, s*). The requirement that *n* be even is essential for establishing bipartite rings, and then the configuration regularly composed of rings can give ideas for applying ring embedding to the study of network performance related to scalability. Moreover, such a performance makes GHT(*m, n, s*) nodetransitive. We can set a node partition *W* as $\{(i, j) | (i, j) \in V(\text{GHT}(m, n, s))\}$, and $i + j$ is even} and another partition set *B* as $\{(i, j) | (i, j) \in V(\text{GHT}(m, n, s))\}$, and $i + j$ is odd. From condition (2), and on the ring at column i , there are edges extending towards the right (to column $i + 1$), where *j* is odd with *i* being even, or where *j* is even with *i* being odd. Based on condition (3), there are wrap-around edges connecting the first column (column 0) and the last column (column *m* − 1; yet, such wrap-around edges can be transitively assigned to connect any two adjacent columns); however, on establishing bipartite networks, $(m - 1) + (j + s)$ has to be odd, because $0+j$ is even. In other words, we can find that $m + s$ being even is a reasonable prerequirement.

All GHT(*m, n, s*) nodes can have exactly three adjacent nodes, which belong to another partition. Consequently, any generalized honeycomb torus is a 3-regular bipartite graph. We are interested in a special type of generalized honeycomb torus, GHT(*m, n, n/*2). We will prove that any GHT(*m, n, n/2*) is 1-*edge Hamiltonian* for $n > 4$. Moreover, GHT(*m, n, n/2*) is 1_{*p*}-*Hamiltonian* if and only if $n \ge 6$ or $m = 2$, $n \ge 4$. To discuss the 1_p -*Hamiltonian* property of GHT(*m, n, n*/2), let $F = \{u, v\}$ with $u \in B$ and $v \in W$. We may assume that $(0, 0) \in F$ because GHT(*m*, *n*, *n*/2) is node-transitive. For this reason, we use **F** to denote $\{F|\{(0,0), (x, y)\}|(x, y) \in$ *B*}. Hence, $F \in \mathbf{F}$. We use (x, y) to denote the unique element in $F - \{(0, 0)\}$. By assumption, *x* + *y* is odd. We define the vertically extensive path patterns *I_l*(*i, j*) and *I_l*⁻¹(*i, j*) for $0 \le l < m$ and $i \leq j$ as follows:

$$
I_l(i, j) = (l, i), (l, i + 1), (l, i + 2), \dots, (l, j - 1), (l, j),
$$

\n
$$
I_l^{-1}(i, j) = (l, j), (l, j - 1), (l, j - 2), \dots, (l, i + 1), (l, i).
$$

In Section 4, vertically recursive path patterns are similarly generalized as $Q_i^t(i, j)$, where Q is the name of the path pattern; *t* is the recursive time equal to $(i - i)/2$; and *i* and *j* are row indices of the starting and ending nodes of this path. The *Q* pattern is typically repeated every two rows, and *t* can be zero if $i = j$ and omitted if $t = 1$: i.e., $Q_l(i, i + 2)$. Moreover, for sketching required paths, some path patterns are specifically defined as S_k , where k is a sequentially assigned number.

3. A recursive property – extending the column dimension

In this section, assume that $0 \le i < m$, and $G = \text{GHT}(m, n, n/2)$. *S* denotes a subset of $V(G) \cup$ *E(G)*. Let $A_i = \{(i, j), (i + 1, j)\}$ $\{0 \le j \le n\}$ for $0 \le i \le m - 2$ and $A_{m-1} = \{(0, [j - 1, j])\}$ $n/2$ ^{*n*}, $(m-1, j)$) $|0 \le j < n$ ^{*z*}. We define a function, f_i , that maps *S* from *G* into GHT(*m* + 2, $n, n/2$) in the following way:

(1) If $(k, l) \in S \cap V(G)$, where $0 \le k \le m - 1$ and $0 \le l \le n - 1$, then

$$
f_i((k,l)) = \begin{cases} (k,l) & \text{if } k \leq i, \\ (k+2,l) & \text{if } k > i. \end{cases}
$$

