


# 在轉換空間中識別人類室內活動

學生：卓聖田

指導教授：張志永博士

國立交通大學電機與控制工程研究所

## 摘要



從串流視訊影像資料中識別人類活動有相當多應用，例如人機介面、安全監控系統、居家看護等等。在視訊影像資料處理上影像的維度相當大，並且造成辨識上的困難。因此，這些影像資料通常會利用某些資料轉換以縮減維度，例如主成份分析（principle component analysis）、小波轉換（wavelet）等等。

本論文的目的是提供一個能夠自動監控、追蹤並且辨識人類活動的系統。我們利用資料轉換將影像轉換至標準空間，提供一個能夠在視訊影像中辨識人類活動的系統。在我們的系統中，每一影像序列的前景人物會利用一個統計的背景模型而被抽取出來，並以二值化影像代替。背景模型會使用到連續影像的相除（frame ratio）。接著，二值化影像經由特徵空間及標準空間轉換投影至標準空間。最後人類活動的識別在標準空間中完成。我們所提供的系統對每一類的動作僅使用幾個必要且有效的樣板來代表而不使用全部的影像序列，也就是對影像序列作降頻取樣，這麼做的好處是可以降低辨識問題的複雜度、減低運算負載並且增加辨識率。我們提出的這個系統僅僅使用

這些二值化的影像來辨識前景人物的動作，而且沒有參考其他任何資訊例如位置、路徑或速度等等，並有相當高的辨識率。



# Recognizing Indoor Human Activity in Canonical Space

STUDENT: Sheng - Tien Cho

ADVISOR: Dr. JYH-YEONG CHANG

Institute of Electrical and Control Engineering

National Chiao-Tung University



Human activity recognition from video streams has a wide range of application such as human-machine interface, security surveillance, home care system, etc. In video processing, the size of image sequence is usually extremely large so that the human activity is difficult to recognize. Therefore, data transformation is usually taken such as principle component analysis, wavelet, etc.

The objective of this thesis is to provide a human-like system to auto-surveillance and to track people and identify their activities. We present a system for video-based human activity recognition by transforming the images into canonical space. In our system, foreground subject is first extracted as the binary image by a statistical background model using frame ratio which is robust to illumination change, and then transformed by eigenspace and

canonical space transformation, and recognition is done in canonical space. By using several essential templates to represent an activity, our proposed system can recognize the activity of the subject by down sampling the image sequence instead of all consecutive image frames in order to reduce the recognition complexity, decrease the computational load, and improve the recognition performance. Without referring any geographic information such as location, path, and velocity of the subject, our proposed system uses only the binary images of subject to recognize the activity and works very well.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Jyh-Yeong Chang for valuable suggestions, guidance, support and inspiration he provided. Without his advice, it is impossible to complete this research. Thanks are also given to all of my lab members for their suggestion and discussion, especially to Chien-Wen Cho. Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family and my girl friend for their concern, support and encouragements.



# Content

<b>摘要</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>Content</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2 Fundamentals of Eigenspace and Canonical Space Transformation</b> .....	<b>8</b>
2.1 Eigenspace Transformation (EST) .....	10
2.2 Canonical Space Transformation (CST) .....	12
<b>Chapter 3 Human Activity Recognition System</b> .....	<b>15</b>
3.1.1 Background Modeling by Frame Ratio .....	15
3.1.2 Background Model .....	18
3.1.3 Foreground subject extraction .....	19
3.2 Activity template selection .....	23



3.3	Classification Algorithm.....	27
3.3.1	Nearest Neighbor Algorithm .....	28
3.3.2	Maximum Likelihood Algorithm .....	29
3.4	Recognition Algorithm for Implementation with Real-Time Consideration.....	30
3.4.1	Algorithm 1 .....	31
3.4.2	Algorithm 2 .....	32
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Experimental Results.....</b>	<b>34</b>
4.1	Experiment 1 .....	34
4.2	Experiment 2 .....	38
4.3	Experiment 3: Cross Validation Check .....	40
4.4	Summary .....	42
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>References.....</b>		<b>46</b>



## List of Figures

Fig. 1.	Four sampled video of a man walking. (a) Frame 26, (b) frame 36, (c) frame 42, and (d) frame 50. ....	4
Fig. 2.	The building blocks of human activity recognition system. ....	5
Fig. 3.	The state transfer diagram for activity recognition. ....	7
Fig. 4.	The comparison between frame ratio and frame difference. (a) Background image, (b) image frame, (c) frame difference, (d) frame ratio, (e) histogram of frame difference, (f) histogram of frame ratio, (g) foreground pixels of frame difference after simple thresholding, and (h) foreground pixels of frame ratio after simple thresholding. ....	16
Fig. 5.	An example of foreground region extraction for different threshold values. (a) An image frame, (b) $k = 1.01$ , (c) $k = 1.03$ , (d) $k = 1.05$ , (e) $k = 1.15$ , (f) $k = 1.25$ , (g) $k = 1.39$ , and (h) $k = 1.97$ . ....	21
Fig. 6.	An example of foreground region extraction. (a) An image frame, (b) binary image after background analysis, (c) projection of (b) onto X direction, (d) projection of (b) onto Y direction, (e) foreground region extracted. ....	22
Fig. 7.	The essential templates of activity “walk from right to left.” ....	24
Fig. 8.	The six contiguous frames. (a) Frame 28, (b) frame 29, (c) frame 30, (d) frame 31, (e) frame 32, (a) frame 33. ....	25
Fig. 9.	“Jumping” contains “common states” (a), (b), (c), and (d) while “crouching” contains (a), (b), (c) and (e). ....	26
Fig. 10	The structure of algorithm 1. ....	32
Fig. 11.	The structure of Algorithm 2. ....	33
Fig. 12.	Effects of a skirting board. (a) Image with a skirting board, (b) image without a skirting board, (c) binary image after subject extraction of (a), (d) binary image	



after extraction of (b).....34

Fig. 13. A partial list of classification by maximum likelihood recognition algorithm. ....43

Fig. 14. A partial list of classification by implement consideration Algorithm 2. ....44



## List of Tables

Table I	The recognition rates of nearest neighbor and maximum likelihood recognition algorithm in Experiment 1.....	36
Table II	The recognition rates of Algorithm 1 in Experiment 1.....	37
Table III	The recognition rates of Algorithm 2 in Experiment 1.....	37
Table IV	The recognition rates of nearest neighbor and maximum likelihood recognition algorithms in Experiment 2. ....	38
Table V	The recognition rates of Algorithm 1 in Experiment 2.....	39
Table VI	The recognition rates of Algorithm 2 in Experiment 2.....	39
Table VII	The cross-validation recognition rate comparisons of nearest neighbor and maximum likelihood recognition algorithms in Experiment 3.....	40
Table VIII	The cross-validation recognition rates of Algorithm 1 in Experiment 3. ....	41
Table IX	The cross-validation recognition rates of Algorithm 2 in Experiment 3. ....	41