# Embedding paths of variable lengths into hypercubes with conditional link-faults 

Tz-Liang Kueng ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Cheng-Kuan Lin ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Tyne Liang ${ }^{\text {b,* }}$, Jimmy J.M. Tan ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Lih-Hsing Hsu ${ }^{\text {c, }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, 500 Lioufeng Rd., Taichung, Taiwan 41354, ROC<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Computer Science, National Chiao Tung University, 1001 University Rd., Hsinchu, Taiwan 30050, ROC<br>${ }^{\text {c }}$ Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Providence University, 200 Chung Chi Rd., Taichung, Taiwan 43301, ROC

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 10 September 2007
Received in revised form 18 September 2008
Accepted 26 June 2009
Available online 2 July 2009

## Keywords:

Interconnection network
Hypercube
Fault tolerance
Conditional fault
Linear array
Path embedding


#### Abstract

Faults in a network may take various forms such as hardware failures while a node or a link stops functioning, software errors, or even missing of transmitted packets. In this paper, we study the link-fault-tolerant capability of an $n$-dimensional hypercube ( $n$-cube for short) with respect to path embedding of variable lengths in the range from the shortest to the longest. Let $F$ be a set consisting of faulty links in a wounded $n$-cube $Q_{n}$, in which every node is still incident to at least two fault-free links. Then we show that $Q_{n}-F$ has a path of any odd (resp. even) length in the range from the distance to $2^{n}-1$ (resp. $2^{n}-2$ ) between two arbitrary nodes even if $|F|=2 n-5$. In order to tackle this problem, we also investigate the fault diameter of an $n$-cube with hybrid node and link faults.
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## 1. Introduction

In many parallel computer systems, processors are connected on the basis of interconnection networks. Such networks usually have a regular degree, i.e., every node is incident to the same number of links. Popular instances of interconnection networks include hypercubes, star graphs, meshes, bubble-sort networks, etc.

The hypercube is one of the most versatile interconnection networks yet discovered for parallel computation. It can efficiently simulate many other networks of various sizes [14]. Because nodes and/or links in a network may fail accidentally, it is demanded to consider fault tolerance of a network. Hence, the issue of faulty hypercubes has been widely addressed in researches [2,4,11,16,20-24]. For example, Latifi et al. [11] proved that an $n$-dimensional hypercube ( $n$-cube for short) has a hamiltonian cycle even if it has $n-2$ faulty links. Furthermore, Li et al. [16] showed that an $n$-cube is bipancyclic even if it has up to $n-2$ faulty links; Tsai et al. [20] showed that a faulty n-cube is both hamiltonian laceable and strongly hamiltonian laceable if it has $n-2$ faulty links. Recently, Xu et al. [24] showed that an $n$-cube, with $n-2$ faulty links, contains a path of length $l$ between any two nodes of distance $d^{*}$ for each integer $l$ satisfying $d^{*} \leqslant l \leqslant 2^{n}-1$ and $2 \mid\left(l-d^{*}\right)$, where expression $2 \mid\left(l-d^{*}\right)$ means that $l-d^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Moreover, Fu [4] proved that a fault-free path of length at least $2^{n}-2 f-1$ (or $2^{n}-2 f-2$ ) can be embedded to join two arbitrary nodes of odd (or even) distance in an $n$-cube with $f \leqslant n-2$ faulty nodes.

Since linear array and rings are two of the most fundamental structures for parallel and distributed computation, a variety of efficient algorithms were developed on these two topologies [14]. In particular, embedding of linear array and rings in a

[^0]faulty interconnection network is of great significance. For example, path embedding in a faulty $n$-cube was addressed in [16,20,24]. However, one should notice that each component of a network may have different reliability. Thus, the probability that all faulty components would be close to one another seems low. With this observation, Harary [7] first introduced the concept of conditional connectivity. Later, Latifi et al. [13] defined the conditional node-faults, which require each node of a network to have at least $g$ fault-free neighbors. It is intuitive to extend this concept by defining conditional link-faults, which require that every node will be incident to at least $g$ fault-free links. In this paper, we only concern $g=2$. For convenience, we say a network is conditionally faulty if and only if every node is incident to at least two fault-free links. Under this assumption, Chan and Lee [2] discussed the existence of hamiltonian cycles in an $n$-cube with $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults. In addition, Tsai [21] showed that an injured $n$-cube contains a fault-free cycle of every even length from 4 to $2^{n}$ inclusive even if it has up to $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults. It was also proved in [21] that an $n$-cube with $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults is hamiltonian laceable and strongly hamiltonian laceable.

As Shih et al. [18] showed, any fault-free link of a faulty $n$-cube lies on a cycle of even length in the range from 6 to $2^{n}$ when up to $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults may occur. In other words, there exists a path of odd length from 1 to $2^{n}-1$, excluding 3, between any two adjacent nodes in a faulty $n$-cube with $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults. In this paper, we are curious whether paths of variable lengths still can be constructed to join two arbitrary nodes of distance greater than one. More precisely, we will show that a conditionally faulty $n$-cube, with $2 n-5$ faulty links, contains a fault-free path of length $l$ between any two nodes $u$ and $v$ of distance $d^{*} \geqslant 2$ for each $l$ satisfying $d^{*} \leqslant l \leqslant 2^{n}-1$ and $2 \mid\left(l-d^{*}\right)$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, basic definitions and notations are introduced. In Section 3, the fault diameter of the $n$-cube is investigated. The partition of a conditionally faulty $n$-cube is presented in Section 4 . Faulttolerant path embedding is shown in Section 5. Finally, the conclusion is presented in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we concentrate on loopless undirected graphs. For the graph definitions, we follow the ones given by Bondy and Murty [1]. A graph $G$ consists of a node set $V(G)$ and a link set $E(G)$ that is a subset of $\{(u, v) \mid(u, v)$ is an unordered pair of $V(G)\}$. Two nodes, $u$ and $v$, of $G$ are adjacent if $(u, v) \in E(G)$. Then $u$ is a neighbor of $v$, and vice versa. A graph $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A graph $G$ is bipartite if its node set can be partitioned into two disjoint partite sets, $V_{0}(G)$ and $V_{1}(G)$, such that every link joins a node of $V_{0}(G)$ and a node of $V_{1}(G)$.

A path $P$ of length $k$ from node $x$ to node $y$ in a graph $G$ is a sequence of distinct nodes $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\rangle$ such that $v_{1}=x, v_{k+1}=y$, and $\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right) \in E(G)$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ if $k \geqslant 1$. Moreover, a path of length zero consisting of a single node $x$ is denoted by $\langle x\rangle$. For convenience, we write $P$ as $\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, Q, v_{j}, \ldots, v_{k+1}\right\rangle$, where $Q=\left\langle v_{i}, \ldots, v_{j}\right\rangle$. The $i$ th node of $P$ is denoted by $P(i)$; i.e., $P(i)=v_{i}$. We use $\ell(P)$ to denote the length of $P$. The distance between any two nodes, $u$ and $v$, of $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$, is the length of the shortest path joining $u$ and $v$ in $G$. The diameter of $G$, denoted by $D(G)$, is defined to be $\max \left\{d_{G}(u, v) \mid u, v \in V(G)\right\}$. A cycle is a path with at least three nodes such that the last node is adjacent to the first one. For clarity, a cycle of length $k$ is represented by $\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{1}\right\rangle$. A path (or cycle) in a graph $G$ is a hamiltonian path (or hamiltonian cycle) if it spans $G$. A bipartite graph is hamiltonian laceable [19] if there exists a hamiltonian path between any two nodes that are in different partite sets. Moreover, a hamiltonian laceable graph $G$ is hyper-hamiltonian laceable [15] if, for any node $v \in V_{i}(G)$ and $i \in\{0,1\}$, there exists a hamiltonian path of $G-\{v\}$ between two arbitrary nodes of $V_{1-i}(G)$. Later Hsieh et al. [9] introduced strongly hamiltonian laceability. A hamiltonian laceable graph $G$ is strongly hamiltonian laceable if there exists a path of length $|V(G)|-2$ between any two nodes in the same partite set.

Let $\underline{u}=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{i} \ldots b_{0}$ be an $n$-bit binary string. For any $j, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, we use $(u)^{j}$ to denote the binary string $b_{n-1} \ldots \bar{b}_{j} \ldots b_{0}$. Moreover, we use $(u)_{j}$ to denote the bit $b_{j}$ of $u$. The Hamming weight of $u$, denoted by $w_{H}(u)$, is $\left|\left\{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \mid(u)_{i}=1\right\}\right|$. The $n$-cube $Q_{n}$ consists of $2^{n}$ nodes and $n 2^{n-1}$ links. Each node corresponds to an $n$-bit binary string. Two nodes, $u$ and $v$, are adjacent if and only if $v=(u)^{j}$ for some $j$ and we call the link $\left(u,(u)^{j}\right) j$-dimensional. We define $\operatorname{dim}((u, v))=j$ if $v=(u)^{j}$. The Hamming distance between $u$ and $v$, denoted by $h(u, v)$, is defined to be $\left|\left\{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \mid(u)_{i} \neq(v)_{i}\right\}\right|$. Hence two nodes, $u$ and $v$, are adjacent if and only if $h(u, v)=1$. It is well known that $Q_{n}$ is a bipartite graph with partite sets $V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)=\left\{u \in V\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid w_{H}(u)\right.$ is even $\}$ and $V_{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)=\left\{u \in V\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid w_{H}(u)\right.$ is odd $\}$. Moreover, $Q_{n}$ is both node-transitive and link-transitive [14].

Let $Q_{n}^{j, i}$ be a subgraph of $Q_{n}$ induced by $\left\{u \in V\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid(u)_{j}=i\right\}$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $i \in\{0,1\}$. Clearly, $Q_{n}^{j, i}$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n-1}$. Then the node partition of $Q_{n}$ into subgraphs $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ is called $j$-partition. The set of crossing links between $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$, denoted by $E_{c}^{j}=\left\{(u, v) \in E\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid u \in V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right), v \in V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right\}$, consists of all $j$-dimensional links of $Q_{n}$. In order to clearly indicate the faulty elements in graph $G$, we use $F(G)$ to denote the set of all faulty elements in $G$.