(2) If $((k, l), (k', l')) \in S \cap E(G)$, where $k \leq k'$, then

$$
f_i((k, l), (k', l'))
$$

=
$$
\begin{cases} (f_i(k, l), f_i(k', l')) & \text{if } \{k, k'\} \neq \{i, [i + 1]_m\}, \\ ((i + 2, l), (i + 3, l)) & \text{if } \{k, k'\} = \{i, i + 1\} \text{ for } 0 \le i \le m - 2, \\ ((0, [l' - n/2]_n), (m + 1, l')) & \text{if } \{k, k'\} = \{0, m - 1\} \text{ for } i = m - 1. \end{cases}
$$

fi(S) is a one-to-one mapping on both nodes and edges. Mapping a Hamiltonian cycle from *G* into GHT($m + 2, n, n/2$) requires other operations to accommodate extra nodes and edges as a result of extension in the column dimension. Let *H* be a Hamiltonian cycle of $G - F'$. Although *F*' theoretically may be any subset of $V(G) \cup E(G)$, in this section, *F*' specifically denotes a set that includes one faulty edge or a set of a pair of faulty bipartite nodes. For example, a Hamiltonian cycle *H* of GHT(6, 8, 4) − {(0, 0), (5, 0)} is shown in Figure 2(a). If $H \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$, a Hamiltonian cycle $K_i(H)$ of GHT $(m + 2, n, n/2) - f_i(F')$ can be constructed as follows.

Step 1. Let (i, k_0) , (i, k_1) , ..., (i, k_{t-1}) be the nodes of *H* on column *i*. An example is given in Figure 2(a). If $i = 5$, then $t = 1$ and $k_0 = 2$. If $i = 1$, then $t = 3$ and $k_0 = 0$, $k_1 = 2$, $k_2 = 6$. Step 2. Let H be the image of H under f_i . For example, in the left half of Figure 2(b), the solid line shows $H = f_5(H)$; in the left half of Figure 2(c), the solid line shows $H = f_1(H)$. Step 3. For $0 \le j < t$, we set Z_j (see the dashed line in Figures 2(b) and (c)) as the path:

$$
(i, k_j), ([i + 1]_{m+2}, k_j), I_{[i+1]m+2}(k_j, [k_{[j+1]t} - 1]_n), ([i + 1]_{m+2}, [k_{[j+1]t} - 1]_n),
$$

$$
([i + 2]_{m+2}, [k_{[j+1]t} - 1]_n), I_{[i+2]m+2}^{-1}([k_{[j+1]t} - 1]_n, k_j), ([i + 2]_{m+2}, k_j).
$$

It is easy to see that $f_i(H)$ together with the edges of Z_j , with $0 \leq j \leq t$, forms a Hamiltonian cycle of GHT $(m + 2, n, n/2) - f_i(F')$ (see the right half of Figures 2(b) and (c)). We denote this cycle as $K_i(H)$. Then, we can have the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1 *Assume that* $0 \le i < m$ *. Let H be a Hamiltonian cycle of* $G - F'$ *, such that* $H \cap$ $A_i \neq \emptyset$ *. Then,* $K_i(H)$ *is a Hamiltonian cycle of* GHT($m+2, n, n/2$) – $f_i(F')$ *. Moreover,* $K_i(H)$ *contains some edges joining column t to column* $[t + 1]_{m+2}$ *for any t in* $\{i, [i + 1]_{m+2}, [i + 2]_{m+2}\}$ *.*

Figure 2. (a) A Hamiltonian cycle *H* in GHT(6, 8, 4) – { $(0, 0)$, (5, 0)}; (b) $K_5(H)$, a Hamiltonian cycle in GHT(8, 8, 4) – {(0, 0), (5, 0)}; and (c) $K_1(H)$, a Hamiltonian cycle in GHT(8, 8, 4) – {(0, 0), (7, 0)}.

