

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 3706-3710

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Note

# Maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in $D_n$

# Liqun Pu<sup>a</sup>, Hung-Lin Fu<sup>b</sup>, Hao Shen<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China <sup>b</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin Chu 30050, Taiwan <sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Received 4 December 2006; received in revised form 21 June 2007; accepted 5 July 2007 Available online 17 August 2007

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove that there exists a maximal set of *m* directed Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$  if and only if  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \le m \le n - 1$  for  $n \ge 7$ .

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Directed Hamilton cycles; Complete directed graph; Maximal sets

# 1. Introduction

A Hamilton cycle in a graph T is a spanning cycle of T. If S is a set of edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in T and if E(S) is the set of edges occurring in the Hamilton cycles in S, then S is said to be maximal if T - E(S) has no Hamilton cycle.

In paper [5], Hoffman et al. showed that there exists a maximal set *S* of *m* edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in the complete graph  $K_n$  if and only if  $\lfloor (n + 3)/4 \rfloor \leq m \leq \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor$ . In paper [1], Bryant et al. showed that there exists a maximal set *S* of *m* edge-disjoint Hamilton cycles in the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  if and only if  $n/4 < m \leq n/2$ . Later, Daven et al. [2] showed for  $n \geq 3$  and  $p \geq 3$ , there exists a maximal set *S* of *m* Hamilton cycles in the complete multipartite graph  $K_n^p$  (*p* parts of size *n*) if and only if  $\lceil n(p-1)/4 \rceil \leq m \leq \lfloor n(p-1)/2 \rfloor$ , and m > n(p-1)/4 if *n* is odd and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , except possibly if *n* is odd and  $m \leq ((n + 1)(p - 1) - 2)/4$ . Recently, Fu et al. [3] extended the results in [2] and proved that if  $\lceil (p-1)/2 \rceil \leq m \leq p - 1$ , then there exists a maximal set *S* of *m* Hamilton cycles in  $K_{2p} - F$ , where *F* is a 1-factor of  $K_{2p}$ .

In this paper, we will extend these results to directed graphs and study maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in the complete directed graph  $D_n$ .

Throughout this paper, we will use the following notation and terminology. A digraph *D* is said to be *r*-regular if  $\deg^+(v) = \deg^-(v) = r$  for each vertex *v* in *D*. Let  $D_n$  be a complete directed graph of order *n* (without loops); it is clear that  $D_n$  is (n - 1)-regular. For disjoint sets *A* and *B*, define the directed complete bipartite graph  $D_{A,B}$  as the

0012-365X/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.07.041

E-mail addresses: liqunpu@yahoo.com.cn, liqunpu@sina.com (L. Pu), hlfu@math.nctu.edu.tw (H. Fu), haoshen@sjtu.edu.cn (H. Shen).

graph with vertices  $V(D_{A,B}) = A \cup B$  and  $E(D_{A,B}) = (A \times B) \cup (B \times A)$ . Note that  $|E(D_{A,B})| = 2mn$ . If |A| = m and |B| = n, then we often denote  $D_{A,B}$  as  $D_{m,n}$ . Obviously,  $D_{m+n} = D_m + D_{m,n} + D_n$ .

Let  $V(D_{m,n})$  be the vertex set of  $D_{m,n}$  and  $|V(D_{m,n})|$  be the number of vertices in  $V(D_{m,n})$ . Let  $E(D_{m,n})$  be the edge set of  $D_{m,n}$  and  $|E(D_{m,n})|$  be the number of edges in  $E(D_{m,n})$ .

Let  $A_i$  be an ordered *i*-set defined on  $\mathbb{Z}_t$  which can be expressed as slanted parentheses i.e.,  $A_i = (a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_{i-1}, a_i)$ . Let  $A_i^*$  denote a path obtained from  $A_i$ ,  $A_i^* = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_{i-1}, a_i \rangle$ . Furthermore, for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_t$ , let  $A_i + j = (a_1 + j, a_2 + j, a_3 + j, ..., a_{i-1} + j, a_i + j)$  and  $A_i^* + j = \langle a_1 + j, a_2 + j, a_3 + j, ..., a_{i-1} + j, a_i + j \rangle$ . Note that all the entries in  $A_i + j$  and  $A_i^* + j$  are done modulo *t*.

