國 立 交 通 大 學

電信工程研究所

碩 士 論 文

ШЪ

制定饋入低剖面天線的標準流程圖及使用反射 相位萃取人造磁導體頻帶

Formulation of a Standard Flow Chart of Feeding the Low Profile Antenna and Extraction of the Artificial Magnetic Conductor Band from the Reflection Phases

研究生:黃建融 (Chien-Jung Huang)

指導教授:黃謀勤 博士 (Dr. Malcolm Ng Mou Kehn)

中 華 民 國 102 年 8 月

制定饋入低剖面天線的標準流程圖及使用反射相位萃取人 造磁導體頻帶 Formulation of a Standard Flow Chart of Feeding the Low Profile Antenna and Extraction of the Artificial Magnetic Conductor Band from the Reflection Phases

Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

中華民國一 O 二年八月

制定饋入低剖面天線的標準流程圖及使用反射相位萃取人造磁導體 頻帶

學生:黃建融 第十四十四 第一 指導教授:黃謀勤 博士

國立交通大學電信工程研究所碩士班

摘 要

在設計低剖面天線時最常使用的方法就是將傳統天線和高阻抗平 面組合在一起,這是因為高阻抗平面可以模擬完美磁導體的特性,而 用以增加天線的輻射。但儘管如此,如何去饋入這樣的低剖面天線仍 然是一個很大的研究課題,這是因為高阻抗平面雖然擁有可以近似人 工磁導體的條件,但卻被頻率所限制。這代表高阻抗平面只能在特定 的頻率範圍內才能擁有完美磁導體的特性,而這個頻帶就稱為人工磁 導體頻帶。目前對於低剖面天線已經有了非常多且深入的研究資料, 但仍然沒有一個有系統的饋入方法。有鑑於此,制定一個具有可重複 性的系統方法去匹配低剖面天線就成為了我們想要達成的目的。

為了實現我們的想法,我們必須先了解反射相位,這是因為當我們 談論到高阻抗平面結構時,很多研究者會使用反射相位來描述它的人 工磁導體的頻帶,此頻帶位於反射相位±45°之間(其反射相位 0°具有 完美磁導體的特性)。儘管如此,這並沒有考慮到傳統天線的輻射場 型。由於一般在定義反射相位時,最常見的是使用垂直入射的雷磁波 去測量,但在高阻抗平面上的傳統天線所發出之電磁波並非只有垂直 入射。因此,我們的首要任務就是去了解並分析傳統天線的場型,再 用以萃取人工磁導體頻帶。

i

Formulate a Standard Flow Chart of Feeding the Low Profile Antenna

and Extract the AMC Band from the Reflection Phases

student:Chien-Jung Huang Advisors:Dr. Malcolm Ng Mou Kehn

Institute of Communications Engineering National Chiao Tung University

ABSTRACT WWW.

A popular way to design a low profile antenna is by combining the traditional antenna with a high impedance surface (HIS), because the HIS can mimic the property of the perfect magnetic conductor (PMC) to improve the radiation. However, the way to feed the low profile antenna is still a big issue because the artificial magnetic conductor (AMC) condition of the HIS is a frequency-dependent property. It means the frequency band in which the PMC property of the HIS prevails is limited. This band is termed as the AMC region. There had been intensive research performed on the low profile antenna but there has not been a consistent, let alone identical method for feeding the low profile antenna. In consideration of that, matching the low profile antenna by a systematic way is our purpose.

To fulfill our idea, we should introduce the reflection phase first, because when talking about the HIS structure, many researchers usually characterize the AMC region of the HIS by the frequency range in which the reflection phase lies between $\pm 45^{\circ}$ (0° of reflection phase pertains to PMC property). However, this does not consider the radiation pattern of the traditional antenna. Because the reflection phase of the general definition is defined by the normal incident wave, the waves excited by the traditional antenna on the HIS are not all normal incident waves toward the HIS. Therefore surveying the pattern of the traditional antenna becomes the priority to extract the AMC band.

能夠完成這篇論文,首先要感謝的是我的指導教授 黃謀勤博士, 在經由他的指導與建議之下,使得我在研究的路途上能有一個明確的 方向。在他的鼓勵之下,還去參加了國際研討會(APMC),體驗了和國 際學者的交流與互動。

再來要感謝的是我實驗室的同學,菜埔和阿嘉,在這研究所的兩年, 我們一起修課、寫計劃書、做研究和實驗以及處理雜事,謝謝他們的 幫忙才能使我在研究所的生活如此順利。另外也十分感謝其他實驗室 的朋友們,在研究實驗及量測上提供了許多幫忙,特別感謝蔡宜哲博 班學長,給予我非常多的幫助。還有多虧南更、偉全、諸葛(釋伊夏)、 永勳(斬無私)的適時加入,讓實驗室增加了許多歡樂。

