

## 摘要

本論文主要在探討鐵金屬的成長及加入磁性多層膜後之特性研究。當一層超薄的鐵金屬層加在鈷鐵/鐵錳合金介面時，其鈷鐵的矯頑場及磁滯曲線方正性可以在 400°C 退火後還維持和初鍍膜狀態相近。此鈷鐵/鐵/鐵錳薄膜，在歐傑電子縱深分析中，亦可發現鐵錳層內的錳原子並未擴散進入鈷鐵層，此結果說明鐵金屬具有作為錳的擴散阻隔層的潛力。

在非晶質的二氧化矽基板上成長鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜時，需加入鐵金屬作為緩衝層，方使得鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜成長出 fcc (111) 指向，並產生交換場。因為鐵為六方最密堆積結構 (hcp)，其底面的 (0002) 面和 fcc 結構中的 (111) 面具有相同的原子排列方式，因此，以銅作為種子層並藉由金屬-金屬磊晶成長法 (MMES method) 可在氫終結的矽 (100) 及矽 (111) 基板上，成長出具有明顯 fcc (111) 指向的鈷鐵/鈷錳織構薄膜。當鍍製鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜時，鐵金屬在矽 (100) 及矽 (111) 基板上會形成不同的表面型態，甚至，鈷錳在鈷鐵/鈷錳/鐵/銅/矽 (100) 中，會隨著鐵的厚度增加，而改變其晶體結構從 (002) 改變成 (111)。此具有較佳結晶性的鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜，會形成較佳的磁滯曲線方正性，而使得磁矩的翻轉過程變得具有較佳的一致性。此鈷鐵/鈷錳織構薄膜，會於 250°C 得到最大交換場並於 350°C 退火後使得其交換場消失，相較於非織構的鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜，其產生最大交換場及交換場消失的溫度，分別往上提升 50°C 及 75°C，另外，當此織構鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜的介面上再增加一超薄的鐵金屬層時，亦可使得其退火後的交換場大於初鍍膜的狀態。這些結果顯示，藉由加入鐵緩衝層及鐵擴散阻隔層後，鈷鐵/鈷錳薄膜會得到較佳的熱穩定性。

最後，當選用適當的種子層，具有高 hcp (0002) 指向性且具有六重對稱性的鐵金屬膜可以分別在室溫下以磁控濺鍍的方式成長於氫終結的矽 (100) 及矽 (111) 基板上。使

用銅金屬在氫終結的矽(100)基板上作為種子層，可大幅將晶格常數的不匹配性自大於30%降低至小於7%，而成長出具有雙晶結構且具有微弱六重對稱性的鐵金屬膜。此時可觀察到一特殊的平面十二重對稱性，來自於以[0002]方向為軸且彼此相差90度的孪晶晶粒。另外，當選用銅/金作為種子層時，可在氫終結的矽(111)-1x1表面上成長出具有良好六重對稱結構的鐵金屬膜，從其XRD的 $\phi$ -scan中有六根高強度的繞射峰，更可證明此具有六重對稱性的鐵金屬膜之成長是可以被操控的。根據上述特性，鐵金屬具有很高的應用潛力且可於磁穿隧接面記憶胞製程及超大型積體電路製程間作為一重要的整合媒介。



## Abstract

This dissertation is mainly to study the growth of osmium (Os) and the properties of magnetic films with an Os layer. By insertion an ultrathin Os layer between CoFe/OsMn interface, the coercivity ( $H_c$ ) and hysteresis squareness ( $S$ ) of samples after annealing below  $400^\circ\text{C}$  can retain nearly the same value of that at as-deposited state. In the CoFe/Os/OsMn system, as revealed from the AES depth profile, the Mn atoms in OsMn layer did not enter the CoFe layer, and this result indicated the Os layer had potential to act as the diffusion barrier of Mn atoms.

