行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫 期中進度報告

具應力奇異點之 Mindlin 板振動問題探討(2/3)

<u>計畫類別</u>: 個別型計畫 <u>計畫編號</u>: NSC92-2211-E-009-043-<u>執行期間</u>: 92 年 08 月 01 日至 93 年 07 月 31 日 執行單位: 國立交通大學土木工程學系

計畫主持人: 黃炯憲

計畫參與人員:張明儒,洪彥斌

報告類型:精簡報告

處理方式:本計畫可公開查詢

中 華 民 國 93 年 5 月 26 日

行政院國家科學委員會補助專題研究計畫 成果報告 期中進度報告

具應力奇異點之 Mindlin 板振動問題探討(2/3) Investigation in Vibrations of Mindlin Plates with Stress Singularities(2/3)

計畫類別: 個別型計畫 整合型計畫 計畫編號:NSC 92 - 2211 - E - 009 - 043 -執行期間: 92 年 8 月 1 日至 94 年 7 月 31日

計畫主持人:黃炯憲

共同主持人:

計畫參與人員: 張明儒、洪彥斌

成果報告類型(依經費核定清單規定繳交): 精簡報告 完整報告

本成果報告包括以下應繳交之附件:

赴國外出差或研習心得報告一份

赴大陸地區出差或研習心得報告一份

出席國際學術會議心得報告及發表之論文各一份

國際合作研究計畫國外研究報告書一份

處理方式:除產學合作研究計畫、提升產業技術及人才培育研究計畫、 列管計畫及下列情形者外,得立即公開查詢

涉及專利或其他智慧財產權, 一年 二年後可公開查詢

- 執行單位:國立交通大學土木工程系
- 中華民國 93 年 5 月 17 日

1

中文摘要

板是工程設計上(土木工程、機械工程、航空工程 ...等)之主要構件之一。Mindlin 板 理論亦經常被使用於板相關問題分析上。由於外力點荷重、點彎矩及邊界之不連續性與尖 角之存在,應力奇異點常發生於板相關問題。該奇異點須準確地處理,方能使得相關之數 值分析解得到準確的答案。但依文獻回顧,目前對 Mindlin 板理論,由於邊界不連續或尖 角之存在而引致之應力奇異階數,並未有一完整之探討。更不用論將其應用於含有應力奇 異點且幾何較複雜問題之數值分析解。故本研究擬以三年之時間,深入探討此相關問題。

於第一年,本研究將以特徵函數展開法(eigenfunction expansion),求解由於邊界不連續 或尖角之存在所引致 Mindlin 板應力奇異之解析漸近解,以求得各種不同條件下之應力奇 異階數及其對應漸近解函數。

於第二年(92年8月 93年7月),本研究將把於第一年所得之漸近解函數融合於 Ritz 數值分析法中,分析扇形板及懸臂斜板(cantilevered skewed plates)(含平行四邊形、三角 形 及梯形板)之振動問題。並進行此等板幾何參數探討,以了解該等參數對板振動之影響。 並從 Ritz 法之收斂性分析中,探討漸近解函數於數值分析法中之效益。

於第三年(93年8月 94年7月),本研究擬將第一年所得之漸近解函數,進一步融合 於有限元素法中。並提出新融合方式,以使得該漸近解函數能被更廣泛地應用於分析含有 應力奇異點之厚板問題。同樣地,本年度所提解題程序將被應用於解扇形板及具矩形開口 或裂縫矩形板與斜形板之振動問題,並進行相關幾何參數探討。

