

Figure Caption

Fig. 1.1 Wollaston Prism	2
Fig. 1.2 MacNeille polarizing beam splitter cube	3
Fig. 1.3 Polarized light separation of a sub-wavelength grating	4
Fig. 2.1 A general dielectric grating	8
Fig. 2.2 Schematic of a zero-order grating ($p \ll \lambda$) with the polarized orientations of the incident electric field and definitions of rectangular grating parameters	9
Fig. 2.3 Effective refractive indices, n_{TE} and n_{TM} , versus fill factor f	12
Fig. 3.1 (a) Schematics, and (b) photograph of an electron beam writer	20
Fig. 3.2 Schematic diagram of interferometric lithography	22
Fig. 3.3 Schematic diagram of UV-nanoimprint lithography	23
Fig. 3.4 Flowchart of fabricating sub-wavelength grating on quartz	26
Fig. 3.5 Interferometric lithography exposure setup	27
Fig. 3.6 Processing flow chart of master mold fabrication	28
Fig. 3.7 Flow chart of the PDMS mold fabrication	29
Fig. 3.8 Flow chart of sub-wavelength grating fabrication with UV-nanoimprint lithography	30
Fig. 3.9 Process setup and results of standard evaporation	33
Fig. 3.10 Process setup and results of oblique evaporation	33
Fig. 3.11 Schematic diagram of scanning electron microscope	34
Fig. 3.12 Concept of AFM and the optical lever	35
Fig. 3.13 Schematic diagram of the efficiency measurement setup	36

Fig. 4.1 Structure of the proposed sub-wavelength grating	39
Fig. 4.2 Simulated results of the proposed sub-wavelength grating	40
Fig. 4.3 Simulated results of TE-mode reflection efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with various periods of the sub-wavelength grating	42
Fig. 4.4 Simulated results of TM-mode transmission efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with various periods of the sub-wavelength grating	42
Fig. 4.5 Structure of the sub-wavelength grating with triangular profile	44
Fig. 4.6 Simulated results of TE-mode reflection efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with various structures of the sub-wavelength grating	44
Fig. 4.7 Simulated results of TM-mode transmission efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with various structures of the sub-wavelength grating	45
Fig. 4.8 Simulated results of TE-mode reflection efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with the line-width variation of grating	47
Fig. 4.9 Simulated results of TM-mode transmission efficiency versus wavelength of incident light with the line-width variation of grating	47
Fig. 5.1 AFM images of the photoresist grating with 200 nm period	50
Fig. 5.2 AFM images of the photoresist grating with 600 nm period	51
Fig. 5.3 SEM image of the top view of the photoresist grating with 600 nm period	51
Fig. 5.4 AFM images of the PDMS mold with 200 nm period	53
Fig. 5.5 AFM images of the PDMS mold with 600 nm period	54
Fig. 5.6 SEM results of the photoresist grating after the UV-nanoimprint (a) Sample I (b) Sample II	55
Fig. 5.7 Operation of imprint process	55
Fig. 5.8 Imprint result	56
Fig. 5.9 Imprint process using the proposed holder	56

Fig. 5.10 Uniformity of imprint by using a holder	57
Fig. 5.11 Uniformity of imprint improved by controlling the PDMS's thickness and the pressurized process	58
Fig. 5.12 Parameters of angled evaporation	59
Fig. 5.13 The proposed evaporated result	59
Fig. 5.14 The oblique evaporation result of sample I	61
Fig. 5.15 The oblique evaporation result of sample II	61
Fig. 5.16 Comparison of measured and calculated results of reflection efficiency versus wavelength of incident light	62
Fig. 5.17 Comparison of measured and calculated results of transmission efficiency versus wavelength of incident light	62
Fig. 6.1 Interferometric lithography with new incident angle θ	66
Fig. 6.2 The step and repeat method	67