#### 2. Maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in $D_n$

Before proving the main result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** For an integer  $n, n \ge 7$ ,  $D_n$  has a Hamilton decomposition.

**Proof.**  $D_n$  can be regarded as two  $K_n$  by orienting properly. When *n* is odd,  $K_n$  has a Hamilton decomposition [7]. When *n* is even, Tillson [8] gives the proof.  $\Box$ 

The following lemma plays an important part to prove our main theorem.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let *D* be an *r*-regular directed graph on *n* vertices. If  $r \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , then *D* contains a directed Hamilton cycle.

In fact, this result is a special case of the following theorem which is obtained by Ghouila-Houri [4].

**Theorem 2.1** (*Ghouila-Houri* [4]). Let  $\delta^+(D)$  and  $\delta^-(D)$  denote the minimum outdegree and minimum indegree in *D*, respectively. If *D* is a strict digraph (without cycles of length 2), and min $\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\} \ge |V(D)|/2$ , then *D* is Hamiltonian.

On the other hand, if D is regular strict digraph, then the condition for D to be Hamiltonian can be weaken slightly. The following result was obtained by Jackson.

**Lemma 2.3** (*Jackson* [6]). Every strict digraph of minimum in-degree and out-degree  $k \ge 2$ , on at most 2k + 2 vertices, is Hamiltonian.

Now, we are ready to prove our main result. In order to construct maximal sets of Hamilton cycles. By Lemma 2.3, we conclude that the minimum number *m* in a maximal set of *m* directed Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$  is  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Therefore, it suffices to construct a maximal set of *m* directed Hamilton cycles for each  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \le m \le n - 1$  for  $n \ge 7$ . In order to do that, the following lemma is essential. Mainly, we make an arrangement of directed differences in order that we can construct maximal sets of Hamilton cycles systematically.

**Lemma 2.4.** For positive integers l and m where  $m \ge 5$  and  $1 \le l \le m - 1$ , there exists an ordered set  $A_{m-l} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-l}) \subseteq Z_m$  such that  $S = \{(a_{l+1} - a_l) \pmod{m} | l = 1, 2, \ldots, m - l - 1\}$  is an (m - l - 1)-subset of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, m - 1\}$  (all directed differences are distinct).

**Proof.** We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: When *m* is even.

When l = 1, we delete the last element from the following ordered set (0, 1, m - 1, 2, m - 2, 3, m - 3, ..., m/2 - 2, m/2 + 2, m/2 - 1, m/2 + 1, m/2) to get  $A_{m-1}$ , i.e.,

$$A_{m-1} = \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - 2, \frac{m}{2} + 2, \frac{m}{2} - 1, \frac{m}{2} + 1\right).$$



When l = 2, we delete the last element in  $A_{m-1}$  and get

$$A_{m-2} = \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - 2, \frac{m}{2} + 2, \frac{m}{2} - 1\right).$$

We give examples in Fig. 1(i) (m = 6, l = 1) and Fig. 1(ii) (m = 8, l = 1).

Note that in Fig. 1(i),  $A_5 = (0, 1, 5, 2, 4)$ , in which 3 is deleted and in Fig. 1(ii),  $A_7 = (0, 1, 7, 2, 6, 3, 5)$ , in which 4 is deleted.

