國立交通大學

應用數學系

碩士論文

關於 Henon 映射混沌區域的估計量

An estimate of chaotic region for the henon map

研究生:蕭亦廷 指導老師:李明佳 教授

中華民國九十六年七月

關於 Henon 映射混沌區域的估計量

An estimate of chaotic region for the henon map

研究生:蕭亦廷

Student: Yi-Ting Hsiao

指導教授:李明佳

Advisor: Ming-Chia Li

國立交通大學



Submitted to Department of Applied Mathematics National Chiao Tung University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in Applied Mathematics

July 2007

Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China



關於漢那函數混沌區域的估計量

學生:蕭亦延 指導老師:李明佳 教授

國立交通大學應用數學系(研究所)碩士班

摘 要

我們研究二次微分同胚 $H_{ab}(x,y) = (1+y_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}x^2, bx)$ 的總體 行為。在固定 b 之後,我們可以把 Henon 映射轉化成 差分方程式 H_a ,此時 α 為參數。當 $\alpha = 0$,差分方程式 H_a 可以簡化成雙符號的全轉移,我們研究從這種狀態延 續的軌跡。首先,我們證明 H_0 是混沌的並且在微小的 擾動之後,此系統仍會滿足混沌的充分條件,亦即當 α 足夠靠近 $0, H_a$ 會是混沌。在這篇論文中,我們估計 α 使得 H_a 為混沌,並由此去得到 Henon 映射的混沌區 域。

An estimate of chaotic region for the Henon map

Student: yi-ting hsiao

Advisor: Ming-Chia Li

Department (Institute) of Applied Mathematics

National Chiao Tung University

Abstract

We investigate the global behavior of the quadratic diffeomorphism of the plane given by $H_{\alpha,b}(x, y) = (1 + y_T + \frac{1}{\alpha}x^2, bx)$. If we fix b, the Henon map can be considered as H_{α} , a difference equation, where α is the parameter. For $\alpha = 0$, the difference equation, H_{α} , reduces to the full shift on two symbols, and we study orbits that continues from these states. We first show that the system Ho is chaotic and under a small perturbation, the system satisfies the su ficient condition of chaos, that is for α close enough to zero, H $_{\alpha}$ display chaotic dynamics. In this paper, we estimate for which α , H $_{\alpha}$ display chaotic to get the chaotic region for the Henon map.

誌 謝

本論文得以順利完成,首先感謝我的指導老師<u>李</u>明佳教授兩年來辛苦的指導。尤其是老師對於做研究的態度,總是能在適當的幽默之中不忘數學該有的嚴謹。讓我在交大的求學過程中獲益良多。

回顧在新竹的這兩年研究生活中,要感謝許多學 長及同學們。謝謝<u>胡忠澤</u>學長及<u>呂明杰</u>學長在課業及 生活上的許多幫助。以及感謝總是在論文遇到問題時 第一個討論對象的<u>謝俊鴻</u>同學。

感謝許多曾經關心過我的同學朋友們,幫助我能 夠順利的度過許多遇到瓶頸的時刻。最後要感謝我的 家人,總是百分之百的信任我。願以這本論文跟大家 分享我的喜悅,謝謝大家

中文摘要····i
英文摘要ii
誌謝·······iii
目錄·······iv
1. Introduction
2. Preliminary2
3. The Estimate of α
4. Main Result8
References······13
A STATISTICS

目

錄

1 Introduction

Let $H_{\alpha,b}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by

$$H_{\alpha,b}(x,y) = (1+y - \frac{1}{\alpha}x^2, bx),$$

where α, b are the parameters. This map is called the Henon map. It was written down by Henon[1] to realize the Smale horseshoe for a specific function which could be iterated on the computer. Instead of being a model of any particular physical situation, the Henon map is a map with a simple algebraic form which could easily be studied by means of computer simulation.