LEMMA 3.2 (1) Suppose that H is a Hamiltonian cycle of $GHT(2, n, n/2) - F'$, such that *H contains some edges in* $\{(0, j), (1, j)\}\$ *j is odd}. Then* $K_0(H)$ *is a Hamiltonian cycle of* GHT(4, n, $n/2$) – $f_0(F')$. Moreover, $K_0(H)$ contains some edges joining column t to column $t + 1$ *for any t in* {0*,* 1*,* 2}*.* (2*) Suppose that H is a Hamiltonian cycle of* GHT $(2, n, n/2) - F'$, *such that H contains some edges in* $\{((0, j), (1, [j + n/2]_n)) | j \text{ is even}\}$. Then $K_1(H)$ is a Hamil*tonian cycle of* GHT*(*4*, n, n/*2*)* − *f*1*(F). Moreover, K*1*(H) contains some edges joining column t to column* $[t + 1]_4$ *for any t in* $\{1, 2, 3\}$ *.*

LEMMA 3.3 (1) Suppose that H is a Hamiltonian cycle of $GHT(3, n, n/2) - F'$, such that *H contains some edges in* $\{((0, j), (1, j)) | j \text{ is odd}\}$. Then $K_0(H)$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of GHT(5, $n, n/2$) – $f_0(F')$. Moreover, $K_0(H)$ contains some edges joining column t to column $t + 1$ *for any t in* {0*,* 1*,* 2}*.* (2*) Suppose that H is a Hamiltonian cycle of* GHT(3*, n, n/2)* − *F', such that H contains some edges in* $\{((1, j), (2, j)) | j$ *is even}. Then* $K_1(H)$ *is a Hamiltonian* $\mathit{cycle\ of\ GHT}(5,n,n/2) - f_1(F').$ Moreover, $K_1(H)$ contains some edges joining column t to col*umn* $t + 1$ *for any* t *in* {1, 2, 3}*.* (3) *Suppose that H is a Hamiltonian cycle of* GHT(3*, n, n/2)* − *F*^{*'*}, such that H contains some edges in $\{((0, j), (2, [j + n/2]_n)) | j \text{ is even}\}$. Then $K_2(H)$ is a Hamil*tonian cycle of* GHT*(*5*, n, n/*2*)* − *f*2*(F). Moreover, K*2*(H) contains some edges joining column t to column* $[t + 1]_5$ *for any t in* $\{2, 3, 4\}$ *.*

We say a Hamiltonian cycle *H* of GHT(2, *n*, $n/2$) − *F'* is *regular* if *H* contains some edges in $\{(0, j), (1, j)\}\$ *j* is odd} and some edges in $\{(0, j), (1, [j + n/2]_n)\}\$ *j* is even}. In general, a Hamiltonian cycle *H* of *G* − *F'* is *regular* if $H \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $m \geq 2$. Then the following lemma is derived from Lemmas 3.1–3.3.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that H is a regular Hamiltonian cycle for G* − *F . Then Ki(H) is a regular Hamiltonian cycle of* $GHT(m + 2, n, n/2) - f_i(F')$ *for every* $0 \le i < m$ *.*

4. The 1_p -Hamiltonian property of GHT $(m, n, n/2)$

Throughout this section, let $k = n/2$. We first prove that GHT(*m, n, k*) is 1_p -*Hamiltonian* for $m =$ 2*,* 3*,* 4, and then prove the 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* property of general *m* using mathematical induction.

THEOREM 4.1 *Let* $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ *.* GHT $(2, n, k)$ *is* 1_p *-Hamiltonian for* $n \geq 4$ *.*

Proof Let $G = \text{GHT}(2, n, k)$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}(\text{GHT}(2, n, k))$. Using the symmetry of *G*, it suffices to show that *G* − *F* is Hamiltonian, where $F = \{(0, 0), (x, y) | x \in \{0, 1\}, 0 \le y \le k; x + y$ is odd}. We define some path patterns as follows.

The corresponding Hamiltonian cycles in $G - F$ are constructed below in Cases 1–3.

Case 1 $n = 4$.

Figure 3. Basic cases for proving GHT(*m, n, n/*2) 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* and 1-*edge Hamiltonian*.

