# 國立交通大學

應用數學系 碩士論文

圖與重邊圖結合各式的設計之研究 A Study of Graphs and Multigraphs Associated with Various Designs

mann

研究生 : 吳介友指導老師 : 黃大原 教授

中華民國 九十六 年 六 月

圖與重邊圖結合各式的設計之研究 A Study of Graphs and Multigraphs Associated with Various Designs

研 究 生: 吳介友	Student: Chieh-Yu Wu
指導教授:黃大原	Advisor: Tayuan Huang

國立交通大學

應用數學系



Submitted to Department of Applied Mathematics College of Science National Chiao Tung University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in

Applied Mathematics June 2006 Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

中華民國九十六年六月

謝 誌

初到交大,有著些許的不習慣,所幸老師及同學們都很好,助我跨越這段過 渡時期。感謝指導教授 黃大原老師,從老師作研究的嚴謹態度及追根究底的精 神,使我受益良多,謝謝您這兩年的指導。

也要感謝三位組合組的老師:

陳秋媛老師,如同母親般提供生活上的照顧及鼓勵。

翁志文老師,不厭其煩幫我釐清數學觀念和有耐心地陪我解決問題。

傅恆霖老師,提供各方面資訊,使我在離散數學這塊領域的觸角更加廣泛。

再則謝謝同學們,

應數真男人-余國安,RE-張雁婷,牧師-->文強,肌肉-張澍仁,

收斂王子-李張圳,理查-陳柏澍,貓貓-曾妙玲 感謝你們陪我一起度過研究 所的兩年時光。在我有困難時,適時地伸出援手;一起出遊、聚餐的快樂景象, 都深深地記憶在我腦海深處,永不忘懷。

豪哥-梁育豪,麻將-陳冠羽,老謝-謝俊鴻,金曲歌王-陳宜廷,育慈,筱凡 遇到關於分析方面的問題時,感謝有你們的幫助;在生活及 Tex 等…各方面都受 到你們許多的協助。

同時感謝學長姐們、威雄、兆涵、敏筠、鈺傑、佩純、偉帆、子鴻受到你們許多的照顧

1896

最後感謝我的家人,爸爸、媽媽、妹妹,

因為有你們的支持,讓我可以無牽無掛地專心讀書,是使我撐下去的最大動力, 我的親愛家人們。

# 圖和重邊圖結合各式的設計之研究

# 研究生:吳介友 指導老師:黃大原 教授

# 國 立 交 通 大 學

# 應用數學系

## 摘要

#### and they

Bose 首先提出強正則重邊圖的概念,接著 Neumair 和 Metsch 利用強正則重邊圖的 概念進一步地解決準剩餘 2-設計的問題。近來,不完全幾何設計的概念被 van Dam 和 Spence 使用在具有 2 個奇異値的組合設計。我們將 Neumair 和 Metsch 兩篇論文 中的定義與結果做整理,並以統一形式呈現在此論文裡,進而舉出一些 2-設計及其 對應的強正則重邊圖。藉由這些圖,研究具有 3 或 4 個相異特徵値的連通正則圖之 特性。

# A Study of Graphs and Multigraphs Associated with Various Designs

Student: Chieh-Yu Wu Advisor: Tayuan Huang

Department of Applied Mathematics National Chiao Tung University Hsinchu, Taiwan 30050

#### Abstract

The notion of strongly regular multigraphs was first introduced by R. C. Bose, followed by Neumaier for characterizing quasi-residual 2-designs, and further by Metsch for embeddings of residual 2-designs. Recently, the notion of partial geometric designs was also used by van Dam and Spence over combinatorial designs with two singular values. The basic definitions and most results regarding strongly regular multigraphs and partial geometric designs covered in the works of Neumaier and Metsch are given in a unified way in this thesis. The associated multigraphs or graphs of 2-designs are then studied, followed by a few examples of 2-designs and their corresponding strongly regular multigraphs. Motivated by these graphs, connected regular graphs with 3 or 4 distinct eigenvalues are also studied.

# Contents

A	bstract (in Chinese)	i
A	bstract (in English)	ii
C	ontents	iii
1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	Basic Definitions and preliminary	2
	2.1 Graphs and multigraphs	2
	2.2 Designs	12
	2.3 $1\frac{1}{2}$ -designs(or called partial geometric designs)	13
3	The graphs and multigraphs associated with some designs	23
4	Regular graphs of 3 or 4 distinct eigenvalues	30
	Sall and a start of the start o	

## 1 Introduction

The notion of strongly regular multigraphs (SR multigraphs) was first introduced by R. C. Bose in a very cumbersome notation. While characterizing quasi-residual 2-designs, Neumaier gave an equivalent definition of strongly regular multigraphs in an elegant and self-contained way [2]. Metsch continued the study of embeddings of residual 2-designs within the framework of strongly regular multigraphs. However, the notations used by them are quite different.

Neumaier showed that the block multigraph of a 2-design of order n is a strongly regular multigraph, together with a partial converse with some constraints over its parameters. Its proof involves 2-designs and its variations, called  $1\frac{1}{2}$  - designs (or called *partial geometric designs*), or weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  - designs. Neumaier showed also that a strongly regular multigraph under some numerical constraints is the point multigraph of a unique  $1\frac{1}{2}$  - design. Recently, the notion of partial geometric designs was also used by van Dam and Spence [3, 4] over combinatorial designs with two singular values.

Though strongly regular multigraphs and partial geometric designs are the common themes covered in [7, 6], the notations used by Neumaier and Metsch are quite different. We expect that these notions will keep playing essential roles in the future. The basic definitions and most results regarding strongly regular multigraphs and partial geometric designs covered in [7, 6] are given in a unified way in section 2. The block multigraphs or associated block graphs, and the point multigraphs of 2-designs are studied in section 3, followed by a few examples of 2-designs and their corresponding strongly regular multigraphs. Motivated by these graphs, connected regular graphs with 3 or 4 distinct eigenvalues are studied in section 4.

## 2 Basic Definitions and preliminary

#### 2.1 Graphs and multigraphs

**Definition 2.1.** A graph is a triple consisting of a vertex set  $V(\Gamma)$ , an edge set  $E(\Gamma)$ , and a relation that associates with each edge two vertices (not necessarily distinct) called its endpoints. Two nonloops are parallel when they have the same ends; graphs that contain them are called *multigraphs*. Equivalently, a *multigraph*  $\Gamma$  consists of a nonempty set V of vertices and a multiset E of edges.

For a multigraph  $\Gamma$  and  $x, y \in V = V(\Gamma)$ , let  $m_{xx} := 0$ , and  $m_{xy} :=$  the number of edges joining x and y.

**Definition 2.2.** The eigenvalues of an adjacency matrix  $A(\Gamma) = A$  of a connected graph are called *eigenvalues* of the graph  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $Spec(\Gamma) = (\theta_0^{m_0}, \theta_1^{m_1}, \theta_2^{m_2}, \dots, \theta_s^{m_s})$ with  $\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_s$  and  $m_i$  is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\theta_i$ .

**Definition 2.3.** For a connected k-regular graph of diameter d with  $Spec(\Gamma) = (k^1, \theta_1^{m_1}, \theta_2^{m_2}, \ldots, \theta_s^{m_s})$ , then

$$h(x) = \frac{\prod_{1 \le i \le s} (x - \theta_i)}{\prod_{1 \le i \le s} (k - \theta_i)}$$

is called the *Hoffman polynomial* of the graph  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A simple graph  $\Gamma$  is *strongly regular* if there are parameters k,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  such that  $\Gamma$  is k-regular, every adjacent pair of vertices have  $\lambda$  common neighbors, and every nonadjacent pair of vertices have mu common neighbors, denoted by  $SR(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , where v is the number of vertices in  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , then  $k(k - \lambda - 1) = \mu(v - k - 1)$ .

For a connected graph  $\Gamma$  with an adjacency matrix A, then  $\Gamma$  is a strongly regular graph if and only if

$$AJ = kJ, A^2 = kI + \lambda A + \mu(J - I - A)$$
$$= (\lambda - \mu)A + (k - \mu)I + \mu J.$$

A multigraph version of strongly regular graphs is defined by Bose and Shrikhande 1973; similar to strongly regular graphs, the *matrix expressions* in terms of their adjacency matrices  $A = [m_{i,j}]$  for strongly regular multigraphs are given.

**Definition 2.5.** [1, 6] A multigraph  $\Gamma$  is called a *strongly regular multigraph* (*SR* multigraph) with parameters  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  for real numbers  $m, n, \mu, \gamma, R$  with n > 0, if

1. 
$$\sum_{x \in V} m_{ax} = R \text{ for each } a \in V;$$
  
2. 
$$\sum_{x \in V} m_{ax} m_{bx} = (n - 2m)m_{ab} + m(n - m)\delta_{ab} + \mu, \text{ where } \delta_{aa} = 1 \text{ and } \delta_{ab} = 0 \text{ for } a \neq b.$$

3. 
$$\sum_{x \in V} m_{ax}(m_{ax} - 1) = \gamma \text{ for each } x \in V$$

4. 
$$v\mu = (R+m)(R+m-n)$$
.

