

第七章 結論

1. 對稱造型之 Y 型和 T 型分歧管，配合出口處為無壓差($P_1=P_2$)的邊界條件，在我們的計算後，其結果顯示在大部分情況下流場會呈現完全對稱。但是在擴張比較大（即下游流道寬度較大）之 Y 型分歧管中，於較高雷諾數時，依然會有不對稱的現象發生。
2. 無論是 Y 型或 T 型分歧管，雷諾數越大，其主迴流的長度 X_1 就越長；但是在較高擴張比（即下游流道寬度較大）時，於較高雷諾數的條件下，其主迴流的長度 X_1 反而會隨著雷諾數的增加而縮短。
3. 無論是 Y 型或 T 型分歧管，下游流道寬度 d_{out} 的增大，會增加該流場的複雜性，進而出現第二，甚至第三迴流。此外，隨著流道寬度 d_{out} 的增加，也會使得出現第二、第三迴流時的雷諾數降低。此外，下游流道寬度 d_{out} 的增大，也會使得主迴流長度與雷諾數之間的線性關係逐漸被破壞。
4. 無論是 Y 型或 T 型分歧管，具有任一下游流道寬度 d_{out} 時，壓力差對 Y 型和 T 型分歧管之影響，會使得原先無壓力差時所呈現之對稱或近乎對稱之流場，出現不對稱的現象。此外，出口壓力差越大，上下方流道間之主迴流長度的差距會越大。
5. 無論是 Y 型或 T 型分歧管，隨著雷諾數的增加，其出口流量比的差距 $\Delta R=R_{upper}-R_{lower}$ 會增加，且在 Y 型分歧管中流量比落差的幅度較 T 型分歧管來得大。
6. 無論在 Y 型或 T 型分歧管中，壓力差的增加和下游流道寬度 d_{out} 的增大都會造成上下方流道出口流量比的差距增大，而且在 Y 型分歧管中流量比落差的幅度都比 T 型分歧管來得大。

7. 無論在 Y 型或 T 型分歧管中，擴張比越大，流場越複雜，對稱性越差；且本研究中的 Y 型管的流場比起 T 型管流場而言，其流場對稱性更低。



參考文獻

1. 木下信一郎, 動脈硬化預防與治療, 輕舟出版社, 2002
2. N.S.Lynn, V.G.Fox and L.W.Ross, Computation of fluid-dynamical contributions to atherosclerosis at arterial bifurcations, *Journal of Bioheology*, vol.9, pp.61-66, 1972
3. Brech, R., and Bellhouse,B.J., Flow in branching vessels, *Cardiovascular Research*, vol.7, pp.593-600, 1973
4. D.K.Kreid,C.J.Chung and C.T.Crowe, Measurements of the flow of water in "T" junction by the LDV technique,*journal of applied mechanics*, pp.498-499, 1975
5. Stehbens,W.E., Flow in glass models of arterial bifurcations and berry aneurysms at low Reynolds numbers, *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Physiology*, vol.60, pp.181-192, 1975
6. O'Brien, L.W.Ehrlich and M.H.Friedman, Unsteady flow in a branch,*Journal of fluid Mechanics*,vol.75,part2, pp.315-336, 1976
7. K.Kandarpa and N.Davids, Analysis of the fluid dynamic effects on atherogenesis at branching sites, *Journal of Biomechanics*, vol.9 , pp. 735-741, 1976
8. E.M.Sparrow,and R.G.Kemink, The effect of a mixing Tee on turbulent heat transfer in a tube, *int. J. heat mass transfer*, vol.22, pp.909-917,1979
9. El-Masry, I.A. Feuerstein, and G.F. Round, Experimental evaluation of streamline patterns and seperated flows in a series of branching vessels with implications for atherosclerosis and thrombosis, *Circulation Research*, vol.43, pp.608-618, 1978
10. Takeshi Karino,Herman H.M.Kwong and Harry L. Goldsmith, Particle flow behaviour in models of branching vessels : I. vortices in

- 90 degree T-junctions, Journal of Bioheology, vol.16, no.3, pp.231-248, 1979
11. E.M.Sparrow, and R.G.Kemink, The effect of a mixing Tee on turbulent heat transfer in a tube, int. J. heat mass transfer, vol.22, pp.909-917, 1979
 12. Andrew Pollard, Computer modelling of flow in Tee-junctions, physicochemical hydrodynamics, vol.2, no.2/3, pp.203-227, 1981
 13. Liepsch and S. Moravec and A. K. Rastogi and N. S. Vlachos, Measurement and calculations of laminar flow in a ninety degree bifurcation, Journal of Biomechanics, vol.15, no.7, pp. 473-485, 1982
 14. Lutz, R.J., Hsu, L., Menawat, A., Zrubek, J., and Edwards, K., Comparison of steady and pulsatile flow in a double branching arterial model, Journal of Biomechanics, vol.16, pp.753-766, 1983
 15. J.S.Bramley and S.C.R.Dennis, The numerical solution of two-dimensional flow in a branching channel, computers & fluids, vol.12, no.4, pp. 339-355, 1984
 16. Y.I.Cho, L.H.Back and D.W.Crawford, Experimental investigation of branch flow ratio, angle, and Reynolds number effects on the pressure and flow fields in arterial branch models, Journal of Biomechanical engineering, vol.107, no.7, pp. 257-267, 1985
 17. J.S. Bramley and D. M. Sloan, Numerical solution of two-dimensional flow in a branching channel using boundary-fitted coordinates, computers & fluids, vol.15, no.3, pp. 297-311, 1987
 18. T. Fukushima, T. Homma, T. Azuma, and K. Harakawa, Characteristics of secondary flow in steady and pulsatile flows through a symmetrical bifurcation, Journal of Bioheology, vol. 24, pp.3-12, 1987

19. R. E. Hayes, K. Nandakumar and H. Nasr-El-Din, Steady laminar flow in a 90 degree planar branch, computers & fluids, vol.17, no.4 ,pp. 537-553, 1989
20. R. Rieu, R. Pelissier and D. Farahifar, An experimental investigation of flow characteristics in bifurcation models, European Journal of Mechanics, B/Fluids, vol.8, no.1, pp.73-101, 1989
21. R. E. Hayes and K. Nandakumar, Mixed convection heat transfer in a Tee branch, numerical heat transfer, part A, vol.16, pp.287-307, 1989
22. Kanchan M. Kelkar and Dipankar Choudhury, Numerical method for the prediction of incompressible flow and heat transfer in domains with specified pressure boundary conditions, Numerical heat transfer, Part B., vol.38, pp.15-36, 2000.
23. J. H. Ferziger and M. Peric, "Computational Methods for Fluid Dynamics", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
24. H. Jasak, "Error analysis and estimation for the finite volume method with applications to fluid flows", Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of London and Diploma of Imperial College, 1996.
25. S. V. Patankar, "Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
26. C. M. Rhie and W. L. Chow, "Numerical study of the turbulent flow past an airfoil with trailing edge separation", AIAA Journal, Vol.21, No.11, pp.1525-1532, 1983.