The CoFe/IrMn films on  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate without Os buffer layer did not show the IrMn fcc (111) and the CoFe fcc (111) peaks and its exchange field ( $H_{\text{ex}}$ ) is almost zero. Since Os is a hexagonal close-packed (hcp) metal and its basal (0002) plane has the same atomic arrangement of a (111) plane in fcc. When the Os layer deposited by metal-metal epitaxy on silicon (MMES) method with a Cu seed layer on the hydrogen-terminated Si substrate, the texture CoFe/IrMn could be grown, and a clear fcc (111) peak was observed. The Os layer on H-Si (100) and H-Si (111) shows different surface mesh for CoFe/IrMn growth. The structure of IrMn in CoFe/IrMn/Os/Cu/Si(100) can turn from (002) to (111) during increasing the thickness of Os layer. The much better crystallinity of the CoFe/IrMn showed better  $S$ , thus, the switching process of magnetization was more coherent. The textured CoFe/IrMn reached its  $H_{\text{ex, max}}$  at  $250^\circ\text{C}$ , while the  $H_{\text{ex}}$  vanished at  $350^\circ\text{C}$ . Compared with the non-textured CoFe/IrMn, the  $50^\circ\text{C}$  and  $75^\circ\text{C}$  of improvement on the temperature at which the  $H_{\text{ex, max}}$  appeared and the  $H_{\text{ex}}$  disappeared were remarkable, respectively. For all the samples with an Os barrier, its CoFe/IrMn showed larger  $H_{\text{ex}}$  than that of the as-deposited state. These mean that a CoFe/IrMn film can have a better thermal stability with adding an Os diffusion barrier

layer and an Os buffer layer.

Finally, by choosing the appropriate buffer layers, high-oriented hcp (0002) with 6-fold symmetry Os films can be grown on hydrogen-terminated Si (100) and Si (111) substrates by magnetron sputtering at room temperature, respectively. Using a Cu buffer layer, the lattice mismatch between Os (0002) and Si (100) was significantly reduced from >30% to ~7%, and thus Os films can grow with twin relationships and weak 6-fold symmetries. A 12-fold in-plane symmetry resulted from two sets of (0002) epitaxial grain rotated by 90° with respect to each other along [0002] direction was observed. On the other hand, the Cu/Au buffer layer was selected to form an fcc (111) surface mesh on H-Si (111)-1x1. The 6 high intensity peaks of XRD  $\phi$  scan diffraction were measured and which indicated the 6-fold Os (0002) can be well controlled. According to the properties mentioned above, Os do have high application potential and play an important role on integrating the ULSI and MTJ processes.



## 誌 謝

光陰荏苒，轉瞬即將走完這段求學生涯，回首這四年多來，首先，我要感謝指導教授陳三元老師，感謝您讓我追尋自己的興趣，投入spintronics這個領域做研究，並且在各方面都給予我最大的支持與鼓勵，讓我能順利完成這篇論文。再者，更要感謝另一位指導老師-中央研究院物理所姚永德教授，感謝您在研究方向的大力指導，讓我擁有許多的研究資源，更在就學期間的論文發表上，給予相當多寶貴的意見，使我一路走來倍感順利。當然，工研院電光所的盧志權經理在實驗設備及實驗構想上的全力支持，讓我能夠天馬行空的放手去嘗試我的構想，更是催生這篇論文不可或缺的關鍵人物。另外，亦衷心感謝諸位口試委員對本論文所給予的指導與建議。

能夠完成這篇論文，除了感謝三位老師無私的付出，也要感謝在這四年中所有給予過我幫助的人們：感謝中研院幫忙作TEM試片的國龍以及幫忙作VSM的凱澤，感謝中正大學物理所幫忙作VSM的晃暉及軍浩，感謝在組裝濺鍍系統時給予大力幫忙的朱聰明學長及富強，以及太多太多相關的人們，或許無法一一寫下你們的名字，但是沒有你們，我是無法完成這篇論文的，再次獻上我誠摯的感激。

求學生涯的多彩多姿當然少不了陪伴在我身邊的朋友們，感謝工研院自旋科技實驗室患難與共的各位夥伴：瀛文、國瑞、正旭、建宏、藍青、怡昕、世斌、明道、哲榮、維志及瑞峰，不論在實驗上還是日常生活，因為你們才豐富了這段求學生涯，若不是你們陪在身邊，真不知道該怎樣排解實驗上所遭遇的挫折與分享發表論文的喜悅；還有交大實驗室的邦強、晉慶、昆和、繼聖、定宇、彥妤、白嘉、若豪、書萍、泓洲、小秀，難忘每次與你們聚會時的歡樂氣氛；另外，還要感謝台大材料所的群淵，不論出國開會、交換實驗心得，在在都從你身上獲益良多。

