

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 3816 – 3823

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

The linear 3-arboricity of $K_{n,n}$ and K_n

Hung-Lin Fu^a, Kuo-Ching Huang^b, Chih-Hung Yen^{c,∗}

^a*Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan* ^b*Department of Applied Mathematics, Providence University, Shalu, Taichung 43301, Taiwan* ^c*Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiayi University, Chiayi 60004, Taiwan*

Received 8 January 2004; received in revised form 9 July 2007; accepted 10 July 2007 Available online 28 August 2007

Abstract

A linear *k*-forest is a forest whose components are paths of length at most *k*. The linear *k*-arboricity of a graph *G*, denoted by $la_k(G)$, is the least number of linear *k*-forests needed to decompose G. In this paper, we completely determine $la_k(G)$ when G is a balanced complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ or a complete graph K_n , and $k = 3$. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Linear *k*-forest; Linear *k*-arboricity; Balanced complete bipartite graph; Complete graph

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs considered are finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges. We refer to [\[15\]](#page-7-0) for terminology in Graph Theory. A *decomposition* of a graph is a list of subgraphs such that each edge appears in exactly one subgraph in the list. If a graph *G* has a decomposition G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d , then we say that G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d decompose *G*, or *G* can be decomposed into G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_d . Furthermore, a *linear k-forest* is a forest whose components are paths of length at most k. The *linear k-arboricity* of a graph G , denoted by $l a_k(G)$, is the least number of linear *k*-forests needed to decompose *G*.

The linear *k*-arboricity of a graph was first introduced by Habib and Peroche [\[10\].](#page-7-0) It is a natural generalization of *edge coloring*. Clearly, a linear 1-forest is induced by a matching, and $la_1(G)$ is the *edge chromatic number*, or *chromatic index,* $\chi'(G)$ of a graph *G*. Moreover, the linear *k*-arboricity la_k(*G*) is also a refinement of the ordinary *linear arboricity* la(G) (or la_∞(G)) of a graph G, which is the case when every component of each forest is a path with no length constraint.

In 1982, Habib and Peroche [\[9\]](#page-7-0) proposed the following conjecture for an upper bound on $la_k(G)$.

[∗] Corresponding author.

E-mail address: chyen@mail.ncyu.edu.tw (C.-H. Yen).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.067

Conjecture 1.1. If G is a graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and $k \ge 2$, then

$$
\operatorname{la}_{k}(G) \leqslant \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta(G) \cdot |V(G)|}{2\left\lfloor \frac{k \cdot |V(G)|}{k+1} \right\rfloor} & \text{when } \Delta(G) = |V(G)| - 1 \text{ and} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(\Delta(G) \cdot |V(G)|) + 1}{2\left\lfloor \frac{k \cdot |V(G)|}{k+1} \right\rfloor} \right\rfloor & \text{when } \Delta(G) < |V(G)| - 1. \end{bmatrix} \right\}
$$

So far, quite a few results on the verification of Conjecture 1.1 have been obtained in the literature, especially for graphs with particular structures, such as trees [4,5,10], cubic graphs [3,12,14], regular graphs [1,2], planar graphs [\[13\],](#page-7-0) balanced complete bipartite graphs [7,8] and complete graphs [3,6,7,16]. As for a lower bound on $la_k(G)$, since any vertex in a linear *k*-forest has degree at most 2 and a linear *k*-forest in a graph *G* has at most $\lfloor \frac{k \cdot |V(G)|}{k+1} \rfloor$ edges, we can obtain the following result.

Proposition 1.2.

$$
\mathrm{la}_{k}(G) \geqslant \max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{\varDelta(G)}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{|E(G)|}{\left\lfloor \frac{k \cdot |V(G)|}{k+1} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil \right\}.
$$

In this paper, we completely determine la_k(G) when G is a balanced complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ or a complete graph K_n , and $k = 3$. The results are coherent with the corresponding cases of Conjecture 1.1.

2. Linear 3-arboricity of *Kn,n*

Let $G(X, Y)$ be a bipartite graph with partite sets $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\}\$ and $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{s-1}\}\$. Suppose that $|Y| = s \ge r = |X|$. We define the *bipartite difference* of an edge $x_p y_q$ in $G(X, Y)$ as the value $q - p \pmod{s}$. It is not difficult to see that a set consists of those edges in $G(X, Y)$ with the same bipartite difference must be a matching. In particular, such a set is a perfect matching if $G(X, Y)$ is a $K_{n,n}$. Furthermore, we can partition the edge set of $K_{n,n}$ into *n* pairwise disjoint perfect matchings $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{n-1}$ such that M_i is exactly the set of edges of bipartite difference *i* in $K_{n,n}$, $i = 0, 1, ..., n-1$.