Case 2 $n \ge 8$. $x = 0$, *y* is odd.

Case 3 $n \ge 8$, $x = 1$, *y* is even.

Obviously, these Hamiltonian cycles above in *G* − *F* are regular except in the cases marked with an asterisk (*). More precisely, for $n \geq 4$ and for any $F \in \mathbf{F}(\text{GHT}(2, n, k), \text{GHT}(2, n, k) - F)$ has a regular Hamiltonian cycle except for GHT(2,4,2)–{(0,0),(1,0)}, GHT(2,4,2)–{(0,0),(1,2)}, and GHT $(2,8,4)$ –{ $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$ }. \blacksquare THEOREM 4.2 *Let* $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ *.* GHT $(3, n, k)$ *is* 1_p *-Hamiltonian for* $n \ge 6$ *. Moreover, there is a regular Hamiltonian cycle in* GHT(3*, n, k)* − *F for any* $F ∈ F(GHT(3, n, k))$ *.*

Proof Let $G = \text{GHT}(3, n, k)$ and $F \in \mathbf{F}(\text{GHT}(3, n, k))$. Using the symmetry of *G*, it suffices to show that *G* − *F* is Hamiltonian, where $F = \{(0, 0), (x, y) | x \in \{0, 1\}, 0 \le y \le k; x + y$ is odd}. We define some path patterns as follows.

$$
S_7 = (2, y), (2, y + 1), (1, y + 1), (1, y), (1, y - 1), (2, y - 1), (2, y - 2),
$$

\n
$$
(0, y - 2 + k), (0, y - 1 + k), (1, y - 1 + k), (1, y - 2 + k),
$$

\n
$$
(2, y - 2 + k), (2, y - 1 + k), (0, y - 1),
$$

\n
$$
S_8 = (0, 4), (0, 3), (1, 3), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 1), (2, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (0, 2),
$$

\n
$$
(2, k + 2), I_2^{-1}(k + 2, k - 2), (2, k - 2), (0, n - 2), (0, n - 1), (1, n - 1),
$$

\n
$$
(1, n - 2), (2, n - 2), (2, n - 1), (0, k - 1), I_0(k - 1, k + 2), (0, k + 2),
$$

\n
$$
(1, k + 2), I_1^{-1}(k + 2, k - 2), (1, k - 2), (0, k - 2), (0, k - 3), (2, n - 3),
$$

\n
$$
(2, n - 4), (1, n - 4), (1, n - 3), (0, n - 3), (0, n - 4), (2, k - 4),
$$

\n
$$
S_9 = (2, 1), (0, k + 1), (0, k + 2), (1, k + 2), I_1^{-1}(k + 2, k), (1, k), (0, k), I_0^{-1}
$$

\n
$$
(k, k - 2), (0, k - 2), (1, k - 2), (1, k - 1), (2, k - 1),
$$

\n
$$
I_2(k - 1, k + 1), (2, k + 1),
$$

\n
$$
S_{10} = (0, n - 2), (0, n - 1), (1, n - 1), (1, n - 2), (2, n - 2), (2, n - 1), (2, 0),
$$

\n
$$
(1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (0, 2), (2, k + 2), (2,
$$

$$
(2, k+i+1), (0, i+1), (0, i+2), (1, i+2), (1, i+3),
$$

 $(2, i + 3), (2, i + 2).$

Case 2 $x = 1$, *y* is even.

It is easy to see that these Hamiltonian cycles above of $G - F$ are regular. $■$

We would like to apply Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.2 to prove that $GHT(4, n, k)$ is 1_p -*Hamiltonian* for $n \geq 8$ and that a regular Hamiltonian cycle exists when GHT(4*, n, k)* contains a pair of faulty nodes. However, with Theorem 4.1, no regular Hamiltonian cycle exists in GHT*(*2*,* 8*,* 4*)*−{*(*0*,* 0*), (*1*,* 0*)*}. Thus, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.3 *Let* $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ *and* $n \ge 8$ *.* GHT(4*, n, k)* − *F has a regular Hamiltonian cycle when F* ∈ {{*(*0*,* 0*), (*1*,* 0*)*}*,*{*(*0*,* 0*), (*3*,* 0*)*}}*.*

Proof Let $F = \{(0, 0), (x, 0)\}\)x = 1, 3\}$. The corresponding regular Hamiltonian cycles are listed in the following table.