Indeed, condition 4 is a consequence of the fact that

$$\sum_{x \in V} (m_{ax})^2 = \sum_{x \in V} m_{ax} + \sum_{x \in V} m_{ax} (m_{ax} - 1) \text{ and the conditions 1 3 above.}$$
  
Note that the parameters  $\gamma = \sum_{y \in V} m_{xy} (m_{xy} - 1), R = \sum_{y \in V} m_{xy}$  for each vertex  $x$  were defined explicitly, though  $m, n, \mu$  and are not. The parameter

 $\gamma = \sum_{y \in V} m_{xy}(m_{xy} - 1)$  measures the derivations of the multigraphs from graphs; if  $\gamma = \sum_{y \in V} m_{xy}(m_{xy} - 1) = 0$ , then either  $m_{xy} = 0$  or  $m_{xy} = 1$  for  $x, y \in V$ . The parameter  $\mu$  in  $SRMG(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  is identical with that of  $\mu$  in  $SRG(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$  in case  $\gamma = 0$ . Some subsets of vertices including *claws*, *maximal cliques* play essential roles in the study of their geometric structures. The parameter m is the constant number of maximal cliques containing a fix vertex in the study of maximal claws under some numerical constraints.

**Proposition 2.1.** [6] An SR multigraph  $\Gamma$  with parameters  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with  $\gamma = 0$  is an SR graph with parameters

$$(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = \left(\frac{R(R - n + 2m - \mu - 1)}{\mu} + R + 1, R, n - 2m + \mu, \mu\right)$$

**Proof**: Let  $A = [m_{xy}]$ , it suffices to show that  $m_{xy} \ge 0$  for all  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$ . Consider the (a, b) entry of  $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ :

1.  $\sum_{x \in V(\Gamma)} = R = k \text{ for each } a \in V(\Gamma).$ 2.  $\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} = (n - 2m)m_{ab} + m(n - m)\delta_{ab} + \mu,$ when a = b  $\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{ax} = \sum_{x} m_{ax} = m(n - m) + \mu = R = k;$ when a, b are adjacent,  $\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} = (n - 2m)m_{ab} + \mu = (n - 2m) + \mu = \lambda;$ when a, b are nonadjacent,  $\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} = \mu.$ Since  $k(k - \lambda - 1) = \mu(v - k - 1)$  for SR graphs, we have  $v = \frac{k(k - \lambda - 1)}{\mu} + k + 1 = \frac{R(R - n + 2m - \mu - 1)}{\mu} + R + 1.$ 

The following lemma will be used in the proof of an inequality below.

Lemma 2.2. [7] Let A be an integral symmetric matrix with zero diagonal satisfying  $AJ = RJ, A^2 = (n - 2m)A + m(n - m)I + \mu J.$  If  $n \ge max\{2m - 4, 2m - 1 + \mu + \gamma\}$ 

where  $\gamma = m(n-m) + \mu - R$ , then A is the adjacency matrix of a SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ .

**Proof**: Since 
$$A^2 = (n-2m)A + m(n-m)I + \mu J$$
, then  

$$\sum_{x} m_{ax}m_{bx} = (n-2m)m_{ab} + m(n-m)\delta_{ab} + \mu,$$

$$\sum_{x} m_{ax}(m_{ax}-1) = \gamma = m(n-m) + \mu - R.$$

For  $a \neq b$ , we have:

$$2m_{ab}(m_{ab}-1) \leq \sum_{x} (m_{ax}+m_{bx})(m_{ax}+m_{bx}-1)$$
  
=  $\sum_{x} m_{ax}(m_{ax}-1) + 2\sum_{x} m_{ax} + m_{bx} - \sum_{x} m_{bx}(m_{bx}-1)$   
=  $\gamma + 2((n-2m)m_{ab} + \mu) + \gamma.$ 

it follows that

$$m_{ax}(m_{ax}-1) \le ((n-2m)m_{ab}+\mu) + \gamma,$$

and

$$(m_{ab}+1)(m_{ab}+2) \le (n-2m+4)m_{ab} + \mu + \gamma + 2 \ge 0$$

Since

$$n \ge max\{2m-4, 2m-1+\mu+\gamma\},\$$

then

$$(n-2m+4)m_{ab} + \mu + \gamma + 2 \ge (\mu + \gamma + 3)m_{ab} + \mu + \gamma + 2 \ge 0$$
, so

 $m_{ab} \geq 0$ . Hence A is the adjacency matrix of an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ .

Some other combinatorial interpretations for the parameters can be found in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** [7] For an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ 

1.  $m - n \le m_{ab} \le m$  whenever  $a \ne b$ .

- 2.  $m \ge 1$ , with equality if and only if it is the disjoint union of complete graphs.
- 3. If there are nonadjacent vertices, then  $n \ge m$ .
- 4.  $\mu \ge (R+m)(m-n)$ , with equality if and only if  $m_{ab} = m-n$  for all  $a \ne b$ .
- 5.  $\mu\gamma \leq (n-2m+\mu)(m(n-m)+\mu)$ , with equality if  $\Gamma$  contains no triangles.
- 6.  $\mu \ge 2m n$ .
- 7. If  $n \le 2m + 4$ , then  $\gamma < 2m(n-m) + n 2m 1 + \mu$ .

#### **Proof**:

1. 
$$2m_{ab}^{2} = m_{ab}^{2} + m_{ab}^{2} \leq \sum_{x=a \text{ or } b} (m_{ax} - m_{bx})^{2} + \sum_{x \neq a \text{ or } b} (m_{ax} - m_{bx})^{2}$$
  
 $= \sum_{x} m_{ax}^{2} - 2 \sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} + \sum_{x} m_{bx}^{2}$   
 $= 2m(n-m) - 2(n-2m)m_{ab}$   
 $\Rightarrow m_{ab}^{2} + (n-2m)m_{ab} - m(n-m) \leq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow (m_{ab} - m)(m_{ab} + (n-m)) \leq 0.$   
Then  $m - n \leq m_{ab} \leq m$ .

2. For adjacent  $a, b, 1 \le m_{ab} \le m \Rightarrow 1 \le m$  by 1 above.

If 
$$m_{ab} = 1$$
, since  $2m_{ab}^2 \leq \sum_{x=a \text{ or } b} (m_{ax} - m_{bx})^2 + \sum_{x \neq a \text{ or } b} (m_{ax} - m_{bx})^2$ , then  $m_{ab} = 1 = m_{ba}$  and  $m_{ax} = m_{bx}$ , i.e.  $a$  and  $b$  are joined to exactly the same points. This implies that it is the disjoint union of complete graphs

that it is the disjoint union of complete graphs.

3. For nonadjacent a, b, then  $m - n \le m_{ab} = 0$  and hance  $m \le n$  by (1).

4. 
$$\gamma = m(n-m) + \mu - R \ge 0$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \mu \ge R + m(m-n) \ge R(m-n) + m(m-n) \text{ (since } m-n \le 0)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu \ge (R+m)(m-n)$ 

If  $m_{ab} = m - n$  for distinct a, b, then

$$\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} = (v-2)(m-n)^2 = (n-2m)(m-n) + \mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{(R+m)(R+m-n)}{\mu} - 2\right)(m-n)^2 - (n-2m)(m-n) = \mu \text{ (since } \nu\mu = (R+m)(R+m-n))$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \mu^2 + (n-2m)(m-n)\mu - (m-n)^2((R+m)(R+m-n) - 2\mu) = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow [\mu - (R+m)(m-n)] \cdot [\mu + (R+m-n)(m-n)] = 0$$
  

$$\mu = (R+m)(m-n) \text{ or } \mu = -(R+m-n)(m-n).$$
  
Since  $\mu \ge (R+m)(m-n)$ , we assume  $\mu = (R+m)(m-n) + s$  for some  $s \ge 0$ ,

$$0 \leq \sum_{x \neq a} \left( m_{ax} - \frac{R}{v - 1} \right)^2 = \sum_{x \neq a} m_a x^2 - 2 \frac{R}{v - 1} \sum_{x \neq a} m_{ax} + \sum_{x \neq a} \left( \frac{R}{v - 1} \right)^2$$
$$= m(n - m) + \mu - \frac{R^2}{v - 1}$$
$$= s - R(m - n) - \frac{R^2}{v - 1}$$
$$= \frac{s(nR - s)}{\mu(v - 1)}$$

When s = 0, then  $m_{ax} = \frac{R}{v-1} = \frac{R}{\frac{R}{m-n}} = m-n$  for each  $x \neq a$ . 5. For a fixed point a, the number of triangles containing a is

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNE

$$\sum_{x} \sum_{y} m_{ax} m_{xy} m_{ya} = \sum_{x} m_{ax} (\sum_{y} m_{xy} m_{ya})$$
  
=  $\sum_{x} m_{ax} ((n - 2m)m_{ax} + \mu)$   
=  $(n - 2m)(m(n - m) + \mu) + \mu(m(n - m) + \mu - \gamma)$   
=  $(n - 2m + \mu)(m(n - m) + \mu) - \gamma \mu \ge 0,$ 

it follows that  $\gamma \mu \leq (n - 2m + \mu)(m(n - m) + \mu)$ . When  $\gamma \mu = (n - 2m + \mu)(m(n - m) + \mu)$ , then  $\sum_{x} \sum_{y} m_{ax} m_{xy} m_{ya} = 0$ , i.e. the number of triangles is 0.