關鍵詞:Mindlin 板理論;應力奇異;特徵函數展開法;Ritz 法;有限元素法;厚板;振 動分析

1. Abstract

Plates are widely used components in engineering applications for civil engineering, mechanical engineering, and aerospace engineering. The Mindlin plate theory is often applied to describe the behaviors of plates. It is well known that stress singularities arise in the mathematical solutions of plate problems, which can be due to concentrated forces and moments, discontinuities in edge conditions or sharp corner. It has been pointed out and numerically shown that if singularities due to discontinuities in edge conditions or sharp corners are not properly considered in numerical solutions, significant errors will occur in the calculated global behavior of plates, such as static deflection, free vibration frequencies, forced dynamic response, and critical buckling load. However, there is no comprehensive study in the stress singularities for the Mindlin plate theory. Consequently, it is also short of accurate numerical solutions for the plates with stress singularities. It is the main purpose of the three-year proposal to investigate the stress singularity behaviors of Mindlin plates due to discontinuities in edge conditions or sharp corner and apply these results to some well known numerical solution techniques to solve some complicate vibration problems involving stress singularities.

In the first year, eigenfunction expansion approach was applied to find the asymptotic solution for stress singularity behavior in the Mindlin plate theory. The singularity orders corresponding to various combinations of edge conditions were determined and expressed in graphic form. The results will be compared with those for thin plate theory.

In the second year, the obtained asymptotic solutions were used in the Ritz method and to solve the vibration problems such as sectorial plates and cantilevered skewed plates (including skewed triangular, parallelogram, and trapezoidal plates). We carried out the investigation on the effects of some geometric parameters, such as vertex angles and skewed angle et al., on the vibrations of such plates. Furthermore, the effects of the asymptotic solutions on the Ritz approach were also investigated.

In the third year, the obtained asymptotic solutions will be further used in conventional finite element approach. A new way to incorporate the asymptotic solutions into the finite

element approach will be proposed, so that the proposed approach can be broadly applied to solve a variety of vibration problems with complicated geometry and stress singularities. The analyses will also be performed on the vibrations of sectorial plates and cantilevered skewed or rectangular plates with a rectangular cutout or crack.

Keywords: Mindlin plate theory, stress singularities, eigenfunction expansion, Ritz Method, Finite Element Approach, Thick Plate, Vibration Analysis

2. Motive and Goal

In the second year of this project, the asymptotic solutions obtained in the first year of this project were used in the Ritz method and to solve the vibration problems such as sectorial plates and cantilevered skewed plates (including skewed triangular, parallelogram, and trapezoidal plates). Since this report is for mid-term report, the work on analyzing the vibrations of cantilevered skewed plates will be emphasized, while the work on sectorial plates will be included in the final report.

A cantilevered skewed trapezoidal plate, as depicted in Fig.1, has been found in numerous engineering applications, including aircraft and guided missiles. A cantilevered skewed triangular plate or parallelogram plate can be treated as a special case of a trapezoidal plate. In Fig.1, c/b=0 represents a skewed triangular plate while c/b=1 specifies a parallelogram plate. Because of the complexity of the geometrical shape and the boundary conditions, no exact solution is tractable for the free vibrations of such plates. Many numerical solutions, based on classical thin plate theories, have been published for such plates. Leissa [1-4] reviewed much of the earlier work in this field, while more recent work was mentioned in references 5 and 6.

Shear deformation and rotary inertia are well known to be important to any analysis of moderately thick plates or in determining the higher vibration frequencies of thin plates. Nevertheless, rather few results have been published on the vibration frequencies of skewed triangular, trapezoidal and parallelogram plates as derived using plate theories including the effects of shear deformation and rotary inertia. McGee and Butalia [7] presented a higher-order finite element plate formulation for analyzing the vibrations of skewed trapezoidal and triangular thick plates. Karunasena *et al.* [8] applied the pb-2 Rayleigh-Ritz method to elucidate the vibrations of cantilevered skewed triangular Mindlin plates.

To investigate vibrations of parallelogram plates, Kanaka Raju and Hinton [9] and Liew et al. [10] employed Mindlin plate theory, and used a finite element approach and pb-2 Rayleigh-Ritz method, respectively, while McGee and Leissa [11] used the Ritz method and three-dimensional elasticity theory. McGee and Butalia [12] thoroughly studied the vibrations of skew plates using nine-node Lagrangian isoparametric quadrilateral plate elements, based on three shear deformable thick plate theories.