In 1979, Devaney and Nitecki used a geometrical argument to get the bound on the existence of the horseshoe [2]. In 1999, Kamihigashi provided easy-to-verify sufficient conditions for chaos [3]. If we fix b, the Henon map can be considered as G_{α} , a difference equation, where α is the parameter. We first show that for $\alpha = 0$ the system is chaotic and under a small perturbation, the system satisfies the sufficient condition of chaotic; that is, for α close enough to zero, it also displays chaotic dynamics. More precisely, we have the estimate of chaotic region below:

Theorem 1 (Main) Fixing b and considering the difference equation G_{α} , If $\gamma < (2\sqrt{2}-2)\left[\frac{1}{(2-\sqrt{1-\gamma\frac{\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^2+4}}{2}})}\right]$, where $\gamma \equiv \sqrt{\alpha}(1+|b|)$, then G_{α} is chaotic.

We conclude this introductory section by mentioning the structure of the thesis as follows. In Section 2, we give some definitions and show that for $\alpha = 0$, the Henon map is chaotic. In Section 3, we estimate α such that G_{α} has some propositions. Finally, in Section 4, we prove these propositions to be the sufficient conditions of chaos.

2 Preliminary

Let's start from giving some definitions. For any function f, we denote its domain by Dom(f). We consider difference equation of the form

$$G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, (1)$$

where $G : \text{Dom}(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$. A bi-infinite sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is called the *orbit of* G provided for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G(x_{t-1}, x_t, x_{t+1}) = 0$. The *orbit* is *n*-periodic orbit provided there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_{t+n} = y_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y_{t+j} \neq y_t$ for 0 < j < n. The orbit $\{y_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is called asymptotically periodic if there is a periodic sequence $\{y_t^*\}$ such that $||y_t - y_t^*|| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. If y is not asymptotically periodic, then yis called asymptotically nonperiodic. For any sequence $\{y_t\}$, let $||\cdot||$ denote the sup norm whenever its argument is a vector or a sequence.

Definition 2 We say that a difference equation G is chaotic if (T1) and (T2) are held below:

- (T1) There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge m$, G has an n-periodic orbit.
- (T2) G has an uncountable set χ of asymptotically nonperiodic orbit such that for all $x, y \in \chi : (x \neq y)$

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|x_t - y_t\| > 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \|(x_{t-n}, \dots, x_{t+n}) - (y_{t-n}, \dots, y_{t+n})\| = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
(3)

For $Dom(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we define $Dom(G)_0 = \{x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) \in Dom(G)\}$. We define a static system as a difference equation that depends only on x_t . More precisely, we say that G is *static* or a *static system* if there is a function $G^s : Dom(G)_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $(x_{-1},x_0,x_1) \in Dom(G)$, $G^s(x_0) = G(x_{-1},x_0,x_1)$. If G is static, we defin a *static point* of G as a point $\xi \in Dom(G)_0$ such that $G^s(\xi) = 0$.

Now return to the theme. Investigating the behavior of the quadratic diffeomorphism of Henon map, the Henon map is a two dimensional quadratic map $(x_t, y_t) \rightarrow (x_{t+1}, y_{t+1})$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_{t+1} = 1 + y_t - \frac{1}{\alpha} x_t^2, \\ y_{t+1} = b x_t. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Substituting the second equation into the first yields $x_{t+1} - 1 - bx_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha}x_t^2 = 0$. Let $z_t = \frac{x_t}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$, we have $\sqrt{\alpha}z_{t+1} - 1 - b\sqrt{\alpha}z_{t-1} + z_t^2 = 0$. We can reduce the Henon map to a difference equation $G_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$

$$G_{\alpha}(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) = \sqrt{\alpha x_{n+1}} - 1 - b\sqrt{\alpha x_{n-1}} + x_n^2, \tag{5}$$

where α is the parameter. For $\alpha = 0$, this equation reduces to a *static system*:

$$G_0(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) = -1 + x_n^2.$$
(6)

We know that G_0 is a static system with static point $\xi_1 = \{1\}, \xi_2 = \{-1\}$. Next, we will show that for $\alpha = 0, G_0$ is chaotic.

Proposition 3 The difference equation $G_0(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) = -1 + x_n^2$ is chaotic.