而我也十分感謝電信所上的老師們,從他們所開設的課上,我學習 到了很多的知識,如果我之後能在電信領域有一點點的貢獻,肯定都 是要歸功於他們的付出。

最後,我要謝謝在我背後支持及鼓勵我的家人及朋友,讓我在研究 所的這兩年,能夠有非常開心的生活,使我每天充滿動力,去迎接每 一天的挑戰。

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

It has become increasingly important to use many antennas of different kinds for various purposes ever since the explosive growth of wireless communications technology. There are many applications such as mobile phones, vehicular communications, digital house systems, and so on. Typically, compact planar antennas are required. For most applications, it is important for planar antennas to be also low profile [1]–[3], so that they can be applied to vehicles and digital houses with minimal protrusion due to their thin thicknesses. A popular way to design a low profile antenna is by combining the traditional antenna with a high impedance surface (HIS) [3]–[9], because the HIS can mimic the property of the perfect magnetic conductor (PMC) to improve the radiation. However, the way to feed the antenna is still a big issue because the artificial magnetic conductor (AMC) [2, 10] condition of the HIS is a frequency-dependent property. It means the frequency band in which the PMC property of the HIS prevails is limited. This band is termed as the AMC region. There had been intensive research performed on the low profile antenna but there has not been a consistent, let alone identical method [3, 9] for feeding the antenna. In consideration of that, matching the low profile antenna by a systematic way is our purpose since the antenna has good radiation property only if the feeding power can be transmitted to the antenna. Therefore a systematic method for feeding the low profile antenna is first sought. The design procedure includes three parts: first, simulate the traditional antenna on the PMC to find out the impedance of the low profile antenna; second, design the feeding network circuit to match the low profile

antenna; third, use the HIS to substitute for the PMC and get good agreement with our expectation. To fulfill our idea, we should introduce the reflection phase [1, 11] first, because when talking about the HIS structure, many researchers usually characterize the AMC region of the HIS by the frequency range in which the reflection phase lies between \pm 45° [3, 6] (0° of reflection phase pertains to PMC property). The design procedure developed in this thesis is systematic and general.

1.2 High impedance surface

Before introducing the HIS, we should introduce the two ideal physical entities used in electromagnetic theory. One of them is the perfect electric conductor (PEC), over which the surface tangential electric field $(\overrightarrow{E_t})$ vanishes, whereas the tangential magnetic field $(\overrightarrow{H_t})$ must not be zero. As the $|\overrightarrow{F_t}|$ divided by the $|\overrightarrow{H_t}|$ equals to zero, the PEC is a zero impedance surface, as shown in [Figure 1.1](#page-14-1) (a). The other is the perfect magnetic conductor (PMC), whose tangential electric field $\overrightarrow{E_t}$ on the surface must not be zero, whereas the $\overrightarrow{H_t}$ must be vanish. For this case, the $|\overrightarrow{E_t}|$ divided by the $|\overrightarrow{H_t}|$ is infinity, so the PMC is an infinite impedance surface, as shown in Figure [1.1](#page-14-1) (b). The so-called HIS bears the property whereby the $\overline{E_t}$ on the surface is not zero, and the $\overrightarrow{H_t}$ is very small. In this way, the $|\overrightarrow{E_t}|$ divided by the $|\overrightarrow{H_t}|$ is a very high value, such that the HIS is regarded as a high impedance surface, as shown in [Figure 1.1](#page-14-1) (c).

Figure 1.1 (a) The $\overrightarrow{E_t}$ and $\overrightarrow{H_t}$ on the PEC and their relationship. (b) The $\overrightarrow{E_t}$ and $\overrightarrow{H_t}$ on the PMC and their relationship. (c) The $\overrightarrow{F_t}$ and $\overrightarrow{H_t}$ on the HIS and their relationship.

1.3 Low profile antenna

According to the image theory, the electric current source can be mirrored about the surfaces of both the electric and magnetic conductors but they have different directions as shown in [Figure 1.2.](#page-15-1) To achieve the concept of the low profile antenna, the distance between the radiation source and the conductor ground plane should be very small and the radiation source should be horizontal. Therefore, if the electric conductor is used as the ground plane, the radiation source of the electric current will be canceled by the image theory. As such, these points to the use of a magnetic conducting ground plane instead of an electric conducting one for achieving low

profile antennas.

In the natural world, the Earth contains an abundance of the good electric conductors, whereas good magnetic conductors do not naturally occur at all. The HIS is one of the substitutes for the magnetic conductor. Unfortunately, though the HIS can mimic the magnetic conductor, it is frequency-dependent. Unlike the wideband nature of the natural electric conductor, the HIS has a limited band to mimic the good magnetic conductor. Consequently, there are still many challenges to apply the HIS, and one of the challenges is to find out where the good magnetic conductor band is. Generally there is one method to find out where the good magnetic conductor band is by interpreting the reflection phase. When looking up the literatures, using the reflection phase to describe the AMC band and then design the low profile antenna is popular.

Figure 1.2 (a) Image property of the PEC. (b) Image property of the PMC.

1.4 Reflection phase

General definition: suppose there is a periodic structure which is extended infinitely, and now emit a plane wave to this periodic structure normally, and then determine the electric field phase difference of the incident wave and the reflected wave. The phase

difference is the reflection phase of this periodic structure. Generally, the reflection phase of an ideal PEC structure is always 180° as shown in [Figure 1.3.](#page-16-0) Otherwise, the reflection phase of an ideal PMC structure is always 0° as shown in [Figure 1.4.](#page-17-0) Both of them do not vary with the frequency.

Figure 1.3 (a) The incident wave and the reflected wave on the PEC. (b) The reflection phase of the PEC.

(a)

20 150 100 Reflection Phase (degree) Reflection Phase (degree)50 0 -50 -100 -150 -200 ₀ $\frac{8}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{10}{3}$ $\frac{12}{3}$ 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 (b)

Figure 1.4 (a) The incident wave and the reflected wave on the PMC. (b) The reflection phase of the PMC.