Recently, Huang [13 and 14] showed that corner stress singularities arise in Mindlin plate theory and Reddy's refined plate theory when the vertex angle of a wedge with clamped and free boundary conditions along its radial edges exceeds approximately 60° . As shown in [5, 6] for cantilevered skewed thin plates, corner stress singularity behaviors have to be considered in numerical approaches in order to obtain accurate vibration frequencies. Nevertheless, in the aforementioned publications on cantilevered skewed triangular, trapezoidal and parallelogram Mindlin plates, numerical approaches have not numerical approaches stress singularities. In [12], finite element convergence studies of cantilevered skewed thick plates reveal that the accuracy of the results obtained using a specified mesh size declines as the skew angle is increased. Furthermore, Karunasena *et al.* [8] admitted that their results, obtained by the pb-2 Rayleigh-Ritz method, are not accurate for cantilevered skewed triangular Mindlin plates with large skew angles because their approach did not incorporate the effects of stress singularities. Accordingly, the vibrations of cantilevered trapezoidal plates must be reexamined, considering corner stress singularities.

The aim of this work is to present an accurate numerical solution for the free vibrations of

skewed cantilevered triangular, trapezoidal and parallelogram Mindlin plates using the Ritz method and considering the corner stress singularities. The Ritz method has been popularly applied to investigate the vibrations of structural components. For simplicity, polynomial functions are commonly selected as the admissible functions in the Ritz method. However, the Ritz method, involving a large number of polynomial functions, is well known to yield easily a generalized eigenvalue problem with an ill-conditioned matrix. In this work, "corner functions" are introduced into the admissible functions, which also include polynomial functions, to accelerate the convergence of solutions. The corner functions are established from the asymptotic solutions provided by Huang [13] for both moment and shear force singularities at a corner of a thick plate. Hence, the corner functions not only appropriately describe the singular behaviors at the clamped re-entrant corner of a skewed cantilevered plate, but also meet the clamped boundary conditions and free moment conditions around the re-entrant corner. Convergence studies are herein conducted for various skew angles to demonstrate the effects of corner functions on the accuracy of the numerical results. Accurate vibration frequencies of skewed cantilevered trapezoidal plates with various skew angles, aspect ratios (a/b), chord ratios (c/b) and thickness ratios (h/b) are reported and compared to the published results obtained by other researchers to improve the currently available data base.

3. Contents of the Research

3.1 <u>Methodology</u>

For the free vibration of a plate in Cartesian coordinates (x, y), the maximum strain energy (*V*max) and the maximum kinetic energy (*T*max) are (cf. [8]),

$$V_{\max} = \iint_{A} \frac{D}{2} \{ [\psi_{x,x}^{2} + \psi_{y,y}^{2} + 2\upsilon\psi_{x,x}\psi_{y,y} + \frac{1-\upsilon}{2}(\psi_{x,y} + \psi_{y,x})^{2}] + \frac{\kappa^{2}Gh}{2} [(\psi_{x} + w_{,x})^{2} + (\psi_{y} + w_{,y})^{2}] \} dA$$
(1)

$$T_{\max} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \iint_A \{\rho h w^2 + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} (\psi_x^2 + \psi_y^2)\} dA$$
(2)

where w is the transverse displacement of the mid-plane; ψ_x and ψ_y are the bending rotations of the mid-plane normal in the x and y directions, respectively; h is the thickness of the plate; $D = Eh^3/12(1-v^2)$ is the flexural rigidity; E is the modulus of elasticity; v is Poisson's ratio ; κ^2 is the shear correction factor; G is the shear modulus, and ω is the vibration frequency.