## 3. Fault diameter of the n-cube

Let $G$ be a graph. A faulty link (or faulty node) of $G$ is a link (or node) that can be deleted from $G$. To be precise, the deletion of a subset $F_{e}$ of $E(G)$, denoted by $G-F_{e}$, is the spanning subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting the links in $F_{e}$ from $G$; the deletion of a proper subset $F_{v}$ of $V(G)$, denoted by $G-F_{v}$, is the subgraph containing the nodes of $G$ not in $F_{v}$ and the links of $G$ not incident with any node in $F_{v}$. By such definition, if a node is deleted from $G$, then all links incident with this node are deleted. Moreover, we define that $G-\left(F_{e} \cup F_{v}\right)=\left(G-F_{e}\right)-F_{v}$. Suppose that $u$ is an arbitrary node of $G$ and $v$ is a neighbor of $u$. We
say that $v$ is a reachable neighbor of $u$ if both $v$ and $(u, v)$ are fault-free; otherwise, $v$ is an unreachable neighbor of $u$. The following lemma is a basic property of $Q_{n}$.
Lemma 1 [17]. For any two nodes, $u$ and $v$, of $Q_{n}$, there exist $n$ internally node-disjoint paths joining $u$ and $v, h(u, v)$ of which are of length $h(u, v)$ and the other $n-h(u, v)$ of which are of length $h(u, v)+2$.

The next corollary directly follows from Lemma 1.
Corollary 1. Let $F$ be a set of $n-1$ node-faults and/or link-faults in $Q_{n}$. For any pair $u, v$ of distinct nodes in $Q_{n}-F$, then $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+2$.

Latifi [12] investigated the fault diameter of $Q_{n}$ under the assumption that every node has at least one fault-free neighbor. The following theorem was proved in [12].

Theorem 1 [12]. Let $F$ be a set of $2 n-3$ faulty nodes in $Q_{n}$ such that every node of $Q_{n}$ has at least one fault-free neighbor. For any pair $u, v$ of distinct nodes in $Q_{n}-F$, then $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4$.

Although only node-faults are admitted by Latifi [12], it is noticed that a similar result can be obtained when both nodefaults and link-faults are involved. To be precise, we improve Theorem 1 by proving the next corollary.

Corollary 2. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are any two distinct nodes of $Q_{n}, n \geqslant 2$. Let $F$ be a set of $u$ tmost $2 n-3$ hybrid node-faults and/ or link-faults in $Q_{n}$ such that both $u$ and $v$ are fault-free with at least one reachable neighbor. Then

$$
d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \begin{cases}=n & \text { if }|F| \leqslant 2 n-3, h(u, v)=n, \text { and } n \geqslant 2, \\ \leqslant n+1 & \text { if }|F| \leqslant 2 n-3, h(u, v)=n-1, \text { and } n \geqslant 2, \\ \leqslant h(u, v)+4 & \text { if }|F| \leqslant 2 n-3, h(u, v) \leqslant n-2, \text { and } n \geqslant 3, \\ \leqslant n & \text { if }|F|=2 n-4, h(u, v)=n-2, \text { and } n \neq 4 .\end{cases}
$$

For clarity, we prove the the first part of Corollary 2 in advance.
Proposition 1. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are any two distinct nodes of $Q_{n}$ with $h(u, v)=n$. Let $F$ be a set of $2 n-3$ hybrid node-faults and/or link-faults in $Q_{n}$ such that both $u$ and $v$ are fault-free with at least one reachable neighbor. Then $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)=n$.

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that this proposition holds for $n=2$. Hence, we only concern the case that $n \geqslant 3$. Let $I_{u}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\right\}$ be a set of $p$ distinct integers of $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $(u)^{i_{1}}, \ldots,(u)^{i_{p}}$ are reachable neighbors of $u$. Similarly, let $I_{v}=\left\{i_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{q}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be a set of $q$ distinct integers such that $(v)^{i_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots,(v)^{i_{q}^{i}}$ are reachable neighbors of $v$. We distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that $I_{u} \cap I_{v} \neq \emptyset$. Let $j \in I_{u} \cap I_{v}$. Then we partition $Q_{n}$ into $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$. For convenience, let $F_{0}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)$ and $F_{1}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)$. Since $h(u, v)=n$, nodes $u$ and $v$ are located in different subcubes. Moreover, we have $h\left(u,(v)^{j}\right)=n-1$. By the pigeonhole principle, we have $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant n-2$ or $\left|F_{1}\right| \leqslant n-2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant n-2$. Moreover, we assume that $u \in V\left(Q_{n}^{i, 0}\right)$. By Lemma $1, Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ has at least one fault-free path $L$ of length $n-1$ between $u$ and $(v)^{j}$. Hence, $\left\langle u, L,(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle$ forms a fault-free path of length $n$ between $u$ and $v$.

Case 2: Suppose that $I_{u} \cap I_{v}=\emptyset$. Since $|F|=2 n-3$, we can conclude that $3 \leqslant p+q \leqslant n$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $p \geqslant q$. Thus, we have $p \geqslant 2$.

Suppose that $n=3$. We have $p=2$ and $q=1$. Let $j \in I_{v}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u \in V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)$. Obviously $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ is fault-free and it has a fault-free path $L$ of length two between $u$ and $(v)^{j}$. Then $\left\langle u, L,(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length three.

Suppose that $n \geqslant 4$. Let $j \in I_{u}$. Since $I_{u} \cap I_{v}=\emptyset,(u)^{j}$ is a reachable neighbor of $u$ whereas $(v)^{j}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $v$. Again, we assume that $u \in V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)$. Let $F_{0}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)$ and $F_{1}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)$. If $\left|F_{1}\right| \leqslant n-2$, Lemma 1 ensures that $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ has a faultfree path $R$ of length $n-1$ between $(u)^{j}$ and $v$. Hence, $\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, R, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $n$ between $u$ and $v$.

Suppose that $\left|F_{1}\right| \geqslant n-1$. Thus, we have $\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{j}\right| \leqslant n-2$. Let $\widetilde{I}_{v}=\left\{k \in I_{v} \mid\left((v)^{k}\right)^{j} \in N_{Q_{n}-F}\left((v)^{k}\right)\right\}$, where $N_{Q_{n}-F}\left((v)^{k}\right)$ is the set of all reachable neighbors of $(v)^{k}$.

Subcase 2.1: Suppose that $\widetilde{I}_{v} \neq \emptyset$. Let $k \in \widetilde{I}_{v}$ and $\Theta$ be a subgraph of $Q_{n}$ induced by $\left\{x \in V\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid(x)_{j}=(u)_{j},(x)_{k}=(u)_{k}\right\}$. Then $\Theta$ is an $(n-2)$-cube inside $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$. Because $(v)^{j}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $v$ and it is outside $\Theta$, there are utmost $n-3$ faulty elements in $\Theta$. By Lemma $1, \Theta$ has a fault-free path $L$ of length $n-2$ between $u$ and $\left((v)^{k}\right)^{j}$. So $\left\langle u, L,\left((v)^{k}\right)^{j},(v)^{k}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $n$.

Subcase 2.2: Suppose that $\tilde{I}_{v}=\emptyset$. Let $k_{1} \in I_{v}$. Since $|F| \leqslant 2 n-3$ and $p+q \leqslant n$, there exists an integer $k_{2} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}-\left\{j, k_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}$ is a reachable neighbor of $(v)^{k_{1}}$ and $\left(\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}\right)^{j}$ is a reachable neighbor of $\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}$. Let $w=\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}$ and $\Omega$ be a subgraph of $Q_{n}$ induced by $\left\{x \in V\left(Q_{n}\right) \mid(x)_{j}=(u)_{j},(x)_{k_{1}}=(u)_{k_{1}},(x)_{k_{2}}=(u)_{k_{2}}\right\}$. Then $\Omega$ is an $(n-3)$-cube inside $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$. Obviously, $(u)^{k_{1}},(v)^{j}$, and $\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{j}$ are unreachable neighbors of $u, v$, and $(v)^{k_{1}}$, respectively. Since $(u)^{k_{1}},(v)^{j}$, and $\left((v)^{k_{1}}\right)^{j}$ are outside $\Omega$, there are utmost $n-4$ faulty elements in $\Omega$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\Omega$ has a faultfree path $L$ of length $n-3$ between $u$ and $(w)^{j}$. So $\left\langle u, L,(w)^{j}, w,(w)^{k_{2}}=(v)^{k_{1}}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $n$ between $u$ and $v$. In summary, we conclude that $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)=n$ and the proof is completed.

Proof of Corollary 2. Now we concern that $h(u, v) \leqslant n-1$. The proof is by the induction on $n$. The result is true for $n=2$. As our inductive hypothesis, we assume that the result holds for $Q_{n-1}$ with $n \geqslant 3$. Since $h(u, v) \leqslant n-1$, we partition $Q_{n}$ along some dimension $j$ such that both $u$ and $v$ are in the same subcube. By transitivity, we assume that $j=0$ and $u, v \in V\left(Q_{n}^{0,1}\right)$. Let $F_{i}=F\left(Q_{n}^{0, i}\right)$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$.

Case 1: Suppose that $\left|F_{1}\right| \leqslant 2 n-5=2(n-1)-3$. First, we consider the case that both $u$ and $v$ have at least one reachable neighbor in $Q_{n}^{0,1}$. Then it follows from the inductive hypothesis that $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)=d_{Q_{n}^{0,1}-F_{1}}(u, v)=n-1$ if $h(u, v)=n-1, d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant d_{Q_{n}^{0,1}-F_{1}}(u, v) \leqslant n$ if $h(u, v)=n-2$, and $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant d_{Q_{n}^{0,1}-F_{1}}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4$ if $h(u, v) \leqslant$ $n-3$ for $n \geqslant 4$.

Now we consider the case that either $u$ or $v$ has no reachable neighbors in $Q_{n}^{0,1}$. Thus, we have $\left|F_{1}\right| \geqslant n-1$ and $\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{0}\right| \leqslant n-2$. Since $n-1 \leqslant\left|F_{1}\right| \leqslant 2 n-5$, we have $n \geqslant 4$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u$ has no reachable neighbors in $Q_{n}^{0,1}$. Accordingly, $(u)^{0}$ is the unique reachable neighbor of $u$.