In the natural world, the property of metals can be similar to that of the PEC, so when we need to use the PEC, we will substitute the metal for the PEC. However, we cannot find materials that are similar to the PMC in the natural world. To fulfill the desire of the PMC, there comes a method which is the HIS concept. We use the property of the periodic structure, and it can reach 0° of the reflection phase as shown in [Figure 1.5.](#page-18-0) The reflection phase can be 0° by the property of the HIS, but the phase will vary with the frequency. Therefore, how to apply HIS to be similar to

the PMC becomes the research topic nowadays.

Figure 1.5 (a) The incident wave and the reflected wave on the HIS. (b) The reflection phase of the HIS.

Measurement: because there is no equipment which can determine the phase difference directly on the surface under test when the plane wave hits the surface, we should utilize the following three steps to obtain the reflection phase.

First step is to use the horn antenna to emit the wave. At a sufficiently large distance away, the wave can be similar to the plane wave. After this plane wave hits the surface under test, use the other horn antenna to receive the reflected wave, and then obtain the phase difference including the space distance as shown in [Figure 1.6.](#page-19-0)

Figure 1.6 (a) The first step of the refection phase measurement (b) The phase difference of the surface under test including the space distance.

Second step is to substitute the metal for the surface under test. Then process the first step where we use the horn antenna to obtain the phase difference as shown in [Figure](#page-20-0) [1.7.](#page-20-0) The significance of this step is that we calibrate the phase difference of the space distance between the horn antenna and the surface under test by it.

Figure 1.7 (a) The second step of the refection phase measurement (b) The phase difference of the metal including the space distance.

Third step is to subtract the phase difference of the metal including the space distance from the phase difference of the surface under test including the space distance and add the metal reflection phase which is 180°. Then after limiting the phase between 180° and −180°, the final data is the reflection phase of this surface under test as shown in [Figure 1.8.](#page-21-0)

Figure 1.8 The third step of the reflection phase measurement (a) The phase difference of the surface under test including the space distance. (b) The phase difference of the metal including the space distance. (c) The reflection phase of the metal. (d) The reflection phase of the surface under test.

Chapter 2 Formulate a standard flow chart of feeding the low profile antenna

2.1 Design the standard flow chart of feeding the low profile antenna

Recently there are more and more researches of the low profile antenna, but there is still no systematic method about how to feed the low profile antenna. Therefore there are many different feeding methods when using the different types of the HIS structure and the traditional antenna [3, 9]. Because to transit the energy into the antenna is a very important key point, we develop a standard flow of feeding the low profile antenna containing five steps as shown in [Figure 2.1.](#page-22-2)

Figure 2.1 The standard design flow chart of the low profile antenna

Step 1, find out where the AMC region is of the HIS: utilize the reflection phase to

find out the AMC region of the HIS, and in this region the HIS will have the approximate property of the PMC.

Step 2, Substitute the PMC for HIS: because the low profile antenna is composed of the traditional antenna and the HIS, if the operating frequency is in the AMC region of the HIS, we can substitute the PMC with HIS when simulating by computer. Step 3, obtain the impedance of this low profile antenna: we can get the input impedance of this low profile antenna in the Smith chart by the simulation software. Step 4, design the feeding network circuit: use the matching circuit to adjust the input impedance to near 50 ohms, and then it will own the good return loss we want. Step5, Substitute back the HIS for the PMC: change back the HIS again, and then confirm whether the simulations of the PMC case and the HIS case have the good agreement with the approximate return loss or not.

2.2 The example of the low profile antenna composed of the corrugated surface and the half-wave dipole antenna

At first we use an example to experiment, and we will make use of the corrugated surface as the HIS in this example as shown in [Figure 2.2,](#page-24-0) and the parameter are

 $w = 1.5$ mm, $t = 0.2$ mm, $h = 4$ mm, $\varepsilon_r = 4.3$,

and the material of the metal is copper, and the material of the dielectric is FR4.

Figure 2.2 The structure and the dimension of the corrugated surface.

Step 1: find out the AMC region of this structure, use the method in [0](#page-0-0) to obtain the reflection phase of this structure as shown in [Figure 2.3.](#page-24-1) Generally people think that the property of the HIS is similar to the PMC at the frequency whose reflection phase of the HIS is $0^{\circ}[3, 6]$.

Figure 2.3 The reflection phase of the corrugated surface and the 0° point is at 9.026 GHz.

Then we judge the frequency whose reflection phase is 0° is the same frequency that we will design and operate the low profile antenna at the frequency. Due to [Figure 2.3](#page-24-1) we know the frequency is 9.026 GHz. Because the half-wave dipole antenna has well-known good radiation behavior, in this example we will combine the low profile antenna with the half-wave dipole antenna operating near 9.026 GHz and the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 2.4,](#page-25-0) and its parameters are

 $y = 6.81$ mm, $g = 0.544$ mm, $r = 0.25$ mm, $x = 0.125$ mm,

and the distance between the half-wave dipole antenna and the corrugated surface is far less than the wavelength of the operating frequency 9.026 GHz by about 0.0038 times. It thus conforms to the definition of the low profile antenna.

Figure 2.4 The dimension of the low profile antenna composed of the half-wave dipole antenna and the corrugated surface.

