國立交通大學

應用數學系

碩 士 論 文

研 究 生:裴若宇

指導教授:傅恆霖 教授

中 華 民 國 九 十 八 年 六 月

排除混色圈的著色完全二分圖

Forbidding Multicolored Cycles in an Edge-colored *Km,n*

指導教授:傅恆霖 Advisor:Hung-Lin Fu

國 立 交 通 大 學

應 用 數 學 系

in

Applied Mathematics

June 2009

Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

排除混色圈的著色完全二分圖

研究生:裴若宇 指導老師:傅恆霖 教授

國 立 交 通 大 學

應 用 數 學 系

摘 要

在一個邊已著色的圖中,若有一個子圖它的每個邊的顏色皆不相同,我們稱這種子 圖為混色子圖。在這篇論文中,我們先整理了一些以往有關混色子圖的定理與猜測,我 們將依照子圖的種類分成四類來介紹;接下來我們討論在一個完全二部圖 *Km*,*ⁿ*中,是否 存在一種恰用了 n 色的邊著色可以避免混色的圈出現, 我們證明出來當 2 ≤ m ≤ n 及 n ≥ 4 時,在 $K_{m,n}$ 中一定會產生混色的 C_4 。而在下列兩種情形:(1) m ≥ 3 且 n ≥ 9 或 (2) $m \geq 4$ 且 $n = 7$ 時, 在 K_{mn} 中也會產生混色的 C_6 。更進一步的, 對於 $k \leq m \leq 2k$ $1 \leq k$ 為奇數時,我們找到一種 $2k$ 個顏色的著色法使得 $K_{m,2k}$ 中能避免混色的 C_{2k} 出現。

Forbidding Multicolored Cycles in an Edge-colored *Km,n*

National Chiao Tung University National Chiao Tung University Hsinchu, Taiwan 30050 Hsinchu, Taiwan 30050

Student: Ryo-Yu Pei Advisor: Hung-Lin Fu

Department of Applied Mathematics Department of Applied Mathematics

Abstract

In an edge-colored graph, a subgraph whose edges are of distinct colors is known as a multicolored (or rainbow) subgraph. In this thesis, we shall first introduce several known results and conjectures related to multicolored subgraph in an edge-colored K_n , according to four categories of multicolored subgraphs. Then, we extend this study to consider whether there is a proper edge-coloring in a complete bipartite graph which forbids multicolored cycles. First, we claim that it is impossible to forbid multicolored 4-cycles in any proper *n*-edge-coloring of $K_{m,n}$ where $2 \le m \le n$ and $n \ge 4$. Second, we prove that any *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$ ($m \le n$) contains a multicolored C_6 if (*i*) $m \ge 3$ and $n \geq 9$; or (*ii*) $m \geq 4$ and $n = 7$. Finally, if *k* is odd, we obtain a proper 2*k*-edge-coloring of $K_{m,2k}$ which forbids multicolored $(2k)$ -cycles where $k \leq m \leq 2k$.

Acknowledgement

三年前,我進入了交通大學應用數學研究所就讀;也在同一年,我順利擠進教師甄 試的窄門,成為新竹高商的正式老師;而因為本身熱愛打籃球,我還加入了交大校女籃; 這三年來,自己同時扮演著教師、學生與球員的三重身份,無力感常油然而生;但人總 是會在壓力下成長,在困境中學習,在這三年中,我感覺確實學到不少,但也覺得自己 一口氣增加了不只三歲!

首先,我最感謝的就是我的指導老師:傅恆霖教授,傅老師對我相當包容,不管是 在課業、工作,還是在運動方面,都提供我相當大的協助,如果不是遇到傅老師,那我 想我的研究生涯將會是黑白的。再來感謝交大校女籃教練:鄭智仁老師,在忙碌之餘, 還能讓我在球場上盡情揮灑汗水,甚至也給我機會讓我在球場上為交大爭光,這種體驗 十分難得。接下來要感謝的是新竹高商王承先校長,願意讓我在職進修,半工半讀,甚 至在我要離開時也不為難我,王校長真的是我見過最棒、最有教育熱忱的校長。

除了老師們,在我研究生涯中最要感謝的就是貓頭大大,貓頭大大的存在對我來說 就像是天上掉下來的禮物、漂流在怒海中的一根浮木、或是上完廁所後的唯一一張衛生 紙,另外還有同門的臭賓賓、敏筠、Robin、軒軒、舜婷、施智懷、雁婷學姊、惠蘭學 姊等人,都曾在學術上提供過我協助,非常感謝。

再來是球隊學妹們,跟你們玩樂的時光,是我在交大最快樂的回憶,尤其是小瑋跟 小易,我們在交大室外場奮勇殺敵到頭破血流的日子,是我非常難忘的。三年來在新竹 也交了不少朋友,新商同事、偉小慈、黑 jacky、小培、(偽)應數系女籃、交大田徑隊、… 等等,你們都讓我的生活更豐富精采。還有貼心的新商學生們,對於有個忙碌的導師從 不抱怨,取而代之的是貼心問候,能敎到你們我真的很幸福。

最後,要感謝的是我的家人以及一個愛吃罐頭、個性執著、睡覺四腳朝天、不時會 到床上撒尿的孩子-Gucci,Gucci 讓我學到知足常樂、天天開心這些最基本的人生道 理,疲累的時候看著 Gucci 的笑臉好像壓力都解除了,一起來吃起士雞肉條吧!