6. Since  $(n-2m+\mu)(m(n-m)+\mu) - \gamma \mu \ge 0$  by (5), and  $\gamma \ge 0$ , then  $(m(n-m)+\mu) \ge 0$ 

and  $(n - 2m + \mu) \ge 0$ , hence  $\mu \ge 2m - n$ .

7. Let  $\Gamma$  be an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with an adjacency matrix M, then

$$MJ = RJ...(*)$$
  
 $M^2 = (n - 2m)M + m(n - m)I + \mu J...(**)$ 

Let M' = -M, then

$$M'J = -MJ = -RJ = R'J$$
, and

$$(M')^2 = (-M)^2 = M^2.$$

Hence,

$$(n'-2m')(-M) + m'(n'-m')I + \mu'J = (n-2m)M + m(n-m)I + \mu J.$$

Compare the coefficients of the above two equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m' &= n - m, \ n' = n, \ \mu' = \mu, \ R' = R, \\ \gamma' &= m'(n' - m') + \mu' - R' = 2m(n - m) + 2\mu - \gamma. \\ \text{By lemma 2.2 [7], it follows that if } n \leq 2m + 4, \ \text{then } \gamma < 2m(n - m) + n - 2m - 1 + \mu, \\ \text{as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Similar to SR graphs, the *matrix expressions* in terms of their adjacency matrices  $A = [m_{ij}]$  for strongly regular multigraphs are given below.

**Lemma 2.4.** [7] Let A be an adjacency matrix of a multigraph  $\Gamma$  of order v, then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\Gamma$  is an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ ,

2. 
$$AJ = RJ$$
 and  $A^2 = (n - 2m)A + m(n - m)I + \mu J$   
=  $(m(n - m) + \mu)I + (n - 2m + \mu)A + \mu(J - I - A)$ 

for some real numbers R, m, n,  $\mu$  with n > 0.

Moreover,  $v = \frac{(R+m)(R+m-n)}{\mu}$ .

**Proof**: Let A be an adjacency matrix of an SR multigraph  $\Gamma$  with vertex set X.

1. Since  $\sum m_{ax} = R$  for each  $a \in X$ , hence AJ = RJ. 2. For  $a, b \in X$ ,

$$\sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx} = (n - 2m)m_{ab} + m(n - m)\delta_{ab} + \mu$$

gives

$$A^{2} = (n - 2m)A + m(n - m)I + \mu J \dots (*)$$

Multiplying both sides of (\*) by J, and  $J^2 = vJ$  with v = |X|, then

$$A^{2}J = (n - 2m)AJ + m(n - m)IJ + \mu J^{2}$$
, and  
 $R^{2}J = R(n - 2m)J + m(n - m)J + \mu vJ$ ,

It follows that

$$R^{2} = R(n - 2m) + m(n - m) + \mu v$$
, and

$$\mu v = R^2 - (n - 2m) - m(n - m) = (R + m)(R + m - n),$$
  
(R + m)(R + m - n)

hence  $v = \frac{(R+m)(R+m-n)}{\mu}$ . Conversely,  $\sum_{x} m_{ax}(m_{ax}-1) = \sum_{x} m_{ax}^{2} - \sum_{x} m_{ax} = m(n-m) + \mu - R$  is a constant, denoted by  $\gamma$ , and hence  $R + \gamma = m(n-m) + \mu$ . 4000

**Lemma 2.5.** [7, 6] Suppose  $\Gamma$  is an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , then

1. There are unique k > 1, r > 0 and  $t, c \ge 0$  such that

$$(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (r, k + r + c - 1 - t, rt, rc, r(k - 1)),$$

and (r, k, t, c) is called the *geometric parameters* of this multigraph.

2. The number of vertices of an SR graph with parameters  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , or with geometric parameter (r, k, t, c), is

$$v = (R+m)(R+m-n)/\mu = r((r-1)(k-1)+t-c)/t.$$

The parameter  $\mu$  in SR multigraph $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  is identical with that of  $\mu$  in SR graph $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$  in case  $\gamma = 0$ . Some subsets of vertices including *claws*, *maximal* 

*cliques* play essential roles in the study of their geometric structures. The parameter m is the constant number of maximal cliques containing a fix vertex in the study of maximal claws under some numerical constraints.

A *clique* of a multigraph is a set of pairwise adjacent points; a clique which cannot be extended to a larger clique is called *maximal clique*. In an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , motivated by the following lemma, a maximal clique C with |C| > $(n/2) + \mu + 1 - m$  is called a grand clique.

**Lemma 2.6.** [7] In an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , an edge of multiplicity 1 is in at most one grand clique.

**Proof**: Let *ab* be an edge of multiplicity 1 contained in two distinct grand cliques C and C'. Since C and C' are maximal, there is  $x \in C'$  such that  $C \cup \{x\}$  is not a clique, and hence there is  $y \in C$  with  $m_{xy} = 0$ . 1. The points  $z \in C \cap C'$  are adjacent to x and y and hence  $|C \cap C'| \leq \sum_{z} m_{xz} m_{yz} = \mu$ . 2. The points  $z \in |C \cup C'| - \{a, b\}$  are adjacent to both a and b, whence

 $|C \cup C'| - 2 \le \sum_{az} m_{az} m_{bz} = n - 2m + \mu.$ 

Hence  $|C| + |C'| = |C \cap \tilde{C}'| + |C \cup C'| \le n + 2(\mu + 1 - m)$ , this contradicts the fact that both |C| and |C'| are grand cliques.

**Theorem 2.1.** [7] If C is a clique of an SR multigraph $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with  $\mu > 0$ , then

$$|C|(R+m-\mu) \le (n+1-m)(R+m).$$

Equality holds if and only if

1. every edge contained in C has multiplicity 1, and

2. for  $x \notin C$ , there are a constant number  $\alpha$  of edges containing x and intersecting C; in this case,  $\alpha = |C| + m - 1 - n$ .

**Proof**: Let C be a clique with |C| = c points. Define  $\alpha_x = \sum_{x \in C} m_{ax}$ . Then, for  $x \notin C$ ,  $\alpha$  is the number of edges containing x and intersecting C. We compute the expression

$$N(\alpha) = \sum_{x \notin C} (\alpha_x - \alpha)^2 + \sum_{x \in C} (\alpha_x - \alpha + m - n)(\alpha_x - \alpha + m).$$

Since

1. 
$$\sum_{x} 1 = v,$$
  
2. 
$$\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} = \sum_{a \in C} \sum_{x} m_{ax} = cR,$$
  
3. 
$$\sum_{x} \alpha_{x}^{2} = \sum_{a, b \in C} \sum_{x} m_{ax} m_{bx}$$
  

$$= (n - 2m) \sum_{x \in C} \alpha_{x} + m(n - m)c + \mu c^{2},$$
  
hence

Whence

$$N(\alpha) = \sum_{x} (\alpha_x - \alpha)^2 + (2m - n) \sum_{x \in C} \alpha_x + (m(m - n) - \alpha(2m - n))|C|$$
  
=  $\mu c^2 - c\alpha(2R + 2m - n) + \alpha^2 v$   
=  $\mu^{-1}(c\mu - \alpha(R + m))(c\mu - \alpha(R + m - n)).$ 

In particular, for  $\alpha = c\mu/(R+m)$ ,  $N(\alpha) = 0$ , and we conclude from

$$N(\alpha) = \sum_{x \notin C} (\alpha_x - \alpha)^2 + \sum_{x \in C} (\alpha_x - \alpha + m - n)(\alpha_x - \alpha + m)$$

that  $\alpha_x \leq \alpha + n - m$  for all  $x \in C$  since otherwise  $N(\alpha)$  would be strictly positive. But, for  $x \in C$ ,  $\alpha_x = \sum_{a \in C} m_{ax} \ge c - 1$  since C is a clique. Hence  $c - 1 \le \alpha + n - m$ which leads to  $|C|(R + m - \mu) \le (n + 1 - m)(R + m)$ .

If equality holds then  $\alpha_x = c - 1 = \alpha + n - m$  for all  $x \in C$ . Hence, C contains only edges of multiplicity 1. Moreover,  $N(\alpha) = 0$  implies that  $\alpha_x = \alpha = c + m - 1 - n$ for all  $x \notin C$ .

Conversely, if C contains only edges of multiplicity 1, and  $\alpha_x = \alpha'$  for all  $x \notin C$  (for some  $\alpha'$ ) then  $\alpha_x = c - 1$  for all  $x \in C$ , and we obtain from  $1 \sim 3$ .