Step 2: utilize the simulation software to substitute the PMC for the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 2.5.](#page-26-0)

Figure 2.6 The impedance of the low profile antenna composed of the PMC.

Step 4: matching circuit can be completed by many different ways, and here we will use the RO4003 printed circuit board as shown in [Figure 2.7.](#page-27-0) Its parameters are

$$
t = 0.178
$$
 mm, $h = 0.508$ mm, $\varepsilon_r = 3.55$,

and it will be designed as the transmission line network to complete this mission.

At first to equalize the current transiting into the half-wave dipole antenna, we need a balun structure as shown in [Figure 2.8,](#page-28-0) and its parameters are

$$
a_1 = 8.68
$$
 mm, $a_2 = 1.131$ mm, $a_3 = 5.787$ mm, $w_1 = 0.8$ mm, $b_1 = 2.579$ mm,
 $b_2 = 4.051$ mm, $b_3 = 1.082$ mm,

and there are two functions of this balun. One is to balance the current, and the other is to adjust the high input impedance to lower the input impedance by about 32 ohms as shown in [Figure 2.9.](#page-28-1)

Figure 2.9 The impedance of the low profile antenna with the balun.

Then the quarter-wave transformer is utilized to cascade the balun and the 50 ohms transmission line as shown in [Figure 2.10,](#page-29-0) and its parameters are

$$
c_1 = 14.1
$$
 mm, $c_2 = 1.7$ mm, $d_1 = 10$ mm, $d_2 = 1.12$ mm,

to complete the feeding network, and we will know the power can be transited in to it at 9.026 GHz because the return loss is about -16.79 dB as shown in [Figure 2.11.](#page-30-0)

Figure 2.10 The structure and the dimension of the transformer to the 50 ohms transmission line.

Figure 2.11 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the PMC.

Step 5: change back the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 2.12,](#page-30-1) and then simulate its return loss as shown in [Figure 2.13.](#page-31-1) Unfortunately, the result of the corrugated surface case does not have the same agreement with the PMC case, because the return loss of the corrugated surface case is only about -9.76 dB. We will explain why the result is not good and how to improve this in the next chapter.

Figure 2.12 Substitute the corrugated surface for the PMC back.

Figure 2.13 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the corrugated surface.

2.3 Verify the simulation result and the measurement result

Although the example in Section [2.2](#page-23-0) does not show good results, we still have to fabricate the actual structure and verify whether the simulations and the measurement results are the same or not. Then we fabricate the real corrugated surface which is similar to the simulation case as shown in [Figure 2.14](#page-32-0) (a), and measure its reflection phase to compare with the simulation result as shown in [Figure 2.14](#page-32-0) (b). In [Figure](#page-32-0) [2.14](#page-32-0) we can know the real corrugated surface is very similar to the simulation structure.

Figure 2.14 (a)The fabrication of the corrugated surface. (b) Its reflection phase.

Then we proceed to fabricating the half-wave dipole antenna and the feeding circuit as shown in [Figure 2.15](#page-33-0) (a), and combine them as shown in [Figure 2.15](#page-33-0) (b). Now measure the return loss of the low profile antenna as shown in [Figure 2.16,](#page-33-1) and we can find out at the frequency 9.026 GHz we concern the return loss is about -8.85 dB, and it is quite close to the simulation result.

(a)

Figure 2.15 (a)The fabrication of the feeding network. (b) The measurement situation of the low profile antenna.

Figure 2.16 The return loss of the low profile antenna.

Chapter 3 Extract the AMC region of the HIS from the radiation pattern of the traditional antenna and the reflection phases of the HIS

At the example in Section [2.2,](#page-23-0) we obtained a result that we do not expect. Therefore finding out which step is wrong becomes the most important mission. After checking the five steps, it is obvious that step 1 is already wrong in that example because step 2 to step 5 are based on the hypothesis of the correct AMC region at step 1, and only if step 1 is correct, the other steps can become functional. In this chapter we aim to find out the AMC region of the HIS when designing the low profile antenna, and also adjust the example in Section [2.2](#page-23-0) to achieve a complete design of the low profile antenna.

3.1 The radiation pattern of the traditional half-wave

dipole antenna 1896

From step 1 in Section [2.2](#page-23-0) the AMC region of the HIS can be determined by using the reflection phase according to the general definition. However, this does not consider the radiation pattern of the antenna. Because the reflection phase of the general definition is defined by the normal incident wave, the waves excited by the traditional antenna on the HIS are not all normal incident waves toward the HIS. Therefore surveying the pattern of the traditional antenna becomes the priority. To adjust the example in Section [2.2,](#page-23-0) we should understand the radiation pattern of the half-wave dipole antenna as shown in [Figure 3.1.](#page-35-0)

Figure 3.1 (a) The 3D pattern of the half-wave dipole antenna. (b) The yz plane pattern.

From [Figure 3.1](#page-35-0) we can know the electromagnetic waves radiated by the half-wave dipole antenna are the strongest towards every direction in the yz plane. Therefore when this antenna is put on the HIS, it is very important to consider that there are many electromagnetic waves with different directions which affect the HIS as shown in [Figure 3.2.](#page-35-1) This is why the example in Section [2.2](#page-23-0) has the wrong result because of considering the reflection phase determined only by the normal incident wave.