Contents

List of Figures

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In the study of graph theory, graph decomposition and coloring are two important topics. A decomposition of a graph is a list of subgraphs such that each edge appears in exactly one subgraph in the list. In graph coloring, we study the vertex-coloring and edge-coloring which deal with the assignments of colors onto the vertex set of *G* and the edge set of *G* respectively.

 We combine these two topics together in this thesis. In an edge-colored graph, a subgraph whose edges are of distinct colors is known as a multicolored (or rainbow) subgraph. First, in the study of the edge-colorings of the complete graphs. In 2006, Akbari, Alipour, Fu and Lo $[2]$ showed that there exists an edge-coloring of K_{2n} such that all the edges can be partitioned into edge-disjoint multicolored isomorphic spanning trees. Then consider the complete graph of odd order. In 2005, Constantine [10] partitioned *Kn* into multicolored Hamiltonian cycles by a given proper *n*-edge-coloring if *n* is an odd prime. In addition, he proposed a new conjecture that for any proper *n*-edge-coloring of K_n , the edges can be partitioned into multicolored unicyclic isomorphic subgraphs. Several years later, Fu and Lo [15] improved above result from *n* is an odd prime to *n* is an odd integer and therefore verify the conjecture.

Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara [20] presented that if the edges of K_n are colored by *n* or more colors actually appearing, then there is a multicolored C_3 somewhere. That means, there is no edge-coloring of K_n with n or more colors actually appearing which forbids multicolored cycles. With the same idea, we discuss whether there exists a proper edge-coloring in a complete bipartite graph which forbids multicolored cycles. It is impossible to forbid multicolored 4-cycles in any proper *n*-edge-coloring of $K_{m,n}$ where $2 \leq m \leq n$ and $n \geq 4$. How about forbidding multicolored (2*k*)-cycles? In this thesis, the first part of the main results are concerned about the discussion of forbidding multicolored C_6 in a proper *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$ where $3 \leq m \leq$ *n* and $n \geq 6$. We discuss the lower bound of *n* such that in any proper *n*-edge-coloring of

 $K_{m,n}$, there is a multicolored 6-cycle somewhere. Then, for each smaller m , n , we will give a specific proper *n*-edge-coloring which forbids multicolored 6-cycles. If *k* is an odd integer, furthermore, there exists a proper $(2k)$ - edge-coloring of $K_{m,2k}$ which forbids multicolored $(2k)$ -cycles, where $k \le m \le 2k$.

Now, we introduce the terminologies and definitions of graphs. For details, the readers may refer to the book "Introduction to Graph Theory" by D. B. West [22].

A graph *G* is a triple consisting of a vertex set $V(G)$, an edge set $E(G)$, and a relation that associates with each edge two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its *endpoints*. A *loop* is an edge whose endpoints are equal. *Multiple edges* are edges having the same pair of endpoints. A *simple graph* is a graph having no loops or multiple edges. In this thesis, all the graphs we consider are simple.

The size of the vertex set $V(G)$, denoted by $|V(G)|$, is called the *order* of *G*, and the size of the edge set $E(G)$, denoted by $|E(G)|$, is called the *size* of *G*. When *u* and *v* are the endpoints of an edge, written *uv* in short, they are *adjacent* and are *neighbors*. If vertex *v* is an endpoint of edge *e*, then *v* and *e* are *incident*. The *neighborhood* of *v*, written $N(v)$, is the set of vertices adjacent to *v*. The *degree* of *v*, written $deg(v)$, is the number of neighbors of *v*; that is, $deg(v) = |N(v)|$.

A *subgraph* of a graph *G* is a graph *H* such that $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$ and the assignment of endpoints to edges in *H* is the same as in *G*, denoted by $H \subseteq G$. A *spanning subgraph* of *G* is a subgraph *H* with $V(H) = V(G)$. A *matching* in *G* is a set of edges with no shared endpoints. A *perfect matching* in a graph *G* is a matching that saturates all vertices. A *k-factor* is a spanning subgraph with each degree equal to *k*. Then a 1-factor and a perfect matching are almost the same thing.

A *cycle* is a graph with an equal number of vertices and edges whose vertices can be placed around a circle so that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they appear consecutively along the circle. A cycle with *n* vertices is denoted by C_n . A *Hamiltonian cycle* is a graph with a spanning cycle. A graph with no cycles is called *acyclic* and a graph with exactly one cycle is *unicyclic*. A *tree* is a connected acyclic graph. A *spanning tree* is a spanning subgraph that is a tree.

A *complete graph* is a simple graph whose vertices are pairwise adjacent, and the complete graph with *n* vertices is denoted by K_n . An *independent set* in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. A graph *G* is *bipartite* if $V(G)$ is the union of two disjoint sets, called *partite sets* of *G*. A graph *G* is *m-partite* if *V* (*G*) can be expressed as the union of *m* independent sets. A *complete bipartite graph* is a bipartite graph such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are in different partite sets. When the sets have the sizes s and t , the complete bipartite graph is denoted by $K_{s,t}$. If the sets have the same size *n*, the complete bipartite graph is called *balanced*, denoted by $K_{n,n}$. Similarly, the complete *m*-partite graph is denoted by K_{s_1}, s_2, \dots, s_m if the sets have the sizes s_1, s_2, \dots and s_m . The balanced complete *m*-partite graph is denoted by $K_{m(n)}$ where each partite set has *n* vertices.

An *isomorphism* from a graph *G* to a graph *H* is a bijection $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if $f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$. We say "*G* is isomorphic to *H*", written $G \cong H$, if there is an isomorphism from *G* to *H*.