We generalize the above method and get

$$A_{m-l} = \begin{cases} \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, 4, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - \left(\frac{l}{2} + 2\right), \frac{m}{2} \\ + \left(\frac{l}{2} + 2\right), \frac{m}{2} - \left(\frac{l}{2} + 1\right), \frac{m}{2} + \left(\frac{l}{2} + 1\right), \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2} \right) & \text{if } l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \text{ and} \\ \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, 4, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - \left(\frac{l+1}{2} + 2\right), \frac{m}{2} \\ + \left(\frac{l+1}{2} + 2\right), \frac{m}{2} - \left(\frac{l+1}{2} + 1\right), \frac{m}{2} + \left(\frac{l+1}{2} + 1\right), \frac{m}{2} \\ - \frac{l+1}{2}, \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l+1}{2} \right) & \text{if } l \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: When *m* is odd.

When l = 1, we can get  $A_{m-1}$  from  $Z_m \setminus \{ \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil \}$ .

 $A_{m-1} = (0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, ..., \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil - 1, m - \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil + 1, \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil + 1, m - \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil, \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil + 2, m - \lceil (m+1)/4 \rceil - 1, ..., (m+1)/2 + 2, (m+1)/2 - 1, (m+1)/2 + 1, (m+1)/2).$ Similarly, we can get  $A_{m-2}$  by deleting the last element in  $A_{m-1}$ .

We give examples in Fig. 2(i) (m = 7, l = 1) and Fig. 2(ii) (m = 9, l = 1).

Note that in Fig. 2(i),  $A_6 = (0, 1, 6, 3, 5, 4)$ , in which 2 is deleted and in Fig. 2(ii),  $A_8 = (0, 1, 8, 2, 7, 4, 6, 5)$ , in which 3 is deleted.

We generalize the above method and get

Thus, we have

$$A_{m-l} = \begin{cases} \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, 4, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil - 1, m + 1 - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil + 1, m - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil + 2, m - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil - 1, \dots, \frac{m+1}{2} - \left(\left\lceil \frac{l}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right), \frac{m+1}{2} + \left(\left\lceil \frac{l}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right), \frac{m+1}{2} - \left\lceil \frac{l}{2} \right\rceil, \frac{m+1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{l}{2} \right\rceil \right) & \text{if } l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \text{ and} \\ \left\{ \left(0, 1, m-1, 2, m-2, 3, m-3, 4, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil - 1, m+1 - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil + 1, m - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil + 2, m - \left\lceil \frac{m+1}{4} \right\rceil - 1, \dots, \frac{m+1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{l+1}{2} \right\rceil \\ + 1, \frac{m+1}{2} - \left(\left\lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right), \frac{m+1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{l+1}{2} \right\rceil \\ + \left\lceil \frac{l+1}{2} \right\rceil, \frac{m+1}{2} - \left\lceil \frac{l-1}{2} \right\rceil \right) & \text{if } l \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \\ \Box$$

With the above preparations, we are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** For two positive integers  $m, n, n \ge 7$ , there exist maximal sets of m Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$  if and only if  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \le m \le n-1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $m < \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , and let *S* be a set of *m* edge-disjoint directed Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$ . Let G(S) be the graph consisting of the union of the *m* Hamilton cycles in *S*. Then  $G(S)^c$  is an *r*-regular directed graph with  $r = n - m - 1 \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil$  except the case *n* is odd, m = (n - 1)/2. So by Lemma 2.2,  $G(S)^c$  contains a Hamilton cycle and *S* is not a maximal set. When *n* is odd, m = (n - 1)/2,  $G(S)^c$  is an (n - 1)/2-regular directed graph of order *n*, by Lemma 2.3,  $G(S)^c$  has at least one Hamilton cycle. Thus  $m \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

Now we shall show when  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \leqslant m \leqslant n-2$ , we can construct maximal sets of *m* Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$ .

When integer l > 0, let l = n - m - 1. Otherwise let integer l = 0. By Lemma 2.4, we can choose ordered set  $A_{m-l}$  and  $b_i$   $(1 \le i \le l)$  from  $Z_m$  and thus  $Z_m$  can be partitioned into  $A_{m-l}$  and everything else not in  $A_{m-l}$ . That is,  $Z_m = A_{m-l} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l\}$  where  $A_{m-l} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-l})$  and  $A_{m-l} \cap \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l\} = \emptyset$ .