Proof. First of all, we verify (T1). $G_0(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ has two solutions $\xi_1 = 1$, $\xi_2 = -1$. Since G_0 is a function of x_n alone, the orbit $\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ must be $x_t \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, for all t. Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_0 = x_1 = \dots = x_{n-2} = \xi_1$, $x_{n-1} = \xi_2$ and $x_{n+k} = x_k$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is the orbit of G_0 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the smallest number that satisfies $x_{k+n} = x_k$, which means that for all $n \ge 1$, G_0 has an n-periodic orbit. The above illustrates (T1). Secondly we verify (T2). For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, let [r] denote the largest integer less than or equal to r. For $w \in (0,1)$, define a bi-infinite sequence of natural number χ^w as follows. For $i \leq 0$, let $x_i^w = \xi_1$. For $i \geq 1$, we defined x_i^w as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1,10}^w &= \{ \overbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i}^{[10w]\xi_1's}, \overbrace{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_2}^{(10-[10w])\xi_2's} \}, \\ x_{11,110}^w &= \{ \overbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i}^{[100w]\xi_1's}, \overbrace{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_2}^{(100-[100w])\xi_2's} \}, \\ x_{111,110}^w &= \{ \overbrace{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i}^{[1000w]\xi_1's}, \overbrace{\xi_2, \dots, \xi_2}^{(100-[1000w])\xi_2's} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. More precisely, let $T_n = 1 + 10 + ... + 10^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_i^w = \xi_1 \text{ for all } i = T_n, ..., T_n + [10^n w] - 1,$$

$$x_i^w = \xi_2 \text{ for all } i = T_n + [10^n w], ..., T_n - 1.$$

Let $\chi = \{x^w \mid w \in (0,1)\}$. We show that χ satisfies (T2). Clearly, χ is an uncountable set. Let $w \in (0,1)$. Since $[10^n w] \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, x^w is asymptotically nonperiodic. Note that for all $w, w' \in (0,1)$ where $w \neq w'$. We have $[10^n w] \neq [10^n w']$, for n is large enough. Thus, for all $w, w' \in (0,1)$ ($w \neq w'$) $x_i^w \neq x_i^{w'}$ for infinitely many i's. So $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left\|x_t^w - x_t^{w'}\right\| > 0$. Let $w, w' \in (0,1)$ with $w \neq w'$. Let $\overline{w} = \min\{w, w'\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_n = [\frac{10^n \overline{w}}{2}]$. We have $\left\|(x_{u_n-m,u_n+m}^{\overline{w}}) - (\xi_1, ..., \xi_1)\right\| = 0$, for n is large enough. So

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (x_{t-m}^w, ..., x_{t+m}^w) - (x_{t-m}^{w'}, ..., x_{t+m}^{w'}) \right\| \\ & \leq \liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (x_{t-m}^w, ..., x_{t+m}^w) - (\xi_1, ..., \xi_1) \right\| + \liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (\xi_1, ..., \xi_1) - (x_{t-m}^{w'}, ..., x_{t+m}^{w'}) \right\| \\ & = 0. \end{split}$$

The above proves (T2). So G_0 is chaotic.

3 The estimate of α

We first show that we can choose λ such that the orbit of G_{α} contains in $W = I \times I \times I$, where $I = [-1 - \lambda, -1 + \lambda] \cup [1 - \lambda, 1 + \lambda]$. The method was mentioned by D. Sterling and J.D Meiss[4]. We will write an orbit $z(\varepsilon)$ of the Henon map as a fixed point of an operator T whose *t*-th component is

$$T_t(z) \equiv \pm \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{\alpha}(x_{n+1} - bx_{n-1})}.$$

Define the l^{∞} norm, let $||x||_{\infty} = \sup_{t} |x_t|$ and define B_M to be the closed ball of radius M around the point s,

$$B_{M}(s) = \{z : ||z - s||_{\infty} \leq M\}.$$
Proposition 4 For any G_{α} , we define $\gamma \equiv |\sqrt{\alpha}| (1 + |b|)$. If $\gamma < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$,
and $\lambda > M_{\infty}(\gamma) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^{2} + 4}}$, (7)

then the orbit of G_{α} is contained in the ball $B_{\lambda}(s)$

Proof. Let T be defined by (10). For any $z \in B_{\lambda}(s)$, it is easy to see that

$$c_n \le \|T^n(z)\|_{\infty} \le d_n,\tag{8}$$

where the sequences c_n and d_n are given by the iterations

$$d_{n+1} = f(d_n) \equiv \sqrt{1 + \gamma d_n},$$

$$c_{n+1} = \sqrt{1 - \gamma d_n},$$

with the initial conditions $c_0 = 1 + \lambda$ and $d_0 = 1 - \lambda$. The map f(d) has a single attracting fixed point

$$d_{\infty} = \frac{\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 4}}{2}.$$