A *k*-edge coloring of *G* is a labeling from $E(G)$ into a set *S*, where $|S| = k$. In this thesis, we use $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$. The labels are *colors*, and the edges which have the same color form a *color class*. A *k*-edge coloring is *proper* if all incident edges have different labels (i.e., each color class is a matching). The *chromatic index* of a graph *G*, $\chi'(G)$, is the minimum number *k* for which *G* has a proper *k*-edge coloring. A subgraph in an edge-colored graph is said to be *multicolored* if no two edges have the same color.

If the edges of a graph G are colored by r colors $\{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$, then its *color* distribution (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) means that the number of edges with color *i* is equal to a_i for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. An edge-coloring of a graph G is called an edge coloring with *complete bipartite decomposition* if each color class forms a complete bipartite subgraph of *G*. If the edges of *G* are colored so that no color is appeared in more than *k* edges, we refer to this as a *k-bounded coloring*. For a vertex *v* of *G*, the *color degree* of *v*, denoted by $deg_{col}(v)$, is the number of colors on the edges which are incident with *v*.

Let *S* be an *n*-set. A *latin square of order n* based on *S* is an *nn* array in which every element of *S* is arranged such that each element occurs exactly once in each row and column. For convenience, let $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We denote a latin square of order *n* based on *S* by $LS(n) = [l_{i,j}]_{n \times n}$ where $l_{i,j} \in S$. An $m \times n$ latin rectangle $(m \leq n)$ is an $m \times n$ array in which *n* distinct elements are arranged such that each element occurs at most once in each row and column, denoted by *LR*(*m*, *n*). A *partial latin square of order r* is an $r \times r$ array in which *n* distinct elements are arranged, $n > r$, such that each element occurs at most once in each row and column. A *circulant latin square of order n* is a special $LS(n)$ where each row is rotated one element to the right relative to the preceding row, denoted by L_n . A *transversal* of a $LS(n)$ is a set of *n* entries from each column and each row such that these *n* entries are all distinct. Replace *LS*(*n*) by partial latin square of order *r*, its transversal is a set of *r* entries from each column and each row such that these r entries are all distinct.

COL 3 ่ก		$\overline{2}$	3	
2 € COL			$\overline{2}$	3
$\overline{2}$	3		1	\mathfrak{D}
	$\overline{2}$	3		

Figure 1: Circulant latin squares of order 2, 3, and 4

There is a corresponding relationship between an $m \times n$ latin rectangle and a proper *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$ where $m \leq n$. Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be the two partite sets of $K_{m,n}$ and the edge $u_i v_j$ be colored with $l_{i,j}$ where $LR(m, n) = [l_{i,j}]_{m \times n}$ is an $m \times n$ latin rectangle, then we have a proper *n*-edge-colored K_{mn} .

2 Known Results

In this section, some theorems and conjectures related to multicolored subgraph in an edge-colored K_n will be reorganized. It can be introduced according to the following four categories of multicolored subgraph.

2.1 Multicolored Spanning Tree

First, consider a non-proper coloring in K_n . Assume that it uses *r* colors. The following two results were proposed by Akbari and Alipour [1] in 2006.

Theorem 2.1. [1] If the complete graph K_n , $n \geq 3$, is r-edge-colored and $r \geq \binom{n-2}{2}+2$, *then* K_n *has a multicolored spanning tree.* (2) $n-2$ 2 **Theorem 2.2.** [1] *If the complete graph K_n,* $n \ge 6$ *, is r-edge-colored and* $r \ge \binom{n-2}{2}+3$ *, then* K_n has two edge-disjoint multicolored spanning trees. (2) $n-2$ 2

In the same paper, they also used a different perspective, color distribution, to deal with this problem as follows.

Theorem 2.3. [1] *If the r-edge-colored* K_n has a color distribution (a_1, \dots, a_r) with $1 \le a_1$ $\leq \cdots \leq a_r \leq (n+3)/2$ and $r \geq n-1$, then K_n has a multicolored spanning tree.

Theorem 2.4. [1] *If the r-edge-colored* K_n has a color distribution (a_1, \dots, a_r) with $1 \le a_1$ $\leq \cdots \leq a_r \leq n/2$, then K_n has two multicolored spanning trees.

As early as in 1991, however, Alon, Brualdi and Shader [4] discussed the existence of multicolored spanning trees from the perspective of complete bipartite decomposition.

Theorem 2.5. [4] *Every* K_n *having an edge-coloring with complete bipartite decomposition contains a multicolored spanning tree.*

On the other hand, the existence of multicolored spanning trees in a proper edge-colored complete graph was discussed. Since $\chi'(K_{2n}) = 2n - 1$, it is natural to ask if there exists a partition of the edges of an edge-colored K_{2n} into multicolored subgraphs each has $2n - 1$ edges. Here are three conjectures related to this problem.

Conjecture 2.6. [11] *For* $n > 2$ *, there exists a proper* $(2n-1)$ *-edge-coloring of* K_{2n} *such that all edges can be partitioned into n isomorphic multicolored spanning trees.*

Conjecture 2.7. [7] *If* $n > 2$ *, then in any proper edge-coloring of* K_{2n} with $2n-1$ colors, all *edges can be partitioned into n multicolored spanning trees.*

Conjecture 2.8. [11] If $n > 2$, then in any proper edge-coloring of K_{2n} with $2n-1$ colors, all

edges can be partitioned into n isomorphic multicolored spanning trees.

