

混合式服務環境中服務品質為基礎之機會排程演算法

學生：江昌叡

指導教授：黃經堯博士

國立交通大學電子工程學系電子研究所碩士班

摘要

802.16e 規格已製訂完成且即將被應用於未來的無線通訊系統中。為了符合未來應用服務之趨勢，未來通訊系統必需考量混合式服務，而非單一的即時性與非即時性服務，而不同服務有不同的服務品質要求，系統需根據不同的要求以支援不同服務以符合其要求之服務品質；除此之外，希望盡量提升系統之應用效能以極大化頻寬使用效率，而適切的排程演算法即扮演了達成此二目的的重要角色。此篇論文中，探討如何妥善地處理混合式服務的排程以確保服務品質要求和提升頻寬使用效率。在論文中首先對非即時性服務提出一新的服務品質之定義，「軟性延遲限制」，利用此概念，即可以類似的標準來一併處理即時性與非即時性服務，以滿足在混合式環境下之對不同服務有著不同的服務品質要求。除此之外，此篇論文提出一創新的排程演算法，「服務品質為基礎之機會排程演算法」，以此演算法提升傳送效率以容納更多使用者，同時能夠達成維持混合式環境中服務品質之要求。此設計概念應用了「最早到達延遲限制者先傳輸」、「機會排程演算法」以及根據到達延遲限制時間將封包區分為不同群組以避免欲傳送之封包發生衝突的概念，以這些概念相結合而開創出「服務品質為基礎之機會排程演算法」，並將該排程演算法應用於 802.16e 系統中。

QoS-based Opportunistic Scheduling Algorithm for Mixed-traffic Environment

Student : Chan-Jui Chian Advisor : Dr. Ching-Yao Huang

Department of Electronics Engineering

Institute of Electronics

National Chiao Tung University

Abstract

The 802.16e standard is standardized and soon be one of the popular technique which will be used in the future wireless communication environment. To meet the future trend, there must be some way to deal with mix-traffic environment to support their different QoS requirement. Besides, utilize the bandwidth efficiently is important to enhance system performance. In this thesis, it gives a QoS definition to non-real-time service, soft delay bound. Using this concept system can easily handle the mix-traffic environment to meet their QoS requirement. Besides, we propose a new algorithm, QoS-based opportunistic algorithm, to utilize the bandwidth efficiently but still maintain the QoS requirement of users, even in the mix-traffic environment. The designing concept uses EDF, opportunistic scheduling, and the mechanism to divide packet into different groups based on their delay bound to avoid packets conflict in one frame. The algorithm will be implemented in 802.16e system.

誌謝

碩士班的時光匆匆，在新竹求學的七年時間將畫下句點。尤其在碩士班的兩年半當中，有很多人跟我因為緣分而相遇，更是我需要去感謝的貴人。也感謝緣份讓我們相遇，能夠陪伴著我度過這段難忘的時光。

首先，我要感謝黃經堯老師，除了在專業上教授了許多無線通訊相關的核心知識，也讓我從只會讀書的大學生，學習如何發掘重要的問題、細心的分析問題、最後解決問題。此外，在做研究的過程中，老師就有如一盞明燈，不時的指引我朝向正確的方向，也鼓勵我要從挫折中振作起來。感謝老師在百忙之中抽空，給予我在研究和寫作上的指導，我才能順利地完成這篇論文。

另外要感謝的，就是在實驗室的日子裡，一起共同努力的學長及伙伴們，振坤學長、振哲學長、宜霖學長、明原學長、慧源學長、文嶽學長、彥翔學長、宜鍵學長、雲懷學長、正達學長、裕隆學長、建銘學長、大瑜學長、勇嵐學長，及同屆的同學們，盟翔、鴻輝、宗奇、域晨以及學弟們，伯翰、子宗、士恒、家弘、珍原、世璞等人。有了你們的陪伴，使我的研究生活能多采多姿，這段日子，一定是我畢生難忘的回憶。