\mathcal{X}	the Hamiltonian cycle C in $G - F$
$x = 1 (n \ge 8)$	$(0, 1), I_0(1, k - 1), (0, k - 1), (1, k - 1), I_1(k - 1, n - 3), (1, n - 3),$
	$(0, n-3), I_0^{-1}(n-3, k), (0, k), (3, 0), I_3(0, k-3), (3, k-3), (2, k-3),$
	$I_2^{-1}(k-3,0)$, (2, 0), (2, n - 1), (3, n - 1), $I_3^{-1}(n-1, k-2)$, (3, k - 2),
	$(0, n-2), (0, n-1), (1, n-1), (1, n-2), (2, n-2), I_2^{-1}(n-2, k-2),$
	$(2, k - 2), (1, k - 2), I_1^{-1}(k - 2, 1), (1, 1), (0, 1)$ (Figure 3(2))
$x = 3 (n = 8)$	$(0, 1), I_0(1, 5), (0, 5), (1, 5), I_1^{-1}(5, 2), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2),$
	$(0, 6), (0, 7), (1, 7), (1, 6), (2, 6), I_2^{-1}(6, 3), (2, 3), (3, 3), I_3(3, 7), (3, 7),$
	$(2, 7), (2, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1)$ (Figure 3(w))
$x = 3 (n \ge 12)$	$(0, 1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (0, 3), I0(3, k + 1), (0, k + 1), (1, k + 1),$
	$I_1^{-1}(k + 1, 4)$, (1, 4), (2, 4), $I_2(4, k + 2)$, (2, k + 2), (1, k + 2),
	$I_1(k+2, n-2), (1, n-2), (2, n-2), I_2^{-1}(n-2, k+3), (2, k+3),$
	$(3, k + 3), I_3(k + 3, n - 1), (3, n - 1), (2, n - 1), (2, 0), (1, 0), (1, n - 1),$
	$(0, n-1), I_0^{-1}(n-1, k+2), (0, k+2), (3, 2), (3, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2),$
	$(2, 3), (3, 3), I_3(3, k + 2), (3, k + 2), (0, 2), (0, 1)$ (Figure 3(3))

THEOREM 4.4 *Let* $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. GHT $(4, n, k)$ *is* 1_p*-Hamiltonian for* $n \ge 8$ *. Moreover, there is a regular Hamiltonian cycle in GHT* $(4, n, k) - F$ *for any* $F \in F(GHT(4, n, k))$ *.*

-

Proof Let *G* = GHT(4*, n, k*). With Theorem 4.1 and Lemmas 3.2 and 4.3, *G* − {(0*,* 0*)*, (x, y) } is Hamiltonian, and the corresponding Hamiltonian cycles are regular when $x \in \{0, 1, 3\}$. Thus, using the symmetry of *G*, we need to show only that $G - F$ is Hamiltonian, where $F = \{(0, 0), (2, y) | 0 \le y \le k$; *y* is odd}. We will now define some path patterns.

$$
S_{11} = (0, 1), (1, 1), (1, 0), (2, 0), (2, n - 1), (3, n - 1), (3, 0), (0, k), I_0(k, k + 3),(0, k + 3), (1, k + 3), I_1^{-1}(k + 3), (1, k), (2, k), I_2(k, k + 4), (2, k + 4),(1, k + 4), (1, k + 5), (0, k + 5), (0, k + 4), (3, 4),S_{12} = (3, k), I_3(k, k + 4), (3, k + 4), (0, 4), (0, 5), (1, 5), (1, 4), (2, 4), (2, 3), (3, 3),(3, 2), (3, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2), (1, 2), (1, 3), (0, 3), (0, 2), (0, 1),L_3(i, i + 2) = (3, i), (3, i + 1), (2, i + 1), (2, i + 2), (1, i + 2), (1, i + 3), (0, i + 3),(0, i + 2), (3, k + i + 2), (3, k + i + 1), (2, k + i + 1), (2, k + i + 2),(1, k + i + 2), (1, k + i + 3), (0, k + i + 3), (0, k + i + 2), (3, i + 2),K_3(i, i + 2) = (3, i), (0, k + i), (0, k + i + 1), (1, k + i + 1), (1, k + i), (2, k + i),(2, k + i - 1), (3, k + i - 1), (3, k + i), (0, i), (0, i + 1), (1, i + 1), (1, i),(2, i), (2, i + 1), (3, i + 1), (3, i + 2).
$$