最後，特別要將此篇論文獻給我親愛的父母，感謝你們自小到大的悉心栽培，也要謝謝所有關心我的親朋好友，更要將這篇論文獻給這千多個日子來，無怨無悔的陪在我的身邊，分享無數歡笑淚水、伴我走過低潮的摯愛-瑋寒。

泰彥 丁亥年 三月

# Contents

Abstract (Chinese)	i
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgement	v
Contents	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1-1 Motivations	2
1-2 Outline of this dissertation	3
<b>Chapter 2 Background and Papers Reviews</b>	<b>4</b>
2-1 Magnetoresistance effects	4
2-1-1 Pseudo-Spin-Valve effect	4
2-1-2 Tunneling magnetoresistance effect	6
2-2 Metallic FM/AFM exchanged biased systems	13
2-1 Fe-Mn	14
2-2 Ni-Mn	15
2-3 Ir-Mn	15
2-4 Pt-Mn	16
2-5 Other Mn-based AFM films	16
2-3 Thermal degeneration of magnetic devices and improvements	18
2-4 General properties of Os	22
2-4-1 Previous experiments and reports of uses of Os	25
<b>Chapter 3 Instrumentations and Experimental Techniques</b>	<b>32</b>
3-1 Deposition setup	32
3-2 Metal-Metal Epitaxy on Silicon Method (MMES)	35
3-3 Thermal annealing setup	37
3-4 Analysis techniques	39
<b>Chapter 4 Experimental Results and Discussions</b>	<b>43</b>

4-1 Magnetic results of magnetic films	43
4-1-1 Thermal treatment of PtMn-based MTJ	43
4-1-2 Os diffusion barrier in the CoFe/OsMn systems	52
4-2 Buffer layer use and growth of Os (0002)	66
4-2-1 Buffer layer use of Os in CoFe/IrMn systems	66
4-2-2 Growth of Os (0002) on different substrates	85
<b>Chapter 5 Conclusions</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Publications List</b>	<b>114</b>



## List of Tables

Table 2.1	Spin polarization of various magnetic materials (Data are from Ref. [140])	9
Table 2.2	Particularly comprehensive comparison of the properties of some metallic AFM materials (Data are from Ref. [64])	17
Table 2.3	General properties of osmium (data are from Ref. [89])	23
Table 2.4	Wetting angle of Cu on glue layers and calculated energy of Cu adhesion to glue layers (Data are from Ref. [99])	28
Table 2.5	Melting temperature and transport properties for Ta and the selected potential replacement materials (Data are from Ref. [14])	28
Table 3.1	Deposition conditions of the magnetron sputtering system	33
Table 3.2	Deposition conditions of the E-gun evaporation system	33
Table 3.3	Parameters of the field annealing system	37
Table 4.1	Calculated values of limiting partial enthalpies of solution and the heat of mixing for liquid binary manganese alloys (Data are from Ref. [121])	57





## List of Figures

Fig. 2-1	(a) Magnetization curve and (b) MR curve of the pseudo-spin-valve, where the magnetization directions of the SM and HM are denoted with different arrows. (Data are from Ref. [140])	6
Fig. 2-2	Schematic model for spin dependent tunneling. (Data are from Ref. [140])	11
Fig. 2-3	Schematic energy band structure for a half metal. (Data are from Ref. [140])	11
Fig. 2-4	Spin polarization of tunneling electrons vs. the magnetic moment $\mu_0$ of the electrode. (Data are from Ref. [141])	12
Fig. 2-5	TMR and $\mu^2$ as function of the composition of Fe-Co alloy electrodes used for the junctions. (Data are from Ref. [141])	12
Fig. 2-6	AES depth profiles for (a) IrMn-CoFe-AlO <sub>x</sub> , (b) IrMn-Ta-CoFe-AlO <sub>x</sub> , (c) IrMn-CoFe-Ta-AlO <sub>x</sub> film stacks after annealing at 300°C, and (d) Mn profiles for the three multilayers after annealing at 300°C. (Data are from Ref. [68])	20
Fig. 2-7	Annealing temperature dependence of TMR ratio and RA for tunnel junctions with (a) CoFe and (b) CoFe/CoFeO <sub>x</sub> /CoFe pinned layers. ●(○), ▲(Δ), and ■(□) represent the data for the junctions prepared under the same conditions, and solid and dashed lines indicate TMR ratio and RA, respectively. (Data are from Ref. [69])	21
Fig. 2-8	Volume compressions for Os, Ir, Re, Ru, W, and C. Error bars are shown for Os, Ir, and Ru. (Data are from Ref. [90])	29
Fig. 2-9	Backscattering spectra for osmium samples heat treated for one hour at 730, 740, and 750°C, respectively, showing the transition from Os <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>3</sub> to OsSi <sub>2</sub> . (Data are from Ref. [95])	29
Fig. 2-10	The indexed pattern of overlapping (040) OsSi <sub>2</sub> // (220) Si and [102] OsSi <sub>2</sub> // [111] Si, after 200-1000°C, two-step annealing. (Data are from Ref. [96])	30
Fig. 2-11	Coercivity $H_c$ and coercive squareness $S^*$ before (○: $H_c$ , □: $S^*$ ) and after (●: $H_c$ , ■: $S^*$ ) magnetic annealing as a function of Os constant. (Data are from Ref. [97])	30
Fig. 2-12	Relation between Os content and the magnetic properties of $\gamma$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> thin films. The reduction temperatures of pure and Os- added films were 300 and 250°C, respectively. (Data are from Ref. [98])	31
Fig. 2-13	Cross-sectional SEM images of Cu electrodeposited directly in trenches	31