#### 2.2 Designs

**Definition 2.6.** Let X be a set of v points and  $B \subseteq {\binom{X}{k}}$  such that any two elements of X lie in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks, then (X, B) is called 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design

**Definition 2.7.** A 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design has exactly b blocks, and every point occurs in exactly r blocks.

and the

1. A 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$ design is called a *symmetric design* if b = v(or, equivalently, r = kor  $\lambda(v-1) = k^2 - k$ ).

2. A 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$ design is called a *quasi symmetric* if the cardinality of the intersection of two distinct blocks takes only two distinct values.

Note that for a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design,

$$r = \lambda(v-1)/(k-1), \ b = \lambda v(v-1)/k(k-1) \text{ and}$$
$$v = k + \frac{n(k-1)}{\lambda} \text{ where } n = r - \lambda \text{ is the order, and}$$
$$v = 1 + \frac{k(k-1)}{\lambda} \text{ for symmetric designs.}$$

#### Definition 2.8. [7]

1. The block multigraph of a 2-design  $\pi = (X, \beta)$  is the multigraph defined over the set of blocks, and two distinct vertices (blocks) A, B are connected by  $m_{AB} = |A \cap B|$  edges.

- 2. The point multigraph(collinearity graph) of a 2-design  $\pi = (X, \beta)$  is the multigraph defined over the set of points, and two distinct vertices (points) x, y are connected by  $m_{xy}$  edges if they are contained in  $m_{xy}$  blocks.
- 3. The block graph of a quasi-symmetric 2-design with sizes x, y of intersections of blocks is defined over the set of blocks, and two distinct vertices (blocks) A, B are adjacent if and only if their intersection has cardinality y.

**Theorem 2.2.** [7] The block multigraph of a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design of order  $n = r - \lambda$  is an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with

$$(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (k, n, k^2 \lambda, k(k-1)(\lambda - 1), k(n + \lambda - 1)).$$

A partial converse is given in the following theorem with some constraints over its parameters:

Theorem 2.3. [7] Every SR multigraph with parameters

$$(m,n,\mu,\gamma,R) = (k,n,k^2\lambda,k(k-1)(\lambda-1),k(n+\lambda-1))$$

for positive integers  $n, k \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda$ , and

$$n > \max\{k(k-1)\lambda^2 - (k-1)^2\lambda, 2(k-1)(k^2\lambda + k\lambda - 2\lambda + 1), \frac{1}{2}(k^2 - 1)(k^2\lambda - k + 2)\}$$
  
is isomorphic to the block multigraph of a 2-(v, k,  $\lambda$ ) design with  $v = k + \frac{(r-\lambda)(k-1)}{\lambda}$ .

# 2.3 $1\frac{1}{2}$ -designs(or called partial geometric designs)

It is well known that the *block graphs* of *quasi-symmetric* 2-*designs* are strongly regular. This leads to the question whether strongly regular multigraphs can be associated with some designs of various types? The notion of *partial geometric design* with parameters (r, k, t, c) was introduced as a generalization of a *partial geometry* 

with parameters (r, k, t) (with c = 0 above). As a generalization of strongly regular graphs(SR graph), a partial geometric design with parameters (r, k, t, c) gives rise in a natural manner to a strongly regular multigraph(SR multigraph) whose parameters depend on r, k, t and c.

The notion of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs (called partial geometric designs by R.C. Bose 1976). Note that 2-designs, transversal designs, semiregular partially balanced incomplete block designs, partial geometries, and polar spaces are examples of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs. The block multigraphs of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs, and dually, the point multigraphs of weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs still are strongly regular, and by investigating closely the properties of cliques and claws in a multigraph, general characterization theorems which specialize to Theorem 2.3([7]). The matrix techniques were used by Neumaier in order to get the relations among the five parameters, and then to derive the essential relations between SR multigraph and  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design.

**Definition 2.9.** [7] An incidence structure with an incidence matrix A is

- 1. a weak 2-design if AJ = rJ,  $AA^T = nI + \lambda J$  and
- 2. a weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design if AJ = rJ, and  $AA^{T}A = nA + \lambda JA$ .

A class of incidence structure lies between 1-designs (regular) and 2-designs is considered. For an incidence structures, let

$$m_{xx} = 0$$
, and

 $m_{xy}$  = the number of blocks containing points x and y. ([6])

**Definition 2.10.** [7, 6] A  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -dsign (or called *partial geometric design*) with parameter (r, k, t, c) is an incidence structure I = (X, B) such that

1. each point lies on r blocks of B;

- 2. each block consists of k points in X;
- 3. for a point x and a block B

a. 
$$t = \sum_{y \in B} m_{xy} \ge 1$$
 is a constant if  $x \notin B$ ;  
b.  $c = \sum_{y \in B-x} (m_{xy} - 1)$  is a constant if  $x \in B$ 

A partial geometry is a partial geometry design with parameters (r, k, t, 0) with c = 0, i.e., a semilinear incidence structure such that

- 1. each point lies on r blocks of B;
- 2. each block consists of k points in X;
- 3. for a point x and a block b with  $x \notin B$ , there are exactly t blocks contain x meeting b.

Let A be the incidence matrix of the incidence structure under consideration, and (x, B) is a pair of point and block, let  $c = \sum_{y \in B-x} (m_{xy} - 1)$  for  $x \in B$ , and  $t = \sum_{y \in B} m_{xy}$  for  $x \notin B$ .

If  $x \notin B$ , then

$$AA^{T}A(x,B) = \sum_{all \ C} \sum_{all \ y} A(x,C)A(y,C)A(y,B)$$
$$= \sum_{y \in B} A(x,C)A^{T}(C,y)$$
$$= \sum_{y \in B} m_{xy} \quad (\text{say } t).$$

If  $x \in B$ , then

$$AA^{T}A(x,B) = \sum_{all \ C} \sum_{all \ y} A(x,C)A(y,C)A(y,B)$$
  
= 1 + (k - 1) + (r - 1) +  $\sum_{y \in B-x} (m_{xy} - 1)$   
= r + k - 1 + c.

#### $\mathbf{Remark}[6]:$

If  $x \notin B$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x,B) &= \sum_{C \neq B} \sum_{y \neq x} A(x,C) A^T(C,y) A(y,B) = \sum_{y \in B} m_{xy} = \alpha \text{ (i.e., } t); \\ \text{If } x \in B \text{, then} \\ \alpha(x,B) &= \sum_{C \neq B} \sum_{y \neq x} A(x,C) A^T(C,y) A(y,B) = \sum_{y \in B-x} (m_{xy}-1) = n + \alpha - (r+k-1) = \beta \\ \text{(i.e., } c). \end{aligned}$$

ESN

**Lemma 2.7.** [7, 6] For a binary matrix A, the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is the incidence matrix of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters (r, k, t, c) and  $t \ge 1$ .
- 2. AJ = rJ, JA = kJ and

$$AA^{T}A = (r+k-1+c)A + t(J-A) = (r+k-1+c-t)A + tJ$$
(i.e.,  $nA + \alpha J$ 

in[7]) for some integers r, k, t, c with  $t \ge 1$ .

We restate the conditions for SR graphs and SR multigraphs in terms of their

adjacency matrices as following:

$$AJ = kJ,$$
  

$$A^{2} = kI + \lambda A + \mu(J - I - A)$$
  

$$= (\lambda - \mu)A + (k - \mu)I + \mu J.$$

$$AJ = RJ,$$
  

$$A^{2} = (n - 2m)A + m(n - m)I + \mu J$$
  

$$= (m(n - m) + \mu)I + (n - 2m + \mu)A + \mu(J - I - A).$$

$$AJ = rJ, \ JA = kJ,$$
  
 $AA^{T}A = (r + k - 1 + c)A + t(J - A) = (r + k - 1 + c - t)A + tJ.$   
**a 2.8.** [7]

Lemma

- 1. Each 2-( $v, k, \lambda$ ) design is a  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -design with parameters  $(r, k, t, c) = (\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k-1}, k, k\lambda, (k-1)(\lambda-1)).$
- 2. Each  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -design with parameters (r, k, t, c) satisfying (t + 1 c k)k = t is a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design with  $(v, \lambda) = (1 + \frac{r(k-1)}{\lambda}, t+1-c-k).$

**Proof**: 1. For a 2-
$$(v, k, \lambda)$$
 design  $(X, B)$ , each block consists of  $k$  points in  $X$  and each points lies on  $r = \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k-1}$  blocks of  $B$ . For a point  $x$  and a block  $b$ , if  $x \notin b$ , then  $t = \sum_{y \in b} m_{xy} = k \cdot \lambda \ge 1$ ;

if  $x \in b$ , then

$$c = \sum_{y \in b-x} (m_{xy} - 1) = (\sum_{y \in b-x} m_{xy}) - (k-1) = (k-1)\lambda - (k-1) = (k-1)(\lambda - 1).$$

Hence it is a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters

$$(r, k, t, c) = (\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k-1}, k, k\lambda, (k-1)(\lambda-1)).$$

2. Let A be an incidence matrix of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters (r, k, t, c) satisfying (t+1-c-k)k = t. To show  $AA^T = kI + \lambda(J-I)$ , consider  $X = AA^T - kI - \lambda(J-I)$ , then show that  $X^2$  is the zero matrix, and hence X = 0 as required.

