鄰近垂直岩石界面對靜止土壓力之影響

研究生:陳南成 指導教授:方永壽 博士

國立交通大學土木工程學系碩士班

摘要

本論文探討鄰近垂直岩石界面對靜止土壓力之影響。在實驗中,本研究以氣 乾之渥太華砂作為回填土,回填土高1.5 公尺。量測於鬆砂(D_r=35%)與緊砂(D_r =72%)狀態下的側向土壓力值。本研究利用國立交通大學模型擋土牆設備來探討 不同擋土牆與界面板間的距離d對土壓力造成的影響。為了模擬堅硬岩石界面, 本研究設計並建造一塊表面鋪上防滑材料的界面板,以及其支撐系統。牆與界面 板間的距離d有 1500、1100、900、700、500、400、300、200、100 與 50 公厘 十種。根據實驗結果,獲得以下各項結論:

- 鬆砂狀態下作用於牆上之側向土壓力oh 隨著牆與界面板之間的距離減少而 減少。Jaky的理論解可視為鄰近垂直岩石界面土壓力分佈的極限值。
- 擋土牆與界面板之間的距離 d 很短的時候(d ≤ 300 mm), 鬆砂狀態下量測到的
 土壓力值比 Rankine 主動土壓力小而且土壓力不再隨深度增加而變化。
- 3. Janssen 理論提供一套可以估計側向土壓力係數與預估牆底傾覆力矩的方法。
- 夯實後的回填土頂部所量測到的土壓力值,與 Rankine 理論所估計的被動土 壓力很接近。
- 5. 夯實後的側向土壓力值隨著牆與界面板間的距離 d 縮小而減少。擋土結構鄰 近垂直岩石界面下,無適當理論可以估計作用在牆上的側向土壓力,因為這 些理論都沒考慮到夯實效應。

Earth Pressure at-Rest near A Vertical Rock Face

Student: Nan-Cheng Chen

Advisor: Dr. Yung-Show Fang

Department of Civil Engineering National Chiao Tung University

Abstract

This paper studies earth pressure at-rest near a vertical rock face. Dry Ottawa sand was used as backfill material. Horizontal earth pressures in loose (Dr = 35%) and compacted (Dr = 72%) soil mass were measured. The height of backfill is 1.5 m. The instrumented model retaining-wall at National Chiao Tung University was used to investigate the variation of earth pressure with different spacing d between model wall and interface plate. To simulate a vertical hard rock face, an interface plate covered with Safety-Walk (anti-slip material) and its supporting system were designed and constructed. The spacings between the wall and interface used are 1500, 1100, 900, 700, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100, and 50 mm. Based on the experiment results, the following conclusions are made.

- The horizontal earth pressure h for loose sand decreases with decreasing spacing d. Jaky's solution can be considered as the upper bound for estimating earth pressure near a vertical rock face.
- 2. When the spacing d is very small (d \leq 300 mm), the measured earth pressure _h is even lower than Rankine's active pressure and appears not to change with depth.
- Janssen's method provides the best estimation for K_{o,h} coefficient under different wall-rock face spacing d. As for the factor of safety against overturning, Janssen's prediction is the best method to estimate the overturning moment (M_o) about the wall base.
- 4. The lateral stress measured near the top is almost identical to the passive earth pressure estimated with Rankine theory.

5. The pressure coefficient K_{o,h} decreases with the decreasing of spacing d. None of the theories provide a good estimate of _h acting on a retaining structure with compacted backfill. Because these theoretical equations did not consider the effects of compaction.



Acknowledgements

The author wishes to give his sincere appreciation to his advisor, Dr. Yung-Show Fang for his continuous encouragement, helpful discussions and enthusiastic suggestions that made this work possible. The author also wants to express his appreciation to the members of his supervisory committee, Dr. Lien-Kwei Chien and Chia-Cheng Fan for their helpful advice and discussions.Very special thanks are extended to Dr. Yii-Wen Pan, Dr. Jyh-Jong Liao, Dr. An-Bin Hung, Dr. Shinn-Yu Shann and Dr. Zhi-Ping Lin for their teching and valuable suggestions.

The author is intended to his classmates for their stimulating suggestions and the donation of their time. Appreciation is extended to Mr. Jiun-Tsz Lin, Mr. Fu-Jyun Wang and Mr. Peng-Hui Hou for their encouragement and assistance.

Finally, the author would dedicate this thesis to his parents, girl friend Zi-Hui Yu, Da-Ying and Fu-Xing softball teams for their continuing encouragement and moral support.