Each of the c_n must be real, so we must have $1 - \gamma d_n \ge 0$. This requirement gives a right boundary to the region in the (γ, λ) plane where T^n exists. As $n \to \infty$ these boundaries approach the vertical line defined by

$$1 - \gamma d_{\infty} = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

which gives one of the bounds in the lemma.

Finally, (8) implies that

$$||T^n - s||_{\infty} \le \max(|c_n - 1|, |d_n - 1|) = 1 - c_n.$$

Thus the requirement M_n for which $1 - c_n - M_n = 0$ converges monotonically to M_{∞} from above; therefore for any $\lambda > M_{\infty}(\gamma)$, there is an N such that $T^n: B_{\lambda}(s) \to B_{\lambda}(s)$, for all n > N. The orbit is fixed point of T^n . So it is contained in the ball $B_{\lambda}(s)$.

Let $K_1 = [-1 - \lambda, -1 + \lambda], K_2 = [1 - \lambda, 1 + \lambda]$, where $M_{\infty}(\gamma) < \lambda < 1$. Such that K_1 and K_2 are disjoint, compact, and convex. Let $P = \{(p_{-1}, p_0, p_1) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid p_i \in \{1, 2\},$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3\}$.

Definition 5 We say that G_{α} is quasi-static if for all $p = (p_{-1}, p_0, p_1) \in P$, for all $x_{-1} \in K_{p_{-1}}$, and for all $x_1 \in K_{p_1}$, there is a unique $x_0 \equiv g_p(x_{-1}, x_1) \in K_{p_0}$ such that $G_{\alpha}(x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) = 0$.

Remark 6 G_0 is quasi-static since we can define $g_p(x_{-1},x_1) = \xi_{p_0}$.

Proposition 7 For all $p = (p_{-1}, p_0, p_1) \in P$, define $Y_p = K_{p_{-1}} \times K_{p_0}$, $Z_p = K_{p_0}$, $W_p = K_{p_{-1}} \times K_{p_0} \times K_{p_1}$. If $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} ||G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)|| < 1$, then G_{α} is quasistatic.

Proof. We have $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\|$

 $= \sup_{\substack{(x_{-1},x_0,x_1) \in K_{\xi_{p_1}} \times K_{\xi_{p_0}} \times K_{\xi_{p_1}}} \|\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1} - b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}\| < 1.$ Then we can define $g_p: Y_p \to Z_p$ by

$$g_p(x_{-1}, x_1) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 + b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1} - \sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}, & \text{if } p_0 = 1\\ -\sqrt{1 + b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1} - \sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}, & \text{if } p_0 = -1 \end{cases}$$

then $G_{\alpha}(x_{-1},g_p(x_{-1},x_1),x_1) = 0.$

For any $m \times n$ matrix B = (Bij), let ||B|| denote the operator norm of B:

$$||B||_{\infty} = \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{||B\xi||_{\infty}}{||\xi||_{\infty}} = \max_{1 \le i \le m} \sum_{j=1}^n |B_{ij}|.$$

Proposition 8 For $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\| < 2\sqrt{2} - 2$, we have $\max_{(x_{-1}, x_1) \in (K_{p_0} \times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1}, x_1)\| < \infty$

1.

Before the proof of Proposition8, we first prove the lemma.

Lemma 9 If we can choose α such that $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\| < \delta$, then for the same $\alpha \sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|DG_{\alpha}(y, z) - DG_0(y, z)\| < \delta$.

