Contents

Chinese Abstract i

English Abstract iii

Acknowledgements v

Contents vi

List of Figures viii

1. Introduction 1

1.1 Problem Statement and Motivation…… 1

1.2 Outline of Thesis……..... 7

2. The Approximate LCF/MUF Maximal Matching Algorithm 10

2.1 Introduction…… 10

2.2 The Least Cushion First / Most Urgent First (LCF/MUF) Algorithm…… 11

2.3 The Approximate LCF/MUF Algorithm…… 14

2.3.1 Single-iteration Matching…… 15

2.3.2 Multiple-iteration Matching…… 16

2.4 Input Traffic Models…….. 17

2.4.1 Uniform and Non-uniform Input Traffic…… 17

2.4.2 Correlated Input Traffic…….. 18

2.5 Numerical Results…… 19

2.5.1 Performance under Uniform Traffic…… 20

2.5.2 Performance under Non-uniform Traffic…… 21

2.5.3 Performance under Correlated Traffic…… 21

2.5.4 Effects of Multiple-iteration Matching…… 22

2.5.5 Effects of Speed-up Factors…… 22

2.6 CIOQ switches with finite input and output buffers……..... 22

2.6.1 Results of Uniform Input Traffic…… 24

2.6.2 Results of Correlated Input Traffic 24
2.6.3 Effect of Finite Input Buffer..... 25
2.7 Summary 25

3. Packet-Based LCF/MUF Matching Algorithm 48
3.1 Introduction..... 48
3.2 The Packet-based LCF/MUF Matching Algorithm..... 49
3.2.1 Single-iteration Matching..... 50
3.3.2 Multiple-iteration Matching 52
3.3 Input Traffic Model..... 52
3.4 Numerical Results..... 53
3.5 Summary..... 55

4. Output Initiated Fair Scheduling Algorithm 62
4.1 Introduction..... 62
4.2 Output Initiated Parallel Matching (OIPM) Algorithm..... 64
4.3 Numerical Results..... 65
4.4 Summary..... 67

5. Conclusions 74

Bibliography 76

Vita 81

Publication List 82

List of Figures

1.1 The architecture of a generic switch……..... 9

2.1 Examples of the WRR service algorithm with $K = 3$, $w_1 = 4$, $w_2 = 3$, and $w_3 = 1$…….…… 27
2.2 The CIOQ switch using the proposed approximate LCF/MUF matching algorithm and serving cells at output ports with the WRR scheme…….. 28
2.3 Examples of cushion calculation for the WRR scheme…… 29
2.4 The 2-states Markov chain process……... 30
2.5 Performances of a 16×16 CIOQ switch under uniform traffic. The curves show the values of $P_{d=0}$ and $P_{d<=2}$ for all the three priority traffic ($w_1 = 4$, $w_2 = 3$, and $w_3 = 1$)…… 31
2.6 Performances of the first priority traffic as a function of offered load for various switch sizes…… 32
2.7 Performances of a 16×16 CIOQ switch adopted the proposed LCF/MUF algorithm. The curves show the values of $P_{d=0}$ of the first priority traffic for different T_w values non-uniform traffic for various traffic…….. 33
2.8 Performances of a 16×16 CIOQ switch adopted the FIRM algorithm. The curves show the values of $P_{d=0}$ of the first priority traffic for different T_w values non-uniform traffic for various traffic loads…….…… 34
2.9 Performances of a 16×16 CIOQ switch with ℓ = 16. The curves are shown for all the three priority traffic ($w_1 = 4$, $w_2 = 3$, and $w_3 = 1$)…… 35
2.10 Performances of the first priority traffic for various switch sizes with ℓ = 16 cells…….…… 36
2.11 Performance of a 16×16 CIOQ switch under correlated traffic. The curves show the performances of the first priority traffic as a function of offered load for various mean burst length ℓ = 8, 16, 32, and 64 cells……. 37
2.12 Performance of a 16×16 CIOQ switch under correlated traffic with mean burst length ℓ = 16. The curves show the performances of the first priority traffic under single-iteration, 3-iteration, and 5-iteration matching..... 38
2.13 Performance of a 16×16 CIOQ switch under correlated traffic with mean burst length ℓ = 16. The curves show the performances of the first priority traffic under single-iteration, 3-iteration, and 5-iteration matching for various speedup factors (S = 1, 2, 3)……..... 39
2.14 Performance of the 16×16 CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and B^o = 3, 5, 7, 9,

and 11 cells. The curve is shown for the highest priority cells only……. 40
2.15 Performance of the 16×16 CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and $B^o = 9$ cells. The curves show the performance of values of the $P_{d=0}$ and $P_{d<=2}$ for all the four priority cells……... 41
2.16 Performance of the CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and $B^o = 9$ cells. The curve shows the performance of the highest priority cells as a function of the switch size N=4, 8, 16, and 32…….. 42
2.17 Performance of the 16×16 CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and $B^o = 5\ell$, 7ℓ, 9ℓ, 11ℓ and 13ℓ cells (ℓ=16). The curve is shown for the highest priority cells only…….. 43
2.18 Performance of the CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and $B^o = 11\ell$ cells (ℓ=16). The curve shows the performance of the highest priority cells as a function of the switch size N=4, 8, 16, and 32……. 44
2.19 Performance of the 16×16 CIOQ switch with $B^i = \infty$ and $B^o = 11\ell$ cells. The curve shows the performance of the highest priority cells as a function of the mean burst length ℓ = 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 cells…… 45
2.20 P_{loss} of a 16×16 CIOQ switch with B^i=2, 3, 5, 7, and 9 cells, and B^o=9 cells under uniform input traffic……. 46
2.21 P_{loss} of a 16×16 CIOQ switch with B^i=3ℓ, 5ℓ, 7ℓ, 9ℓ, and 11ℓ, and B^o=11ℓ cells (ℓ=16 cells) under correlated input traffic…… 47

3.1 Example of maintaining packet order in a *2×2* CIOQ switch……..…..... 56
3.2 Cumulative distribution function for active-period traffic of a 2-MMBP input traffic model……..…… 57
3.3 Performance comparison of the proposed PB-LCF/MUF algorithm and the FIRM algorithm for a *32×32* CIOQ switch……..……. 58
3.4 Performance of the class 1 traffic under multiple-iteration matching procedure with packet re-ordering at output ports…… 59
3.5 Performance of the class 1 traffic as a function of offered load for various speed-up factors……..…… 60
3.6 Performance of a *64×64* CIOQ switch for various speedup factors……..…… 61

4.1 Example of starvation when queues are placed at input ports…….…….. 69
4.2 The architecture of a 2x2 input buffered switch using OIPM algorithm…… 70
4.3 Weighted fairness of the proposed OIPM and the DRRM algorithm when all output ports have identical weights……. 71
4.4 Weighted fairness of the proposed OIPM algorithm when different output ports have different weights……..…….. 72
4.5 Weighted fairness of the proposed OIPM algorithm when the switch runs with a speedup factor of 2……..……. 73