It is obvious that the Hamiltonian cycles of $G - F$ shown above are regular.

In general, after establishing the start regularity pattern with 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* property, extending the 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* property for a larger *m* can be proven by the available condition; i.e., the regularity (for recursively embedding a pair of rings between and before*/*after the pair of faulty nodes). Theorem 4.1 has proven the related performance for $m = 2$, and $n \ge 4$. However, some patterns listed in this theorem are not regular and cannot be used (for *n* = 4) or used directly for proving 1_p -*Hamiltonian* when $m > 2$; therefore, Lemma 4.3 has to be discussed.

Theorem 4.2 proves the related performance for $m = 3$, $n \ge 6$, and all patterns shown in this theorem are regular. Therefore, extending the performance for *m >* 3 being odd can be proven through recursive ring embedding that is started from $m = 3$. Moreover, an extension of such 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* proof for *m >* 2 being even needs to consider the regularity pattern for a pair of faulty nodes separated by odd columns; hence, Theorem 4.4 has been proven.

THEOREM 4.5 GHT (m, n, k) *is* 1_p *-Hamiltonian if and only if either* $n \ge 6$ *or* $m = 2$, $n \ge 4$ *.*

Proof By Theorem 4.1, GHT*(*2*,* 4*,* 2*)* is 1*p*-*Hamiltonian*; we first prove that GHT(*m*,4,2) is not 1_p -*Hamiltonian* for any $m \neq 2$. If *m* is odd, by definition, GHT(*m*,4,2) cannot exist because *m*+2 cannot be even. Hence, we show only that GHT(*m*,4,2) is not 1*p*-*Hamiltonian* for any *m* ≥ 4,*m* being even. Suppose that $F = \{(0, 0), (1, 2)\}$. Obviously, deg_{GHT(*m*,4*,*2*)*−*F*(*v*) = 2 where} *v* ∈ {*(*0*,* 1*), (*0*,* 3*), (*1*,* 1*), (*1*,* 3*)*}. Therefore, any Hamiltonian cycle of GHT*(m,* 4*,* 2*)* − *F* must include the following edge set: {*((*0*,* 1*), (*0*,* 2*)), ((*0*,* 2*), (*0*,* 3*)), ((*0*,* 3*), (*1*,* 3*)), ((*1*,* 3*), (*1*,* 0*))*, $((1, 0), (1, 1)), ((1, 1), (0, 1))\}$; however, this edge set induces a cycle of length 6. Thus, GHT(*m*, 4, 2) is not 1_p -*Hamiltonian* if $m \neq 2$.

Then we prove that GHT(m, n, k), $n \ge 6$, is 1_p -*Hamiltonian* by induction with m . By Theorem 4.1, 4.2, and 4.4 and Lemma 4.3, we know that GHT(*m*, *n*, *k*) is 1_p -*Hamiltonian* for $n \ge 6$, $m =$ 2, 3, 4. Using node-transitiveness, we let $F \in \mathbf{F}(\text{GHT}(m, n, k))$ and (x, y) be the only element in $F - \{(0, 0)\}\)$. Let *m'* be an integer with the same parity (even or odd) of *m* and $3 \le m' < m$. Now we consider the case where $m \geq 5$. Assume that GHT(m' , n , k) is 1 _p-*Hamiltonian* and a regular Hamiltonian cycle exists in GHT $(m', n, k) - F$. Suppose that $x < m - 2$. By induction, a regular Hamiltonian cycle *H* of GHT $(m-2, n, k) - F$ exists. By Lemma 3.4, $K_{m'-1}(H)$ is a regular Hamiltonian cycle of GHT $(m, n, k) - F$. Suppose that $x \ge m - 2$. By induction, there exists a regular Hamiltonian cycle *H* of GHT $(m - 2, n, k) - \{(0, 0), (x - 2, y)\}$. By Lemma 3.4, $K_0(H)$ is a regular Hamiltonian cycle of GHT $(m, n, k) - F$. Hence, the theorem holds for $n \ge 6$. The theorem is proven.