Lemma 2.1. *If* $n \geq 4$ *is even and* α *belongs to* {0, 1, ..., $n-3$ }, *then the edges of bipartite differences* α , $\alpha + 1$ *and* $\alpha + 2$ *in* $K_{n,n}$ *can form two edge-disjoint linear* 3-*forests*.

Proof. Consider $K_{n,n}$ with partite sets $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ and $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$. Let $M_{\alpha} = \{x_i y_{i+\alpha \pmod{n}} | i =$ 0, 1, ..., n - 1}, $M_{\alpha+1} = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}} | i = 0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ and $M_{\alpha+2} = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+2) \pmod{n}} | i = 0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ be the sets of edges of bipartite differences α , $\alpha + 1$ and $\alpha + 2$ in $K_{n,n}$, respectively. Each of M_{α} , $M_{\alpha+1}$ and $M_{\alpha+2}$ is a perfect matching in $K_{n,n}$. Then, by partitioning $M_{\alpha+1}$ into two disjoint matchings $W_1 = \{x_i y_i + (\alpha+1) \pmod{n} \mid i=0, 2, 4, \ldots, n-2\}$ and $W_2 = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}} | i = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, n-1 \}$, the edges in $M_\alpha \cup W_1$ can form one linear 3-forest in $K_{n,n}$ and the edges in $M_{\alpha+2} \cup W_2$ can form another one. Thus the assertion holds. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If* $n \ge 3$ *is odd*, α *belongs to* {0, 1, ..., $n-3$ } *and e is an edge of bipartite difference* $\alpha + 1$ *in* $K_{n,n}$ *, then the edges other than e of bipartite differences* α , α + 1 *and* α + 2 *in* $K_{n,n}$ *can form two edge-disjoint linear* 3-*forests*.

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $e=x_ry_{r+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}}$ for some $r \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$. If *r* is even, then we partition $M_{\alpha+1}$ into three pairwise disjoint matchings {e}, $W_1 = \{x_i y_i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n} \mid i=0, 2, \ldots, r-2, r+\alpha\}$ 1, $r+3, \ldots, n-2$ } and $W_2 = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}} | i = 1, 3, \ldots, r-1, r+2, r+4, \ldots, n-1\}$. If *r* is odd, then we partition $M_{\alpha+1}$ into three pairwise disjoint matchings $\{e\}$, $W_1 = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}} | i = 1, 3, ..., r-2, r+1, r+3, ..., n-1\}$

and $W_2 = \{x_i y_{i+(\alpha+1) \pmod{n}} | i = 0, 2, ..., r-1, r+2, r+4, ..., n-2\}$. Then the edges in $M_\alpha \cup W_1$ can form one linear 3-forest in $K_{n,n}$ and the edges in $M_{\alpha+2} \cup W_2$ can form another one. Thus the assertion holds. \square

Now, we are ready to show our main results in this section.

Proposition 2.3. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leqslant \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Since $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, by Lemma 2.1, the edges of bipartite differences $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form $\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) \cdot 2 = \frac{2n}{3} = \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests. Thus $\ln(1/K_{n,n}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \right\rceil$ when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Proposition 2.4. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leqslant \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Since $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, by Lemma 2.1, the edges of bipartite differences $0, 1, \ldots, n-2$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form $\left(\frac{n-1}{3}\right) \cdot 2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{3}$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests. Also, the edges of bipartite difference $n-1$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form one linear 3-forest. Thus $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{3} + 1 = \frac{2n+1}{3} = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. □

Proposition 2.5. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leqslant \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Since $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, by Lemma 2.1, the edges of bipartite differences $0, 1, \ldots, n-3$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form $\left(\frac{n-2}{3}\right) \cdot 2 = \frac{2(n-2)}{3}$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests. Also, the edges of bipartite difference $n-2$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form one linear 3-forest, and the edges of bipartite difference $n-1$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form another one. Thus $\text{la}_{3}(K_{n,n}) \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{3}$ + $2 = \frac{2n+2}{3} = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. \Box

Proposition 2.6. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leqslant \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. If *H* is a subgraph of a graph *G*, then $l a_k(H) \leq l a_k(G)$. Thus, $l a_3(K_{n,n}) \leq l a_3(K_{n+1,n+1}) \leq l^{\frac{2(n+1)}{3}} = \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil$ $\lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ by Proposition 2.3 and $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. \Box

Proposition 2.7. $\text{la}_{3}(K_{n,n}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Since $K_{n,n}$ is a subgraph of $K_{n+1,n+1}$, $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leq \text{Im}_3(K_{n+1,n+1}) \leq \lceil \frac{2(n+1)}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil$ by Proposition 2.4 and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. \Box