最後，我要感謝我的家人，爸爸、媽媽、妹妹及明純師母。當我遇到瓶頸和困難時，總是可以感受到來自於你們的關心及祝福，不斷的支持鼓勵我，讓我心中時時充滿著溫暖及感恩。

在此，謹以我的畢業論文獻給大家，希望你們能分享我的成果與喜悅，感謝你們。

江昌叡 謹誌

2007 年 6 月, Wintech Lab, 交大, 新竹, 台灣

Contents

| | | |
|-----------------|--|------|
| 中文提要 | | i |
| Abstract | | ii |
| 誌謝 | | iii |
| Contents | | iv |
| List of Tables | | vii |
| List of Figures | | viii |
| Chapter 1 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| Chapter 2 | Overview of 802.16e scheduling mechanism and algorithm..... | 3 |
| 2.1 | Brief introduction of 802.16e PHY..... | 3 |
| 2.1.1 | PHY frame structure..... | 4 |
| 2.1.2 | PHY slot and data mapping..... | 6 |
| 2.1.3 | Subcarrier permutation..... | 8 |
| 2.1.4 | Adaptive modulation and coding (AMC)..... | 9 |
| 2.2 | Brief introduction of 802.16e MAC..... | 10 |
| 2.2.1 | 802.16e MAC structure..... | 10 |
| 2.2.2 | MAC PDU formats..... | 12 |
| 2.2.3 | Fragmentation and packing..... | 12 |
| 2.2.4 | QoS based service classes..... | 14 |
| 2.2.5 | Request-and-grant mechanism..... | 16 |
| 2.2.6 | Channel condition feedback..... | 18 |
| 2.2.7 | Scheduling..... | 19 |
| 2.3 | Scheduling algorithm..... | 19 |
| Chapter 3 | Proposed scheduling algorithm..... | 24 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Goal..... | 24 |
| 3.2 | Proposed algorithm..... | 25 |
| 3.2.1 | QoS definition..... | 26 |
| 3.2.2 | EDF scheduling..... | 30 |
| 3.2.3 | Opportunistic scheduling..... | 34 |
| 3.2.4 | QoS-based opportunistic scheduling..... | 38 |
| Chapter 4 | Simulation setup..... | 41 |
| 4.1 | The architecture of mobility platform..... | 41 |
| 4.2 | The architecture of frame transmission..... | 44 |
| 4.3 | Link budget..... | 46 |
| 4.4 | Basic radio resource management..... | 49 |
| 4.5 | Traffic models..... | 52 |
| Chapter 5 | Simulation results..... | 55 |
| 5.1 | Throughput performance..... | 55 |
| 5.1.1 | MAC throughput and AMC usage comparison for FTP users only under single-traffic environment...55 | |
| 5.1.2 | MAC throughput for FTP users under mix-traffic environment..... | 61 |
| 5.2 | Qos guarantee..... | 65 |
| 5.2.1 | QoS guarantee for FTP users under single-traffic environment..... | 66 |
| 5.2.2 | QoS guarantee under mix-traffic environment.....67 | |
| 5.2.2.1 | PDR for mix-traffic users under mix-traffic environment..... | 68 |
| 5.2.2.2 | PLR for VoIP users under mix-traffic environment..... | 71 |

| | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|----|
| 5.3 | Conclusion of simulation results..... | 76 |
| Chapter 6 | Conclusion and future work..... | 77 |
| Reference | | 79 |



List of Tables

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 4-1 | 1024-FFT OFDMA downlink carrier allocations with PUSC..... | 45 |
| Table 4-2 | Link budget parameter of 802.16e system..... | 46 |
| Table 4-3 | Pathloss model scenarios..... | 47 |
| Table 4-4 | FTP traffic model..... | 52 |
| Table 4-5 | VoIP traffic model..... | 53 |
| Table 4-6 | The parameter setting in simulation platform..... | 53 |



List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2-1 Example of an OFDMA frame (with only mandatory zone) in TDD mode..... | 5 |
| Figure 2-2 Example of mapping OFDMA slots to subchannels and symbols in the downlink PUSC mode..... | 7 |
| Figure 2-3 Example of mapping OFDMA slots to subchannels and symbols in the uplink PUSC mode..... | 8 |
| Figure 2-4 802.16 protocol layer..... | 11 |
| Figure 2-5 Fragmentation..... | 13 |
| Figure 2-6 Packing..... | 13 |
| Figure 3-1 Portions divided in downlink subframe..... | 26 |
| Figure 3-2 Soft delay bound effect-1..... | 29 |
| Figure 3-3 Soft delay bound effect-2..... | 29 |
| Figure 3-4 ARQ retransmission time causes shorter delay bound..... | 31 |
| Figure 3-5 Transmission opportunity will be much shorter than imagination..... | 32 |
| Figure 3-6 Packets separation to avoid congestion..... | 37 |
| Figure 3-7 Cross-layer scheduling structure..... | 39 |
| Figure 3-8 The QoS-based opportunistic algorithm flow chart..... | 40 |
| Figure 4-1 Cell structure of system simulation..... | 42 |
| Figure 4-2 Example of wrap around..... | 42 |
| Figure 4-3 Example of sector deployment..... | 43 |
| Figure 4-4 Example of the Deployment of Frequency Reuse Factor... | 44 |
| Figure 4-5 Example of SINR computation..... | 49 |
| Figure 5-1 MAC throughput for FTP service..... | 57 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 5-2 | AMC usage percentage for RR..... | 58 |
| Figure 5-3 | AMC usage percentage for EDF..... | 59 |
| Figure 5-4 | AMC usage percentage for MaxCINR..... | 59 |
| Figure 5-5 | AMC usage percentage for PF..... | 60 |
| Figure 5-6 | AMC usage percentage for EDFOP..... | 60 |
| Figure 5-7 | AMC usage percentage for QoS-based Opportunistic..... | 61 |
| Figure 5-8 | FTP throughput under fixed FTP users 10..... | 63 |
| Figure 5-9 | FTP throughput under fixed FTP users 20..... | 64 |
| Figure 5-10 | FTP throughput under fixed FTP users 30..... | 64 |
| Figure 5-11 | Packet delay rate for FTP service..... | 67 |
| Figure 5-12 | FTP packet delay rate under fixed FTP users 10..... | 69 |
| Figure 5-13 | FTP packet delay rate under fixed FTP users 20..... | 70 |
| Figure 5-14 | FTP packet delay rate under fixed FTP users 30..... | 70 |
| Figure 5-15 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 10(1)..... | 73 |
| Figure 5-16 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 10(2)..... | 73 |
| Figure 5-17 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 20(1)..... | 74 |
| Figure 5-18 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 20(2)..... | 74 |
| Figure 5-19 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 30(1)..... | 75 |
| Figure 5-20 | VoIP packet loss rate under fixed FTP users 30(2)..... | 75 |