Table of Contents

Abstract (in Chinese)	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Symbols	xiv
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objective of Study	1
1.2 Research Outline	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Earth Pressure at-Rest	4
2.1.1 Coefficient of Earth Pressure	4
2.1.2 Coefficient of Earth Pressure at-Rest	4
2.1.3 Jaky's Formula	6
2.2 Effects of SoilCompaction	7
2.2.1 Study of Peck and Mesri	8
2.2.2 Study of Chen	9
2.3 Methods to Estimate Lateral Pressures on Silos and Bunkers	10
2.3.1 Janssen's Method	10
2.3.2 Reimbert and Reimbert's Method	12
2.3.3 Spangler and Handy's Method	13
2.3.4 Study of Frydman and Keissar	16
3 NCTU NON-YIELDING RETAINING WALL FACILITY	18
3.1 Model Wall	18
3.2 Soil Bin	19
3.3 Data Acquisition System	20

3.4 Soil Compactor	20
4 VERTICAL INTERFACE PLATE AND SUPPORTING	22
4 1 Vertical Interface Plate	22
4 1 1 Steel Interface Plate	22
4 1 2 Steel Beam Reinforcement	23
4 2 Supporting System	23
4.2.1 Top Supporting Beam	23
4.2.2 Base Supporting Frame	24
4.2.3 Base Spacing Plate	24
4.3 Procedure to install Interface Plate	25
4.4 Different Distances d between Interface Plate and Model Wall	26
5 BACKFILL AND INTERFACE CHARACTERISTICS	27
5.1 Backfill Properties	27
5.2 Model Wall Friction	28
5.3 Side Wall Friction	29
5.4 Interface Plate Friction	30
5.5 Control of Soil Density	31
5.5.1 Air Pluviation of Backfill	31
5.5.2 Compaction of Backfill	32
5.5.3 Distribution of Soil Density	33
6 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR LOOSE SAND	35
6.1 Distribution of Earth Pressure at-Rest	35
6.2 Magnitude of at-Rest Soil Thrust	37
6.3 Point of Application of at-Rest Soil Thrust	38
7 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR COMPACTED SAND	39
7.1 Distribution of Earth Pressure at-Rest	39
7.2 Magnitude of at-Rest Soil Thrust	41
7.3 Point of Application of at-Rest Soil Thrust	41
7.4 Comparison between Loose and Compacted Sand	41
8 CONCLUSIONS	42

References	44
Tables	47
Figures	50
Appendix A: Soil Pressure Transducer Calibration	142



List of Tables

No.		Page
3.1	Wall displacements required to reach active state	47
3.2	Technical information of the acentric motor	47
5.1	Properties of Ottawa Sand (after Chen, 2001)	48
6.1	Study of earth pressure for loose sand	49



List of Figures

No.		Page
1.1	Earth pressure at-rest on the basement wall near vertical rock faces	50
1.2	Bridge abutment near a vertical rock face	51
1.3	Fascia wall (after Spangler and Handy, 1982)	52
1.4	Circular silo filled with granular material	53
1.5	Storage bunker filled with granular material	54
2.1	Development of in-situ stresses	55
2.2	Principal stresses in soil element	56
2.3	Jaky's formulation of the relationship between K_o on OC and ϕ mobilized in OAB (after Mesri and Hayat, 1993)	57
2.4	Hand-calculation for estimating σ_h (after Peck and Mesri, 1987)	58
2.5	Distribution of vertical earth pressure measured in soil mass (after Chen, 2003)	59
2.6	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure after compaction (after Chen, 2003)	60
2.7	Horizontal lamina for derivation of Janssen's equations (redrawn after Safarian and Harris, 1985)	61
2.8	Distribution of earth pressure for Janssen's solution with different hydraulic radius R	62
2.9	Lamina of stored material for derivation of the Reimbert's equations (redrawn after Safarian and Harris, 1985)	63
2.10	Distribution of earth pressure for Reimbert's solution with different hydraulic radius R	64
2.11	Free-body diagram for a ditch conduit (after Spangler and Handy, 1982)	65
2.12	Distribution of soil pressure against fascia walls to partial support from wall friction F (after Spangler and Handy, 1982)	66
2.13	Distribution of earth pressure for Spangler and Handy's solution with different distance B	67
2.14	Comparison of earth pressure calculated with different theories for $d = 900 \text{ mm}$	68
2.15	Model retaining wall (after Frydman and Keissar, 1987)	69

3.1	NCTU non-yielding retaining wall	70
3.2	Locations of soil-pressure transducers mounted on the wall (after Chen and Fang, 2002)	71
3.3	Soil pressure transducer (Kyowa PGM-0.2KG)	72
3.4	Data acquisition system	73
3.5	90 mm × 500 mm vibratory soil compactor	74
3.6	Strip vibratory soil compactor	75
37	Acentric force as a function of number of acentric plate (Mikasa	77
	KJ75)	
4.1	2100 mm × 1497 mm steel interface plate	78
4.2	Steel interface plate	79
4.3	Top supporting beam	80
4.4	Base supporting frame	81
4.5	Combination of base supporting frames d = 900 mm	83
4.6	Model retaining wall near a vertical interface plate with d = 900 mm	84
4.7	Base spacing plates	85
4.8	Combination of base spacing plates for $d = 900 \text{ mm}$	86
4.9	For d = 900 mm between interface plate and model wall	87
4.10	Different spacing d between interface plate and model wall	88
4.11	For $d = 1500$ mm between interface plate and model wall	89
4.12	For $d = 50$ mm between interface plate and model wall	90
5.1	Grain size distribution of Ottawa sand (after Chen, 2001)	91
5.2	Shear box of direct shear test device (after Wu, 1992)	92
5.3	Relationship between unit weight and internal friction angle ϕ (after Chang, 2000)	93
5.4	Direct shear test arrangement to determinate wall friction angle w (after Ho, 1999)	94
5.5	Relationship between unit weight and wall friction angle w (after Ho, 1999)	95
5.6	Plastic sheets lubrication layer hung on the side wall	96
5.7	Schematic diagram of sliding block test. (after Fang et al., 2004)	97
5.8	Sliding block test apparatus (after Fang et al., 2004)	98