Proposition 2.8. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leqslant \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Consider $K_{n,n}$ with partite sets $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}\$ and $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}\$. First, let $e_t = x_{n-t}$ $y_{n-t+3(t-1)+1 \pmod{n}}$ be an edge of bipartite difference $3(t-1)+1$ in $K_{n,n}$, $t = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{3}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, the edges other than e_t of bipartite differences $3(t - 1)$, $3(t - 1) + 1$ and $3(t - 1) + 2$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form two edge-disjoint linear 3-forests, for all $t \in \{1, 2, ..., \frac{n-1}{3}\}$. Hence, the edges other than $e_1, e_2, ..., e_{(n-1)/3}$ of bipartite differences 0, 1, ..., $n-2$ in $K_{n,n}$ can form $(\frac{n-1}{3}) \cdot 2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{3}$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests.

Next, let $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{(n-1)/3}\}\$, and also let *H* be the subgraph of $K_{n,n}$ induced by $E \cup M_{n-1}$, where $M_{n-1} =$ ${x_i y_{i+(n-1) \pmod{n}} \mid i=0, 1, \ldots, n-1}$ is the set of edges of bipartite difference $n-1$ in $K_{n,n}$. Since *E* is a matching and M_{n-1} is a perfect matching in $K_{n,n}$, each component of *H* is a path or an even cycle. Now, without loss of generality, assume that $P = u - w_1 - w_2 - v$ is a path of length 3 in *H* with $w_1 \in X$ and $w_2 \in Y$. Then the edge w_1w_2 in *P* must belong to *E*. Otherwise, if $w_1w_2 \in M_{n-1}$, then $w_1u \in E$ and $vw_2 \in E$, i.e., $w_1 \in X' = \{x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots, x_{n-(n-1)/3}\}\$ and $w_2 \in Y' = \{y_0, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-(n-1)/3-3}\}\$. But, any edge *ab* formed by $a \in X'$ and $b \in Y'$ cannot belong to M_{n-1} , it is a contradiction. Therefore, it implies that each component of *H* is a path of length at most 3, and hence *H* is a linear 3-forest in $K_{n,n}$.

Accordingly, $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{3} + 1 = \frac{2n+1}{3} = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. \Box

Finally, we conclude the work of this section by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9.

$$
\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n}{3} \end{bmatrix} & \text{when } n \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4, 5 \text{ (mod 6) and} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n+2}{3} \end{bmatrix} & \text{when } n \equiv 3 \text{ (mod 6).} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ or $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \leq \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil$, following the value of n (mod 6), via Propositions 2.3–2.8, while $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \geq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ or $\text{la}_3(K_{n,n}) \geq \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil$, following the value of n (mod 6), by Proposition 1.2. This concludes the proof. \square

3. Linear 3-arboricity of *Kn*

In [\[3\],](#page-7-0) Bermond et al. mentioned briefly the result that $la_3(K_{12t+4}) = 8t + 2$ for any $t \ge 0$ can be obtained by using techniques of resolvable design in the theory of combinatorial design. In this section, we will determine la₃(K_n) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by specifying how the linear 3-forests decompose K_n are to be found.

Assume that *G* and *H* are graphs. A spanning subgraph *F* of *G* is called an *H-factor* if each component of *F* is isomorphic to *H*. If *G* is expressible as an edge-disjoint union of *H*-factors, then this union is called an *H-factorization* of *G*. Furthermore, we say that a 1-*factor* of a graph *G* is a spanning 1-regular subgraph of *G*. A decomposition of a regular graph *G* into 1-factors is a 1-*factorization* of *G*. A graph with a 1-factorization is 1-*factorable*. For a complete graph K_n , the following result is well-known.

Theorem 3.1 (*Harary [\[11\]](#page-7-0)*). *If n is even*, *then* Kn *can be decomposed into* n−1 *pairwise edge-disjoint* 1-*factors*, *and thus it is* 1-*factorable*.

Proof. We can obtain simply the $n - 1$ pairwise edge-disjoint 1-factors $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-2}$ of K_n from a circle and $\frac{n}{2}$ chords in it. Let the $n - 1$ vertices be placed equally spaced round a circle, and label them $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-2}$; also label the center v_{n-1} . Then, for each $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n-2\}$, the 1-factor f_j is induced by an edge joining vertices v_j and v_{n-1} , and by parallel edges joining the other vertices in pairs. \Box

We need to specify the proof because such a method can ensure that each 1-factor f_i other than $f_{n/2-1}$ contains an edge v_kv_{k+1} for some $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-3\}$; it cannot be ensured if we label the $n-1$ outside vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ and the center v_0 .