Proof. The inequality $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\|$

$$= \sup_{(x_{-1}, x_0, x_1) \in K_{\xi_{p_{-1}}} \times K_{\xi_{p_0}} \times K_{\xi_{p_1}}} \left\| \sqrt{\alpha} x_{n+1} - b \sqrt{\alpha} x_{n-1} \right\|$$

 $=\sqrt{\alpha}(1+|b|)(1+\lambda) < \delta$ implies

$$\sqrt{\alpha} < \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)(1+|b|)}\delta.$$
(9)

On the other hand, $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|DG_{\alpha}(y, z) - DG_0(y, z)\| = \max\{\sqrt{\alpha}, |b|\sqrt{\alpha}\} < \delta$ implies $\sqrt{\alpha} < \min\{\delta, \frac{1}{|b|}\delta\}$. It is clear that $\frac{1}{(1+\lambda)(1+|b|)}\delta < \min\{\delta, \frac{1}{|b|}\delta\}$. **Proof of Propositon 8.** Suppose $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\| < \delta$, where $0 < \infty$

$$\delta < 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x_{-1},x_{1})\in(K_{p_{0}}\times K_{p_{0}})} \|Dg_{p}(x_{-1},x_{1})\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\sqrt{1+b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}-\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\sqrt{1+b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}-\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \max_{(x_{-1},x_{1})\in(K_{p_{0}}\times K_{p_{0}})} \left\{ \left| \frac{b\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\sqrt{1+b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}-\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}} \right|, \left| \frac{-\sqrt{\alpha}}{2\sqrt{1+b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}-\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1}}} \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{(y,z)\in W_{p}} \|DG_{\alpha}(y,z) - DG_{0}(y,z)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\sum_{(x_{-1},x_{0},x_{1})\in K_{\xi_{p_{-1}}}\times K_{\xi_{p_{0}}}\times K_{\xi_{p_{1}}}}} \|\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n+1} - b\sqrt{\alpha}x_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2\sqrt{1-\delta}} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

this implies $0 < \delta < 2\sqrt{2} - 2$.

From Proposition7, 8, we can conclude that if $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\| < 2\sqrt{2} - 2$, then G_{α} is quasi-static and $\max_{(x_{-1}, x_1) \in (K_{p_0} \times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1}, x_1)\| < 1.$

4 Main Result

In this section, we solve $\sup_{(y, z) \in W_p} \|G_{\alpha}(y, z) - G_0(y, z)\| < 2\sqrt{2} - 2$ to get the restrict of α . By (7) and (9), the conclusion is as follows.

Corollary 10 Define $\gamma \equiv \sqrt{\alpha}(1+|b|)$. If $\gamma < (2\sqrt{2}-2)[\frac{1}{(2-\sqrt{1-\gamma\frac{\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^2+4}}{2}})}]$, we can solve this inequality by numerical method to get that. If $0 < \gamma < A \approx 0.56$, i.e.,

$$\sqrt{\alpha} < A \frac{1}{1+|b|} \approx 0.56 \frac{1}{1+|b|},$$
(10)

then we have that G_{α} is quasi-static w.r.t K_1 , K_2 and $\max_{(x_{-1},x_1)\in(K_{p_0}\times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1},x_1)\| < 1.$

Now we want to show that if α satisfies (10), then G_{α} is chaotic, i.e., (1) G_{α} is quasistatic and (2) $\max_{(x_{-1},x_1)\in(K_{p_0}\times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1},x_1)\| < 1$ which are the sufficient conditons for chaos. Recall that we define $K_1 = [-1 - \lambda, -1 + \lambda], K_2 = [1 - \lambda, 1 + \lambda]$, where $M_{\infty}(\gamma) < \lambda < 1$. $P = \{(p_{-1},p_0,p_1) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid p_i \in \{1,2\} \text{ for all } i = 1,2,3\}$. We first prove the theorem below.

Theorem 11 Suppose $\{s_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is the orbit of G_0 . If α satisfies (10), then there exists a corresponding orbit of G_α called $\{x_t^*\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where $x_t^{\alpha} \in K_{s_t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the corresponding orbit preserves period of $\{s_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$; that is, if $\{s_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is n-periodic, then $\{x_t^*\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is n-periodic.

Lemma 12 For all $p \in P$, $g_p^* : K_{p_{-1}} \times K_{p_1} \to K_{p_0}$ is continuous.