Suppose that $h(u, v)=n-1$. Since $h\left((u)^{0}, v\right)=n$, it follows from Proposition 1 that $d_{Q_{n}-F}\left((u)^{0}, v\right)=n$. Let $P$ be a faultfree path of length $n$ between $(u)^{0}$ and $v$. Obviously, we have $u \notin V(P)$. Hence $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, P, v\right\rangle$ forms a fault-free path of length $n+1$.

Suppose that $h(u, v) \leqslant n-2$. If $(v)^{0}$ is a reachable neighbor of $v$, then it follows from Corollary 1 that $d_{Q_{n}^{0,0}-F_{0}}\left((u)^{0},(v)^{0}\right) \leqslant h\left((u)^{0},(v)^{0}\right)+2=h(u, v)+2$ since $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant n-2$. Let $H$ be a shortest path between $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$ in $Q_{n}^{0,0}-F_{0}$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, H,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ forms a fault-free path of length at most $h(u, v)+4$. When $|F|=2 n-4$, we have $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant n-3$. Therefore, $Q_{n}^{0,0}-F_{0}$ has a path $H$ of length $n-2$ between $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$ if $h(u, v)=n-2$. Thus $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, H,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $n$. On the other hand, if $(v)^{0}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $v$, then we have $(v)^{0} \in F$ or $\left(v,(v)^{0}\right) \in F$. By Lemma $1, Q_{n}^{0,0}$ has $n-1$ internally node-disjoint paths $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n-1}$ between $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. For clarity, $L_{i}$ can be written as $\left\langle(u)^{0}, L_{i}^{\prime},\left((v)^{0}\right)^{i},(v)^{0}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Let $T_{i}=\left\langle(u)^{0}, L_{i}^{\prime},\left((v)^{0}\right)^{i},(v)^{i}, v\right\rangle$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Then $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$ is a set of $n-1$ internally node-disjoint paths between $(u)^{0}$ and $v$. We distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 1.1: One of $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$, say $T_{i}$, is fault-free. Hence, $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, T_{i}, v\right\rangle$ is a path of length at most $h(u, v)+4$ between $u$ and $v$. In particular, we consider the case that $h(u, v)=n-2$. Clearly, $n-2$ paths of $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$ are of length $n-1$. When $n \geqslant 5, u$ and $v$ have no common neighbors. Since $\left(\left\{(v)^{0},\left(v,(v)^{0}\right)\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\{(u)^{i},\left(u,(u)^{i}\right)\right\}\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} V\left(T_{i}\right) \cup E\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=\emptyset$, at most $n-3$ faults may appear on $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}$. Hence there exists a fault-free path $T_{k}$ of $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$ such that $\ell\left(T_{k}\right)=n-1$ if $n \geqslant 5$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, T_{k}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $n$.

Subcase 1.2: None of $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$ is fault-free. It is noticed that $|F|=2 n-3$ in this subcase. Moreover, we claim that $h(u, v)=2$. Because $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}$ are internally node-disjoint and $u$ has no reachable neighbors in $Q_{n}^{0,1}$, every of $\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}\right\}$ contains exactly one faulty element. Since $V\left(T_{i}\right) \cap V\left(Q_{n}^{0,1}\right)=\left\{v,(v)^{i}\right\}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, there exist two distinct integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, 1 \leqslant t_{1}, t_{2} \leqslant n-1$, such that $F\left(T_{t_{1}}\right)=\left\{(v)^{t_{1}}\right\}=\left\{(u)^{t_{2}}\right\}$ and $F\left(T_{t_{2}}\right)=\left\{(v)^{t_{2}}\right\}=\left\{(u)^{t_{1}}\right\}$. By transitivity, we assume that $t_{1}=n-1$ and $t_{2}=n-2$. Again, Lemma 1 ensures that $Q_{n}^{0,1}$ has $n-1$ internally node-disjoint paths $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-1}$ of length at most four between $u$ and $v$. For clarity, we can write $R_{i}$ as $\left\langle u, R_{i}^{\prime},(v)^{i}, v\right\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Thus, we have $\ell\left(R_{n-2}\right)=\ell\left(R_{n-1}\right)=2$ and $\ell\left(R_{i}\right)=4$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-3$. Because $(v)^{0}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $v, v$ has a reachable neighbor in $Q_{n}^{0,1}$, say $(v)^{k}$ with some $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}$. To be precise, we write $R_{k}=\left\langle u, x_{k}, y_{k},(v)^{k}, v\right\rangle$ and $L_{k}=\left\langle(u)^{0},\left(x_{k}\right)^{0},\left(y_{k}\right)^{0},\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0},(v)^{0}\right\rangle$, where $x_{k}$ is some neighbor of $u$ and $y_{k}$ is a common neighbor of $x_{k}$ and $(v)^{k}$.

Subcase 1.2.1: Suppose that $\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $(v)^{k}$. Let $S_{k}^{(1)}=\left\langle(u)^{0},\left(x_{k}\right)^{0},\left(y_{k}\right)^{0}\right\rangle$ and $S_{k}^{(2)}=\left\langle\left(y_{k}\right)^{0}, y_{k},(v)^{k}\right\rangle$. Because $T_{k}$ has only one faulty element, $S_{k}^{(1)}$ is fault-free. Since $\left(V\left(S_{k}^{(2)}\right) \cup E\left(S_{k}^{(2)}\right)\right) \cap$ $\left(\cup_{i \neq k} V\left(T_{i}\right) \cup E\left(T_{i}\right)\right)=\emptyset, S_{k}^{(2)}$ is also fault-free. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, S_{k}^{(1)},\left(y_{k}\right)^{0}, S_{k}^{(2)},(v)^{k^{k}}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length six.

Subcase 1.2.2: Suppose that $\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0}$ is a reachable neighbor of $(v)^{k}$. Let $\Theta$ be the subgraph of $Q_{n}^{0,0}$ induced by $\left\{x \in V\left(Q_{n}^{0,0}\right) \mid(x)_{p}=(u)_{p}, p \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}-\{k\}\right\}$. Obviously, $\Theta$ is isomorphic to $Q_{3}$. Then we claim that $|F(\Theta)| \leqslant 2$. Since $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant n-2$, this claim holds for $n=4$. In what follows, we concern that $n \geqslant 5$. It is easy to see that $L_{k}, L_{n-2}$, and $L_{n-1}$ are inside $\Theta$. Moreover, we have $\left(V\left(T_{i}\right) \cup E\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \cap(V(\Theta) \cup E(\Theta))=\left\{(u)^{0}\right\}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-3\}-\{k\}$. Since $T_{i}$ contains one faulty element for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, at least $n-4$ faulty elements are outside $\Theta$; i.e., $|F(\Theta)| \leqslant 2$. Since $h\left((u)^{0},\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0}\right)=3$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\Theta$ has a fault-free path $S$ of length three between $(u)^{0}$ and $\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0}$. As a result, $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, S,\left((v)^{k}\right)^{0},(v)^{k}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length six.

Case 2: Suppose that $\left|F_{1}\right| \geqslant 2 n-4$. Thus, we have $\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{0}\right| \leqslant 1$.
Subcase 2.1: Suppose that $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$ are reachable neighbors of $u$ and $v$, respectively. Since $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant 1$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $Q_{n}^{0,0}$ has a fault-free path $L$ of length at most $h(u, v)+2$ between $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, L,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length at most $h(u, v)+4$ between $u$ and $v$. When $|F|=2 n-4$, we have $\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{0}\right|=0$. Hence $Q_{n}^{0,0}$ has a path $L$ of length $h(u, v)$ between $(u)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{0}, L,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $h(u, v)+2$ between $u$ and $v$.

Subcase 2.2: Suppose that $(u)^{0}$ or $(v)^{0}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $u$ or $v$, respectively. It is noticed that $|F|=2 n-3$ in this subcase. Since $\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{0}\right| \leqslant 1$, we assume that $(u)^{0}$ is an unreachable neighbor of $u$. If $v$ is a reachable neighbor of $u$, then $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)=1$. Otherwise, let $(u)^{k}$ be a reachable neighbor of $u$ with some $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Since
$\left|F_{0}\right|+\left|F \cap E_{c}^{0}\right| \leqslant 1,\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}$ is a reachable neighbor of $(u)^{k}$. If $(u)_{k} \neq(v)_{k}$, then $h\left((u)^{k}, v\right)=h(u, v)-1$. Obviously, $(u)^{0}$ is not on any shortest path between $\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. Thus, $Q_{n}^{0,0}$ has a fault-free path $L$ of length $h\left(\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0},(v)^{0}\right)=h(u, v)-1$ between $\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{k},\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}, L,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $h(u, v)+2$. If $(u)_{k}=(v)_{k}$, then $h\left((u)^{k}, v\right)=$ $h(u, v)+1$. By Lemma $1, Q_{n}^{0,0}$ has a fault-free path $L$ of length $h(u, v)+1$ between $\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}$ and $(v)^{0}$. Then $\left\langle u,(u)^{k}\right.$, $\left.\left((u)^{k}\right)^{0}, L,(v)^{0}, v\right\rangle$ is a fault-free path of length $h(u, v)+4$.

The proof is completed.
The following theorem characterizes a property of shortest paths in a faulty $n$-cube.
Theorem 2. Let $F$ be a set of $2 n-5$ faulty links in $Q_{n}$ such that every node of $Q_{n}-F$ has at least two neighbors. Moreover, let $j$ be an integer of $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ are conditionally faulty with $2 n-7$ or less faulty links. Suppose that $u$ is a node of $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $v$ is a node of $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$. Then there exists a shortest path $P^{*}$ between $u$ and $v$ in $Q_{n}-F$ such that $P^{*}$ crosses the dimension $j$ exactly once.

