Conclusions

- (1) In the as-quenched condition, the microstructure of the $Cu_{2.9}Mn_{0.1}Al$ alloy was of D0₃ phase containing plate-like γ_1 ' martensite with internal twin. This is similar to that reported by other workers in the Cu₃Al alloy.
- (2) With increasing manganese content to above 5 at.% ($X \ge 0.2$), no evidence of the γ_1 ' martensite could be detected and the D0₃ matrix would be changed to (D0₃+L2₁) phases with a modulation structure. It means that the Ms temperature was decreased with increasing the manganese content.
- (3) The as-quenched microstructures of the $Cu_{2.8}Mn_{0.2}Al$ or $Cu_{2.7}Mn_{0.3}Al$ alloys was of D0₃ phase containing extremely fine L-J precipitates, where the D0₃ phase was formed through the $\beta \rightarrow B2 \rightarrow D0_3$ transition during quenching.
- (4) The as-quenched microstructure of the $Cu_{2.6}Mn_{0.4}Al$ was a mixture of (D0₃+L2₁+L-J) phases with modulation structure,

where the $(D0_3+L2_1)$ phases were formed through the $\beta \rightarrow B2 \rightarrow D0_3+L2_1$ transition during quenching.

- (5) No evidence of the a/4<111> APBs could be determined in the alloy D. However, the a/4<111> APBs were clearly observed in the both alloy B and alloy C. This result seems to suggest that the increase of the manganese content in the Cu-Mn-Al alloys could increase the B2 domain size.
- (6) The size of the D0₃ domains increased with increasing the manganese content. It also means that an increase of manganese content would increase the B2→D0₃ ordering transition temperature.
- (7) The amounts of the L-J particles were increased with increasing the manganese content.