5. The 1-edge Hamiltonian property of GHT(*m, n, n/***2)**

Throughout this section, let $k = n/2$. We first prove that GHT(*m*, *n*, *k*) is 1-*edge Hamiltonian* for $m \in \{2, 3\}$ and then prove the 1-*edge Hamiltonian* property of general *m* using mathematical induction.

THEOREM 5.1 *Let* $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. GHT $(2, n, k)$ *is* 1*-edge Hamiltonian for* $n \ge 4$ *. Moreover, there is a regular Hamiltonian cycle in* $GHT(2, n, k) - e$ *for any* $e \in E(GHT(2, n, k))$ *.*

Proof Let $G = GHT(2, n, k)$. Using the symmetry of *G*, we need to show only that *G* − *e* has a regular Hamiltonian cycle for $e \in \{((0,0), (0,1)), ((0,0), (0, n-1)), ((0,1),$ *(*1*,* 1*)), ((*0*,* 0*), (*1*, k))*}. There are two cases.

Case 1 $n = 4$. The corresponding Hamiltonian cycles are as follows:

Case 2 $n \geq 8$. The corresponding Hamiltonian cycles are as follows:

Obviously, the Hamiltonian cycles above are regular. The theorem is proven. -

THEOREM 5.2 Let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. GHT $(3, n, k)$ is 1-edge Hamiltonian for $n \geq 4$. Moreover, there *is a regular Hamiltonian cycle in* $GHT(3, n, k) - e$ *for any* $e \in E(GHT(3, n, k))$ *.*

Proof Let $G = GHT(3, n, k)$. Using the symmetry of *G*, we need to show only that $G - e$ has a regular Hamiltonian cycle for $e \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0), (0, n-1), (0, 1), (1, 1), (0, 0),$

 $(2, k)$ }. The corresponding Hamiltonian cycles are as follows:

ϵ	the Hamiltonian cycle C in $G - e$
((0, 0), (0, 1))	$(0, 0), (0, n-1), I_0^{-1}(n-1, k), (0, k), (1, k), I_1^{-1}(k, 1), (1, 1), (0, 1),$
	$I_0(1, k-1), (0, k-1), (2, n-1), I_2^{-1}(n-1, k+1), (2, k+1),$
	$(1, k + 1), I_1(k + 1, n - 1), (1, n - 1), (1, 0), (2, 0), I_2(0, k), (2, k),$
	$(0, 0)$ (Figure 3(4))
$((0, 0), (0, n - 1))$	$(0, 0), I_0(0, k-1), (0, k-1), (2, n-1), I_2^{-1}(n-1, k+1), (2, k+1),$
or $((0, 1), (1, 1))$	$(1, k + 1), I_1(k + 1, n - 1), (1, n - 1), (0, n - 1), I_0^{-1}(n - 1, k), (0, k),$
	$(1, k), I_1^{-1}(k, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), I_2(0, k), (2, k), (0, 0)$ (Figure 3(5))
((0, 0), (1, k))	$(0, 0), (0, n-1), I_0^{-1}(n-1, k+1), (0, k+1), (2, 1), (2, 0), (2, n-1),$
	$I_2^{-1}(n-1, k+2)$, $(2, k+2)$, $(0, 2)$, $I_0(2, k)$, $(0, k)$, $(1, k)$, $I_1^{-1}(k, 2)$,
	$(1, 2), (2, 2), I2(2, k + 1), (2, k + 1), (1, k + 1), I1(k + 1, n - 1),$
	$(1, n-1)$, $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, $(0, 1)$, $(0, 0)$ (Figure 3(6))

It is obvious that the Hamiltonian cycles of *G* − *F* shown above are regular. The theorem is proven.