Proof. Since $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|+\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant|F|=2 n-5$, we assume that $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3$. Since $(u)_{j} \neq(v)_{j}$, every shortest path between $u$ and $v$ crosses the dimension $j$ an odd number of times. If there is a shortest path between $u$ and $v$ crossing the dimension $j$ exactly once, the proof is done. Thus, we assume that one shortest path between $u$ and $v$, namely $P$, crosses the dimension $j$ more than once. Accordingly, the shortest path $P$ can be represented as $\left\langle u, P_{0}, x_{1},\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}\right.$, $\left.P_{1},\left(x_{2}\right)^{j}, x_{2}, P_{2}, x_{3},\left(x_{3}\right)^{j}, \ldots, x_{r},\left(x_{r}\right)^{j}, P_{r}, v\right\rangle$ with odd integer $r \geqslant 3$. For convenience, let $H=\left\langle\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}, P_{1},\left(x_{2}\right)^{j}, x_{2}, P_{2}\right.$, $\left.x_{3},\left(x_{3}\right)^{j}, \ldots, x_{r},\left(x_{r}\right)^{j}, P_{r}, v\right\rangle$. By Corollary 1, we have $d_{Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}, v\right) \leqslant h\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}, v\right)+2$. Suppose that $R$ is a shortest path between $\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}$ and $v$ in $Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)$. Then we have $\ell(H) \leqslant \ell(R)$. Since $r \geqslant 3$, we have $\ell(H) \geqslant h\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}, v\right)+2 \geqslant \ell(R)$. As a result, $P^{*}=\left\langle u, P_{0}, x_{1},\left(x_{1}\right)^{j}, R, v\right\rangle$ happens to be a shortest path between $u$ and $v$ and it crosses the dimension $j$ exactly once.

The fault diameter of $Q_{n}$ is computed as follows.
Theorem 3. [12] Let $F$ be a set of faulty nodes in $Q_{n}$ such that every node of $Q_{n}$ has at least one fault-free neighbor. Then the diameter of $Q_{n}-F$ is computed as follows:

$$
D\left(Q_{n}-F\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if }|F| \leqslant n-2 \\ n+1 & \text { if }|F|=n-1 \\ n+2 & \text { if }|F|=2 n-3\end{cases}
$$

We improve Theorem 3 by proving the next corollary.
Corollary 3. Let $F$ be a set of hybrid node-faults and/or link-faults in $Q_{n}, n \geqslant 3$, such that every node of $Q_{n}$ has at least one reachable neighbor. Then $D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=4$ if $|F| \leqslant 2 ; D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=5$ if $|F|=3 ; D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=6$ if $|F| \in\{4,5\}$. When $n \neq 4$,

$$
D\left(Q_{n}-F\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if }|F| \leqslant n-2 \\ n+1 & \text { if } n-1 \leqslant|F| \leqslant 2 n-4 \\ n+2 & \text { if }|F|=2 n-3\end{cases}
$$



Fig. 1. An example that the distance between 0100 and 0111 is 6 .

Proof. Suppose that $n \neq 4$. The result follows from Lemma 1, Corollary 2, and Theorem 3. Suppose that $n=4$. Applying Lemma 1, Corollary 2, and Theorem 3, we also have $D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=4$ if $|F| \leqslant 2, D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=5$ if $|F|=3, D\left(Q_{4}-F\right) \leqslant 6$ if $|F|=4$, and $D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=6$ if $|F|=5$. Let $F=\{0000,0101,0110,(0111,1111)\}$. Then $d_{Q_{4}-F}(0100,0111)=6$. See Fig. 1. Therefore, $D\left(Q_{4}-F\right)=6$ if $|F|=4$.

## 4. Partition of an $n$-cube with conditional link-faults

In this section, we propose a procedure to partition $Q_{n}$ with $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults. Recall that a network is said to be conditionally faulty if every node of this network is incident to at least two fault-free links. Suppose that $Q_{n}, n \geqslant 4$, is conditionally faulty with $2 n-5$ faulty links. For convenience, let $F=F\left(Q_{n}\right)$ and $F_{i}$ denote the set of faulty $i$-dimensional links. Since $|F|=2 n-5$, there are utmost two nodes of $Q_{n}$ incident to $n-2$ faulty links. For any two distinct nodes, $u$ and $v$, of $Q_{n}$, the procedure Partition $\left(Q_{n}, F, u, v\right)$ determines a dimension $j$ according to the following rules:
(1) Suppose that there are exactly two nodes incident to $n-2$ faulty links. Then the two nodes must be connected by a faulty link $\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)$ with some $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Obviously, both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ are conditionally faulty with $n-3$ faulty links.
(2) Suppose that there is only one node, namely $z$, incident to $n-2$ faulty links. Let $S=\{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \mid$ $\left.\left(z,(z)^{i}\right) \in F\right\}=\left\{k_{3}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$ and $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}-S=\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$. Then both $Q_{n}^{i, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{i, 1}$ are conditionally faulty for each $i \in S$.
(2.1) If there exists a dimension $j$ of $S$ such that $\left|F_{j}\right|>1$, then we partition $Q_{n}$ along dimension $j$. Otherwise, if there exists a dimension $j$ of $S$ such that $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|>0$, then we partition $Q_{n}$ along dimension $j$. Obviously, both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ contain $2 n-7$ or less faulty links.
(2.2) Suppose that $\left|F_{i}\right|=1$ and $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{i, 0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{i, 1}\right)\right|=0$ for every $i \in S$. That is, for any $i \in S$, either $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{i, 0}\right)\right|$ or $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{i, 1}\right)\right|$ remains $2 n-6$. Hence, for any $(x, y) \in F-\left\{\left(z,(z)^{i}\right) \mid i \in S\right\}$, we have $(x)_{i}=(y)_{i}=(z)_{i}$ for every $i \in S$. That is, for $(x, y) \in F-\left\{\left(z,(z)^{i}\right) \mid i \in S\right\}$, we have $x, y \in\left\{z,(z)^{k_{1}},(z)^{k_{2}},\left((z)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}\right\}$. Because both $\left(z,(z)^{k_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(z,(z)^{k_{2}}\right)$ are faultfree, it follows that $F-\left\{\left(z,(z)^{i}\right) \mid i \in S\right\} \subseteq\left\{\left((z)^{k_{1}},\left((z)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}\right),\left((z)^{k_{2}},\left((z)^{k_{1}}\right)^{k_{2}}\right)\right\}$. Since $\left|F-\left\{\left(z,(z)^{i}\right) \mid i \in S\right\}\right|=n-$ $3 \leqslant 2$, we obtain $n \in\{4,5\}$. The faulty links are distributed as illustrated in Fig. 2.
(2.2.1) If there exists a dimension $j$ of $S$ such that $(z)^{j}$ is neither $u$ nor $v$, then we partition $Q_{n}$ along dimension $j$.
(2.2.2) Otherwise, $\{u, v\}$ equals to $\left\{(z)^{i} \mid i \in S\right\}$; thus, we have $n=4$. In this case, we partition $Q_{4}$ along any dimension $j \in S$. Clearly, $u$ and $v$ belong to the same partite set of $Q_{4}$.
(3) Suppose that every node is incident to utmost $n-3$ faulty links. Obviously, every ( $n-1$ )-cube in $Q_{n}$ is conditionally faulty. Let $S=\left\{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \mid F_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}$.
(3.1) Suppose that $\left|F_{j}\right| \geqslant 2$ with some $j \in S$. Then both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ contain $2 n-7$ or less faulty links.
(3.2) Suppose that $\left|F_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$ for each $i \in S$. Clearly we have $2 n-5=|F|=\left|\bigcup_{i \in S} F_{i}\right|=\sum_{i \in S}\left|F_{i}\right| \leqslant n$; i.e., $n \leqslant 5$. Then a dimension $j$ of $S$ can be chosen so that both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ contain $2 n-7$ or less faulty links.
(3.2.1) When $n=5$, we claim that $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|>0$ for some $j \in S$. Let $e_{i}=\left(b_{i 4} \ldots b_{i i} \ldots b_{i 0}, b_{i 4} \ldots \bar{b}_{i i} \ldots b_{i 0}\right)$ be an $i$-dimensional link of $Q_{5}$ for $i \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. Suppose that $F=\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ is a faulty set of $Q_{5}$ such that $\left|F\left(Q_{5}^{i, 0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|F\left(Q_{5}^{i, 1}\right)\right|=0$ for each $i \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$. Then we have $b_{0 i}=b_{1 i}=b_{2 i}=b_{3 i}=b_{4 i}$ for each $i \in\{0,1,2,3,4\}$; i.e., all faulty links are incident with an identical node. This contradicts the assumption that every node is incident to utmost $n-3$ faulty links.
(3.2.2) Similarly, there exists an integer $j \in S$ such that $\left|F\left(Q_{4}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|F\left(Q_{4}^{j, 1}\right)\right|>0$.

In summary, the proposed procedure determines a $j$-partition of $Q_{n}$ such that both $Q_{n}^{j, 0}$ and $Q_{n}^{j, 1}$ are conditionally faulty with $\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|+\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-6$.


Fig. 2. The distributions of faulty links indicated in (2.2).

## 5. Path embedding in hypercubes

The following theorems were proved by Tsai [20] and Xu [24].
Theorem 4 [20]. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Suppose that $F \subseteq E\left(Q_{n}\right)$ is a set of utmost $n-2$ faulty links. Then $Q_{n}-F$ is hamiltonian laceable and strongly hamiltonian laceable.

Theorem 5 [20]. Let $n \geqslant 3$. Suppose that $F \subseteq E\left(Q_{n}\right)$ is a set of utmost $n-3$ faulty links. Then $Q_{n}-F$ is hyper-hamiltonian laceable.

Theorem 6 [24]. Let $F$ be a set of $n-2$ faulty links in $Q_{n}(n \geqslant 2)$. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are any two different nodes of $Q_{n}-F$. Then $Q_{n}-F$ contains a path of length $l$ between $u$ and $v$ for every $l$ satisfying $d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant l \leqslant 2^{n}-1$ and $2 \mid\left(l-d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)\right)$.

As Tsai [21] showed, an $n$-cube with $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults is hamiltonian laceable and strongly hamiltonian laceable.
Theorem 7 [21]. Let $F$ be a set of faulty links in $Q_{n}(n \geqslant 3)$ such that every node of $Q_{n}-F$ has at least two neighbors. Then $Q_{n}-F$ is hamiltonian laceable and strongly hamiltonian laceable if $|F| \leqslant 2 n-5$.