**Theorem 2.4.** [6] The collinearity graph of a partial geometry with parameters (r, k, t, c = 0) is an SR graph  $(v, K, \lambda, \mu)$  with  $(v, K, \lambda, \mu) = (r(\frac{(r-1)(k-1)}{t} + 1), r(k-1), (k-2) + (r-1)(t-1), rt).$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} {\it Proof:} \\ v = \frac{r((r-1)(k-1)+t-c)}{t} = \frac{r((r-1)(k-1)+t)}{t} = r \cdot (\frac{(r-1)(k-1)}{t}+1), \\ K = r(k-1) \mbox{ since each point lies on } r \mbox{ blocks of } B. \end{array}$$

If x, y are in the same block, there are (k-2) points in the block containing x, y. Fix x, there are (r-1) blocks containing x but not containing y. Since y is not in those (r-1) blocks, then  $\sum_{y \in b-x} m_{xy} = t-1$  for each b of those (r-1) blocks. Hence, there are  $\lambda = (k-2) + (r-1) \cdot (t-1)$  points in the block containing x and y. Let x, y be in the different block, there are r blocks containing x but not y, then  $\sum_{y \in b} m_{xy} = t$  for each b of those r blocks. There are  $\mu = r \cdot t$  points in the same blocks containing x and y.

**Theorem 2.5.** [6] The collinearity graph of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters (r, k, t, c) is an SR multigraph with parameters

$$(m,n,\mu,\lambda,R)=(r,k+r+c-1-t,rt,rc,r(k-1)).$$

**Proof**: Let A be an incidence matrix of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters (r, k, t, c), then  $M = AA^T - rI$  is an adjacency matrix of the cor responding collinearity graph. Since AJ = rJ, JA = kJ, and  $AA^{T}A = (r + k - 1 + c - t)A + tJ$ (i.e., nA + tJ), We have

$$MJ = AA^{T}J - rIJ = rkJ - rJ = r(k-1)J,$$
  

$$AA^{T}AA^{T} = (AA^{T})^{2} = (r+k-1+c-t)AA^{T} + tJA^{T} = nAA^{T} + trJ$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (M+rI)^{2} = n(M+rI) + trJ$$
  

$$\Rightarrow M^{2} = (n-2r)M + r(n-r)I + trJ.$$

If M is an adjacency matrix of an SR multigraph with parameters  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , then

$$MJ = RJ,$$
  
$$M^2 = (n - 2m)M + m(n - m)I + \mu J.$$

Compare the coefficients, then

$$m = r, n = n = (r + k + c - 1 - t), \mu = rt,$$
  

$$\gamma = m(n - m) + \mu - R = r(k + c - 1 - t) + rt - r(k - 1) = rc, R = r(k - 1).$$

The above lemma shows that the collinearity graph of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design is an SR multigraph. Following this trend, we are interested in those strongly regular multigraphs which are the collinearity graph of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs? Theorem 2.6 provides sufficient numerical constraints to guarantee the uniqueness of such  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -designs. There is no example of SR multigraphs meeting those numerical constraints found in the papers of Bose [1], Neumaier and Metsch [6]. A class of SR multigraphs associated with the distance regular graphs Alt(n, q) was considered by Huang [5].

**Theorem 2.6.** [7] If  $\Gamma$  is an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with integral  $m \geq 2$ , integral  $\mu \equiv 0 \mod m, \mu > 0$ , and

$$n > \max\{m-1 + \frac{(\mu+m)\gamma}{m^2}, 2(m-1)(\mu+1-m) + 2\gamma, \frac{m(m-1))}{2}(\mu+1) + m\frac{\gamma}{2} + m-1\}$$

then  $\Gamma$  is the point multigraph of a unique  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design, with parameters

$$(r,k,t,c) = (m,\frac{R}{m}+1,\frac{\mu}{m},\frac{\gamma}{m}).$$

The above bound

$$n > \max\{m-1 + \frac{(\mu+m)\gamma}{m^2}, 2(m-1)(\mu+1-m) + 2\gamma, \frac{m(m-1))}{2}(\mu+1) + m\frac{\gamma}{2} + m-1\}$$

was simproved by Metsch as shown below.

#### **Theorem 2.7.** [6]

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is an SR multigraph whose parameters

 $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (r, k + r + c - 1 - t, rt, rc, r(k - 1))$ 

with integers  $r \ge 3$  and  $t \ge 1$ , and real numbers k > 0 and  $c \ge 0$ . If

$$k > (\frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}r + r + 5)rt \approx 5, \ 6r^2t, \ k > (c+1)t, \ and \ r(c+r-1) \le (r-1)t,$$

then  $\Gamma$  is the collinearity graph of a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters (r, k, t, c).

A construction method for cliques in multigraphs was proposed by Metsch [6], this method generalized the ideas used in improving the well-known completion theorem for nets of Bruck. The bound for k in the above 2 improves previous bounds given by Bose et al. [1] and Neumaier [7], however note that the condition  $r(c+r-1) \leq (r-1)t$ did not occur in [7].

**Corollary 2.1.** [6] Suppose the parameters  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  of an SR multigraph can be written in the form

$$(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (k, r - \lambda, k^2 \lambda, k(k-1), k(r-1))$$

for some integers  $k \geq 3$ , r, and  $\lambda$ . If

$$r > \left(\frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}k + k + 5\right)k^2\lambda \approx 5, \ 6K^3\lambda, \ \text{and} \ r > k(k-1)\lambda^2 - k(k-2)\lambda,$$

then  $\Gamma$  is the block-multigraph of a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design with point degree r.

**Corollary 2.2.** [7] Two distinct blocks A and B of a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design intersect in at least  $k - r + \lambda$  points.

**Theorem 2.8.** [1, 6] A quasi-residual 2- $(w, n, \lambda)$  design **B** is embeddable iff the following three conditions are satisfied:

- 1. Any distinct blocks A and B intersect  $\mu_{AB} \leq \lambda$  points,
- 2. The multigraph  $\Gamma$  on the blocks, with  $m_{AB} = \lambda \mu_{AB}$  edges between A and B, is a strongly regular multigraph  $SR(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , where  $m = \lambda, n, \mu = \lambda^2(\lambda - 1), \gamma = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2), R = \lambda(n + \lambda - 2),$
- 3.  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the block multigraph of a 2- $(n + \lambda, \lambda, \lambda 1)$  design **B**'.

**Theorem 2.9.** [7] Let **B** be a quasi-residual 2- $(w, n, \lambda)$  design with

 $n \ge 2\lambda^3 - 4\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1.$ Then two distinct blocks intersect in at most  $\lambda$  points, and property 2 of Theorem 2.7 holds.

**Proof**: Since **B** is quasi-residual,  $r = n + \lambda$ ,  $b = r(r-1)/\lambda$ . Hence, the incidence matrix A of **B** satisfies AJ = nJ,  $JA = (n + \lambda)J$ ,  $AA^T = nI + \lambda J$ . By straightforward calculation, the matrix  $M = (n - \lambda)I + \lambda J - AA^T$  satisfies MJ = RJ,  $M^2 = (n - 2m)M + m(n - m)I + \mu J$ , n > 0 with  $m = \lambda$ ,  $n, \mu = \lambda^2(\lambda - 1)$ ,  $R = \lambda(n + \lambda - 2)$ . Hence, with  $\gamma = \lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)$ , Lemma 2.2 applies. Therefore M is the adjacency matrix of a  $SR(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$ , i.e., 2 of Theorem 2.7 holds. In particular, the offdiagonal entries  $\lambda - \mu_{AB}$  of M are nonnegative, i.e., two distinct blocks A and B intersect in  $\mu_{AB} \leq \lambda$  points. The next two results are preliminary conditions for an SR multigraph to be the point multigraph of a weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design, respective a  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design.

**Theorem 2.10.** [7] An SR multigraph $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  is the point multigraph of a weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design if and only if there is a collection  $\sum$  of cliques such that every point is in exactly m cliques of  $\sum$ , and every edge ab of multiplicity  $m_{ab}$  is in exactly  $m_{ab}$  cliques of  $\sum$ . In this case the blocks are the cliques of  $\sum$ , and the weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design has parameters  $(v, m, r, \lambda)$  with

$$(v,m,r,\lambda) = \left(\frac{(R+m)(R+m-n)}{\mu}, n,m,\frac{\mu}{R+m}\right).$$

**Proof**: Let g be an SR(m, n,  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma$ , R). If g is the point multigraph of a weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -design **B** then the blocks of **B** are cliques in g, and  $\sum = \mathbf{B}$  satisfies the Conditions of the theorem.

Conversely, if  $\sum$  is a collection of cliques with the stated properties, then define a design **B** with  $\sum$  as set of blocks and natural incidence. If A is the incidence matrix of **B**, then the assumed properties can be stated in terms of A and the adjacency matrix M of g as AJ = mJ,  $AA^T = M + mI$ .