Consider K_n with vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$. We define the *difference d* of an edge $v_i v_j \in E(K_n)$ as the value $\min\{|i - j|, n - |i - j|\}$. Then the edge set $E(K_n)$ can be expressed as $\{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{n}} | i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \text{ and } d = 1\}$ 1, 2,..., $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ } \cup { $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{n}}$ | $i = 0, 1, ..., \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $d = \frac{n}{2}$ } when *n* is even, or { $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{n}}$ | $i = 0, 1, ..., n -$ 1 and $d = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$ when *n* is odd. Furthermore, if V_r and V_s are any two disjoint subsets of the vertex set of a graph *G*, then we denote the induced bipartite subgraph with partite sets V_r and V_s in *G* by a pair (V_r, V_s) (=(V_s, V_r)).

Now, we are ready to show our main results.

Proposition 3.2. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 0, 4, 8 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Let $m=\frac{n}{2}$. First, we partition the vertex set of K_n into m pairwise disjoint subsets $V_i = \{x_i, y_i\}$, $i=0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Then K_n can be expressed as a union of the 1-factor induced by the perfect matching $M_0 = \{x_i y_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and the spanning subgraph $K_n - M_0$, denoted by *H*, which is an edge-disjoint union of pairs (V_r, V_s) for all $0 \le r \ne s \le m-1$.

Next, for each V_i of *H*, we identify x_i with y_i and denote such a vertex by v_i . Then we obtain a complete graph H with vertex set $V(H) = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}\}\$ and edge set $E(H) = \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $d =$ 1, 2, ..., $\frac{m}{2} - 1$ \cup $\{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1 \text{ and } d = \frac{m}{2}\}\$. Each edge $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}}$ of \tilde{H} corresponds to a pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, and vice versa.

By $|V(\tilde{H})| = m$, *m* is even and Theorem 3.1, \tilde{H} can be decomposed into $m-1$ pairwise edge-disjoint 1-factors. Since each 1-factor of *H* corresponds to a K_{2,2}-factor of *H*, we also have that *H* can be decomposed into *m* − 1 pairwise edgedisjoint $K_{2,2}$ -factors. Hence, if we take away an edge $x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m}}$ from each pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m}})$ of *H*, then each $K_{2,2}$ -factor of *H* produces a linear 3-forest in *H* (or K_n). Therefore, we can obtain $m-1$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests in *H* (or K_n) from the $m-1$ pairwise edge-disjoint $K_{2,2}$ -factors of *H*. Moreover, those edges we took away from *H* can be partitioned into $\frac{m}{2} - 1$ pairwise disjoint perfect matchings $M_d = \{x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$, $d = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1$, and one matching $M_{m/2} = \{x_i y_{i+m/2 \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1\}.$

Now, let $G(X, Y)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets $X = \{x_i | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ and $Y = \{y_i | i = 0, ..., m-1\}$ 0, 1, ..., m – 1}. Then M_0 , M_1 , M_2 , ..., $M_{m/2-1}$ are exactly the sets of edges of bipartite differences 0, 1, 2, ..., $\frac{m}{2}-1$ in $G(X, Y)$, respectively, and $M_{m/2}$ consists of a half of the edges of bipartite difference $\frac{m}{2}$ in $G(X, Y)$. Hence, by $|X|=|Y|=m$, *m* is even and Lemma 2.1, the edges of $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{m/2-1}$ can form

$$
\left\lceil \left(\frac{m/2-1}{3}\right)\cdot 2\right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{m-2}{3}\right\rceil
$$

pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n). Besides, the edges of M_0 and $M_{m/2}$ also can form one linear 3-forest in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n).

Accordingly, $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq (m-1) + \lceil \frac{m-2}{3} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ by $m = \frac{n}{2}$ and $n \equiv 0, 4, 8 \pmod{12}$. \Box

Proposition 3.3. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 2, 6, 10 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Let $m = \frac{n-2}{2}$. First, we partition the vertex set of K_n into $m + 2$ pairwise disjoint subsets $V_i = \{x_i, y_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m - \overline{1}, V_m = \{v_m\}$ and $V_{m+1} = \{v_{m+1}\}\$. Then K_n can be expressed as a union of the subgraph induced by the matching $M_0 = \{x_i y_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and the spanning subgraph $K_n - M_0$, denoted by *H*, which is an edge-disjoint union of pairs (V_r, V_s) for all $0 \le r \neq s \le m + 1$.