5.9	Variation of interface friction angle _p with normal stress (after Fang et al., 2004)	99
5.10	Direct shear test to determinate friction angle _i of the interface plate with Safety-Walk	100
5.11	Relationship between unit weight γ and interface plate friction angle δ_i	101
5.12	Relationship between unit weight and friction angle for different types of interfaces	102
5.13	Soil hopper	103
5.14	Air-pluviation of the Ottawa sand into soil bin	104
5.15	Distribution of soil density with depth for sand compacted with the 90 mm \times 500 mm strip compactor	105
5.16	Backfill compacted with 90 mm \times 500 mm strip compactor	106
5.17	Backfill compacted with 90 mm \times 500 mm compactor for d = 900 mm	107
5.18	Backfill compacted with 90 mm \times 500 mm compactor in 10 lanes	108
5.19	Dimensions of soil density cup	109
5.20	Soil density cup	110
5.21	Locations of soil density control cups at the same elevation	111
5.22	Procedure of density control test	112
5.23	Distribution of soil density for loose and compacted sand	113
6.1	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 1500$ mm	114
6.2	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 1100$ mm	115
6.3	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 900$ mm	115
6.4	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 700$ mm	116
6.5	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 500$ mm	116
6.6	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 400$ mm	117
6.7	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 300$ mm	117
6.8	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 200$ mm	118

6.9	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 100$ mm	118
6.10	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand with $d = 50$ mm	119
6.11	Distribution of horizontal pressure for water and sand with $d = 200$ mm	120
6.12	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for loose sand at different spacing d	121
6.13	Distribution of K _{o,h} for loose sand at different spacing d	122
6.14	Error of K _{o,h} estimated with different methods	123
6.15	Point of application for loose sand at different spacing d	124
6.16	Distribution of overturning moments about the base for loose sand with different spacing d	125
7.1	Different spacing d between interface plate and model wall	126
7.2	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 1500 mm	127
7.3	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 1100 mm	128
7.4	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 900 mm	129
7.5	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 700 mm	130
7.6	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with $d = 500 \text{ mm}$	131
7.7	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 400 mm	132
7.8	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 300 mm	133
7.9	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with d = 200 mm	134
7.10	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand with $d = 100 \text{ mm}$	135
7.11	Distribution of horizontal earth pressure for compacted sand at different spacing d	136
7.12	Distribution of $K_{o,h}$ for compacted sand with different spacing d	137
7.13	Error of K _{o,h} estimated with different methods	138

7.14	Point of application	for compacted sand	with different spacing d	139
------	----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------	-----

- 7.15 Comparison of $K_{o,h}$ for loose and compacted sand with different spacing d 140
- 7.16 Comparison of h/H for loose and compacted sand with different spacing d 141



List of Symbols

: Area of horizontal cross section through the silo
: Characteristic abscissa
: Coefficient of uniformity
: Distance between interface plate and model wall
: Relative density
: Young's modulus
: Maximum void ratio of soil
: Minimum void ratio of soil
: Interface plate friction
: Wall friction
: Specific gravity of soil
: Height of the wall
: Coefficient of earth pressure at-rest
: Coefficient of earth pressure at-rest near a rock face
: Overturning moments
: Horizontal resultant force
: Static vertical pressure at depth Y
: Hydraulic radius
: Wall movement
: Perimeter of horizontal cross section
: Horizontal water pressure
: Soil volume
: Dry soil weight
: Depth
: Internal friction angle of soil
: Constant-volume friction angle
: Friction angle
: Interface plate friction angle

δ_p	: Plastic sheets friction angle
$\delta_{\rm w}$: Wall friction angle
$\Delta\sigma_{h,ci}$: Peak compaction-influenced horizontal pressure
$\Delta\sigma_n$: Lateral earth pressure increase resulted from the surface compaction loading the last backfill lift
γ	: Unit weight of soil
γ_d	: Dry unit weight of soil
$\gamma_{ m w}$: Unit weight of water
ν	: Poisson's ratio
μ	: Coefficient of friction
σ_{cyc}	: Cyclic normal stress
$\sigma_{\rm h}$: Horizontal earth pressure
$\sigma_{\rm n}$: Normal stress
$\sigma_{\rm v}$: Overburden earth pressure
3	: Strain