With  $\lambda = \mu/(R+m)$ , the property that g is a  $SR(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  means MJ = RJ,  $(M+mI)(M+(m-n)I-\lambda J) = 0$ . Hence  $X = (AA^T - nI - \lambda J)A$  satisfies  $XX^T = 0$ , whence X = 0. Therefore,  $AA^TA = nA + \lambda JA$ , and by  $v\mu = (R+m)(R+m-n)$ , **B** is a weak  $1\frac{1}{2}$  -design with parameters as required.

# 3 The graphs and multigraphs associated with some designs

**Theorem 3.1.** The block graph of a symmetric design is the complete graph  $K_b$ , and the adjacency matrix of the block multigarph of a symmetric design is  $\lambda(J-I)$ .

**Proof**: Since (X, B) is a symmetric design, any two blocks have  $\lambda$  common points in any two blocks are adjacent.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let (X, B) be a quasi-symmetric  $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$  design with sizes x and y of intersections of blocks, then

1. the block graph is a SR graph  $(v', R, \lambda', \mu)$  with  $(v', R, \lambda', \mu) = (b, R, (\theta_1 + \theta_2) + \frac{f(R)}{b}, \frac{f(R)}{b})$ , where  $R = \frac{k(r-1) - x(b-1)}{(y-x)} = -\theta_1\theta_2 + \frac{f(R)}{b}$ ,  $\theta_1 = \frac{r-\lambda-k+x}{(y-x)}, \theta_2 = \frac{x-k}{y-x}$ , are three distinct eigenvalues of A,  $f(R) = (R-\theta_1)(R-\theta_2), b = \frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$ , and

2. its block multigraph is a SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$  with  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (k, r - \lambda, \lambda k^2, k(k-1)(\lambda - 1), k(r-1)).$ 

**Proof**: To prove 1, let N be the  $v \times b$  noidence matrix of the design and A be the adjacency matrix of its block graph  $\Gamma$ . We have (using the parameters  $v, k, b, r, \lambda$  of the 2 - design):

$$NN^{T} = (r - \lambda)I + \lambda J, \ N^{T}N = kI + yA + x(J - I - A).$$

We know that both  $NN^T$  and  $N^TN$  have all-one eigenvectors j with eigenvalue kr. Also, we know that  $NN^T$  has only the eigenvalue  $r - \lambda$  on  $j^T$ , with multiplicity v - 1. Therefore  $N^TN$  has this same eigenvalue, with the same multiplicity, and the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity b - v. Since  $x \neq y$ , A is a linear combination of I, J, and  $N^T N$ . Therefore A has eigenvector j and only two eigenvalues on the space  $j^T$ . They are  $(r - \lambda - k + x)/(y - x)$  with multiplicity v - 1 and (x - k)/(y - x) with multiplicity b - v. By our observation above,  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph.

$$A = \frac{1}{(y-x)} N^T N - \frac{(k-x)}{(y-x)} I - \frac{x}{(y-x)} J \quad (*)$$

Multiplying both sides of (\*) by J, and  $J^2 = bJ$ , then

$$AJ = RJ = \frac{kr}{(y-x)}J - \frac{(k-x)}{(y-x)}J - \frac{xb}{(y-x)}J$$

$$\Rightarrow R = \frac{kr - (k-x) - xb}{(y-x)} = \frac{k(r-1) - x(b-1)}{(y-x)}$$
Since  $R, \theta_1 = \frac{r-\lambda - k + x}{(y-x)}, \theta_2 = \frac{x-k}{(y-x)}$  are three distinct eigenvalues of  $A$   
Let  $f(x) = (x - \theta_1)(x - \theta_2) = x^2 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)x + \theta_1\theta_2$   
Then  $A^2 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)A - \theta_1\theta_2I + \frac{f(R)}{b}J$ , where  $b = \frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$   
Thus  $\lambda' = (\theta_1 + \theta_2) + \frac{f(R)}{b}, \mu = \frac{f(R)}{b}$ .

To prove 2, let  $M = N^T N - kI = yA + x(J - I - A)$  be a adjacency matrix of a block multigraph of the design

$$\begin{split} MJ &= (N^T N - kI)J \\ &= k(r-1)J \\ &= RJ \\ \Rightarrow R &= k(r-1) \\ M^2 &= (N^T N - kI)^2 \\ &= N^T NN^T N - 2kN^T N + k^2 I \\ &= (r - \lambda - 2k)(N^T N - kI) + k(r - \lambda - k)I + \lambda k^2 J \\ &= (r - \lambda - 2k)M + k(r - \lambda - k)I + \lambda k^2 J \end{split}$$

Compare the coefficients with Lemma 2.4

$$m = k, n = r - \lambda, \mu = \lambda k^2, \gamma = m(n - m) + \mu - R = k(k - 1)(\lambda - 1).$$

**Theorem 3.3.** [7] The block multigraph of a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is an SR multigraph with  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (k, r - \lambda, \lambda k^2, k(k - 1)(\lambda - 1), k(r - 1)).$ 

**Proof**: Similarly to Theorem 3.2 (2).

**Remark**: when are the above multigraphs simple graphs? Are they designs with some interests?

Seven examples of 2-designs together with the related graphs and multigraphs are given below:

$2\text{-}(v, k, \lambda)$	$\mathrm{SR}(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R)$	
2 - (9, 3, 1)	SR(3, 3, 9, 0, 9)	LULL.
2 - (6, 3, 2)	SR(3, 3, 18, 6, 12)	
2 - (8, 4, 3)	SR(4, 4, 48, 24, 24)	SIA
2 - (10, 4, 2)	SR(4, 4, 32, 12, 20)	1/2
2 - (16, 4, 1)	SR(4, 4, 16, 0, 16)	
2 - (16, 6, 2)	SR(6, 4, 72, 30, 30)	896 3
2 - (16, 6, 3)	SR(6, 6, 108, 60, 48)	(Labor
<u></u>	1000	The second

**Example 1:** 2 - (6, 3, 2) design

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , then (X, B) is a 2 - (6, 3, 2) design where  $B = \{B_x | 1 \le x \le 10\}$ ,

$$B_{1} = \{1, 2, 3\}, B_{2} = \{1, 2, 4\}, B_{3} = \{1, 3, 5\}, B_{4} = \{1, 4, 6\}, B_{5} = \{1, 5, 6\},$$
  

$$B_{6} = \{2, 3, 6\}, B_{7} = \{2, 4, 5\}, B_{8} = \{2, 5, 6\}, B_{9} = \{3, 4, 5\}, B_{10} = \{3, 4, 6\}.$$
  
Note that  $|B_{i} \cap B_{j}| = 1$  or 2 for distinct  $1 \le i, j \le 10$ , that is it is quasi-symmetric.  
Note also that  $r = \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{(k-1)} = \frac{2 \cdot (6-1)}{(3-1)} = 5.$ 

The block multigraph of  $\Gamma$  the above 2 - (6, 3, 2) design of order  $n = r - \lambda = 3$ is an SR multigraph  $(m, n, \mu, \gamma, R) = (k, r - \lambda, \lambda k^2, k(k - 1)(\lambda - 1), k(r - 1)) =$  (3, 3, 18, 6, 12). The block graph of the 2 - (6, 3, 2) design is the Petersen graph(see Figure 1).

**Example 2:** 2 - (8, 4, 3) design

Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ , then (X, B) is a 2 - (8, 4, 3) design where  $B = \{B_x | 1 \le x \le 14\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, B_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 4\}, B_3 = \{0, 1, 5, 6\}, B_4 = \{0, 2, 5, 7\}, B_5 = \{0, 3, 4, 5\},$   $B_6 = \{0, 3, 6, 7\}, B_7 = \{0, 4, 6, 7\}, B_8 = \{1, 2, 6, 7\}, B_9 = \{1, 3, 4, 6\}, B_{10} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\},$   $B_{11} = \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, B_{12} = \{2, 3, 4, 7\}, B_{13} = \{2, 3, 5, 6\}, B_{14} = \{2, 4, 5, 6\}.$ Note that  $r = \frac{3 \cdot (8 - 1)}{(4 - 1)} = 7$ . The block multigraph  $\Gamma$  of 2 - (8, 4, 3) design of order n = 4 is an SR multigraph (4, 4, 48, 24, 24).

**Example 3:** 2 - (9, 3, 1) design Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\}$ , and those 9 elements are arranged in a  $3 \times 3$  array as show below:

	111	-
1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9

Then (X, B) is a 2 - (9, 3, 1) design where  $B = \{B_x | 1 \le x \le 12\}$ , and

slope 0,  $B_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, B_2 = \{4, 5, 6\}, B_3 = \{7, 8, 9\},\$ 

slope  $\infty$ ,  $B_4 = \{1, 4, 7\}$ ,  $B_5 = \{2, 5, 8\}$ ,  $B_6 = \{3, 6, 9\}$ ,

slope 1,  $B_7 = \{1, 6, 8\}, B_8 = \{2, 4, 9\}, B_9 = \{3, 5, 7\},$ 

slope -1,  $B_{10} = \{1, 5, 9\}$ ,  $B_{11} = \{2, 6, 7\}$ ,  $B_{12} = \{3, 4, 8\}$ .