For the first conjecture, it has been verified by Akbari, Alipour, Fu and Lo [2] in 2006.

Theorem 2.9. [2] *For* $n \geq 3$ *,* K_{2n} *can be properly edge-colored with* $2n-1$ *colors in such a way that the edges can be partitioned into edge-disjoint multicolored isomorphic spanning trees.*

As for Conjecture 2.7, proposed by Brualdi and Hollingsworth [7], they also proved

the existence of two multicolored spanning trees in the same paper. Then, the existence of three multicolored spanning trees has been proven by Krussel, Marshall and Verrall [19] in 2002.

Theorem 2.10. [7] *If* $n > 2$ *, then in any proper edge-coloring of* K_{2n} with $2n - 1$ *colors,*

there exist two edge-disjoint multicolored spanning trees.

Theorem 2.11. [19] If $n > 2$, then in any proper edge-coloring of K_{2n} with $2n - 1$ colors, *there exist three edge-disjoint multicolored spanning trees.*

Later, Kaneko, Kano and Suzuki [18] extended the above theorem from K_{2n} to K_n in

2003.

Theorem 2.12. [18] *Every properly edge-colored K_n* ($n \ge 6$) *has three edge-disjoint multicolored spanning trees.*

Conjecture 2.8 can imply Conjecture 2.7 easily; therefore, it has not been completely solved yet. A partial result, however, was proposed by Fu and Lo [14]

recently.

Theorem 2.13. [14] *In any proper edge-coloring of* K_{2n} with $2n - 1$ colors, if $n > 2$, then *there exist two edge-disjoint isomorphic multicolored spanning trees; and if* $n > 13$ *, then there exist three edge-disjoint isomorphic multicolored spanning trees.*

2.2 Multicolored Cycle

In an edge-colored K_n , it is clear that there is no multicolored cycle if and only if there

is no multicolored C_3 . Notice that there exists a cycle somewhere in a subgraph of K_n with *n* edges. Montellano-Ballesteros and Neumann-Lara [20] presented the following results.

Theorem 2.14. [20] If the edges of K_n are colored by n or more colors actually appearing, *then there is a rainbow* K_3 *somewhere.*

This theorem infers that there is no edge-coloring of K_n with *n* or more colors which forbids multicolored cycles. Analogous to the multicolored trees, the existence of multicolored cycles in a proper edge-colored complete graph was discussed. It is natural to think about a multicolored Hamiltonian cycle in a proper $(2n+1)$ -edge colored K_{2n+1} . **Theorem 2.15.** [10] If $2n+1$ is an odd prime, then there exists a proper $(2n+1)$ -edge-coloring of K_{2n+1} such that all edges can be partitioned into n multicolored *Hamiltonian cycles.*

Above theorem was provided by Constantine [10] in 2005, and he also gave a relative conjecture.

Conjecture 2.16. [10] *Any proper coloring of the edges of a complete graph on an odd number of vertices allows a partition of the edges into multicolored isomorphic unicyclic subgraphs.*

Theorem 2.15 was improved by Fu and Lo [15] in 2009.

Theorem 2.17. [15] *For any odd integer* $2n+1$ *, there exists a proper* $(2n+1)$ *-edge-coloring*

*of K*2*n*+1 *such that all edges can be partitioned into n multicolored Hamiltonian cycles.*

Now, we consider a *k* -bounded coloring. For any positive integer *k*, the problem is to find a positive integer *n* which is large enough so that every *k* -bounded edge-colored *Kn* contains a multicolored Hamiltonian cycle. Here are three relative results. We list them in historical order.

Theorem 2.18. [16] *There exists a constant number c such that if* $n \geq ck^3$, *then every k-bounded edge-colored Kn has a multicolored Hamiltonian cycle.*

Theorem 2.19. [13] *There exists a constant number c such that if n is sufficiently large* and $k \leq n/(c \ln n)$, then every k-bounded edge-colored K_n contains a multicolored *Hamiltonian cycle.* **Theorem 2.20.** [3] Let $c < 1/32$. If n is sufficiently large and $k \leq \lceil cn \rceil$, then every *k-bounded edge-colored Kn contains a multicolored Hamiltonian cycle.*

Theorem 2.18 was obtained by Hahn and Thomassen [16] in 1986 and implied that k could grow as fast as $n^{1/3}$ to guarantee that a k-bounded edge-colored K_n contains a multicolored Hamiltonian cycle. In 1993, Frieze and Reed [13] made further progress, see Theorem 2.19. Few years later, in 1995, Albert, Frieze and Reed [3] improved Theorem 2.19 and proved the growth rate of *k* could in fact be linear.

2.3 Multicolored Matching

The perfect matching only exists in K_{2n} and the general case has been mentioned in 1998 by Woolbright and Fu [23].

Theorem 2.21. [23] *For* $n \geq 3$ *, every properly* $(2n - 1)$ *-edge-colored* K_{2n} *has a rainbow*

perfect matching.

There is a conjecture concerning matching a long time ago.

Conjecture 2.22. [6, 21] *In any proper edge-coloring of* $K_{n,n}$ with *n* colors,

(1) If *n* is even, then there exists a multicolored matching M with $|M| = n - 1$.

(2) If n is odd, then there exists a multicolored matching M with $|M| = n$.

Notice that there is a corresponding relation between a matching in $K_{n,n}$ and a partial transversal in $LS(n)$. We have the following theorem. **Theorem 2.23.** [17] *Every latin square has a partial transversal of length at least* $n - 11.053 log^2 n$.