The use of skew coordinates (ξ, η) (Fig.1) and oblique rotations, ψ_{ξ} and ψ_{η} is normally convenient in analyzing skew plates. They are related to orthogonal coordinates, x and y, and orthogonal rotations, ψ_x and ψ_y , by

$$\xi = x/\cos\beta, \ \eta = y - x\tan\beta, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\psi_{\xi} = \psi_x + \psi_y \tan \beta, \ \psi_{\eta} = \psi_y / \cos \beta, \tag{4}$$

where is the skew angle (Fig. 1). Equations (1-4) can be used to redefine Vmax and Tmax in the skew coordinates as

$$V_{\max} = \iint_{A} \{ \frac{D}{2} \{ \sec^{2} \beta (\psi_{\xi,\xi} - \sin \beta \psi_{\xi,\eta} - \sin \beta \psi_{\eta,\xi} + \psi_{\eta,\eta})^{2} - 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}] \} + 2(1-v) [\psi_{\eta,\eta} \psi_{\xi,\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\psi_{\xi,\eta} + \psi_{\eta,\xi})^{2}]] \}$$

$$\frac{\kappa^2 Gh}{2} [(\psi_{\xi} - \sin\beta\,\psi_{\eta} + \sec\beta\,w_{,\xi} - \tan\beta\,w_{,\eta})^2 + (\cos\beta\,\psi_{\eta} + w_{,\eta})^2] dA \quad (5)$$

$$T_{\max} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \iint_A \{\rho h w^2 + \frac{\rho h^3}{12} [(\psi_{\xi} - \sin\beta\,\psi_{\eta})^2 + (\cos\beta\,\psi_{\eta})^2]\} dA \quad (6)$$

where $dA = \cos\beta d\xi d\eta$.

In the Ritz method, the energy functional is defined as

$$\Pi = V_{\max} - T_{\max} \,. \tag{7}$$

 $w(\xi,\eta)$, $\psi_{\xi}(\xi,\eta)$ and $\psi_{\eta}(\xi,\eta)$ in eqs. (6 and 7) are approximated by finite series of admissible functions, which satisfy the geometric boundary conditions under consideration, and expressed as

$$\Psi_{\xi}(\xi,\eta) = \Psi_{\xi p}(\xi,\eta) + \Psi_{\xi c}(\xi,\eta), \tag{8a}$$

$$\psi_{\eta}(\xi,\eta) = \Psi_{\eta p}(\xi,\eta) + \Psi_{\eta c}(\xi,\eta), \qquad (8b)$$

$$w(\xi,\eta) = W_p(\xi,\eta) + W_c(\xi,\eta), \qquad (8c)$$

where $\Psi_{\xi p}$, $\Psi_{\eta p}$ and W_p consist of algebraic polynomials, and $\Psi_{\xi c}$, $\Psi_{\eta c}$ and W_c consist of corner functions, which account for the singular behaviors of moments and shear forces at re-entrant corner $\angle ABC$ in Fig.1. The polynomials in terms of skew co-ordinates are used; hence,

$$\Psi_{\xi p} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} A_{ij} \xi^{i} \eta^{j-1}, \quad \Psi_{\eta p} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} B_{ij} \xi^{i} \eta^{j-1}, \quad W_{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} C_{ij} \xi^{i} \eta^{j-1}, \quad (9)$$

where A_{ij} , B_{ij} and C_{ij} are coefficients to be determined by minimizing Π .

Although the polynomials given in eq.(9) constitute a mathematically complete set of admissible functions and theoretically yield accurate values of the frequencies when I and J are large enough, numerical difficulties are very likely to occur when I and J are large. It is desirable to supplement the polynomial admissible functions with the corner functions, which properly represent the singularities, to accelerate the convergence of the solution.

The sets of corner functions are

$$\Psi_{\xi c}(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \overline{A}_{k} \overline{\Psi}_{\xi k}(\xi,\eta), \quad \Psi_{\eta c}(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \overline{B}_{k} \overline{\Psi}_{\eta k}(\xi,\eta), \quad W_{c}(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \overline{C}_{n} \overline{W}_{n}(\xi,\eta)$$
(10)

where \overline{A}_k , \overline{B}_k and \overline{C}_n are arbitrary coefficients, and $\overline{\Psi}_{\xi k}$, $\overline{\Psi}_{\eta k}$ and \overline{W}_n are established from the asymptotic solutions presented in [13]. The asymptotic solutions [13] are expressed in terms of polar co-ordinates (r, θ) as shown in Fig.1, the displacement components in polar co-ordinates have to transform into those in skew co-ordinates, yielding,