To prove our main result, we need the next two lemmas.
Lemma 2 [21]. Assume that $n \geqslant 2$. Let $x$ and $u$ be two distinct nodes of $V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)$; let $y$ and $v$ be two distinct nodes of $V_{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)$. Then there exist two node-disjoint paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied: (1) $P_{1}$ joins $x$ to $y$, (2) $P_{2}$ joins $u$ to $v$, and (3) $V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=V\left(Q_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 3. Let $v$ be any node of $Q_{n}(n \geqslant 3)$ and let $(w, b)$ be any link of $Q_{n}-\{v\}$. For every odd integer $l$ in the range from 1 to $2^{n}-3, Q_{n}-\{v\}$ has a path of length $l$ between $w$ and $b$.

Proof. Since $Q_{n}$ is node-transitive, we assume that $v=0^{n}$. We prove this lemma by the induction on $n$. The induction base depends on $Q_{3}$. With the link-transitivity, the required paths are listed in Table 1.

When $n \geqslant 4$, we assume that the result is true for $Q_{n-1}$. Then we partition $Q_{n}$ along dimension $p$ other than $\operatorname{dim}((w, b))$. Obviously, $v$ is located in $Q_{n}^{p, 0}$.

Case 1: Suppose that $(w, b)$ is in $Q_{n}^{p, 0}$. By the inductive hypothesis, $Q_{n}^{p, 0}-\{v\}$ has a path of odd length $l_{0}$ between $w$ and $b$ for any odd integer $l_{0}$ from 1 to $2^{n-1}-3$. Let $H$ be a path of length $2^{n-1}-3$ between $w$ and $b$ in $Q_{n}^{p, 0}-\{v\}$. Since $2^{n-1}-3>1$, we can represent $H$ as $\left\langle w, u, H_{0}, b\right\rangle$. By Theorem $6, Q_{n}^{p, 1}$ has a path $H_{1}$ of odd length $l_{1}$ between $(w)^{p}$ and (u) for any odd integer $l_{1}$ from 1 to $2^{n-1}-1$. As a result, $\left\langle w,(w)^{p}, H_{1},(u)^{p}, u, H_{0}, b\right\rangle$ is a path of odd length $2^{n-1}-2+l_{1}$, in the range from $2^{n-1}-1$ to $2^{n}-3$.

Case 2: Suppose that $(w, b)$ is in $Q_{n}^{p, 1}$. By Theorem $6, Q_{n}^{p, 1}$ has a path of odd length $l_{1}$ between $w$ and $b$ for any odd integer $l_{1}$ from 1 to $2^{n-1}-1$. Let $H$ be a path of length $2^{n-1}-1$ between $w$ and $b$ in $Q_{n}^{p, 1}$. Then we can choose a link $(x, y)$ on $H$ such that $v \notin\left\{(x)^{p},(y)^{p}\right\}$. Hence, we can represent $H$ as $\left\langle w, H_{1}^{\prime}, x, y, H_{1}^{\prime \prime}, b\right\rangle$. By the inductive hypothesis, $Q_{n}^{p, 0}-\{v\}$ has a path $H_{0}$ of odd length $l_{0}$ between $(x)^{p}$ and $(y)^{p}$ for any odd integer $l_{0}$ from 1 to $2^{n-1}-3$. As a result, $\left\langle w, H_{1}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{p}, H_{0},(y)^{p}, y, H_{1}^{\prime \prime}, b\right\rangle$ is a path of odd length $2^{n-1}+l_{0}$, in the range from $2^{n-1}+1$ to $2^{n}-3$.

As Shih et al. [18] showed, any fault-free link of $Q_{n}$ lies on a cycle of even length from 6 to $2^{n}$ when up to $2 n-5$ conditional link-faults may occur.
Theorem 8 [18]. Let $F$ be a set of $2 n-5$ faulty links in $Q_{n}$ such that every node of $Q_{n}-F$ has at least two neighbors. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are any two adjacent nodes of $Q_{n}-F$. Then $Q_{n}-F$ contains a path of odd length $l$ between $u$ and $v$ if $l$ is in the range from 1 to $2^{n}-1$ excluding 3.

In the following discussion, we focus on constructing paths between any two nodes with distance greater than one.
Theorem 9. Let $F$ be a set of $2 n-5$ faulty links in $Q_{n}(n \geqslant 3)$ such that every node of $Q_{n}-F$ has at least two neighbors. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are two arbitrary nodes of $Q_{n}-F$ with distance $d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \geqslant 2$. Then $Q_{n}-F$ contains a path of length $l$ between