Lemma 13 (Brouwer fixed point theorem) Suppose that M is a nonempty, convex, and compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and that $f: M \to M$ is a continuous mapping then f has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\{s_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be the orbit of G_0 . Suppose $\{s_t\}$ is *n*-periodic. Given $x_{1,n} \equiv (x_1,...,x_n) \in K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times ... \times K_{s_n}$. Let x be the *n*-periodic sequence such that $x_1,...,x_n$ are as given. Define $T_{1,n}: K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times ... \times K_{s_n} \to K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times ... \times K_{s_n}$ by

 $T_{1,n}(x_{1,n}) = (g_{(s_0,s_1,s_2)}(x_0, x_2), g_{(s_1,s_2,s_3)}(x_1, x_3), \dots, g_{(s_{n-2},s_{n-1},s_n)}(x_{n-2}, x_n)).$

Since $T_{1,n}$ is continuous and $K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times ... K_{s_n}$ is compact and convex, $T_{1,n}$ has a fixed point $x_{1,n}^{\alpha} = (x_1^{\alpha}, ..., x_n^{\alpha})$ (by the Brouwer fixed point theorem). Clearly, the associated *n*-periodic orbit x^{α} is an orbit of G_{α} such that $x_t^{\alpha} \in K_{s_t}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since G_0 satisfies (T1), by theorem11, G_{α} also satisfies (T1). It remains to show that G_{α} satisfies (T2). From the proof of Proposition3, G_0 has an uncountable set $\chi = \{x^w \mid w \in (0,1)\}$ of asymptotically nonperiodic orbit that satisfies (T2). If α satisfies (3), by theorem11, there exists the corresponding orbit of G_{α} called χ' . Then χ' is also uncountable and asymptotically nonperiodic. For all $x, y \in \chi$, we have $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|x_t - y_t\| > 0$. For the corresponding x', y', we also have $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|x_t' - y_t'\| > 0$. Next, we will show that χ' satisfies (3).

Theorem 14 If α satisfies (10), let χ^* be the corresponding orbit of $\chi = \{x^w \mid w \in (0,1)\}$. For all $x^*, y^* \in \chi^* : (x^* \neq y^*)$

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (x_{t-n}^*, ..., x_{t+n}^*) - (y_{t-n}^*, ..., y_{t+n}^*) \right\| = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
(11)

Lemma 15 Given $p = (p_{-1}, p_0, p_1)$. Suppose there is a unique orbit x^* such that $x_t^* \in K_{p_0}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any asymptotic obit x with $x_i \in K_{p_0}$, for all i = t - n, ..., t + n. We have $||x_t - x_t^*|| < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any orbit x with $x_i \in K_{p_0}$, for all i = t - n, ..., t + n, we have $||x_t - \xi^*|| < \varepsilon$. This means that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an orbit y^n such that for some $T_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $||y_{T_n}^n - \xi^*|| \ge \varepsilon$ and $y_i^n \in K_{p_0}$, for $i = T_n - n, ..., T_n + n$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define x^n by $x_t^n = y_{t+T_n}^n$, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, x^n is an orbit and $||x_0^n - \xi^*|| \ge \varepsilon$. Taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x_t^n \to \overline{x_t} \in K_{p_0}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then we have $||\overline{x_0} - \xi^*|| \ge \varepsilon$ and thus $\{\overline{x_t}\} \neq \{\xi^*\}$. But this is a contraction since x^* is the unique orbit such that $x_t^* \in K_{p_0}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 16 The constant sequence $\{...,\xi_{p_0},\xi_{p_0},...\}$ is the orbit of G_0 , by theorem 11 there exists a corresponding constant sequence $\{...,\xi^*,\xi^*,...\}$ is the orbit of G_{α} , where $\xi_{p_0} \in K_{p_0}$. Then the constant sequence $\{...,\xi^*,\xi^*,...\}$ which is the unique orbit $\{x_t\}$ of G_{α} such that $x_t \in K_{p_0}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. **Proof.** Suppose there is another orbit x with $||x - \xi^*|| = \sup_i |x_i - \xi^*| > 0$. Then by mean value theorem, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$x_{t} - \xi^{*} = g_{p}(x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}) - g_{p}(\xi^{*}, \xi^{*})$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{1} Dg(\gamma(x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}))(x_{t-1} - \xi^{*}, x_{t-1} - \xi^{*})d\gamma.$$
 (12)

Claim 17 Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$: $(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*) \neq 0$, $||x_t - \xi^*|| \leq \lambda ||(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)||$, where $\lambda = \sup_{\zeta \in (K_{p_0}) \times (K_{p_0}) \setminus \{\xi^*\}} \left\| Dg_p(\zeta) \frac{\zeta - \xi^*}{||\zeta - \xi^*||} \right\| < 1$.