The block multigraph  $\Gamma$  of the above 2 - (9, 3, 1) design of order n = 3 is an SR multigraph (3, 3, 9, 0, 9).

Since  $\gamma = 0, \Gamma$  is an SR graph with parameters

$$(v,k,\lambda,\mu) = \left(\frac{R(R-n+2m-\mu-1)}{\mu} + R + 1, R, n-2m+\mu,\mu\right) = (12,9,6,9).$$

Example 4: a 2 - (10, 4, 2) design Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, ..., 9\}$  and  $B = \{B_x | 1 \le x \le 15\}$ , where  $B_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, B_2 = \{0, 1, 4, 5\}, B_3 = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, B_4 = \{0, 3, 7, 8\},$   $B_5 = \{0, 5, 7, 9\}, B_6 = \{0, 6, 8, 9\}, B_7 = \{1, 2, 7, 8\}, B_8 = \{1, 3, 6, 9\},$   $B_9 = \{1, 4, 7, 9\}, B_{10} = \{1, 5, 6, 8\}, B_{11} = \{2, 3, 5, 9\}, B_{12} = \{2, 4, 8, 9\},$  $B_{13} = \{2, 5, 6, 7\}, B_{14} = \{3, 4, 5, 8\}, B_{15} = \{3, 4, 6, 7\}.$ 

Note that  $|B_i \cap B_j| = 1$  or 2 for distinct  $1 \le i, j \le 15$ , and hence it is a quasisymmetric design; note also that r = 6. The block multigraph  $\Gamma$  of 2 - (10, 4, 2) design of order n = 4 is an SR multigraph (4, 4, 32, 12, 20).

Example 5: a 2 - (16, 4, 1) design Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9, a, b, \dots, f\}$ , then (X, B) is a 2 - (16, 4, 1) design where  $B = \{B_x | 1 \le x \le 20\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, B_2 = \{0, 4, 5, 6\}, B_3 = \{0, 7, 8, 9\}, B_4 = \{0, a, b, c\},$   $B_5 = \{0, d, e, f\}, B_6 = \{1, 4, 7, a\}, B_7 = \{1, 5, b, d\}, B_8 = \{1, 6, 8, e\},$   $B_9 = \{1, 9, c, f\}, B_{10} = \{2, 4, c, e\}, B_{11} = \{2, 5, 7, f\}, B_{12} = \{2, 6, 9, b\},$   $B_{13} = \{2, 8, a, d\}, B_{14} = \{3, 4, 9, d\}, B_{15} = \{3, 5, 8, c\}, B_{16} = \{3, 6, a, f\},$   $B_{17} = \{3, 7, b, e\}, B_{18} = \{4, 8, b, f\}, B_{19} = \{5, 9, a, e\}, B_{20} = \{6, 7, c, d\},$ Note that  $|B_i \cap B_j| = 0$  or 1 for distinct  $1 \le i, j \le 20$ , and hence it is a quasisymmetric design. r = 5. The block multigraph  $\Gamma$  of the above 2 - (16, 4, 1) design of order n = 4 is an SR multigraph (4, 4, 16, 0, 16). Since  $\gamma = 0$ , that is  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph (7, 16, 12, 16).

#### **Example 6:** a 2-(16, 6, 2) design

Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, ..., 15\}$ , and those 16 elements are arranged in a  $4 \times 4$  array A as shown below:

0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15

For each  $x, 0 \le x \le 15$ , we define a block  $B_x$  consisting of the elements in the same row or column of A as x, excluding x. Then (X, B) is a 2-(16, 6, 2) design where  $B = \{B_x | 0 \le x \le 15\}$ . More precisely, 2 - (16, 6, 2) design  $B_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12\}, B_1 = \{0, 2, 3, 5, 9, 13\}, B_2 = \{0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 14\}, B_3 = \{0, 1, 2, 7, 11, 15\},$  $B_4 = \{5, 6, 7, 0, 8, 12\}, B_5 = \{4, 6, 7, 1, 9, 13\}, B_6 = \{4, 5, 7, 2, 10, 14\}, B_7 = \{4, 5, 6, 3, 11, 15\},$  $B_8 = \{9, 10, 11, 0, 4, 12\}, B_9 = \{8, 10, 11, 1, 5, 13\}, B_{10} = \{8, 9, 11, 2, 6, 14\},$  $B_{11} = \{8, 9, 10, 3, 7, 15\}, B_{12} = \{13, 14, 15, 0, 4, 8\}, B_{13} = \{12, 14, 15, 1, 5, 9\},$  $B_{14} = \{12, 13, 15, 2, 6, 10\}, B_{15} = \{12, 13, 14, 3, 7, 11\}.$ 

The block multigraph  $\Gamma$  of the above 2 - (16, 6, 2) design of order n = 4 is an SR multigraph (6, 4, 72, 30, 30). Moreover, since it is symmetric, the adjacency matrix A of  $\Gamma$  is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ & \ddots & \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{16 \times 16} = 2J - 2I$$

**Example 7:** a 2-(16, 6, 3) design

Let  $X = \{1, 2, ..., 24\}$ , then (X, B) is a 2 - (16, 6, 3) design with  $B = \{B_x | 0 \le x \le 24\}$ , where

(i) 
$$B_1 = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10\}, B_2 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\}, B_3 = \{1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12\};$$
  
 $B_4 = \{3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12\}, B_5 = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12\}, B_6 = \{2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11\};$ 

(ii)  $B_7 = \{1, 2, 7, 8, 15, 16\}, B_8 = \{1, 3, 6, 8, 14, 16\}, B_9 = \{1, 4, 6, 7, 14, 15\};$   $B_{10} = \{3, 4, 5, 6, 13, 14\}, B_{11} = \{2, 4, 5, 7, 13, 15\}, B_{12} = \{2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 16\};$ (iii)  $B_{13} = \{1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 14\}, B_{14} = \{1, 3, 10, 12, 13, 15\}, B_{15} = \{1, 4, 10, 11, 13, 16\};$   $B_{16} = \{3, 4, 9, 10, 15, 16\}, B_{17} = \{2, 4, 9, 11, 14, 16\}, B_{18} = \{2, 3, 9, 12, 14, 15\};$ (iv)  $B_{19} = \{5, 6, 11, 12, 15, 16\}, B_{20} = \{5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16\}, B_{21} = \{5, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15\};$  $B_{22} = \{7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14\}, B_{23} = \{6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15\}, B_{24} = \{6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16\}.$ 

The 6 blocks  $\{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5, B_6\}$  in case (i) satisfying the conditions that

1.  $|B_i \cap B_j| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 3\\ 3 & \text{if } |i-j| \neq 3 \end{cases}$  for  $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ 

2. Each block  $B_i$  of (i) meet 2 points  $|B_i \cap B_j| = 2$  for  $B_k$  is any block of (ii), (iii), or (iv).

Similarly conclusion hold for cases (ii), (iii), and (iv).

Then very vertex (i.e., a block) lies on  $3 \times 4 + 2 \times 18 = 48$  edges, the block multigraph of the above 2 - (16, 6, 3) design of order is an SR multigraph (6, 6, 108, 60, 48).

### 4 Regular graphs of 3 or 4 distinct eigenvalues

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph which is not complete or empty, with adjacency matrix A Then  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph if and only if  $A^2$  is a linear combination of A, I and J.

**Proof**: The ij - entry of  $A^2$  is equal to the number of walks of length two from i to j in  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph with parameters k,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  according as i and j are equal, adjacent or distinct and non - adjacent, hence  $A^2 = kI + \lambda I + \mu(J - I - A)$ .

Conversely, if  $A^2$  is a linear combination of A, I and J.  $A^2 = m_1A + m_2I + m_3A$  this number are  $(m_2 + m_3)$ ,  $(m_1 + m_3)$ ,  $m_3$  according as i and j are equal, adjacent or distinct and non-adjacent. Hence  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph.

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $\Gamma$  is a connected graph with diameter d then  $A(\Gamma)$  has at least d+1 distinct eigenvalues, or equivalently if  $\Gamma$  is a graph with d+1 distinct eigenvalues, the the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is at most d.

**Proof**: Suppose  $A = A(\Gamma)$  has distinct eigenvalues  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_m$  where m < d. Then  $m(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{m} (x-\theta_i)$  is the minimal polynomial of A, and hence  $A^{d-(m+1)\cdot m(A)} = 0$ , then we have  $A^d = C_{d-1}A^{d-1} + C_{d-2}A^{d-2} + \cdots + C_1A + C_0I$  for some  $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . For two vertices  $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$  with  $\partial(x, y) = d$ , the xy position in the above equation and  $0 \neq (A^d)_{xy} = C_{d-1}(A^{d-1})_{xy} + C_{d-2}(A^{d-2})_{xy} + \cdots + C_1A_{xy} + C_0I_{xy} = 0$ , a contradiction; so  $A(\Gamma)$  has at least d + 1 distinct eigenvalues.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected k-regular graph with s distinct eigenvalues,

- 1. if s = 2, then  $\Gamma$  is complete graph.
- 2. if s = 3, with distinct eigenvalues  $k > \theta_1 > \theta_2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph.