Table 1
The paths of variable lengths between $w$ and $b$ in $Q_{3}-\{000\}$.

```
(w,b) = (011,001)
(w,b) = (011, 111)
(w,b) = (101,001)
(w,b) = (101, 100)
(w,b)=(101, 111)
\(u\) and \(v\) for every integer \(l\) satisfying both \(d^{*} \leqslant l \leqslant 2^{n}-1\) and \(2 \mid\left(l-d^{*}\right)\), where expression \(2 \mid\left(l-d^{*}\right)\) means that \(l-d^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)\).

Proof. Applying procedure Partition \(\left(Q_{n}, F, u, v\right)\), we can determine a \(j\)-partition of \(Q_{n}\) such that both \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) and \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) are conditionally faulty with \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|+\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-6\). As a result, the proof can proceed by the induction on \(n\). The induction base, depending upon \(Q_{3}\), follows from Theorem 6. As our inductive hypothesis, we assume that the result holds for \(Q_{n-1}\) when \(n \geqslant 4\).

Case I: Suppose that \(u\) and \(v\) are in the different partite sets of \(Q_{n}\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \(u \in V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)\) and \(v \in V_{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)\). By Theorem 7, \(Q_{n}-F\) is hamiltonian laceable. Moreover, a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) can be easily obtained by a simple breadth-first search. Therefore, we mainly concentrate on the paths of odd lengths in the range from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n}-3\).

Subcase I.1: Suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\) and \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| ;\) thus, \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\).

Subcase I.1.1: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) contains a path \(H_{0}\) of length \(2^{n-1}-1\) between \(u\) and \(v\). Let \(A=\left\{\left(H_{0}(i), H_{0}(i+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n-1}, i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(H_{0}\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5\) for any \(n \geqslant 4\), there exists a link \((w, b)\) of \(A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Hence, \(H_{0}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\), it follows from Theorem 6 that \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(H_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, H_{1},(b)^{j}, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\). See Fig. 3a for illustration.

The paths of lengths less than \(2^{n-1}+1\) can be obtained as follows. By Corollary 2 , we have \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\) and \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) has a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l_{0}\) in the range from \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v)\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). If \(d^{*}=h(u, v)\) or \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+4\), then \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v)=d^{*}\). Otherwise, if \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+2\), then \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v) \leqslant d^{*}+2\).

Subcase I.1.2: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\), it follows from Corollary 1 that \(d^{*} \leqslant d_{Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+2\). Thus, there exists a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}-F\) such that it does not cross the dimension \(j\). By inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for each odd integer \(l_{1}\) from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Let \(\bar{T}_{1}\) be a path of length \(2^{n-1}-1\) between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). Moreover, let \(A=\left\{\left(\bar{T}_{1}(i), \bar{T}_{1}(i+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n-1}, i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(\bar{T}_{1}\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), there exists a link \((w, b)\) of \(A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Hence, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\), it follows from Theorem 8 that \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of odd length \(l_{0}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{0}\) in the range from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\) excluding 3 . As a result, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{0},(b)^{j}, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{0}\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\) excluding \(2^{n-1}+3\). See Fig. 3b for illustration.


Fig. 3. Illustration for Subcase I.1.

The path of length \(2^{n-1}+3\) is discussed as follows. When \(n=4\), we have \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 1\). Thus, there exists an integer \(k\) of \(\{0,1,2,3\}-\{j, \operatorname{dim}((w, b))\}\) such that \(\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k}\right), \quad\left((b)^{j},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k}\right)\), and \(\left(\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k}\right)\) are all fault-free. Hence, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k},(b)^{j}, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length 11 . See Fig. 3c for illustration. When \(n \geqslant 5\), we have \(|A|-|F|=|A|-(2 n-5)=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2}\right\rceil-(2 n-5) \geqslant 2\). Thus, there is a link \((x, y)\) of \(A\), other than \((w, b)\), such that \((x, y)\) and \((w, b)\) have no shared endpoints and \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right),\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Without loss of generality, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, R_{1}^{\prime}, w, b, R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x, y, R_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Hence, \(\left\langle u, R_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},(b)^{j}, b, R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x,(x)^{j},(y)^{j}, y, R_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+3\). See Fig. 3d.

Subcase I.1.3: Suppose that \(u\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) and \(v\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). By Theorem 2, we have a shortest path \(P^{*}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}-F\) such that \(P^{*}\) crosses the dimension \(j\) exactly once. Thus, \(P^{*}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, P_{0}, x,(x)^{j}, P_{1}, v\right\rangle\), where \(P_{0}\) is a shortest path joining \(u\) to some node \(x\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) and \(P_{1}\) is a shortest path joining \((x)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). See Fig. 3e and f for illustration.

Subcase I.1.3.1: Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)>0\) and \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)>0\). By Theorem 6, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \(v\) for each \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right) \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\) and \(2 \mid\left(l_{1}-\ell\left(P_{1}\right)\right)\). Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)=1\). It follows from Theorem 8 that \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of odd length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(x\) for any odd integer \(l_{0}\) in the range from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\) excluding 3. Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)>1\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(x\) for each \(l_{0}\) satisfying \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right) \leqslant l_{0} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\) and \(2 \mid\left(l_{0}-\ell\left(P_{0}\right)\right)\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, T_{0}, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(l_{0}+l_{1}+1\), in the range from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n}-3\).

Subcase I.1.3.2: Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)=0\) or \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)=0\). Since \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)>1\), we have \(u \neq x\) or \(v \neq(x)^{j}\). With symmetry, we assume that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)=0\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of even length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \(v\) for each even integer \(l_{1}\) from \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)\) to \(2^{n-1}-2\). As a result, \(\left\langle u=x,(x)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(l_{1}+1\) in the range from \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)+1=d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\).

The paths of odd lengths in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\) are constructed as follows. Since \(\left|V_{1}\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=2^{n-2}>2 n-5\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), we can choose a node \(y\) from \(V_{1}\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) such that \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free. Let \(R_{0}\) be a path joining \(u\) to \(y\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) and \(R_{1}\) be a path joining \((y)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). Similar to Subcase I.1.3.1, \(H=\left\langle u, R_{0}, y,(y)^{j}, R_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of any odd length in the range from \(d^{\prime}=d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, y)+d_{Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)}\left((y)^{j}, v\right)+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\). By Corollary 3 , we have \(d^{\prime} \leqslant(n+1)+(n-1)+1 \leqslant 2^{n-1}+1\) for \(n \geqslant 4\). That is, \(H\) can be a path of any odd length in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\).

Subcase I.2: Suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=2 n-6\) or \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|=2 n-6\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=2 n-6\). Thus, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) is fault-free. By procedure \(\operatorname{Partition}\left(Q_{n}, F, u, v\right)\), the faulty links are distributed as shown in Fig. 2.

Subcase I.2.1: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\). Let \((w, b)\) be a faulty link of \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. For convenience, let \(F_{0}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{(w, b)\}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}\) has a path \(P_{l}\) of odd length \(l\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l\) in the range from \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). If \((w, b)\) is on \(P_{l}\), we write \(P_{l}\) as \(\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, w, b, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) and define \(\widetilde{P}_{l}=\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},(b)^{j}, b, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Otherwise, \(P_{l}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, x, y, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\), where \((x, y)\) is a link on \(P_{l}\) such that both \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Similarly, we define \(\widetilde{P}_{l}=\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j},(y)^{j}, y, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Then \(\widetilde{P}_{l}\) is a path of length \(l+2\). By Corollary 2, we have \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\) and \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\). First, if \(d^{*}=h(u, v)\) or \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+4\), then we have \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)\) and thus \(l\) ranges from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Next, if \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+2=d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)\), then \(l\) ranges from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Finally, if \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+2\) and \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)=h(u, v)+4\), then \(l\) ranges from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). For the final case, a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}-F\) can be constructed by a breadth-first search. In summary, the paths of odd lengths from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n-1}+1\) are constructed.

By Theorem \(6, Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for each odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Similarly, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(R_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \((y)^{j}\) for each odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Thus, \(\left\langle u, P_{2^{n-1}-1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{1},(b)^{j}, b, P_{2^{n-1}-1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) (or \(\left.\left\langle u, P_{2^{n-1}-1}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j}, R_{1},(y)^{j}, y, P_{2^{n-1}-1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\right)\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-1\).

Subcase I.2.2: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Let \(\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\) be a faulty link in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left((w)^{i},\left((w)^{i}\right)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Since \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v)>1\), we assume that \((w)^{j}\) is different from \(u\) and \(v\). Moreover, since \(n \geqslant 4\), we assume that \(t \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}-\{j, i\}\). Let \(X=\left\{\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k}\right) \mid k \notin\{i, j, t\}\right\}\). Since \(|X|=n-3\), our inductive hypothesis ensures that \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-X\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(d^{*} \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). Let \(\bar{T}_{1}\) denote a path of length \(2^{n-1}-1\) between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-X\). It is noted that \(\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}\right)\) is on \(\bar{T}_{1}\). Hence, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime},(w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). By Theorem \(8, Q_{n}^{j, 0}-\left(F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\left\{\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\right\}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of odd length \(l_{0}\) between \(w\) and \((w)^{i}\) for \(5 \leqslant l_{0} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime},(w)^{j}, w, T_{0},(w)^{i},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{0}\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+5\) to \(2^{n}-1\). See Fig. 4a for illustration.

Let \(\bar{T}_{0}\) denote the longest path between \(w\) and \((w)^{i}\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-\left(F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\left\{\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\right\}\right)\). Moreover, let \(A=\left\{\left(\bar{T}_{0}(k), \bar{T}_{0}(k+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{n-1}, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(\bar{T}_{0}\). The paths of lengths \(2^{n-1}+1\) and \(2^{n-1}+3\) can be obtained as follows:


Fig. 4. Illustration for Subcase I.2.
(a) Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2}\right\rceil>3\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), there exists a link \((x, y)\) of \(A\) such that both \(F \cap\left\{\left(x,(x)^{j}\right),\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\right\}=\emptyset\) and \(\left\{(x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right\} \cap\{u, v\}=\emptyset\) are satisfied. Without loss of generality, we assume that \(x \in V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)\). By Lemma 2, there exist two node-disjoint paths \(P_{1}\) and \(P_{2}\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) such that (i) \(P_{1}\) joins \(u\) to ( \(\left.x\right)^{j}\), (ii) \(P_{2}\) joins \((y)^{j}\) to \(v\), and (iii) \(V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, P_{1},(x)^{j}, x, y,(y)^{j}, P_{2}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+1\). See Fig. 4 b for illustration.