Next, for each V_i other than V_m and V_{m+1} of *H*, we identify x_i with y_i and denote such a vertex by v_i . Then we obtain a complete graph \tilde{H} with vertex set $V(\tilde{H}) = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m+1}\}\$ and edge set $E(\tilde{H}) = \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+2}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m+1\}$ and $d = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m+2}{2} - 1 \} \cup \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+2}} \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m+2}{2} - 1 \text{ and } d = \frac{m+2}{2} \}$. Each edge $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+2}}$ of \tilde{H} corresponds to a pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m+2}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{1,1}$, and vice versa.

By $|V(\hat{H})| = m + 2$, $m + 2$ is even and Theorem 3.1, \hat{H} can be decomposed into $m + 1$ pairwise edge-disjoint 1-factors. Since each 1-factor of *H* corresponds to a spanning subgraph of *H* which satisfies that every component is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{1,1}$, we also have that *H* can be decomposed into $m + 1$ pairwise edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_m such that in each of which every component is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{1,1}$. Hence, if we take away an edge $x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m+2}}$ from each pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m+2}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, then each of H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_m produces a linear 3-forest in *H* (or K_n). Therefore, we can obtain $m + 1$ pairwise edgedisjoint linear 3-forests in *H* (or K_n) from H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_m . Moreover, those edges we took away from *H* can be partitioned into $\frac{m}{2}$ pairwise disjoint matchings $M_d = \{x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$, $d = 1, 2, ..., \frac{m}{2} - 1$, and $M_{m/2} = \{x_i y_{i+m/2 \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1\}.$

Now, let $G(X, Y)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets $X = \{x_i | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ and $Y = \{y_i | i = 0, ..., m-1\}$ 0, 1, ..., m – 1}. Then M_0 , M_1 , M_2 , ..., $M_{m/2-1}$ are exactly the sets of edges of bipartite differences 0, 1, 2, ..., $\frac{m}{2}-1$ in $G(X, Y)$, respectively, and $M_{m/2}$ consists of a half of the edges of bipartite difference $\frac{m}{2}$ in $G(X, Y)$. Hence, by $|X| = |Y| = m$, *m* is even and Lemma 2.1, the edges of $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_{m/2-1}$ can form

$$
\left\lceil \left(\frac{m/2-1}{3}\right)\cdot 2\right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{m-2}{3}\right\rceil
$$

pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n). Besides, the edges of M_0 and $M_{m/2}$ also can form one linear 3-forest in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n).

Accordingly, $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq (m + 1) + \lceil \frac{m-2}{3} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ by $m = \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $n \equiv 2, 6, 10 \pmod{12}$. \Box

Proposition 3.4. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Let $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$. First, we partition the vertex set of K_n into m pairwise disjoint subsets $V_i = \{x_i, y_i\}, i =$ 0, 1,...,m – 1, and one subset $\{u\}$. Then K_n can be expressed as a union of the star induced by the edge subset $U = \{ux_i, uy_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, the subgraph induced by the matching $M_0 = \{x_i y_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and the subgraph $(K_n - u) - M_0$, denoted by *H*, which is an edge-disjoint union of pairs (V_r, V_s) for all $0 \le r \ne s \le m - 1$.

Next, for each V_i of *H*, we identify x_i with y_i and denote such a vertex by v_i . Then we obtain a complete graph H with vertex set $V(\tilde{H}) = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}\}\$ and edge set $E(\tilde{H}) = \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1 \text{ and } d = 1\}$ 1, 2, ..., $\frac{m}{2} - 1$ \cup $\{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2} - 1 \text{ and } d = \frac{m}{2}\}\$. Each edge $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m}}$ of \tilde{H} corresponds to a pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, and vice versa.

By $|V(H)| = m$, *m* is even and the proof of Theorem 3.1, H can be decomposed into $m - 1$ pairwise edgedisjoint 1-factors $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-2}$ such that each 1-factor f_j other than $f_{m/2-1}$ contains an edge v_kv_{k+1} for some $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-3\}$. Since each 1-factor of \hat{H} corresponds to a $K_{2,2}$ -factor of H , we also have that H can be decomposed into $m-1$ pairwise edge-disjoint $K_{2,2}$ -factors $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-2}$ such that each $K_{2,2}$ -factor H_i other than $H_{m/2-1}$ contains a pair (V_k, V_{k+1}) for some $k \in \{0, 1, ..., m-3\}.$