of various aspect ratios. The Os barrier upon which the Cu was electrodeposited is visible as a bright layer beneath the Cu. (Images are from Ref. [14])

- Fig. 3-1 Image of the magnetron sputtering setup. This system has six sputtering guns with different materials, and the base pressure is below  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr. 34
- Fig. 3-2 Image of the E-gun evaporation system. This setup includes 12 crucibles which can hold 12 different materials, and the best pressure is  $5 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr. 34
- Fig. 3-3 Schematic illustration of the epitaxial relationships between Cu and Si (001). The epitaxial relationships are: Cu (001) // Si (001) and Cu [010] // Si [110]. 36
- Fig. 3-4 The XRD pattern of Cu grown on H-Si (100) by MMES method. The Cu (002) peak can be observed clearly even the Cu film was only 30 nm. (Data are from [142]) 36
- Fig. 3-5 Image of the field annealing setup. This system has an electromagnet and a vacuum anneal chamber, and the working pressure is  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr. 38
- Fig. 3-6 Schematic illustration of the MOKE setup. 41
- Fig. 3-7 The Auger electron spectrum on the surface in the CoFe layer. The main peaks of Co, Mn, and Fe were overlapped in this energy range. 42
- Fig. 4-1 The XRD patterns of the MTJ with 15 nm PtMn before and after annealing at 300°C for 1 hour. 47
- Fig. 4-2 The (a) peak-positions and the (b) FWHM of each peaks of PtMn and CoFe are functions of PtMn thickness and annealing treatment. 47
- Fig. 4-3 The XRD intensity for PtMn, CoFe and NiFe as a function of diffraction angles for samples (with  $d = 15$  nm) without annealing and annealing at 400°C for 10 minutes. 48
- Fig. 4-4 The PtMn peak position of MTJ samples with different PtMn thickness after different 400°C annealing times. 48
- Fig. 4-5 The peak intensities ((a), (b)), the peak-positions ((c), (d)), and the FWHM of peaks of PtMn and CoFe ((f), (g)) for samples with  $d$  varied from 8 to 20 nm after 400°C annealing for different annealing time. 49
- Fig. 4-6 XRD intensity and FWHM of PtMn peak for samples with  $d$  varied from 8 to 20 nm and annealing at 400°C for 10 minutes and 1 hour. 50
- Fig. 4-7 (a) The PtMn base MTJ showed stronger  $H_{ex}$  after field annealing ( $\square$ ) ( $T_a = 275^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $H_a = 7,500$  Oe) near 4,300 Oe instead of the weaker  $H_{ex}$  after annealing without field ( $\bullet$ ); (b)  $H_{ex}$  induced by PtMn was as a function