2.4 Multicolored Path

The length of a multicolored path will increase along with the number of colors. So we can get the following.

Theorem 2.24. [12] *Every r-edge-colored graph G of order n has a multicolored path of length at least* $\lceil (2r)/n \rceil$.

In 2005, Broersma, Li, Woeginger and Zhang [5] obtained the following result.

Theorem 2.25. [5] *Let G be an edge-colored graph. If* $deg_{col}(x) \geq k$ *for every vertex x of G,*

then for every vertex v of G, there exists a multicolored path starting at v and of length at $least \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$.

Then Chen and Li [8] improved theorem 2.25.

Theorem 2.26. [8] *Let G be an edge-colored graph and* $k \ge 1$ *be an integer. If deg_{col}(x)* $\ge k$ *for every vertex x of G, then there exists a multicolored path of length at least* $\lceil (3k)/5 \rceil + 1$. *Moreover, if* $1 \leq k \leq 7$ *, there exists a multicolored path of length at least* $k - 1$ *.*

Theorem 2.27. [9] *Let G be an edge-colored graph and* $k \ge 8$ *be an integer. If deg_{col}(x)* $\ge k$ *for every vertex x of G, then there exists a multicolored path of length at least* $(2k)/3+1$ *.*

We can get the following corollary by Theorem 2.27.

Corollary 2.28. In any proper coloring of K_n , if $n \geq 9$, then there exists a multicolored *path of length at least* $\lceil (2n-2)/3 \rceil + 1$.

3 Main Results

Now, we will discuss whether there exists a proper *n*-edge-coloring in a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ which forbids multicolored (2*k*)-cycles. For $k \ge 2$ and $2 \le m \le n$, we define the *forbidding multicolored* $(2k)$ -*cycles set*, *FMC* $(2k)$ in short, by $(m, n) \in FMC$ (2*k*) if there exists a proper *n*-edge-coloring of $K_{m,n}$ which forbids multicolored $(2k)$ -cycles. Obviously, $(i, j) \in FMC(2k)$ if $i < k$ or $j < 2k$. In this thesis, we completely determine the two sets *FMC* (4) and *FMC* (6). Furthermore, for *k* is odd, we find several elements in the set $FMC(2k)$. Besides, we denote an $m \times n$ latin rectangle which forbids multicolored $(2k)$ -cycles in its corresponding $K_{m,n}$ by $L_{m,n}(2k)$.

3.1 Forbidding Multicolored 4-cycles and 6-cycles

It is impossible to forbid multicolored 4-cycles in any proper *n*-edge-coloring of $K_{m,n}$ where $2 \le m \le n$ and $n \ge 4$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *FMC* (4) = { $(2, 2)$, $(2, 3)$, $(3, 3)$ }.

Proof. It suffices to show that there exists a multicolored C_4 in a proper 4-edge-colored $K_{2,4}$. Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ be the two partite sets of $K_{2,4}$. Without loss of generality, assume the colors on u_1v_1 , u_2v_1 are 1 and 2. There must be one vertex v_i where $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ such that the colors on u_1v_i , u_2v_i are different from $\{1, 2\}$. Thus we have a multicolored C_4 .

Then we will have a discussion on forbidding multicolored C_6 in a proper *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$ where $3 \leq m \leq n$ and $n \geq 6$. Notice that every proper *n*-edge-coloring of *Km,n* has its corresponding *mn* latin rectangle using *n* distinct entries. In an *mn* latin rectangle, consider a 3×3 partial latin square. If there exist 2 disjoint transversals using 6 distinct entries in the 3×3 partial latin square, then there exists a multicolored C_6 in its corresponding $K_{3,3} \subseteq K_{m,n}$. On the other hand, we can regard the existence of 2

disjoint transversals as omitting three positions that no two of them are in the same row or column. Figure 3 is an example of a 3×3 partial latin square, and the two disjoint transversals, which can be combined to a multicolored C_6 , are discovered by omitting the three "gray" positions.

	3	$\overline{5}$
	4	$\overline{2}$
$\overline{2}$	8	6

Figure 3: A 3×3 partial latin square

Obviously, in a 3×3 partial latin square, if there appear 9 kinds of entries, then a multicolored C_6 must occur somewhere. And if there appear 8 kinds of entries, then we can omit the two positions which have the repeated entry to obtain a multicolored C_6 .

Proposition 3.2. Let L be a 3×3 partial latin square with 7 distinct entries. There is no *multicolored* C_6 *in its corresponding* $K_{3,3}$ *if and only if L has an L₂.*
1996

Proof. Assume that *L* has no L_2 .

Case 1. If there is one entry appearing 3 times, then omitting these three positions yields a multicolored C_6 , a contradiction.

Case 2. There are two entries appeared twice separately. Without loss of generality, let the two entries be 1 and 2, and let the positions of entry 1 be arranged at the diagonal, see Figure 4.

Figure 4: Case 2 of Proposition 3.2

Now, consider the positions where entry 2 may appear. Since there is no L_2 , there

must be at least one position which labels entry 2 in the third column or the third row. Name this position be *A*. Then we just omit position *A* and one of the positions labeled 1 which is not in the same row and column with A. Thus, we have a multicolored C_6 .