Figure 3.2 The waves exited by the half-wave dipole antenna illuminate the HIS with different angles and strengths.
3.2 The global definition of the reflection phase

Global definition: consider an infinite periodic structure illuminated by a plane wave arriving from an arbitrary incident angle [6, 11]. The phase difference of the electric field between the incident wave and the reflected wave is the global reflection phase of the structure. Moreover, the global reflection phases whose electric fields are parallel with the surface under test are TE_{θ} modes and the global reflection phases whose magnetic fields are parallel with the surface under test are TM_{θ} modes, as shown in [Figure 3.3.](#page-36-0)

Figure 3.3 (a) The TE_{θ} definition of the reflection phase. (b) The TM_{θ} definition of the reflection phase.

Measurement: the approach is the same as that of Section [1.4.](#page-15-0) Use two horn antennas to measure the surface under test, and the difference is that in Section [1.4](#page-15-0) we transmit the normal incident wave and in this section we transmit incident waves with different angles when needing the different global reflection phases as shown in [Figure 1.1](#page-14-0) (a). The global reflection phase varies with θ as shown in [Figure 3.4](#page-37-0) (b).

Figure 3.4 (a) The measurement method of the global reflection phase. (b) Three examples of the TE_{θ} mode.

3.3 Extract the AMC region of the HIS

In Section [3.1](#page-34-0) we already know that the greatest strengths of the pattern radiate toward the corrugated surface in the yz plane, and in the yz plane the electric fields of the incident waves are parallel with the corrugated surface, so they are all TE_{θ} modes as shown in [Figure 3.5.](#page-38-0) Therefore we need to obtain the TE_{θ} mode data of the global reflection phases between $\theta = -90^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ to extract the correct AMC region of the corrugated surface in Section [2.2.](#page-23-0)

Figure 3.6 The reflection phases of the corrugated surface.

From [Figure 3.6](#page-38-1) we can observe that $TE_{0^{\circ}}$ curve is what we use to judge the AMC region of the corrugated surface, because the global reflection phase TE_{θ} mode which $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ is the same as the general definition of the reflection phase. It is obvious that **only considering the** TE_0 **is not enough**. According to the radiation pattern in Section [3.1,](#page-34-0) we can observe that the most interactions with the corrugated surface are all the TE_{θ} modes, so the AMC region of the corrugated surface must be deeply related with the TE_{θ} mode waves. Moreover, their radiation strengths are the same, so there is a method to find out the AMC region of the corrugated surface: average these global reflection phase TE_{θ} modes which represent the most interactions between the half-wave dipole antenna and the corrugated surface, and these global reflection phase TE_{θ} modes we need are over the range between $\theta = -90^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ as shown in Equation 1.

 $R_e(f) = \int_{-90^\circ}^{90^\circ} TE_\theta(f) d\theta$ (1)

The R_e represents the effective reflection phase that we use to define the AMC region of the HIS when we use the standard flow chart of feeding the low profile antenna to design the matching circuit. The frequency of the 0° effective reflection phase is where the HIS is most similar to the PMC in the case of the low profile antenna composed of the traditional antenna and the HIS. Comparing with step 1 in Section [2.2,](#page-23-0) that way seems very rough. Now we calculate the effective reflection phase by Equation 1, and then we obtain [Figure 3.7.](#page-40-0) This is the really correct and effective way to use at the example in Section [2.2.](#page-23-0) In the following section we will utilize it well to design the feeding network of the low profile antenna.

Figure 3.7 The effective reflection phase of the corrugated surface.

3.4 Redesign the low profile antenna in Section [2.2](#page-23-0) by the effective reflection phase

From Section [3.1](#page-34-0) to Section [3.3,](#page-37-1) we already know how to extract the AMC region of the HIS to design the low profile antenna. We have this key point, so we can make the standard flow chart of feeding the low profile antenna functional and working well. Now we adjust the example in Section [2.2,](#page-23-0) and show its effect.

Step 1: find out the effective AMC region of the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 3.8.](#page-41-0) After judging by the effective reflection phase, we can obtain the frequency as 9.945 GHz when the $R_e = 0^\circ$, and this is the frequency that the corrugated surface is most similar to the PMC.

Figure 3.8 The corrugated surface's effective reflection phase is 0° at 9.945 GHz.

At this example we can combine the low profile antenna with the half-wave dipole antenna operating near 9.945 GHz and the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 2.4,](#page-25-0) and its parameters are 189

 $y = 6.155$ mm, $g = 0.544$ mm, $r = 0.25$ mm, $x = 0.125$ mm,

and the distance between the half-wave dipole antenna and the corrugated surface is far less than the wavelength of the operating frequency 9.945 GHz by about 0.0041 times. It thus conforms to the definition of the low profile antenna.

Step 2: utilize the simulation software to substitute the PMC for the corrugated surface as shown in [Figure 2.5.](#page-26-0)

Step 3: find out the position of the input impedance in the Smith chart of the low

profile antenna which is substituted by the PMC in [Figure 2.5.](#page-26-0) Then we obtain its input impedance is about 180 ohms as shown in [Figure 3.9.](#page-42-0)

S-Parameter Smith Chart

Figure 3.9 The impedance of the low profile antenna composed of the PMC.