	of PtMn thickness (d).	
Fig. 4-8	(a) The MR loop of the PtMn based MTJ after patterning showed a MR ratio near 30 %; (b) Normalized MR ratio versus post-annealing time, $T_{PA}$ , for different annealing temperature.	51
Fig. 4-9	The 400°C annealed MOKE measurements of (a) CoFe/Ru 0.8/CoFe/PtMn and (b) CoFe/Os 0.8/CoFe/PtMn, respectively.	58
Fig. 4-10	The $H_{ex}$ of Ru and Os base SAF film are as functions of the annealing temperature.	58
Fig. 4-11	The AES depth profiles of (a) Ru base SAF, (b) Os base SAF, and (c) Ru base SAF with thick NOL after 400°C annealing.	59
Fig. 4-12	The MOKE measurements of CoFe/Os (d)/OsMn. (a) shows that 2 nm Os can be retained the CoFe hysteresis loop as the same with as-deposited even after 400°C annealing. (b) indicates that the CoFe hysteresis loop can be retained after 400°C annealing even the inserted Os layer was as thin as 0.5 nm.	61
Fig. 4-13	AES-depth profiles for the CoFe/Os (d nm)/OsMn multilayer before (a), (c) and after (b), (d) annealed at 300°C ((a), (b): d=0; (c), (d): d=1).	62
Fig. 4-14	The AES depth profiles of Ta/CoFe/OsMn (a) without and (b) with 1 nm Os interlayer as a diffusion barrier after 400°C annealing.	63
Fig. 4-15	The temperature dependence of $H_C$ (■ and □) and S (● and ○) in the CoFe/Os (d nm)/OsMn multilayer, which indicated by d = 0 (dark symbol) and d = 2 (open symbol). The annealing conditions are 30 minutes at 1 kOe external field.	63
Fig. 4-16	The Os barrier thickness dependence of: (a) the magnetization curve squareness and (b) the coercivity field in the Ta/CoFe/Os(d nm)/MnOs before and after 400°C annealing. (c) is the Os interlayer thickness dependence of the squareness and the normalized $H_c$ after 400°C annealing.	64
Fig. 4-17	The VSM measurement of the Ta/CoFe/IrMn/CoFe/SiO <sub>2</sub> multilayer (a) without and (b) with a 0.3 nm Os barrier inserted in the upper part of the CoFe/IrMn interface. The $H_{ex}$ of the annealed sample with the 0.3 nm Os barrier (○) was larger than that of the as-deposited state (■).	65
Fig. 4-18	An illustration of the possible diffusion model of Mn atoms interdiffusion in the CoFe/IrMn interface.	65
Fig. 4-19	The XRD measurements of the Ta/CoFe/IrMn/Os/Ta/SiO <sub>2</sub> multilayer: (a) the influence of the IrMn exchange coupled CoFe films with and without the Os buffer and (b) enhancement of the diffraction peaks varied as increasing of the Os thickness.	75

Fig. 4-20	The diffraction peak intensities of the IrMn (111)/Os (0002) and CoFe (111), and $H_{ex}$ varied as a function of the Os buffer thickness.	76
Fig. 4-21	(a) XRD patterns of the evidence that the Os (0002) can provide a suitable surface mesh to grow fcc (111) orientation. (b) XRD pattern of CoFe/IrMn grown on Cu/H-Si (100) and Cu/H-Si (111) with and without Os buffer layer.	77
Fig. 4-22	The $I_{IrMn(111)}$ on Si (100) and Si (111) and the relative intensity of $I_{IrMn(111)} / I_{IrMn(200)}$ were a function of $t_b$ .	78
Fig. 4-23	The M-H loop of as deposited (a) and 200°C annealed (b) of CoFe/IrMn/Os30/Cu on both H-Si (100) and H-Si (111) substrates with Os buffer layer.	78
Fig. 4-24	The $H_{ex}$ of the textured and poly-crystalline (poly) samples is as a function of $T_a$ . The textured CoFe/IrMn film showed better thermal stability than the poly sample. The 50°C and 75°C of improvements on the temperature of obtaining the $H_{ex, max}$ and the $H_{ex}$ disappearing were found clearly.	79
Fig. 4-25	The XRD results of the textured IrMn film grown on Os/Cu buffer layer as $T_a$ increased and only a small destruction of IrMn film structure is found when $T_a = 400^\circ\text{C}$ .	80
Fig. 4-26	The XRD results of the textured CoFe/IrMn with and without the Os insertion layer in the interface. Such a thin inserted Os layer does not affect the film structure.	81
Fig. 4-27	The $H_{ex}$ of the textured samples with different $d$ are as a function of $T_a$ . The 0.3 nm Os inserted textured CoFe/IrMn film showed better thermal stability than the textured one without $d$ . It is clearly found that the 50°C improvement on the temperature, at which the $H_{ex}$ disappearing.	82
Fig. 4-28	The $H_{ex}$ of the textured CoFe/Os ( $d$ )/ IrMn samples with $d = 0.3$ nm and $d = 1.0$ nm showed similar temperature dependence.	83
Fig. 4-29	The $H_{ex}$ of the textured sample is as a function of $d$ . A thin Os barrier in the interface of the CoFe/IrMn made it more stable at high temperature. When $d > 0$ , the all samples with $T_a = 300^\circ\text{C}$ showed larger $H_{ex}$ than that of as-deposited state, while the $H_{ex}$ of the sample without $d = 0$ nm degraded sharply.	84
Fig. 4-30	The Hysteresis loop of the CoFe/Os 0.1/IrMn textured sample. The whole magnetic behavior was almost the same before and after 300°C annealing. Only half reducing of magnetic moments and a little rising of $H_{ex}$ were found.	84
Fig. 4-31	The X-ray diffraction patterns of as-deposited 30 nm Cu film, and 30	92