Since  $D_n = D_{n-m} + D_{m,n-m} + D_m$ , let  $V(D_{m,n-m}) = \{i_0 | i \in Z_{n-m}\} \cup \{i | i \in Z_m\}$ . Further,  $\{i | i \in Z_m\}$  can be partitioned as  $A_{m-l} \cup \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l\}$ . Now, we obtain a set *S* of directed Hamilton cycles with  $S = \{(0_0, (b_1 + j), 1_0, (b_2 + j), \dots, (n - m - 2)_0, (b_{n-m-1} + j), (n - m - 1)_0, (A_{m-l}^* + j)) | j \in Z_m\}$  from  $D_m + D_{m,n-m}$ . Note that the entries of  $\{b_t + j | 1 \leq t \leq n - m - 1\}$  and  $A_{m-l}^* + j$  are done modulo *m*. Obviously,  $E(D_{m,n-m}) \subseteq E(S)$  and  $D_n - E(S)$  is disconnected. Thus *S* is a maximal set of Hamilton cycles in  $D_n$  when  $\lceil n/2 \rceil \leq m \leq n - 2$ .

As for m = n - 1,  $D_n$  can be decomposed into directed Hamilton cycles by Lemma 2.1. Thus, we conclude the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Example 1.** When  $n = 8, 4 \le m \le 7$ , we give a construction of maximal sets of Hamilton cycles for  $m \in \{4, 5, 6\}$  and the case m = 7 can be settled by applying Lemma 2.1:

- m = 4, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, 1_0, 1 + j, 2_0, 2 + j, 3_0, 3 + j) | j \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$ .
- m = 5, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, j+1, j+4, 1_0, 2+j, 2_0, 3+j) | j \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}$ .
- m = 6, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, j+1, j+5, j+2, j+4, 1_0, 3+j) | j \in \mathbb{Z}_6\}$ .

**Example 2.** When  $n = 9, 5 \le m \le 8$ , we give a construction of maximal sets of Hamilton cycles for  $m \in \{5, 6, 7\}$  and the case m = 8 can be settled by applying Lemma 2.1:

m = 5, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, j + 1, 1_0, j + 4, 2_0, 3 + j, 3_0, 2 + j) | j \in Z_5\}$ . m = 6, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, j + 1, j + 5, j + 2, 1_0, 3 + j, 2_0, 4 + j) | j \in Z_6\}$ . m = 7, the set is  $\{(0_0, j, j + 1, j + 6, j + 3, j + 5, j + 4, 1_0, 2 + j) | j \in Z_7\}$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, and in particular, one of the referees for his/her effort to provide assistance in rewriting the paper.

#### References

- [1] D.E. Bryant, S. El-Zanati, C.A. Rodger, Maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in  $K_{n,n}$ , J. Graph Theory 33 (2000) 25–31.
- [2] M. Daven, J.A. MacDougall, C.A. Rodger, Maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in complete multipartite graphs, J. Graph Theory 43 (1) (2003) 49–66.
- [3] H.L. Fu, S.L. Logan, C.A. Rodger, Maximal sets of Hamilton cycles in  $K_{2p} F$ , Discrete Math., to appear.
- [4] A. Ghouila-Houri, Une condition suffisante d'existence d'un circuit Hamiltonien, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 251 (1960) 495-497.
- [5] D.G. Hoffman, C.A. Rodger, A. Rosa, Maximal sets of 2-factors and Hamilton cycles, J. Combin. Theory (B) 57 (1993) 69-76.
- [6] B. Jackson, Long paths and cycles in oriented graphs, J. Graph Theory 5 (1981) 145-157.
- [7] E. Lucas, Recreations Mathématiques, vol. 2, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1892.
- [8] T.W. Tillson, A Hamiltonian decomposition of  $K_{2m}^*$ ,  $2m \ge 8$ , J. Combin. Theory (B) 29 (1980) 68–74.