Conversely, suppose the two entries in L_2 be 1 and 2. Since there is none or two 1's (or 2's) in any transversal of *L*, any two disjoint transversals couldn't have 6 kinds of entries. Then, there is no multicolored C_6 in its corresponding $K_{3,3}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let L be a* 33 *partial latin square with* 6 *distinct entries. There is no multicolored* C_6 *in its corresponding* $K_{3,3}$ *if one of the following conditions occurs:*

(*i*) *There exists* 2 *columns* (*or rows*) *in L used exactly* 3 *distinct entries.*

(*ii*) *Some entry appears three times in L.*

 (iii) There is an L_2 in L .

Proof. Since there are just 6 kinds of entries, we should keep every kind of entries left and omit the other repeated ones. Thus we have done.

Consider an *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$, $m \leq n$, the larger *n* is, the more colors we can use. Therefore, the possibility to forbid multicolored 6-cycles in an *n*-edge-colored $K_{m,n}$ gets $V_{\rm H\,IR}$ (V) lower as *n* increases.

Proposition 3.4. For any proper n-edge-coloring of $K_{m,n}$ where $n \geq 9$ and $m \leq n$, there *exists a multicolored* C_6 *.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $m = 3$. Suppose NOT. There exists a proper *n*-edge-coloring of $K_{3,n}$ which forbids multicolored C_6 's. Let $L_{3,n}(6)$ be the corresponding latin rectangle. Without loss of generality, let the three entries of the first column in *L*3,*ⁿ*(6) be 1, 2 and 3.

Except the first column, the three entries 1, 2 and 3 can occur in at most 6 columns. So, there is at least one column which has no entries 1, 2 and 3. We can assume the three entries of the second column be 4, 5 and 6. There are $n-6$ unused entries left and each

 $(n-6)$ 2n - -2 n inequality: $\frac{3(n-6)}{2} = 1 + \frac{2n-16}{2} > 1$, if $n \ge 9$. By Pigeon-hole principle, there must of them must appear in the remaining $n-2$ columns exactly three times. Consider the be one column which has at least two entries disjoint from the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Combining this column with the first two ones, there will be a multicolored C_6 in its corresponding $K_{3,3}$. It leads a contradiction. \square $n-2$ $n-2$

So far, we have narrowed the two indices *n* and *m* down to $6 \le n \le 8$ and $3 \le m \le n$.

Lemma 3.5. $For\ 3 \leq m \leq 6, (m, 6) \in FMC(6)$.

Proof. Let $L_{6,6}(6) = L_3 \times L_2$ be composed of four copies of L_3 , and suppose the entries in the top-left and bottom-right copies are from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ while the entries in the other two copies are from {4, 5, 6}. For convenience, name the four copies *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* clockwise from the top-left one, see Figure 5.

	1	2			5			
	3					5 ÷ ÷	\boldsymbol{A}	\boldsymbol{B}
$L_{6,6}(6) =$	$\overline{2}$	3		h	6	$\overline{4}$		
	$\mathbf 1$	$\overline{2}$	3	4	5	6°		
	3	1	$\overline{2}$	$\,6$	4	$\overline{5}$	\boldsymbol{D}	\mathcal{C}
	$\overline{2}$	3	1	$\bf 5$	6	$\overline{4}$		

Figure 5: $L_{6.6}(6)$ and the four copies of L_3

Suppose that there exist 6 positions somewhere which induce a multicolored C_6 . Let *L* be the 3×3 partial latin square which contains the 6 positions. By Proposition 3.2 (*i*), we can assume *L* cross all four copies. Without loss of generality, suppose there are four positions of *L* locating on *A*. Since *A* has only 3 kinds of entries, some entry must appear twice, say *a*.

Then consider the only one entry of *L* in *C*. By Proposition 3.2 (*ii*), let the entry be

b, where $b \neq a$. Moreover, there is exactly one repeated entry in the other four positions of *L* in *B* and *D*. Recall that we can obtain a multicolored C_6 by omitting three positions that no two of them are in the same row or column. If we omit the position in *C*, then there must be a repeated entry left in *B* and *D*. Otherwise, the two positions having entry a in A will be left. It's a contradiction. \Box

Lemma 3.6. $For 3 \le m \le 8, (m, 8) \in FMC(6)$.

Proof. Let $L_{8,8}(6) = L_2 \times L_2 \times L_2$ be composed of 8 copies of L_2 . Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5, suppose the entries in the top-left and bottom-right copies are from $\{1, 2, \}$ 3, 4} while the entries in the other two copies are from $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, and the four copies are arranged as following Figure 6. For convenience, let $L_{8,8}(6) = [l_{i,j}]$ where $1 \le i, j \le 8$.

Figure 6: $L_{8,8}(6)$ and the four copies of $(L_2)^2$

Suppose that there are 6 positions somewhere which induce a multicolored C_6 . Let L be the 3×3 partial latin square which contains the 6 positions. It is easy to see that any 2×3 partial latin rectangle in $L_2 \times L_2$ contains an L_2 . By Proposition 3.1, we can assume *L* cross all four copies. Without loss of generality, suppose there are four positions of *L* locating on *A*. Let the four positions in *A* be (a, c) , (a, d) , (b, c) , (b, d) , and the only one position in *C* be (h, k) , where $1 \le a, b, c, d \le 4$ and $5 \le h, k \le 8$.

By Proposition 3.2, $l_{a,c} \neq l_{b,d}$ or $l_{a,d} \neq l_{b,c}$. Actually, the four entries $l_{a,c}$, $l_{b,d}$, $l_{a,d}$, $l_{b,c}$ are distinct. Assume $l_{h,k} \neq l_{a,c}$, then $l_{a,k} \neq l_{h,c}$ because of $L_{8,8}(6) = (L_2)^3$. Thus, we have an L_2 in L , a contradiction. \Box

Lemma 3.7. $(3, 7) \in FMC(6)$ *.*

Proof. Let $L_{3,7}(6)$ be the corresponding latin rectangle of the specific proper 7-edge-coloring which forbids multicolored C_6 's, see Figure 7.