$$\overline{\Psi}_{\xi k} = (\cos\theta + \tan\beta\sin\theta)\overline{\Psi}_{\theta k} + (\sin\theta - \tan\beta\cos\theta)\overline{\Psi}_{rk}, \qquad (11a)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_{\eta k} = (\sin\theta \,\overline{\Psi}_{\theta k} - \cos\theta \,\overline{\Psi}_{r k}) / \cos\beta, \tag{11b}$$

$$\overline{W}_n = r^{\overline{\lambda}_n} \sin \overline{\lambda}_n \theta, \qquad (11c)$$

where

$$\overline{\Psi}_{rk} = r^{\lambda_k} \left\{ \cos(\lambda_k + 1)\theta - k_1\eta_1 \sin(\lambda_k + 1)\theta - \cos(\lambda_k - 1)\theta + \eta_1 \sin(\lambda_k - 1)\theta \right\},$$
(12a)

$$\overline{\Psi}_{\theta k} = r^{\lambda_k} \left\{ -\sin(\lambda_k + 1)\theta - k_1\eta_1\cos(\lambda_k + 1)\theta + k_1\sin(\lambda_k - 1)\theta + k_1\eta_1\cos(\lambda_k - 1)\theta \right\}, \quad (12b)$$

$$\eta_1 = -\frac{\lambda_k (1-v) \cos(\lambda_k + 1)\alpha - (k_1(\lambda_k - 1) - \lambda_k v - 1)\cos(\lambda_k - 1)\alpha}{(k_1(\lambda_k - 1) - \lambda_k v - 1)\sin(\lambda_k - 1)\alpha - k_1\lambda_k (1-v)\sin(\lambda_k + 1)\alpha},$$
(12c)

$$k_1 = -\frac{2(1-\nu) + (1+\nu)(\lambda_k + 1)}{2(1-\nu) - (1+\nu)(\lambda_k - 1)},$$
(12d)

$$r = \{ \left(\frac{b}{2} - \eta\right)^2 + \xi^2 - 2\xi \left(\frac{b}{2} - \eta\right) \sin\beta \}^{1/2},$$
(12e)

$$\theta = Tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\xi \cos \beta}{(b/2 - \eta) - \xi \sin \beta} \right), \tag{12f}$$

and is the re-entrant angle (Fig. 1). The characteristic values λ_k and $\overline{\lambda}_n$ are, respectively, the roots of the following equations:

$$\sin^2 \lambda_k \alpha = \frac{4 - \lambda_n^2 (1 + \upsilon)^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{(3 - \upsilon)(1 + \upsilon)},$$
(13a)

and

 $\cos \overline{\lambda}_n \alpha = 0 \; .$

Using the Ritz method, the free vibration problem is solved by substituting eqs. (9) and (11) into eqs.(5) and (6) and minimizing Π in eq.(7). Minimizing Π with respect to the undetermined coefficients A_{ij} , B_{ij} , C_{ij} , \overline{A}_k , \overline{B}_k , and \overline{C}_n yields 3IJ+2K+N homogeneous, linear algebraic equations in terms of the undetermined coefficients, which results in the matrix form of a generalized eigenvalue problem. The eigenvalues correspond to the natural vibration frequencies.

(13b)

3.2 Results and discussion

The frequencies obtained by the Ritz method should monotonically converge to the exact frequencies as upper bounds when a sufficient number of admissible functions are used, if the admissible functions are taken from a complete set of functions. This section addresses convergence studies which were carried out for cantilevered skewed triangular plates. All numerical results are presented in terms of the nondimensional frequency parameter Ω defined as $\omega a^2 \sqrt{\rho h/D}$. The results are for materials with a Poisson ratio (v) of 0.3.