Proof. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose $(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*) \neq 0$. Since $\max_{(x_{-1}, x_1) \in (K_{p_0} \times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1}, x_1)\| < 1 \text{ (and Zeidler[3])}$ $\|x - \xi^*\| \leq \int_0^1 Dg(\gamma(x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}))(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)d\gamma$ $= \int_0^1 \|Dg(\gamma(x_{t-1}, x_{t+1}))(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\| d\gamma \|(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\| < \lambda \|(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\|.$

where the last inequality holds since $\max_{(x_{-1},x_1)\in (K_{p_0}\times K_{p_0})} \|Dg_p(x_{-1},x_1)\| < 1.$

Note that if $(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*) = 0$, then $x_t = \xi^*$ (since $g_p(\xi^*, \xi^*) = \xi^*$). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \xi^*\| &= \sup_{\substack{t: \|(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\| > 0}} \|x_t - \xi^*\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{t: \|(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\| > 0}} \lambda \|(x_{t-1} - \xi^*, x_{t-1} - \xi^*)\| \le \lambda \|x - \xi^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by the claim above. But this is a contraction since $\lambda < 1$.

Proof of Theorem. As we illustrate in the proof of Proposition 3, let $w, w' \in (0,1)$ with $w \neq w'$. Let $\overline{w} = \min\{w, w'\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_n = [\frac{10^n \overline{w}}{2}]$. We have $\left\| (x_{u_n-m}^{\overline{w}}, ..., x_{u_n+m}^{\overline{w}}) - (\xi_1, ..., \xi_1) \right\| = 0$ for n is large enough thus

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (x_{t-m}^w, ..., x_{t+m}^w) - (x_{t-m}^{w'}, ..., x_{t+m}^{w'}) \right\| = 0.$$

For this x^w and $x^{w'}$, by theorem 11, there exists the corresponding orbit of G_{α} called x^* and x'^* respectively. By the above lemma, we have $\|(x^*_{u_n-m}, ..., x^*_{u_n-m}) - (\xi^*, ..., \xi^*)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\|(x'^*_{u_n-m}, ..., x'^*_{u_n-m}) - (\xi^*, ..., \xi^*)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left\| (x_{t-m}^*, ..., x_{t+m}^*) - (x_{t-m}^{'*}, ..., x_{t+m}^{'*}) \right\| = 0.$$

If G_0 is chaotic, i.e., G_0 satisfies (T1) (T2) below.

(T1) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G_0 has a *n*-periodic orbit.

(T2) G_0 has an uncountable set χ of asymptotically nonperiodic orbit such that for all $x, y \in \chi : (x \neq y)$

 $\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|x_t - y_t\| > 0,$ $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \|(x_{t-n}, \dots, x_{t+n}) - (y_{t-n}, \dots, y_{t+n})\| = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$ (13)

If α satisfies (10), by theorem 11and theorem14 there exists the corresponding orbit and it also satisfies (T1) and (T2), that is, G_{α} is chaotic.

References

[1]M. Henon, A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractor, Comm. Math.Phys. 50, 69-77(1976).

[2] R. Devaney and Z. Nitecki, Shift Automorphisms in the Henon Mapping, Comm. Math. Phys. 67, 137-148(1979).

[3] T. Kamihigashi, *Chaotic dynamics in quasi-static system:theory and applicationds*, Journal of mathematical economics 31, 183-214(1999).

[4] D. Sterling and J.D. Meiss, Computing periodic orbits using the anti-integrable limit, Physics letter A 241, 46-52(1998).

[5] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Application, Springer-Verlag, N.Y. (1985).

[6] C. Robinson, Dynamical Systems: Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos, CRC Press LLC(1999).