It is easy to see that any two columns of the first 4 columns have an L_2 , and any two columns of the last 3 columns used exactly 3 distinct entries. By proposition 3.3 (*i*) and (iii) , we have done. \square

Lemma 3.8. *There exists a 3-edge-colored* $K_{3,3}$ *in a proper 7-edge-colored* $K_{3,7}$ *which forbids multicolored* C_6 *'s.*

Proof. Let $L_{3,7}(6)$ be the corresponding latin rectangle of a proper 7-edge-colored $K_{3,7}$. It suffices to show there must be a latin subsquare of order 3.

Claim 1. There exist two columns having disjoint entries.

Suppose NOT. Let the entries of the first column be 1, 2 and 3. Notice that each entry in $\{1, 2, 3\}$ must appear twice in the other columns. By our assumption, each remaining column has exactly one position with entry in $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Without loss of generality, let the second column contain entries 1, 4, and 5. Except the first two columns, there are at most 4 columns having entries 4 or 5. Therefore, there exists one column having exactly one entry from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ but no entries from $\{4, 5\}$. By

proposition 3.2, this column and the first two columns will create a multicolored C_6 , a contradiction.

Claim 2. There exists a latin subsquare of order 3.

By Claim 1, we can assume the entries of the first two columns be 1, 2, 3 and 5, 6, 7 respectively. Consider the first two columns and the three columns which have entry 4. By proposition 3.1, the other two entries in the column which has entry 4 must be both from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ or $\{5, 6, 7\}.$

Case 1. The entries in the three columns with entry 4 are all from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ or $\{5, 6, 7\}$. Assume the six entries are all in $\{5, 6, 7\}$ by symmetry. Then combining the first column and the last two ones, we have a latin square of order 3, see Figure 8.

Case 2. The entries in the three columns with entry 4 are NOT all from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ or $\{5,$ 6, 7}.

 We will use Figure 9 and Figure 10 to illustrate our arguments. First, look at Figure 9. Without loss of generality, suppose the entries in position *A* are from {1, 2, 3} while the entries in position *B* are from $\{5, 6, 7\}$.

	$\overline{5}$	4	\boldsymbol{A}	\bm{B}	
$\overline{2}$	6	\boldsymbol{A}	$\overline{4}$	\bm{B}	
3	7	\boldsymbol{A}	\boldsymbol{A}		

Figure 9: Case 2

By proposition 3.2, since combining the first two columns and one of the columns with entry 4 will form a partial latin square with 7 kinds of entries, the entries in

position *A* and position *B* are uniquely determined as Figure 10. Meanwhile, the entries in some positions of the last two columns are determined except positions denoted as *C*. Note that the entries in position *C* must be from the set $\{5, 6\}$.

	$5\overline{)}$	$\overline{4}$	3 ³	6	$7\overline{ }$	$\overline{2}$
2 ¹	$6-1$	3 ³	$\overline{4}$	$5\overline{)}$		
$\mathbf{3}$	-7 1	2	$\overline{1}$	$\overline{4}$	C	\boldsymbol{C}

Figure 10: Case 2

Consider column 1, column 5, and column 6, they use 7 distinct entries but without L_2 . By Proposition 3.2, there exists a multicolored C_6 , a contradiction. \Box

Corollary 3.9. For any proper 7-edge-coloring of $K_{m,7}$, $4 \leq m \leq 7$, there exists a *multicolored* C_6 *.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case $m = 4$. Suppose NOT. There exists some proper 7-edge-coloring of $K_{4,7}$ which forbids multicolored C_6 's. Consider its corresponding latin rectangle *L*4,7. By Lemma 3.7, there exists a latin subsquare of order 3 in the first three rows of $L_{4,7}(6)$. Without loss of generality, we put the latin subsquare of order 3 in the last three columns and let the entries be 5, 6 and 7, see Figure 11. Then, consider the last three rows. It's impossible to find a latin subsquare of order 3. It contradicts Lemma 3.7.

		$\bf 5$	$\,6\,$	7
		$\overline{7}$	$5\overline{)}$	$\,6\,$
		$\,6\,$	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{5}$

Figure 11: $L_{4.7}(6)$

To sum up, we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 3.10. For each m, n $(m \leq n)$ satisfying one of the follow conditions, any *n-edge-colored* $K_{m,n}$ *contains a multicolored* C_6 *:*

- (*i*) $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 9$;
- (iii) $m \geq 4$ and $n = 7$.

Proof. It can be easily proved by Proposition 3.4, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and Corollary 3.9. □

3.2 Forbidding Multicolored (2*k***)-cycles**

In this subsection, we consider the general version: forbidding multicolored $(2k)$ -cycles. In the followings, we extend the method of Lemma 3.4, which shows a proper 6-edge-coloring of $K_{6,6}$ that forbids multicolored 6-cycles, to the case that forbids multicolored (2*k*)-cycles.

Theorem 3.11. *If k is odd, then* $(m, 2k) \in FMC(2k)$ *for* $k \le m \le 2k$.