Step 4: use the printed circuit board RO4003 to achieve this mission. Design a balun structure as shown in [Figure 3.10,](#page-43-0) and its parameters are

$$
a_1 = 7.899
$$
 mm, $a_2 = 1.131$ mm, $a_3 = 5.266$ mm, $w_1 = 0.8$ mm, $b_1 = 2.311$ mm,
 $b_2 = 3.597$ mm, $b_3 = 1.069$ mm,

and this balun has two functions. One is to balance the current and the other one is to adjust the higher impedance in the Smith chart to the lower impedance of about 31 ohms, as shown in [Figure 3.11.](#page-43-1)

Figure 3.11 The impedance of the low profile antenna with the balun.

Then utilize the quarter-wave transformer to cascade the balun and the 50 ohms transmission line as shown in [Figure 3.12,](#page-44-0) and its parameters are

$$
c_1 = 12.75
$$
 mm, $c_2 = 1.72$ mm, $d_1 = 10$ mm, $d_2 = 1.12$ mm,

to complete the feeding network, and we will know the power can be transited in to it at 9.945 GHz because the return loss is about -16.38 dB as shown in [Figure 3.13.](#page-45-0)

Figure 3.12 The structure and the dimension of the transformer to the 50 ohms transmission line.

Figure 3.13 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the PMC.

Step 5: change the corrugated surface back again as shown in [Figure 3.14.](#page-45-1) Then simulate its return loss as shown in [Figure 3.15,](#page-46-0) and we can obtain the return loss is about -17.85 dB at 9.945 GHz. This simulation result is exciting because it confirms **that the AMC region of the HIS we find out is correct**, and proves the standard flow chart of feeding the low profile antenna is very functional.

Figure 3.14 Substitute the corrugated surface for the PMC back.

Figure 3.15 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the corrugated surface.

3.5 Verify the simulation result and the measurement result

Although the results in Section [3.4](#page-40-1) conform to our expectation, we still have to verify whether the simulation and the fabrication are the same or not. As such, we measure the global reflection phases of a manufactured prototype and compare with the simulation results, as shown in [Figure 3.16.](#page-47-0) We can observe that the measured and the simulated reflection phases are in decently approximate agreement.

Figure 3.16 The fabrication of the corrugated surface's global reflection phase examples.

We manufacture the feeding circuit as shown in [Figure 3.17](#page-48-0) (a), and the whole low profile antenna is accomplished as shown in [Figure 3.17](#page-48-0) (b). After measuring this low profile antenna, we get the return loss as shown in [Figure 3.18,](#page-48-1) and it is about -17.95 dB at 9.945 GHz. It shows good agreement with the simulation result.

Figure 3.17 (a)The fabrication of the feeding network. (b) The measurement situation of the low profile antenna. 8 9 6

Figure 3.18 The return loss of the low profile antenna.

Chapter 4 Apply to the different type of the HIS

In the previous three chapters we have described the standard procedure of how to design the low profile antenna, and also have used two examples to show how to utilize the procedure. In this chapter we will aim to extend to another HIS structure, to verify the **repeatability of the procedure**.

4.1 Frequency selective surface (FSS)

In the previous two chapters, the two examples all used the corrugated surface to represent the HIS, and utilized our extraction method from those global reflection phases to approach the PMC property. In this chapter, we will adapt the FSS [12] to design the low profile antenna, and the emphasis of this chapter is that, if we can utilize the same systematic procedure to complete the design of different types of the HIS, then this systematic procedure will be effective and powerful. The dimension and the structure of the FSS we use are as shown in [Figure 4.1.](#page-50-0)Its parameters are

 $w = 6$ mm, $g = 1$ mm, $t = 0.035$ mm, $h = 1.6$ mm, $\varepsilon_r = 4.3$,

1896

and the material of the metal is copper and the material of the dielectric is FR4.

Figure 4.1 The structure and the dimension of the FSS.

4.2 Calculate the effective reflection phase according

to the radiation pattern of the traditional antenna

To compare the difference, we still use the half-wave dipole antenna as our traditional antenna, and the only difference is that the FSS is substituted for the corrugated surface. The same method as in Section [3.3](#page-37-1) is then carried out. As shown in [Figure 3.5,](#page-38-0) the global reflection phase TE_{θ} modes of the FSS between $\theta = -90^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ which are computed by CST software is first obtained as shown in [Figure 4.2](#page-51-0) (a), Equation 1 is then used to calculate the effective reflection phase from which the low profile antenna can be designed, as shown in [Figure 4.2](#page-51-0) (b).

(b)

Figure 4.2 (a) The reflection phases of the FSS. (b) The FSS' effective reflection phase is 0° at 8.44 GHz.

4.3 Utilize the standard flow chart to design the low profile antenna

Step 1: by the calculation procedure for the effective reflection phase as laid out in in Section [4.2,](#page-50-1) the frequency corresponding to zero phase is 8.44 GHz. Therefore we combine the low profile antenna with the half-wave dipole antenna operating near 8.44 GHz and the FSS in Section [4.1](#page-49-0) as shown in [Figure 4.3,](#page-52-0) and its parameters are

 $y = 7.381$ mm, $g = 0.544$ mm, $r = 0.5$ mm, $x = 0.09$ mm,

TIP

Figure 4.3 The dimension of the low profile antenna composed of the half-wave dipole antenna and the FSS.