nm Os films with and without 30 nm Cu buffer layer on Si(100), respectively. Clear Os (0002) peak and weak Os (10 $\bar{1}$ 1) peak were observed. The inserted picture shows that the intensity of the Os (0002) was strongly dependent on the thickness of Os layer.

- Fig. 4-32 (a) the cross section TEM image of the Ta/ Os 30/ Cu 20/ Si(100). 93  
Many areas show very good layer by layer microstructures in the(b) interface between Cu and Os and (c) Os layer.
- Fig. 4-33 The X-ray diffraction patterns of the Os (0002) peak intensities for 94  
samples with the thickness of Cu buffer layer varied from 1 to 30 nm.
- Fig. 4-34 (a) the X-ray  $\phi$  scans of the Os {10 $\bar{1}$ 1} of the Os on Cu (002)/ Si(100). 95  
(b) is a schematic illustration of the epitaxial relationships between Os (0002) and Cu (002). The relationships are: Cu(002)// Os(0002), Cu[1 $\bar{1}$ 0]//Os[1 $\bar{1}$ 2 $\bar{0}$ ], Cu[110]//Os[2 $\bar{1}$ 1 $\bar{0}$ ] in “A” grain, and Cu(002)//Os(0002), Cu[1 $\bar{1}$ 0]//Os[1 $\bar{1}$ 2 $\bar{0}$ ], Cu[110]//Os[12 $\bar{3}$ 0] in “B” grain.
- Fig. 4-35 (a) is the cross section TEM image of the Ta/ Os 30/ Cu 10/ Si (111), 96  
and (b) is the high magnification view of the interface between Cu and Os layers; while the white dash line roughly presents the non-smooth interface and the white arrows indicate the different growth direction of the Os (0002) near the interface. (c) is the X-ray  $\phi$  scans of the Os {10 $\bar{1}$ 1} of the Ta/ Os 30/ Cu 10/ Si (111).
- Fig. 4-36 (a) The XRD pattern of Os on H-Si (111) with Pd buffer layer. The inst 97  
shows the XRD  $\phi$  scan results. (b) Some dislocations were found in the cross sectional TEM image between the interface of Pd and Os.
- Fig. 4-37 The XRD patterns of Os on (a) Au and (b) Ag buffer layer on Si (111) 98  
showed they were both epitaxial growth. The inst in both figures shows the XRD  $\phi$  scan results, respectively.
- Fig. 4-38 (a) The XRD pattern of Os on H-Si (111) with buffer layer. The XRD  $\phi$  99  
scan results of Au (the inset in (a)) and (b) Ag both indicated the Os film and the buffer layer were epitaxial growth.
- Fig. 4-39 (a) The TEM cross sectional images of Os/Cu/Au/H-Si (111) shows the 100  
films growth is closed to epitaxial growth. (b) is the high magnification image of Os/Cu interface and the uniform atomic arrangement can be found. The inset presents the TEM electron diffraction pattern of Os/Cu interface.
- Fig. 5-1 Schematic illustration of the Os uses on combining ULSI processes 104  
with MTJ manufacturing.