

# 目 錄

表 次	頁次
目 錄.....	I
表目錄.....	IV
圖目錄.....	V

## 目 錄

### 第一章 緒 論

1.1 研究緣起.....	1
1.2 研究目的.....	3
1.3 研究方法架構.....	4

### 第二章 文獻回顧

2.1 低毒性影響.....	5
2.1.1 無明顯影響濃度(No Observed Effect Concentrations, NOEC).....	7
2.1.2 無影響濃度(No Effect Concentrations, NEC)及影響濃度(Effect Concentrations, ECx).....	9
2.2 急慢毒性比值(Acute and Chronic Ratio, ACR).....	11
2.3 定量結構活性關係 (Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship, QSAR).....	13
2.4 密閉式藻類毒性試驗.....	16

### 第三章 基本理論及數據處理方法

3.1 毒性物質劑量反應模式.....	19
---------------------	----

---

3.2 最佳化模式(G-test)-----	23
3.3 無明顯影響濃度及中斷值-----	25
3.3.1 無明顯影響濃度(No Observed Effect Concentrations, NOEC)--	25
3.3.2 中斷值(cut-off value)-----	30
3.4 無影響濃度(No Effect Concentrations, NEC)-----	31
<b>第四章 結果與討論</b>	
4.1 低影響濃度數據結果-----	35
4.1.1 最佳化模式之選擇-----	46
4.1.2 NOEC 及 cut-off value -----	48
4.1.3 NOEC、EC10 及 NEC 之比較-----	50
4.2 急慢毒性比值(Acute and Chronic Ratio, ACR)-----	52
4.3 定量結構活性關係 (Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship, QSAR) -----	66
4.3.1 基線毒性(Baseline toxicity)-----	67
4.3.2 極性麻醉性(Polar narcosis)及反應性(Reactive)作用機制-----	73
4.4 藻類與其他毒性試驗物種比較-----	78
<b>第五章 結論與建議</b>	
5.1 結論-----	87
5.2 建議-----	89
參考文獻-----	90
附錄一 G test 運算表使用-----	101

---

---

附錄二	Cut-off value 運算表使用	102
附錄三	Dunnett's test 運算表使用	103
附錄四	NEC 運算表使用	105
附錄五	NEC 原始數據	106



## 表 目 錄

---

<b>Table 2.1.1</b> Summary of the positive and negative aspects of several measures for (almost) on effect-----	6
<b>Table 3.1.1</b> Weibull、Probit 與 Logit 容忍度分布模式-----	22
<b>Table 4.1.1</b> NOEC, LOEC, EC10 and NEC value -----	37
<b>Table 4.2.1</b> ACR for non-polar narcosis -----	53
<b>Table 4.2.2</b> ACR for polar narcosis -----	55
<b>Table 4.2.3</b> ACR for reactive -----	56
<b>Table 4.2.4</b> Characteristics of regression lines for different classes of compounds and endpoint-----	65
<b>Table 4.3.1</b> Comparison of QSARs for different classes and describing the relationship of low toxicity to logP and $E_{LUMO}$ -----	68
<b>Table 4.4.1</b> Comparisons NOEC of this study and other Species with literature data -----	79

# 圖目錄

---

<b>Fig 1.3.1</b> 研究架構圖-----	4
<b>Fig 3.1.1</b> 劑量-反應曲線(dose-response curve)示意圖-----	21
<b>Fig 3.1.2</b> 不同斜率的劑量反應關係-----	21
<b>Fig 3.4.1</b> Mean response function with a linear decay-----	32
<b>Fig 3.4.2</b> Mean response function with an exponential decay-----	32
<b>Fig 3.4.3</b> Diagrammatic representation of inverse regression analysis procedure for estimating the no-effect concentration (NEC)-----	34
<b>Fig 4.1.1</b> Compare the sensitivity of DO, biopopulation and growth rate with the endpoint of NOEC, EC <sub>10</sub> and NEC-----	36
<b>Fig 4.1.2</b> Compare the G-test for chemicals-----	47
<b>Fig 4.1.3</b> Compare the difference among EC <sub>10</sub> /NOEC and NEC/NOEC ratio -----	51
<b>Fig 4.2.1</b> Acute toxicity(EC <sub>50</sub> ) versus chronic toxicity(LOEC) concentrations for non-polar narcosis-----	57
<b>Fig 4.2.2</b> Acute toxicity(EC <sub>50</sub> ) versus chronic toxicity(EC <sub>10</sub> ) concentrations for non-polar narcosis-----	58
<b>Fig 4.2.3</b> Acute toxicity(EC <sub>50</sub> ) versus chronic toxicity(NEC) concentrations for non-polar narcosis -----	58
<b>Fig 4.2.4</b> Acute toxicity(EC <sub>50</sub> ) versus chronic toxicity(LOEC) concentrations for polar narcosis-----	59
<b>Fig 4.2.5</b> Acute toxicity(EC <sub>50</sub> ) versus chronic toxicity(EC <sub>10</sub> ) concentrations for polar narcosis-----	60

---

---

<b>Fig 4.2.6</b> Acute toxicity( $EC_{50}$ ) versus chronic toxicity(NEC) concentrations for polar narcosis-----	60
<b>Fig 4.2.7</b> Acute toxicity( $EC_{50}$ ) versus chronic toxicity(LOEC) concentrations for reactive-----	61
<b>Fig 4.2.8</b> Acute toxicity( $EC_{50}$ ) versus chronic toxicity( $EC_{10}$ ) concentrations for reactive-----	61
<b>Fig 4.2.9</b> Acute toxicity( $EC_{50}$ ) versus chronic toxicity(NEC) concentrations for reactive-----	62
<b>Fig 4.3.1.1</b> Log P versus $\log(1/NOEC)$ for non-polar narcosis and the line represents the baseline toxicity-----	69
<b>Fig 4.3.1.2</b> Log P versus $\log(1/LOEC)$ for non-polar narcosis and the line represents the baseline toxicity -----	69
<b>Fig 4.3.1.3</b> Log P versus $\log(1/EC_{10})$ for non-polar narcosis and the line represents the baseline toxicity-----	70
<b>Fig 4.3.1.4</b> Log P versus $\log(1/NEC)$ for non-polar narcosis and the line represents the baseline toxicity-----	70
<b>Fig 4.3.2.1</b> Log P versus $\log(1/NOEC)$ for polar narcosis-----	74
<b>Fig 4.3.2.2</b> Log P versus $\log(1/LOEC)$ for polar narcosis-----	74
<b>Fig 4.3.2.3</b> Log P versus $\log(1/EC_{10})$ for polar narcosis-----	75
<b>Fig 4.3.2.4</b> Log P versus $\log(1/NEC)$ for polar narcosis-----	75
<b>Fig 4.4.1</b> BOD bottle test versus <i>P. subcapitata</i> -----	83
<b>Fig 4.4.2</b> BOD bottle test versus <i>D. magna</i> -----	84
<b>Fig 4.4.3</b> BOD bottle test versus <i>P. promelas</i> -----	84
<b>Fig 4.4.4</b> BOD bottle test versus other species-----	85
<b>Fig 4.4.5</b> Regression with BOD bottle test and <i>P. promelas</i> -----	86

---