Hence, if we take away an edge $x_iy_{i+d \pmod{m}}$ from each pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m}})$ of *H*, then each $K_{2,2}$ -factor of *H* produces a linear 3-forest in *H* (or K_n). Therefore, from $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-2}$, we can obtain $m-1$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests $L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_{m-2}$ in *H* (or K_n) such that each linear 3-forest L_j other than $L_{m/2-1}$ contains a 3-path $x_k - x_{k+1} - y_k - y_{k+1}$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m-3\}$. Moreover, those edges we took away from *H* can be partitioned into $\frac{m}{2} - 1$ pairwise disjoint perfect matchings $M_d = \{x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}, d = 1, 2, ..., \frac{m}{2} - 1$, and one matching $M_{m/2} = \{x_i y_{i+m/2 \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, ..., \frac{m}{2} - 1\}.$

Now, for each L_j other than $L_{m/2-1}$, we replace the 3-path $x_k - x_{k+1} - y_k - y_{k+1}$ inside L_j by another 3-path $y_k - u - x_k - y_{k+1}$ induced by $\{ux_k, uy_k, x_ky_{k+1}\} \subseteq U \cup M_1$. Then, let those replaced 3-paths $x_k - x_{k+1} - y_k - y_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \{0, 2, ..., m-4\}$ and the 3-path $y_{m-2} - u - x_{m-2} - y_{m-1}$ induced by $\{ux_{m-2}, uy_{m-2}, x_{m-2}y_{m-1}\} \subseteq U \cup M_1$ form one linear 3-forest T_1 in K_n ; also let those replaced 3-paths $x_k - x_{k+1} - y_k - y_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \{1, 3, ..., m-3\}$ and the 3-path $y_{m-1} - u - x_{m-1} - y_0$ induced by $\{ux_{m-1}, uy_{m-1}, x_{m-1}y_0\} \subseteq U \cup M_1$ form another linear 3forest T_2 in K_n . It is worthy of noting that all edges of *U* and M_1 are being used to form linear 3-forests T_1 and T_2 in K_n .

Furthermore, let $G(X, Y)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets $X = \{x_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $Y = \{y_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ 0, 1, ..., m – 1}. Then M_0 , M_2 , M_3 , ..., $M_{m/2-1}$ are exactly the sets of edges of bipartite differences 0, 2, 3, ..., $\frac{m}{2}-1$ in $G(X, Y)$, respectively, and $M_{m/2}$ consists of a half of the edges of bipartite difference $\frac{m}{2}$ in $G(X, Y)$. Hence, by $|X| = |Y| = m$, *m* is even and Lemma 2.1, the edges of $M_2, M_3, \ldots, M_{m/2-1}$ can form

$$
\left\lceil \left(\frac{m/2-2}{3}\right) \cdot 2 \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{m-4}{3} \right\rceil
$$

pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n). Besides, the edges of M_0 and $M_{m/2}$ also can form one linear 3-forest in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n).

Accordingly, $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq (m-1) + 2 + \lceil \frac{m-4}{3} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ by $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $n \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{12}$. \Box

Proposition 3.5. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Since K_n is a subgraph of K_{n+1} , $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \text{Im}_3(K_{n+1}) \leq \lceil \frac{2(n+1)-2}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ by Proposition 3.2 and $n \equiv$ $3, 7 \pmod{12}$. \Box

Proposition 3.6. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Since K_n is a subgraph of K_{n+1} , $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \text{Im}_3(K_{n+1}) \leq \lceil \frac{2(n+1)}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n+2}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$ by Proposition 3.3 and $n \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$.

Proposition 3.7. la₃(K_n) ≤ $\lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ when $n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$.

Proof. Let $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$. First, we partition the vertex set of K_n into $m + 1$ pairwise disjoint subsets $V_i = \{x_i, y_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1$, and $V_m = \{v_m\}$. Then K_n can be expressed as a union of the subgraph induced by the matching $M_0 = \{x_i, y_i \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$ and the spanning subgraph $K_n - M_0$, denoted by *H*, which is an edge-disjoint union of pairs (V_r, V_s) for all $0 \le r \neq s \le m$.

Next, for each V_i other than V_m of *H*, we identify x_i with y_i and denote such a vertex by v_i . Then we obtain a complete graph \tilde{H} with vertex set $V(\tilde{H}) = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ and edge set $E(\tilde{H}) = \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+1}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ and $d = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m+1}{2} - 1 \} \cup \{v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+1}} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{m+1}{2} - 1 \text{ and } d = \frac{m+1}{2} \}$. Each edge $v_i v_{i+d \pmod{m+1}}$ of \hat{H} corresponds to a pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m+1}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$ or $K_{1,2}$, and vice versa.

By $|V(H)| = m+1$, $m+1$ is even and the proof of Theorem 3.1, H can be decomposed into m pairwise edge-disjoint 1-factors $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ such that each 1-factor f_j contains the edge $v_j v_m$. Since each 1-factor of \tilde{H} corresponds to a spanning subgraph of *H* which satisfies that every component is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$ or $K_{1,2}$, we also have that *H* can be decomposed into *m* pairwise edge-disjoint spanning subgraphs $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-1}$ such that in each of which every component is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$ or $K_{1,2}$, and each spanning subgraph H_j contains the pair (V_j, V_m) .