**Proof**: To prove 1, by above lemma, the diameter  $d(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is 0 or 1. Since  $\Gamma$  is connected,  $d(\Gamma) \neq 0$ , hence  $d(\Gamma) = 1$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a complete graph.

To prove 2, let A be an adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ , and

$$f(x) = (x - \theta_1)(x - \theta_2) = x^2 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2)x + \theta_1\theta_2,$$
  
Then  $A^2 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)A - \theta_1\theta_2I + \frac{f(k)}{n}J$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  is an SR graph with  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu) = (v, -\theta_1\theta_2 + \frac{f(k)}{v}, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \frac{f(k)}{v}, \mu).$ 

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected k-regular graph with 4 distinct eigenvalues  $k > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \theta_3$ , then

1.  $\Gamma$  is walk regular;

2. the diameter of 
$$\Gamma$$
 is 3 if the number of vertices of the graph is more than  $\frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$  or less than  $\frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$ , where  $\alpha = 1 + k^2 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)k - \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3$ , and  $\beta = (k - \theta_1)(k - \theta_2)(k - \theta_3)$ .

**Proof**: To prove 1, let  $\Gamma$  be a regular graph with 4 distinct eigenvalues, and A be an adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ .

$$f(x) = (x - \theta_1)(x - \theta_2)(x - \theta_3)$$
$$= x^3 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)x^2 + (\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_3\theta_1)x - \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3$$

Let  $\alpha_0 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \theta_1 \theta_2 + \theta_2 \theta_3 + \theta_3 \theta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3$  and  $\beta = (k - \theta_1)(k - \theta_2)(k - \theta_3)$ , then  $A^3 - \alpha_0 A^2 + \alpha_1 A - \alpha_2 I = \frac{\beta}{n} J$  (\*), where  $n = |V(\Gamma)|$ , and hence  $A^3 = \alpha_0 A^2 - \alpha_1 A + \alpha_2 I + \frac{\beta}{n} J$ .

Since the diagonal entries of  $A^2$ , A, I, J are constant, the diagonal entries of  $A^3$  are constant  $\alpha_0 k + \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta}{n}$ . Multiplying both sides of (\*) by A will give a recursive formula

for the diagonal entries of  $A^4, A^5, A^6, \cdots$ , etc are constant. Hence all regular graphs with 4 distinct eigenvalues are walk regular.

To prove 2, let A be an adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ , and

$$f(x) = (x - \theta_1)(x - \theta_2)(x - \theta_3)$$
  
=  $x^3 - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)x^2 + (\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2\theta_3 + \theta_3\theta_1) - \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3$ 

Then

$$A^{3} - (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{3})A^{2} + (\theta_{1}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2}\theta_{3} + \theta_{3}\theta_{1})A - \theta_{1}\theta_{2}\theta_{3}I = \frac{\beta}{n}J(*)$$

and hence the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is at most 3.

We will claim that  $k_3(x) > 0$  for each  $x \in V(\Gamma)$  under the numerical constraints. For  $x \in V(\Gamma)$ , we first evaluate  $A^3_{xx}$ :

ANNUAL CONTRACT

$$A_{xx}^{3} = \sum_{y \in \Gamma_{1}(x)} A_{xx}^{2} \text{ by definition, and}$$

$$A_{xx}^{3} = \frac{f(k)}{n} + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{3})A_{xx}^{2} - (\theta_{1}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2}\theta_{3} + \theta_{3}\theta_{1})A_{xx} + \theta_{1}\theta_{2}\theta_{3}I_{xx}$$

$$= \frac{f(k)}{n} + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2} + \theta_{3})k + \theta_{1}\theta_{2}\theta_{3} \text{ by (*).}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{y \in V(\Gamma)} A_{xy}^2 = (A^2 J)_{xx} = k^2,$$
  
$$\sum_{y \in \Gamma_1(x)} A_{xy} = A_{xx}^2 = k,$$
  
$$\sum_{y \in \Gamma_1(x)} A_{xy}^2 = A_{xx}^3 = \frac{\beta}{n} + (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)k + \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3,$$

and then

$$\sum_{y \in \Gamma_2(x)} A_{xy}^2 = \sum_{y \in V(\Gamma)} A_{xy}^2 - A_{xx}^2 - \sum_{y \in \Gamma_1(x)} A_{xy}^2 - \sum_{y \in \Gamma_3(x)} A_{xy}^2$$
$$= k^2 - k - \frac{\beta}{n} - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)k - \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3 \ge k_2(x)$$

It follows that

$$1 + k + k_2(x) \le 1 + k^2 - k - \frac{\beta}{n} - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)k - \theta_1\theta_2\theta_3$$
$$= \alpha - \frac{\beta}{n},$$

whenever  $n > \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$  or  $n < \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta}}{2}$ , as required.

**Theorem 4.3.** [2] Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected *k*-regular graph on *v* vertices with  $\operatorname{Spec}(\Gamma) = (k^1, \theta_1^{m_1}, \theta_2^{m_2}, \theta_3^{m_3})$ . Then

1.  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = (v-1)/3$  and k = (v-1)/3 or 2(v-1)/3, or

#### 2. $\Gamma$ has two or four integral eigenvalues.

Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  has exactly two integral eigenvalues, then the other two have the same multiplicities and are of the form  $\frac{1}{2}(a \pm \sqrt{b})$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected regular graph on v vertices with four distinct eigenvalues, say  $Spec(\Gamma) = (k^1, \theta_1^{m_1}, \theta_2^{m_2}, \theta_3^{m_3}).$ 

Let  $\lambda = (k^3 + m_1\theta_1^3 + m_2\theta_2^3) + m_3\theta_3^3/vk$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular if and only if for every vertex x the number of vertices  $k_2(x)$  at distance two from x is  $k_2(x) = \frac{k(k-1-\lambda)^2}{(k-\lambda)(\lambda-k-(\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3)-(\theta_1\theta_2+\theta_2\theta_3+\theta_3\theta_1)+\theta_1\theta_2\theta_3)}.$  It was conjectured by van Dam [2] that the proposition was also true without the conditions for  $k_2(x)$ , i.e., that for every connected regular graph with four distinct eigenvalues we have that the number of vertices  $k_2$  at distance two from a given vertex is at least  $k_2(x)$ .

The following are examples of some connected regular graphs with 4 distinct eigenvalues and with diameter 2, all of them are walk-regular, though some of them are not distance regular.

**Example 1:**  $\Gamma = \overline{C_6}$ , the complement of  $C_6$  (see Figure 2)

$$A(\overline{C_6}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the characteristic polynomial of  $A(\overline{C_6})$  is  $f(x) = x^2(x-1)(x-3)(x+2)^2$ , and  $Spec(\overline{C_6}) = (3^1, 1^1, 0^2, -2^2)$ , this graph is walk regular.

**Example 2:**  $\Gamma = \overline{Q_3}$ , the complement of  $Q_3$  (see Figure 3)

$$A(\overline{Q_3}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the characteristic polynomial of  $A(\overline{Q_3})$  is  $f(x) = x^3(x-2)(x-4)(x+2)^3$ , and  $Spec(\overline{Q_3}) = (4^1, 2^1, 0^3, -2^3)$ , this graph is walk regular.

**Example 3:**  $\Gamma = \overline{2C_4}$ , the complement of  $2C_4$  (see Figure 4)

$$A(\overline{2C_4}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the characteristic polynomial of  $A(\overline{2C_4})$  is  $f(x) = (x-5)(x-1)^2(x+1)^4(x+3)$ , and  $Spec(\overline{2C_4}) = (5^1, 1^2, -1^4, -3^1)$ , this graph is walk regular.



# References

- R. C. Bose, S. S. Shrikhande and N. M. Singhi, Edge regular multigraphs and partial geometric designs with an application to the embedding of quasi-residual designs, Coll. Int. Sul. Teo. Combin, Tom I (Acc Naz. Lincei, Roma, 1976) 49-81.
- [2] E.R. van Dam, Regular graphs with four eigenvalues, Linear Alg. Appl. 226-228 (1995) 139-162.
- [3] E.R. van Dam and E. Spence, Combianatorial designs with two singular values. I. Uniform multiplicative designs, J. Combin. Theory A 107 (2004) 127-142.

AND LEAR

- [4] E.R. van Dam and E. Spence, Combinatorial designs with two singular values II Partial geometric designs, Linear Algebra and its Applications 396 (2005) 303-316.
- [5] Tayuan Huang, A technique of Spectral Characterizations, preprint 1995.
- [6] K. Metsch, Quasi-residual designs, 1 -designs, and strongly regular multigraphs, Discrete Mathematics 143 (1995) 167-188.
- [7] A. Neumaier, Quasi-residual designs, 1 -designs, and strongly regular multigraphs, Geom. Dedicata 12 (1982) 351-366.



Figure 2



Figure 4