Step 2: utilize the software to substitute the PMC for the FSS, as shown in [Figure 4.4.](#page-53-0)

$$
b_2 = 1.381
$$
 mm, $b_3 = 2.773$ mm,

and there are two functions of this balun. One is to balance the current, and the other one is to adjust the high input impedance to lower the input impedance to about 22 ohms as shown in [Figure 4.7.](#page-56-0)

l. E

to complete the feeding network, and we will know the power can be transited in to it at 8.44 GHz because the return loss is about -26.81 dB as shown in [Figure 4.9.](#page-57-1)

Figure 4.8 The structure and the dimension of the transformer to the 50 ohms transmission line.

Figure 4.9 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the PMC.

Step 5: change the FSS back again as shown in [Figure 4.10.](#page-58-0) Then simulate its return loss as shown in [Figure 4.11,](#page-59-0) and the return loss is about -26.74 dB at 8.44 GHz. This simulation result conforms well to our expectation. This ensures that this newly formulated method is a systematic and repeatable one that is applicable to different types of HIS.

Figure 4.11 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the FSS.

4.4 Verify the simulation result and the measurement result

Although the results in Section [4.4](#page-59-1) conform to our expectation, we still have to verify whether the simulations and the measurement performed on a manufactured prototype are the same or not. The real fabricated FSS is photographed in [Figure 4.12](#page-60-0) (a) and measure its global reflection phases and compare with the simulation results, as shown in [Figure 4.12](#page-60-0) (b). We can observe that the fabrication and the simulation are very approximate.

Figure 4.12 (a)The fabrication of the FSS. (b) Its reflection phases.

We manufacture the feeding circuit as shown in [Figure 4.13](#page-61-0) (a), and the whole low profile antenna is accomplished as shown in [Figure 4.13](#page-61-0) (b). After measuring this low profile antenna, we get the return loss as shown in [Figure 4.14,](#page-61-1) and it is about -26.14 dB at 8.44 GHz. It shows good agreement with the simulation result.

Figure 4.13 (a)The fabrication of the feeding network. (b) The measurement situation of the low profile antenna.

Figure 4.14 The return loss of the low profile antenna.

Chapter 5 Apply to the different type of the traditional antenna

In [Chapter 4](#page-49-1) we succeeded in demonstrating that the design procedure of the proposed standard flow chart can be applied to different types of HIS. In this chapter we will take on the challenge of using different types of traditional antenna. Now we still maintain the research principle of changing one condition at a time when comparing the experiments. In this chapter we will confirm again that when designing the low profile antenna, how to determine the AMC region of the HIS is deeply related to the radiation pattern of the traditional antenna at step 1 of the standard flow chart. In the following section we will adapt the folded dipole antenna and the FSS structure in [Chapter 4](#page-49-1) to combine a low profile antenna.

5.1 The structure and the radiation pattern of the traditional folded dipole antenna

The structure of the folded dipole antenna as shown in [Figure 5.1,](#page-62-0) and this antenna is applied widely in our life. Generally its total length is almost the half wavelength of the operating frequency. Its 3D pattern is as shown in [Figure 5.2](#page-63-0) (a) and it has the most radiation pattern and the similar strengths every direction in the yz plane as shown in [Figure 5.2](#page-63-0) (b).

Figure 5.1 The shape of the folded dipole antenna.

Figure 5.2 (a) The 3D pattern of the folded half-wave dipole antenna. (b) The yz plane pattern.

5.2 Extract the AMC region of the FSS

In Section [5.1](#page-62-1) we already know the pattern of the folded dipole antenna has the approximate strengths in the yz plane, and the electric fields of the waves excited by the folded dipole antenna are all parallel with the FSS as shown in [Figure 5.3.](#page-64-0) Therefore we should obtain the data of the global reflection phase TE_{θ} modes whose θ between -90° and 90° have been as shown in [Figure 4.2](#page-51-0) (a). We have also extracted the effective reflection phase from those global reflection phase TE_{θ} modes for this folded dipole antenna as shown in [Figure 4.2](#page-51-0) (b).

Figure 5.3 The TE_{θ} mode waves excited by the folded dipole antenna on the FSS.

5.3 Utilize the standard flow to design the low profile

antenna

Step 1: in Section [5.2](#page-63-1) we got the effective reflection phase, and the frequency corresponding to zero phase is 8.44 GHz. Therefore we adapt the folded dipole antenna operating near 8.44 GHz and the FSS structure in Section [4.1](#page-49-0) to combine the low profile antenna as shown in [Figure 5.4.](#page-65-0) Its parameters are

w = 1.1 mm, q = 0.1 mm, y = 7.384 mm, g = 0.544 mm, r = 0.5 mm, x = 0.09 mm,

and the distance between the folded dipole antenna and the FSS is far less than the wavelength of the operating frequency 8.44 GHz about 0.0025 times, so it conforms to the definition of the low profile antenna.

Figure 5.5 Substitute the PMC for the FSS.

Step 3: from the Smith chart, find out the position of the input impedance of the low profile antenna which is substituted by the PMC in [Figure 5.5.](#page-65-1) Its input impedance is found to be about $511 + 336i$ ohms as shown in [Figure 5.6.](#page-66-0)

Figure 5.6 The impedance of the low profile antenna composed of the PMC.