In general, after establishing the start regularity pattern with 1-*edge Hamiltonian* property, extension of the 1-*edge Hamiltonian* property for a larger *m* can be proven by the available condition, i.e., the regularity (for recursively embedding a pair of rings before*/*after the faulty edges). Theorem 5.1 has proven 1-*edge Hamiltonian* performance for *m* = 2, and *n* ≥ 4, and all patterns shown in this theorem are regular. Theorem 5.2 has proven the related performance for $m = 3$, $n \ge 6$ (the smallest *n* can only be 6 with *m* being odd because $m + k$ should be even), and all patterns shown in this theorem are regular.

THEOREM 5.3 GHT (m, n, k) *is* 1*-edge Hamiltonian for all* $n > 4$ *.*

Proof With Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we know that GHT*(m, n, k)* is 1-*edge Hamiltonian* and that a regular Hamiltonian cycle exists in GHT $(m, n, k) - e$, where $e \in E(\text{GHT}(m, n, k))$, for $m \in$ $\{2, 3\}$. Recursively using Lemma 3.4, GHT (m, n, k) is 1-*edge Hamiltonian* for any $n \geq 4$.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their thorough review of the paper and for their concrete, helpful suggestions. This work was partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under the contract NSC 93-2211-E-157-003.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, North-Holland, New York, 1980.
- [2] J. Carle, J.-F. Myoupo, and D. Seme, *All-to-all broadcasting algorithms on honeycomb networks and applications*, Parallel Process. Lett. 9 (1999), 539–550.
- [3] H.-J. Cho and L.-Y. Hsu, *Ring embedding in faulty honeycomb rectangular torus*, Inform. Process. Lett. 84 (2002), 277–284.
- [4] H.-J. Cho and L.-Y. Hsu, *Generalized honeycomb torus*, Inform. Process. Lett. 86 (2003), 85–190.
- [5] S.-S. Kao and L.-H. Hsu, *Brother trees: a family of optimal* 1*p*-*Hamiltonian and* 1-*edge Hamiltonian graph*, Inform. Process. Lett. 86 (2003), 265–269.
- [6] G.M. Megson, X. Yang, and X. Liu, *Honeycomb tori are Hamiltonian*, Inform. Process. Lett. 72 (1999), 99–103.
- [7] G.M. Megson, X. Liu, and X.Yang, *Fault-tolerant ring embedding in a honeycomb torus with nodes failures*, Parallel Process. Lett. 9 (1999), 551–561.

3358 *L.-Y. Hsu* et al.

- [8] B. Parhami, *An Introduction to Parallel Processing: Algorithms and Architectures*, Plenum Press, New York, 1999.
- [9] B. Parhami and D.M. Kwai, *A unified formulation of honeycomb and diamond networks*, IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 12 (2001), 74–80.
- [10] Y.-K. Shih, Y.-C. Wu, S.-S. Kao, and J.J.-M. Tan, *Vertex-bipancyclicity of the generalized honeycomb tori*, Comput. Math. Appl. 56 (2008), 2848–2860.
- [11] I. Stojmenovic, *Honeycomb networks: Topological properties and communication algorithms*, IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst. 8 (1997), 1036–1042.
- [12] J. Xu, *Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Network*, Kluwer, Boston, 2001, pp. 18–22.
- [13] X.Yang, D.J. Evans, H. Lai, and G.M. Megson, *Generalized honeycomb torus is Hamiltonian*, Inform. Process. Lett. 92 (2004), 31–37.
- [14] X. Yang, G.M. Megson, Y. Tang, and D.J. Evans, *Diameter of parallelogramic honeycomb torus*, Comput. Math. Appl. 50 (2005), 1477–1486.