Proof. It suffices to show $(2k, 2k) \in FMC$ $(2k)$. Let $L_{2k,2k}(2k) = L_k \times L_2$, where L_k is the circulant latin square of order *k*. Similar to above proofs, suppose the top-left and bottom-right copies of L_k are based on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ while the other two copies are based on $\{k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\}$. Now, we claim that there are no two disjoint transversals using 2*k* kinds of entries. For convenience, name the four copies *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* clockwise from the top-left one, see Figure 12.

L_k based on	L_k based on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ $\{k+1, \dots, 2k\}$		B
L_k based on $\left \{k+1, \ldots, 2k\}\right $ $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$	L_k based on		\mathcal{C}

Figure 12: $L_{2k,2k}(2k)$ and four copies of L_k

 Suppose that there exist two disjoint transversals using 2*k* kinds of entries. Let *L* be the *kk* partial latin square containing these two transversals. Note here that each column and row contains exactly two entries from the two transversals. If *L* crosses only two copies of L_k , the two disjoint transversals must contain an even number of entries from [*k*]. Therefore, we can assume that *L* crosses all four copies. Let *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* be the numbers of entries of the two transversals from *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* respectively. Clearly, *a*+*c* is even because $a+b$ and $b+c$ are both even. By the hypothesis, $a+c = k$ is odd, a contradiction. Then we complete the proof. \Box

4 Conclusion

In this thesis, we have obtained the following three main results:

- 1. *FMC* (4) = { $(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 3)$ }.
- 2. *FMC* (6) = { $(a, b), (c, 8), (3, 7)$ | $2 \le a \le b \le 6, 2 \le c \le 8$ }.
- 3. If *k* is odd, then $(m, 2k) \in FMC(2k)$ for $2 \le m \le 2k$.

For the future study, we shall try to find the smallest *n* such that there always exists a multicolored C_{2k} in an arbitrary proper *n*-edge-colored $K_{k,n}$ for $k \geq 4$. In order to solve this problem, we may find the smallest *t* such that there always exists a multicolored C_{2k} in an arbitrary proper *t*-edge-colored $K_{k,k}$ for $k \geq 4$. Hopefully, this task can be done in the near future.

References

- [1] S. Akbari and A. Alipour, Multicolored trees in complete graphs, J. Graph Theory 54, 221–232. (2006)
- [2] S. Akbari, A. Alipour, H. L. Fu and Y. H. Lo, Multicolored parallelism of isomorphic spanning trees, SIAM Discrete Math. (June) (2006) 564–567.
- [3] M. Albert, A. Frieze and B. Reed, Multicolored Hamilton cycles, Electronic J. Combin. 2, R10 (1995)
- [4] N. Alon, R. A. Brualdi, B. L. Shader, Multicolored forests in bipartite decompositions of graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 53, 143–148 (1991)
- [5] H. J. Broersma, X. Li, G. Woeginger and S. Zhang, Paths and cycles in colored graphs, Australasian J. Combin. 31, 297–309. (2005)
- [6] R. A. Brualdi and H. J. Ryser, Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Cambridge Univ. B. Press, (1992).
- [7] R. A. Brualdi and S. Hollingsworth, Multicolored trees in complete graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 68, 310–313. (1996)
- [8] H. Chen and X. Li, Long heterochromatic paths in edge-colored graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 12, R33 (2005)
- [9] H. Chen and X. Li, Color degree and color neighborhood union conditions for long heterochromatic paths in edge-colored graphs, arXiv:math.CO/0512144 v1 7 Dec (2005)
- [10] G. M. Constantine, Edge-disjoint isomorphic multicolored trees and cycles in complete graphs, SIAM Discrete Math. 18(2005), No. 3, 577-580.
- [11] G. M. Constantine, Multicolored parallelisms of isomorphic spanning trees, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 5 (2002), No. 1, 121-125.
- [12] P. Erdös and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, Acta. Math. Acad. Sc. Hungar. 10, 337–356. (1959)
- [13] A. Frieze and B. Reed, Polychromatic Hamilton cycles. Discrete Math. 118, 69–74. (1993)
- [14] H. L. Fu and Y. H. Lo, Multicolored isomorphic spanning trees in complete graphs, in preprints.
- [15] H. L. Fu and Y. H. Lo, Multicolored parallelisms of Hamiltonian cycles, Discrete in, Math. 309 (2009), pp. 4871-4876.
- [16] G. Hahn and C. Thomassen, Path and cycle sub-Ramsey numbers and an edge coloring conjecture, Discrete Math. 62, 29–33 (1986)
- [17] P. Hatami and P. W. Shor, A lower bound for the length of a partial transversal in a Latin square, J. Combin. Theory, Series A, 115 (2008) 1103–1113.
- [18] A. Kaneko, M. Kano and K. Suzuki, Three edge disjoint multicolored spanning trees in complete graphs, Preprint. (2003)
- [19] J. Krussel, S. Marshal and H. Verral, Spanning trees orthogonal to onefactorizations of K_{2n} , Ars Combin. 57 (2002), 77-82.
- [20] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros and V. Neumann-Lara, An Anti-Ramsey Theorem on Cycles, Graphs and Combinatorics, 21 (2005), 343-354.
- [21] H.J. Ryser, Neuere Probleme der Kombinatorik, in: Vorträge über Kombinatorik, Oberwolfach, Matematisches Forschungsinstitute Oberwolfach, Germany, 24–29
- [22] D. B. West (2001), Introduction to graph theory, Upper Saddle River, NJ :Prentice Hall.
- [23] D. E. Woolbright and H. L. Fu, On the existence of rainbows in 1-factorizations of *K*2*n*, J. Combin. Des. 6, 1–20 (1998)