Step 4: use the printed circuit board RO4003 to achieve this mission. Design a balun structure as shown in [Figure 5.7,](#page-67-0) and its parameters are

 $a_1 = 6.345$ mm, $a_2 = 10.925$ mm, $w_1 = 0.5$ mm, $b_1 = 2.008$ mm, $b_2 = 1.896$ mm, $b_3 = 1.5$ mm,

and there are two functions of this balun. One is to balance the current, and the other one is to adjust the high input impedance to lower the input impedance to about 10 ohms as shown in [Figure 5.8.](#page-68-0)

to complete the feeding network, and we will know the power can be transited in to it at 8.44 GHz because the return loss is about -20.15 dB as shown in [Figure 5.10.](#page-69-1)

Figure 5.9 The structure and the dimension of the transformer to the 50 ohms transmission line.

Figure 5.10 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the PMC.

Step 5: change the FSS back again as shown in [Figure 5.11.](#page-70-0) Then simulate its return loss as shown in [Figure 5.12,](#page-70-1) and the return loss is about -20.57 dB at 8.44 GHz. This simulation result conforms well to our expectation. Consequently, we prove again that considering the radiation pattern of the different type of the traditional antenna is necessary.

Figure 5.12 The return loss of the low profile antenna including the feeding network with the FSS.

5.4 Verify the simulation result and the measurement result

Although the results in Section [5.3](#page-64-1) conform to our expectation, we still have to verify whether the simulations and the measurements performed on a manufactured prototype are the same or not. The real fabricated FSS and its global reflection phases have been as shown in [Figure 4.12.](#page-60-0)

We manufacture the feeding circuit as shown in [Figure 5.13](#page-72-0) (a), and the whole low profile antenna is accomplished as shown in [Figure 5.13](#page-72-0) (b). After measuring this low profile antenna, we get the return loss as shown in [Figure 5.14,](#page-72-1) and it is about -20.44 dB at 8.44 GHz. It shows good agreement with the simulation result.

Figure 5.13 (a)The fabrication of the feeding network. (b) The measurement situation of the low profile antenna. 6

Figure 5.14 The return loss of the low profile antenna.

Chapter 6 Conclusion

After surveying many researches about the low profile antenna design, we can observe that there are a lot of ways to transmit the power to the low profile antenna. However, the methods of feeding the low profile antenna are case by case, it will cost the researchers a lot of time to try and tune their structure to reach the purpose of getting the good return loss. In view of this, developing the standard design flow seems a milestone of the upgrade about the low profile antenna design. In [Chapter 2](#page-22-0) we create the procedure but still lack a key of finding out the AMC region. Consequently in [Chapter 3](#page-34-0) we grasp the key to solve that issue, and get a very good result. But we think it is still not enough, we should experiment with more cases of different kind of the HIS and the traditional antenna to confirm the truth of the good function of this standard design flow chart. Therefore we extend this procedure to the different kind of the HIS in [Chapter 4](#page-49-0) and the different kind of the traditional antenna in [Chapter 5,](#page-62-0) and the results are also show the very good agreement with our expectation. We hope this systematic method can provide those researchers study in the low profile antenna the simple and clear way to transmit the power to their low profile antenna.

Reference

- [1] F. Yang and Y. Rahmat-Samii, "Reflection phase characterizations of the EBG ground plane for low profile wire antenna applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 2691–2703, Oct. 2003.
- [2] A. P. Feresidis, G. Goussetis, S. Wang, and J. C. Vardaxoglou, "Artificial magnetic conductor surfaces and their application to low-profile high-gain planar antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 209–215, Jan. 2005.
- [3] A. Vallecchi, J. R. D. Luis, F. Capolino, and F. D. Flaviis, "Low profile fully planar folded dipole antenna on a high impedance surface," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 51–62, Jan. 2012.
- [4] S. R. Best and D. L. Hanna, "Design of a broadband dipole in close proximity to an EBG ground plane," *IEEE Antennas Propagat. Mag.*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 52–64, Dec. 2008.
- [5] H. Mosallaei and K. sarabandi, "Antenna miniaturization and bandwidth enhancement using a reactive impedance substrate," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 2403–2414, Sep. 2004.
- [6] C. R. Simovski, P. d. Maagt, and I. V. Melchakova, "High-impedance surfaces having stable resonance with respect to polarization and incidence angle," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 908–914, Mar. 2005.
- [7] D. Sievenpiper, L. Zhang, R. F. J. Broas, N. G. Alexoplous, and E. Yablonovitch, "High-impedance electromagnetic surfaces with a forbidden frequency band," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 2059–2074, Nov. 1999.
- [8] L. Akhoondzadeh-Asl, D. J. Kern, P. S. Hall, and D. H. Werner, "Wideband dipoles on electromagnetic bandgap ground planes," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 2426–2434, Sep. 2007.
- [9] M. Z. Azad and M. Ali, "Novel wideband directional dipole antenna on a mushroom like EBG structure," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 1242–1250, May 2007.
- [10] Y. Zhang, J. v. Hagen, M. Younis, C. Fischer, and W. Wiesbeck, "Planar artificial magnetic conductors and patch antennas," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 2704–2712, Oct. 2003.
- [11] L. Li, X. Dang, L. Wang, B. Li, H. Liu, and C. Liang, "Reflection phase characteristics of plane wave oblique incidence on the mushroom-like electromagnetic band-gap structures," in *Proc. Asian-Pacific Microwave Conf. APMC2005*, Dec. 4–7, 2005, vol. 3, 1606714.
- [12] K. Sarabandi and N. Behdad, "A frequency selective surface with miniaturized elements," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat.*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1239–1245, May 2007.**The Co**

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$