Hence, if we take away an edge $x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m+1}}$ from each pair $(V_i, V_{i+d \pmod{m+1}})$ of *H* which is isomorphic to $K_{2,2}$, then each of $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-1}$ produces a linear 3-forest in H (or K_n). Therefore, from $H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-1}$, we can obtain *m* pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests $L_0, L_1, \ldots, L_{m-1}$ in *H* (or K_n) such that each linear 3-forest L_j contains the 2-path $x_j - v_m - y_j$. Moreover, those edges we took away from *H* can be partitioned into $\frac{m-1}{2}$ pairwise disjoint matchings $M_d = \{x_i y_{i+d \pmod{m}} | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}, d = 1, 2, ..., \frac{m-1}{2}.$

Now, let $G(X, Y)$ be a complete bipartite graph with partite sets $X = \{x_i | i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ and $Y = \{y_i | i = 0, ..., m-1\}$ 0, 1, ..., m − 1}. Then $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{(m-1)/2}$ are exactly the sets of edges of bipartite differences 0, 1, ..., $\frac{m-1}{2}$ in $G(X, Y)$, respectively. Moreover, let $a_1 = m - 1$ and $a_t = (m - 2) - 2(t - 2)$ for all $t \in \{2, 3, ..., \frac{m+1}{6}\}$; also let $b_t = a_t + 3(t-1) + 1 \pmod{m}$, $t = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m+1}{6}$, then $e_t = x_{a_t} y_{b_t} \in M_{3(t-1)+1}$ is an edge of bipartite difference $3(t-1)+1$ in $G(X, Y)$. Hence, by $|X| = |Y| = m$, *m* is odd and Lemma 2.2, the edges other than e_t of $M_{3(t-1)}$, $M_{3(t-1)+1}$ and $M_{3(t-1)+2}$ can form two edge-disjoint linear 3-forests ℓ_{2t-1} and ℓ_{2t} in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n), for each $t \in \{1, 2, ..., \frac{m+1}{6}\}$. Therefore, the edges other than $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{(m+1)/6}$ of $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{(m-1)/2}$ can form $\left(\frac{m+1}{6}\right) \cdot 2 = \frac{m+1}{3}$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_{(m+1)/3-1}, \ell_{(m+1)/3}$ in $G(X, Y)$ (or K_n).

In the following, for each $t \in \{1, 2, ..., \frac{m+1}{6}\}$, we will replace two edges e'_t and e''_t of ℓ_1 by e_t , then the replaced edges e'_t and e''_t will be moved into linear 3-forests L'_t and L''_t , respectively; moreover, we will also move edges w'_t and w''_t of L'_t and L''_t into another linear 3-forests ℓ'_t and ℓ''_t , respectively. The above processes of replacing and moving edges from some linear 3-forests will finally let K_n be decomposed into $m + \frac{m+1}{3}$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear 3-forests. Note that ℓ_1 is consisting of 3-paths $y_i - x_i - y_{i+1} - x_{i+1}$, $i = 0, 2, ..., m-3$, and one edge $x_{m-1}y_{m-1}$.

If $t = 1$, then we first replace two edges $x_{a_1} y_{a_1}$ and $x_{b_1+1} y_{b_1+1}$ of ℓ_1 by $e_1 = x_{a_1} y_{b_1}$ such that the 3-path $y_{b_1} - x_{b_1}$ – $y_{b_1+1} - x_{b_1+1}$ in ℓ_1 is replaced by another 3-path $x_{a_1} - y_{b_1} - x_{b_1} - y_{b_1+1}$. Next, we move the replaced edge $x_{a_1}y_{a_1}$ into L_{a_1} and move the edge $v_m y_{a_1}$ of L_{a_1} into ℓ_1 such that the 2-path $x_{a_1} - v_m - y_{a_1}$ in L_{a_1} is replaced by another 2-path $v_m - x_{a_1} - y_{a_1}$, and ℓ_1 contains the isolated edge $v_m y_{a_1}$. Also, we move the replaced edge $x_{b_1+1}y_{b_1+1}$ into L_{b_1+1} and move the edge $v_m y_{b_1+1}$ of L_{b_1+1} into ℓ_2 such that the 2-path $x_{b_1+1} - v_m - y_{b_1+1}$ in L_{b_1+1} is replaced by another 2-path $v_m - x_{b_1+1} - y_{b_1+1}$, and ℓ_2 contains the 2-path $x_{a_1} - y_{b_1+1} - v_m$. It is not difficult to see that, after we replaced and moved some edges, all modified linear 3-forests still satisfy that each component is a path of length at most 3.