(b) We write \(\bar{T}_{0}\) as \(\left\langle w=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2^{n-1}-1}=(w)^{i}\right\rangle\). Then we can choose a pair of nodes from \(\left\{\left\{x_{0}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{4}\right\},\left\{x_{2}, x_{5}\right\}\right\}\), namely \(\left\{x_{k}, x_{k+3}\right\}\), such that both \(F \cap\left\{\left(x_{k},\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}\right),\left(x_{k+3},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\right)\right\}=\emptyset\) and \(\left|\left\{\left(x_{k}\right)^{j},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\right\} \cap\{u, v\}\right| \leqslant 1\) are satisfied.
(b.1) Suppose that \(x_{k} \in V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)\). If \(\left|\left\{\left(x_{k}\right)^{j},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\right\} \cap\{u, v\}\right|=0\), Lemma 2 ensures that \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) has two node-disjoint paths \(P_{1}\) and \(P_{2}\) such that (i) \(P_{1}\) joins \(u\) to \(\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}\), (ii) \(P_{2}\) joins \(\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\) to \(v\), and (iii) \(V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). Hence, \(\left\langle u, P_{1},\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, x_{k+3},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}, P_{2}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+3\). If \(\left|\left\{\left(x_{k}\right)^{j},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\right\} \cap\{u, v\}\right|=1\), we assume that \(\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}=v\). By Theorem 5, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-\{v\}\) has a hamiltonian path \(H_{1}\) joining \(u\) to \(\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}\). Then \(\left\langle u, H_{1},\left(x_{k+3}\right)^{j}, x_{k+3}\right.\), \(\left.x_{k+2}, x_{k+1}, x_{k},\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}=v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+3\). See Fig. 4c.
(b.2) Suppose that \(x_{k} \in V_{1}\left(Q_{n}\right)\). The required paths can be obtained similarly.

Subcase I.2.3: Suppose that \(u\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) and \(v\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). If \(\left(u,(u)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free, the shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) can be of the form \(\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, P_{1}, v\right\rangle\), where \(P_{1}\) is a shortest path joining \((u)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of even length \(l_{1}\) between \((u)^{j}\) and \(v\) for any even integer \(l_{1}\) from \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 1}}\left((u)^{j}, v\right)=d^{*}-1\) to \(2^{n-1}-2\). Then \(\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(l_{1}+1\) in the range from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). On the other hand, if \(\left(u,(u)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, we choose a neighbor of \(u\), namely \(x\), in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\). Obviously, we have either \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)=h(u, v)-2\) or \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)=h(u, v)\). Let \(R_{1}\) be a shortest path joining \((x)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Then \(\left\langle u, x,(x)^{j}, R_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(h(u, v)\) or \(h(u, v)+2\). Thus, we have \(d^{*} \leqslant h(u, v)+2\). By Theorem \(6, Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) has a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Then \(\left\langle u, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(l_{1}+2\) in the range from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n-1}+1\).

The paths of lengths greater than \(2^{n-1}-1\) can be obtained as follows. Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=2 n-6\), the \(j\)-partition determined by Partition \(\left(Q_{n}, F, u, v\right)\) guarantees that \(\operatorname{link}\left(v,(v)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free if \(h(u, v)\) is odd. (See (2.2) in Section 4). Let ( \(w, b\) ) be a faulty link in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-\left(F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{(w, b)\}\right)\) contains a path \(H_{0}\) of length \(2^{n-1}-2\) between \(u\) to \((v)^{j}\). Three subcases are distinguished.

Subcase I.2.3.1: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is not located on \(H_{0}\). See Fig. 4d. We choose a link \((x, y)\) on \(H_{0}\) such that \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free and \(\left((x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right)\) is not incident with \(v\). Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, x, y, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Lemma 3, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-\{v\}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \((y)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-3\). Consequently, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1},(y)^{j}, y, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-3\).

Subcase I.2.3.2: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is located on \(H_{0}\) and \((w, b)\) is not incident with \((v)^{j}\). See Fig. 4e. Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Lemma \(3, Q_{n}^{j, 1}-\{v\}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for \(1 \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-3\). Hence, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{1},(b)^{j}, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}\), in the range \(2^{n-1}+1\) to \(2^{n}-3\).

Subcase I.2.3.3: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is located on \(H_{0}\) and \((w, b)\) is incident with \((v)^{j}\). See Fig. 4f. Let \(w=(v)^{j}\). Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, b, w=(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Theorem \(6, Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((b)^{j}\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(1 \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). Then \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, b,(b)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of odd length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}-2\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}-1\) to \(2^{n}-3\).

Case II: Suppose that \(u\) and \(v\) belong to the same partite set of \(Q_{n}\). This case is similar to Case I and the details are described in Appendix A.

\section*{6. Conclusion}

Fault tolerance is an important research issue in the area of interconnection networks. Since linear array and rings are two of the most fundamental structures, the node-fault and link-fault tolerance are widely investigated for path embedding in various kinds of network topologies. By induction, we show that a conditionally faulty \(Q_{n}\), with \(2 n-5\) faulty links, has a fault-free path of odd (resp. even) length in the range from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n}-1\) between two arbitrary nodes of odd (resp. even) distance \(d^{*}\).

Let \(\operatorname{Pr}(n)\) denote the probability that every node of an \(n\)-cube containing \(2 n-5\) faulty links is incident to at least two fault-free links. Then \(\operatorname{Pr}(n)\) is computed as follows: \(\operatorname{Pr}(n)=1\) if \(n=3 ; \operatorname{Pr}(n)=1-\frac{2^{n} \times\left(2^{n} n-5\right)}{\binom{n \times 2 n-1}{2 n-5}}\) if \(n=4\); \(\operatorname{Pr}(n)=\) \(1-\frac{2^{n} \times\binom{ n \times n-1}{n-5}+2^{n} \times\left(\begin{array}{c}n-1\end{array}\right)\binom{n \times 2_{n}^{n-1}-n}{n-4}}{\binom{n 2^{2 n-1}}{2 n-5}}\) if \(n \geqslant 5\). One can verify that \(\operatorname{Pr}(n)\) approaches to 1 as \(n\) increases. Thus, the assumption of conditional link-faults is probabilistically reasonable.

Let \(u\) be any node of \(Q_{n}\) and let \(v=\left((u)^{0}\right)^{1}\). Suppose that \(F=\left\{\left(u,(u)^{i}\right) \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left(v,(v)^{i}\right) \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right\}\) is a set of \(2 n-4\) faulty links in \(Q_{n}\). Obviously, \(Q_{n}-F\) has no hamiltonian paths joining \(u\) and \((u)^{1}\). That is, an \(n\)-cube with \(2 n-4\) or more conditional link-faults is likely to have no paths of some specific lengths. In this sense, our result is optimal. A number of researchers [ \(5,8,10,22,23\) ] addressed the fault-tolerant hamiltonicity (or hamiltonian connectivity) in some special classes of network topologies under the consideration of conditional fault model. For example, the crossed cube [3], which is a variation of hypercubes, possesses some properties superior to the hypercube. Fu [6] showed that a conditionally faulty \(n\) dimensional crossed cube contains a fault-free hamiltonian cycle even if it has \(2 n-5\) faulty links. Hence, it is intriguing to study fault-tolerant path embedding on crossed cubes under the assumption of conditional faults.
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\section*{Appendix A. Case II in proof of Theorem 9}

Case II: Suppose that \(u\) and \(v\) belong to the same partite set of \(Q_{n}\). Thus, the distance \(d^{*}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) is even. Without loss of generality, we assume that \(u, v \in V_{0}\left(Q_{n}\right)\). By Theorem 7, \(Q_{n}-F\) is strongly hamiltonian laceable. Moreover, a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) can be obtained by a breadth-first search. Hence, we concentrate on the paths of even lengths in the range from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n}-4\).

Subcase II.1: Suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\) and \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|\). Thus, \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\).

Subcase II.1.1: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) has a path \(H_{0}\) of length \(2^{n-1}-2\) between \(u\) and \(v\). Let \(A=\left\{\left(H_{0}(i), H_{0}(i+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1, i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(H_{0}\). First, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|>0\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5-\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), there exists a link \((w, b)\) of \(A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Next, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=0\) and \(n \geqslant 5\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5\), there still exists a link \((w, b)\) of \(A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Finally, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=0\) and \(n=4\). If there does not exist any node \(z\) of \(V_{1}\left(Q_{4}^{j, 0}\right)\) such that \(\left(z,(z)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, there must exist a link \((w, b)\) on \(H_{0}\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. If there exists a node \(z\) of \(V_{1}\left(Q_{4}^{j, 0}\right)\) such that \(\left(z,(z)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, then it follows from Theorem 5 that \(Q_{4}^{j, 0}-\{z\}\) has a hamiltonian path, still namely \(H_{0}\), between \(u\) and \(v\). Obviously, there also exists a link \((w, b)\) on \(H_{0}\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. In summary, \(H_{0}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\), it follows from Theorem 6 that \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(H_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(1 \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, H_{1},(b)^{j}, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length in the range from \(2^{n-1}\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

The paths of lengths less than \(2^{n-1}\) are obtained as follows. By Corollary 2 , we have \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\) and \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\). By inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) has a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any even length from \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v)\) to \(2^{n-1}-2\). If \(d^{*}=h(u, v)\) or \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+4\), then \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v)=d^{*}\). If \(d^{*}=h(u, v)+2\), then \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, v) \leqslant d^{*}+2\).

Subcase II.1.2: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant n-3\), it follows from Lemma 1 that \(d^{*} \leqslant h(u, v)+2\). Thus, \(Q_{n}-F\) has a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) that does not cross the dimension \(j\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any even integer \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(d^{*} \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-2\). Let \(\bar{T}_{1}\) be
a path of length \(2^{n-1}-2\) between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). Moreover, let \(A=\left\{\left(\bar{T}_{1}(i), \bar{T}_{1}(i+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\right.\), \(i \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(\bar{T}_{1}\). First, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|>0\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5-\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), there exists a link \((w, b) \in A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Next, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|=0\) and \(n \geqslant 5\). Since \(|A|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil>2 n-5\), there still exists a link \((w, b) \in A\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Finally, suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right|=0\) and \(n=4\). If there does not exist any node \(z\) of \(V_{1}\left(Q_{4}^{j, 1}\right)\) such that \(\left(z,(z)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, there exists a link \((w, b)\) on \(\bar{T}_{1}\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. If there exists a node \(z\) of \(V_{1}\left(Q_{4}^{j, 1}\right)\) such that \(\left(z,(z)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, Theorem 5 ensures that \(Q_{4}^{j, 1}-\{z\}\) has a hamiltonian path, still namely \(\bar{T}_{1}\), between \(u\) and \(v\). Obviously, there also exists a link \((w, b)\) on \(\bar{T}_{1}\) such that \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right),\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left((w)^{j},(b)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. In summary, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Since \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-7\), it follows from Theorem 8 that \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{0}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\) excluding 3 . As a result, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{0},(b)^{j}, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of any even length in the range from \(2^{n-1}\) to \(2^{n}-2\), excluding \(2^{n-1}+2\).

The path of length \(2^{n-1}+2\) is discussed as follows. When \(n=4,\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 1\). Thus, there exists an integer \(k\) of \(\{0,1,2,3\}-\{j, \operatorname{dim}((w, b))\}\) such that \(\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k}\right), \quad\left((b)^{j},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k}\right)\), and \(\left(\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k}\right)\) are all fault-free. Hence, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k},\left((b)^{j}\right)^{k},(b)^{j}, b, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length 10 . When \(n \geqslant 5\), we have \(|A|-|F|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil-(2 n-5) \geqslant 2\). Thus, there is another link \((x, y)\) of \(A\), other than \((w, b)\), such that \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right),\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right)\) are all fault-free. Without loss of generality, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, R_{1}^{\prime}, w, b, R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x, y, R_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Hence, \(\left\langle u, R_{1}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},(b)^{j}, b, R_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x,(x)^{j},(y)^{j}, R_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+2\).