Similarly, if $t \ge 2$ and t is even, then we first replace two edges $x_{a_t} y_{a_t}$ and $x_{b_t+1} y_{b_t+1}$ of ℓ_1 by $e_t = x_{a_t} y_{b_t}$. Next, we move x_{a_t} y_{at} into L_{a_t} and move the edge $v_m x_{a_t}$ of L_{a_t} into ℓ_{2t-1} ; also move $x_{b_t+1}y_{b_t+1}$ into L_{b_t+1} and move the edge $v_m y_{b_t+1}$ of L_{b_t+1} into ℓ_{2t} . Otherwise, if $t \ge 2$ and t is odd, then we first replace two edges $x_{b_t-1}y_{b_t-1}$ and $x_{a_t}y_{a_t}$ of ℓ_1 by $e_t = x_{a_t} y_{b_t}$. Next, we move $x_{b_t-1} y_{b_t-1}$ into L_{b_t-1} and move the edge $v_m y_{b_t-1}$ of L_{b_t-1} into ℓ_{2t-1} ; also move $x_{a_t} y_{a_t}$ into L_{a_t} and move the edge $v_m x_{a_t}$ of L_{a_t} into ℓ_{2t} .

Accordingly, $\text{lag}(K_n) \le m + \frac{m+1}{3} = \lceil \frac{2n-1}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ by $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$.

From the propositions obtained above, we conclude this paper by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8.

$$
\text{la}_3(K_n) = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n-2}{3} \\ \frac{2n}{3} \end{bmatrix} & \text{when } n \equiv 0, 4, 8, 11 \text{ (mod 12) and} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n}{3} \end{bmatrix} & \text{when } n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 \text{ (mod 12).} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ or $\text{la}_3(K_n) \leq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$, following the value of n (mod 12), via Propositions 3.2–3.7, while $\text{la}_3(K_n) \geq \lceil \frac{2n-2}{3} \rceil$ or $\text{la}_3(K_n) \geq \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil$, following the value of n (mod 12), by Proposition 1.2. This concludes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for many helpful comments which led to a better version of this paper.

References

- [1] R.E.L. Aldred, N.C. Wormald, More on the linear *k*-arboricity of regular graphs, Austral. J. Combin. 18 (1998) 97–104.
- [2] N. Alon, V.J. Teague, N.C. Wormald, Linear arboricity and linear *k*-arboricity of regular graphs, Graphs Combin. 17 (2001) 11–16.
- [3] J.C. Bermond, J.L. Fouquet, M. Habib, B. Peroche, On linear *k*-arboricity, Discrete Math. 52 (1984) 123–132.
- [4] G.J. Chang, Algorithmic aspects of linear *k*-arboricity, Taiwanese J. Math. 3 (1999) 73–81.
- [5] G.J. Chang, B.-L. Chen, H.-L. Fu, K.-C. Huang, Linear *k*-arboricities on trees, Discrete Appl. Math. 103 (2000) 281–287.
- [6] B.-L. Chen, H.-L. Fu, K.-C. Huang, Decomposing graphs into forests of paths with size less than three, Austral. J. Combin. 3 (1991) 55–73.
- [7] B.-L. Chen, K.-C. Huang, On the linear *k*-arboricity of K_n and $K_{n,n}$, Discrete Math. 254 (2002) 51–61.
- [8] H.-L. Fu, K.-C. Huang, The linear 2-arboricity of complete bipartite graphs, Ars Combin. 38 (1994) 309–318.
- [9] M. Habib, B. Peroche, Some problems about linear arboricity, Discrete Math. 41 (1982) 219–220.
- [10] M. Habib, B. Peroche, La *k*-arboricité linéaire des arbres, Ann. Discrete Math. 17 (1983) 307–317.
- [11] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [12] B. Jackson, N.C. Wormald, On the linear *k*-arboricity of cubic graphs, Discrete Math. 162 (1996) 293–297.
- [13] K.-W. Lih, L.-D. Tong, W.-F. Wang, The linear 2-arboricity of planar graphs, Graphs Combin. 19 (2003) 241–248.
- [14] C. Thomassen, Two-coloring the edges of a cubic graph such that each monochromatic component is a path of length at most 5, J. Combin. Theory, Ser B. 75 (1999) 100–109.
- [15] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, second ed., Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2001.
- [16] C.-H. Yen, H.-L. Fu, Linear 2-arboricity of the complete graph, Taiwanese J. Math., to appear.