Subcase II.1.3: Suppose that \(u\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) and \(v\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). By Theorem 2, there exists a shortest path \(P^{*}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}-F\) such that \(P^{*}\) crosses the dimension \(j\) exactly once. Thus, \(P^{*}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, P_{0}, x,(x)^{j}, P_{1}, v\right\rangle\), where \(P_{0}\) is a shortest path joining \(u\) to some node \(x\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) and \(P_{1}\) is a shortest path joining \((x)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\).

Subcase II.1.3.1: Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)>0\) and \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)>0\). By Theorem 6, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) has a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \(v\) for each \(l_{1}\) satisfying \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right) \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\) and \(2 \mid\left(l_{1}-\ell\left(P_{1}\right)\right)\). Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)=1\). By Theorem \(8, Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) has a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(x\) for any odd integer \(l_{0}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\) excluding 3 . Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)>1\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) has a path \(T_{0}\) of length \(l_{0}\) between \(u\) and \(x\) for each \(l_{0}\) satisfying \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right) \leqslant l_{0} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\) and \(2 \mid\left(l_{0}-\ell\left(P_{0}\right)\right)\). Hence, \(\left\langle u, T_{0}, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(l_{0}+l_{1}+1\) in the range from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.1.3.2: Suppose that \(\ell\left(P_{0}\right)=0\) or \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)=0\). With symmetry, we assume \(u=x\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((u)^{j}\) and \(v\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) form \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)\) to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Then \(\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(l_{1}+1\) in the range from \(\ell\left(P_{1}\right)+1=d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}\).

The paths of lengths greater than \(2^{n-1}\) are constructed as follows. Since \(\left|V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{u\}\right|-(2 n-5)>1\) for \(n \geqslant 4\), we can choose a node \(y\) from \(V\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{u\}\) such that \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free and \((y)^{j}\) is not \(v\). Let \(R_{0}\) be a path joining \(u\) to \(y\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\) and \(R_{1}\) be a path joining \((y)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\). Similar to Subcase II.1.3.1, \(H=\left\langle u, R_{0}, y,(y)^{j}, R_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length in the range from \(d^{\prime}=d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)}(u, y)+d_{Q_{n}^{j, 1}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)}\left((y)^{j}, v\right)+1\) to \(2^{n}-2\). By Corollary 3 , we have \(d^{\prime} \leqslant(n+1)+(n-1)+1 \leqslant 2^{n-1}+2\) for \(n \geqslant 4\). Therefore, \(H\) is a path of even length in the range from \(2^{n-1}+2\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.2: Suppose that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-6\) or \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\right)\right| \leqslant 2 n-6\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \(\left|F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\right|=2 n-6\). Thus, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) is fault-free. It is noticed that the faulty links are distributed as shown in Fig. 2.

Subcase II.2.1: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\). Let \((w, b)\) be a faulty link of \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Let \(F_{0}=F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{(w, b)\}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}\) has a path \(P_{l}\) of length \(l\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for any even integer \(l\) from \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)\) to \(2^{n-1}-2\). If \((w, b)\) is on \(P_{l}\), we write \(P_{l}\) as \(\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, w, b, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) and define \(\widetilde{P}_{l}=\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j},(b)^{j}, b, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Otherwise, \(P_{l}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, x, y, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\), where \((x, y)\) is a link on \(P_{l}\) such that both \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Similarly, we define \(\widetilde{P}_{l}=\left\langle u, P_{l}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j},(y)^{j}, y, P_{l}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). Then \(\widetilde{P}_{l}\) is a path of length \(l+2\). By Corollary 2, we have \(d^{*}=d_{Q_{n}-F}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\) and \(d_{Q_{n}^{j,}-F_{0}}(u, v) \leqslant h(u, v)+4\). If \(d_{Q_{n}^{j,}-F_{0}}(u, v)=d^{*}\), then path \(\widetilde{P}_{l}\) is the desired path. Otherwise, if \(d_{Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F_{0}}(u, v)=d^{*}+2\), then \(\widetilde{P}_{l}\) is a path of even length in the range from \(d^{*}+4\) to \(2^{n-1}\). It is noticed that a shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}-F\) can be constructed based on a breadth-first search.

By Theorem 6, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) or a path \(R_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \((y)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-1\). Thus, \(\left\langle u, P_{2^{n-1}-2}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{1},(b)^{j}, b, P_{2^{n-1}-2}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) (or \(\left\langle u, P_{2^{n-1}-2}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j}, R_{1},(y)^{j}, y, P_{2^{n-1}-2}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) ) is a path of even length in the range from \(2^{n-1}\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.2.2: Suppose that both \(u\) and \(v\) are in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Let \(\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\) be a faulty link of \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left((w)^{i},\left((w)^{i}\right)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Since \(n \geqslant 4\), we assume that \(t \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}-\{j, i\}\). Moreover, we assume that \(w \in V_{0}\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\). Let \(X=\left\{\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{k}\right) \mid k \notin\{i, j, t\}\right\}\). Since \(|X|=n-3\), our inductive hypothesis ensures that \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-X\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of even length \(l_{1}\) between \(u\) and \(v\) for \(d^{*} \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-2\). Let \(\bar{T}_{1}\) denote the longest path between \(u\) and \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-X\). It is noted that \(\left((w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}\right)\) is on \(\bar{T}_{1}\). Hence, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime},(w)^{j},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-\left(F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\left\{\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\right\}\right)\) contains a path \(T_{0}\) of odd length \(l_{0}\) between \(w\) to \((w)^{i}\) for \(5 \leqslant l_{0} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). As a result, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime},(w)^{j}, w, T_{0},(w)^{i},\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(2^{n-1}+l_{0}-1\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+4\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Let \(A=\left\{\left(\bar{T}_{1}(k), \bar{T}_{1}(k+1)\right) \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1, k \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\right\}\) be a set of disjoint links on \(\bar{T}_{1}\). Then the paths of lengths \(2^{n-1}\) and \(2^{n-1}+2\) can be obtained as follows. When \(n=4\), we suppose that \(\{p, q, j, i\}=\{0,1,2,3\}\). Since \(\left(w,(w)^{i}\right)\) is faulty, we have either \(\left.\left\{\left(w,(w)^{p}\right),\left((w)^{p},\left((w)^{p}\right)^{i}\right),\left((w)^{p}\right)^{i},(w)^{i}\right)\right\} \cap F=\emptyset\) or \(\left.\left.\left\{\left(w,(w)^{q}\right),\left((w)^{q},\left((w)^{q}\right)^{i}\right),\left((w)^{q}\right)^{i},(w)^{i},(w)^{q}\right)^{i}\right)\right\} \cap F=\emptyset\). Without loss

Table 2
The paths of lengths 10,12 , and 14 between \(u=0101\) and \(v=1001\) in \(Q_{4}-\left\{e_{f},(0001,0101),(0001,1001)\right\}\).
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(e_{f} \in\{(0000,0010),(0010,0011)\}\) & \(\langle u=0101,0100,0110,0111,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0100,0110,0111,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1110,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
\(e_{f}=(0100,0110)\) & \(\langle u=0101,0100,0110,0111,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1110,1010,1011,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0111,0110,0010,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
\(e_{f}=(0110,0111)\) & \(\langle u=0101,0111,0110,0010,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1110,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0111,0110,0010,0011,0001,0000,1000,1100,1110,1010,1011,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0111,0011,0010,0110,0100,0000,1000,1100,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0111,0011,0010,0110,0100,0000,1000,1100,1110,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\) \\
& \(\langle u=0101,0111,0011,0010,0110,0100,0000,1000,1100,1110,1010,1011,1111,1101,1001=v\rangle\)
\end{tabular}
of generality, we assume \(\left.\left\{\left(w,(w)^{p}\right),\left((w)^{p},\left((w)^{p}\right)^{i}\right),\left((w)^{i},(w)^{p}\right)^{i}\right)\right\} \cap F=\emptyset\). Obviously, \(\left\langle u, T_{1}^{\prime},(w)^{j}, w,(w)^{p}, \quad\left((w)^{p}\right)^{i},(w)^{i}\right.\), \(\left.\left((w)^{j}\right)^{i}, T_{1}^{\prime \prime}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}+2\). Moreover, since \(|A|-|F|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2}\right\rceil-(2 n-5)=1\) for \(n=4\), there exists one link \((x, y) \in A\) such that \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right),\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\), and \(\left((x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free. Hence, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, R_{1}, x, y, R_{2}, v\right\rangle\). Obviously, \(\left\langle u, R_{1}, x,(x)^{j},(y)^{j}, y, R_{2}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(2^{n-1}\). When \(n \geqslant 5\), we have \(|A|-|F|=\left\lceil\frac{2^{n-1}-2}{2}\right\rceil-(2 n-5) \geqslant 2\). Thus, there are two links \(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in A\) such that \(\left\{\left(x_{k},\left(x_{k}\right)^{j}\right),\left(y_{k},\left(y_{k}\right)^{j}\right),\left(\left(x_{k}\right)^{j},\left(y_{k}\right)^{j}\right) \mid k=1,2\right\} \cap F=\emptyset\). Hence, \(\bar{T}_{1}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, R_{1}, x_{1}, y_{1}, R_{2}, x_{2}, y_{2}, R_{3}, v\right\rangle\). Obviously, \(\left\langle u, R_{1}, x_{1},\left(x_{1}\right)^{j},\left(y_{1}\right)^{j}, y_{1}, R_{2}, x_{2}, y_{2}, R_{3}, v\right\rangle\) and \(\left\langle u, R_{1}, x_{1},\left(x_{1}\right)^{j},\left(y_{1}\right)^{j}, y_{1}, R_{2}, x_{2},\left(x_{2}\right)^{j},\left(y_{2}\right)^{j}, y_{2}\right.\), \(\left.R_{3}, v\right\rangle\) are paths of length \(2^{n-1}\) and of length \(2^{n-1}+2\), respectively.

Subcase II.2.3: Suppose that \(u\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) and \(v\) is in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). If \(\left(u,(u)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free, the shortest path between \(u\) and \(v\) can be of the form \(\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, P_{1}, v\right\rangle\), where \(P_{1}\) is a shortest path joining \((u)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((u)^{j}\) and \(v\) for \(d^{*}-1 \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). Then \(\left\langle u,(u)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length in the range from \(d^{*}\) to \(2^{n-1}\). If \(\left(u,(u)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, we choose a neighbor of \(u\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)\), namely \(x\), such that \((x)^{j} \neq v\). Obviously, we have either \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)=h(u, v)-2\) or \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)=h(u, v)\). Let \(R_{1}\) be a shortest path joining \((x)^{j}\) to \(v\) in \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}\). Then \(\left\langle u, x,(x)^{j}, R_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of length \(h(u, v)\) or \(h(u, v)+2\). By Theorem \(6, Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of even length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \(v\) for any even integer \(l_{1}\) from \(h\left((x)^{j}, v\right)\) to \(2^{n-1}-2\). Then \(\left\langle u, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length in the range from \(d^{*}+2\) to \(2^{n-1}\).

The paths of lengths greater than \(2^{n-1}\) are obtained as follows. Let \((w, b)\) be a faulty link in \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\) such that both \(\left(w,(w)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(b,(b)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free. Depending on whether \(\left(v,(v)^{j}\right)\) is faulty, we distinguish two subcases.

Subcase II.2.3.1: Suppose that \(\left(v,(v)^{j}\right)\) is fault-free. By the inductive hypothesis, \(Q_{n}^{j, 0}-\left(F\left(Q_{n}^{j, 0}\right)-\{(w, b)\}\right)\) contains a path \(H_{0}\) of length \(2^{n-1}-1\) between \(u\) to \((v)^{j}\).

Subcase II.2.3.1.a: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is not located on \(H_{0}\). We choose a link \((x, y)\) on \(H_{0}\) such that \(\left(x,(x)^{j}\right)\) and \(\left(y,(y)^{j}\right)\) are fault-free and \(\left((x)^{j},(y)^{j}\right)\) is not incident with \(v\). Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, x, y, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Lemma 3, \(Q_{n}^{j, 1}-\{v\}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((x)^{j}\) and \((y)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-3\). Consequently, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, x,(x)^{j}, T_{1},(y)^{j}, y, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}+1\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+2\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.2.3.1.b: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is located on \(H_{0}\) and \((w, b)\) is not incident with \((v)^{j}\). Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be represented as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Lemma \(3, Q_{n}^{j, 1}-\{v\}\) contains a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \((b)^{j}\) for any odd integer \(l_{1}\) from 1 to \(2^{n-1}-3\). Then \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{1},(b)^{j}, b, H_{0}^{\prime \prime},(v)^{j}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}+1\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}+2\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.2.3.1.c: Suppose that \((w, b)\) is on \(H_{0}\) and \((w, b)\) is incident with \((v)^{j}\). Let \(b=(v)^{j}\). Thus, \(H_{0}\) can be written as \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w, b=(v)^{j}\right\rangle\). By Theorem \(6, Q_{n}^{j, 1}\) has a path \(T_{1}\) of odd length \(l_{1}\) between \((w)^{j}\) and \(v\) for \(1 \leqslant l_{1} \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1\). Thus, \(\left\langle u, H_{0}^{\prime}, w,(w)^{j}, T_{1}, v\right\rangle\) is a path of even length \(2^{n-1}+l_{1}-1\), in the range from \(2^{n-1}\) to \(2^{n}-2\).

Subcase II.2.3.2: Suppose that \(\left(v,(v)^{j}\right)\) is faulty. According to procedure Partition \(\left(Q_{n}, F, u, v\right)\), this subcase occurs only when \(n=4\) and there is a unique node \(z\) of \(V_{1}\left(Q_{4}\right)\) such that both \((z, u)\) and \((z, v)\) are faulty links. In addition, each faulty link corresponds to a unique dimension. By transitivity, we assume that \(z=0001, u=0101\), and \(v=1001\). Then the paths obtained by brute force are listed in Table 2.
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