Tables 1-2 present a convergence study of the non-dimensional frequency parameters of five skewed triangular plates (a/b=1; h/b=0.001 or 0.2; $\beta = 60^{\circ}$ or 75°). The shear correction factors were set equal to 5/6 to allow the present results to be compared with published data. The frequencies were obtained by increasing the number of polynomial terms in eq. (9) (e.g. (I,J)=(4,4), (5,5), ...,(9,9)) and the number of corner functions in eq. (10) (e.g. K=N=0, 5, 10, and 15 for $\beta \ge 60^{\circ}$).

Tables 1-2 show that the frequencies monotonically converge to the exact ones from above as the number of polynomial terms or the number of corner functions increases. The results obtained using only polynomial terms (K=N=0) do not converge well for large skew angles ($\beta = 60^{\circ}$ or 75°). The results of the formula for the state of the state of

 75°). The convergence of the frequencies is significantly enhanced by augmenting the polynomial sets with an increasing number of corner functions, especially for a large skew angle. The improvement in the convergence of frequencies obtained by adding corner functions does not considerably change for different values of h/b. The results obtained using I=J=8 and K=N=10 are exact to at least three significant figures.

Some of the published results obtained by others are also given in Tables 1-2. Based on classical thin plate theory, McGee *et al.* [6] applied the Ritz method and added thin plate theory corner functions to the algebraic polynomial admissible displacement functions. Based on Mindlin plate theory, Karunasena *et al.* [8] obtained the natural frequencies of skewed triangular plates by using the Ritz method and 120 admissible polynomial functions for each of w, $\psi_{\mathcal{E}}$ and

 ψ_{η} . Comparing the presented results with those of McGee *et al.* [6] reveals that the present

converged results demonstrate the theoretical fact that the frequencies determined from Mindlin plate theory are less than those obtained from thin plate theory. However, the results of Karunasena *et al.* [8] violate this, indicating these results not accurate enough. Indeed, their frequencies are seen to be much too high for large skew angles ($\beta = 60^{\circ}$ and 75°). Karunasena *et al.* [8] acknowledged that the accuracy of their results become worse as the skewed angle increases because stress singularity effects at the re-entrant corner were not considered. Notably, the present solution does not show the shear locking phenomenon that is often found in a finite element approach when the Mindlin plate theory is applied to a thin plate.

The results of McGee and Butalia [7] in Table 3 were obtained using higher-order shear deformable plate theory and the finite element approach. No shear correction factor is involved. These results were obtained using 64 Lagrangian isoparametric plate elements with a total of 2448 degrees of freedom, whereas the present method used, at most, 222 degrees of freedom. The convergence studies in [7] indicate that these results may converge to only two significant figures. Generally reasonably good agreement is observed between the present results and those of McGee and Butalia [7], except in the cases of plates with $\beta = 75^{\circ}$. Notably, McGee and Butalia [7] conceded that the stress singularity at the re-entrant corner should be incorporated into their finite element modeling of highly skewed plates, when they found that their results for thin plates exceeded those obtained by applying thin plate theory [6] using the Ritz method.

Figure 2 shows the nodal patterns for parallelogram plates with $\beta = 45^{\circ}$ and 75° and h/b=0.1.

The nodal patterns for $\beta = 75^{\circ}$ are first shown in the literature. One can find that the nodal patterns strongly depend on the skew angle, especially for higher modes.

4. Concluding Remarks

This work has demonstrated a novel Ritz procedure to determine accurately the natural frequencies of skewed cantilevered triangular, trapezoidal and parallelogram plates based on Mindlin plate theory. The proposed procedure incorporates a mathematically complete set of admissible polynomials in conjunction with admissible corner functions that not only properly describe the singular behaviors of moments and shear forces at the re-entrant clamped corner, but also satisfy the free moment conditions along the free edge of the re-entrant corner.

The effects of adding corner functions to the admissible set of polynomials in the Ritz method on the determination of the frequencies of a plate were investigated through convergence studies for various plates with different shapes. It was shown there that use of corner functions accelerates the convergence of the solutions significantly, thereby permitting one to obtain accurate frequencies from smaller eigenvalue determinants, and reducing numerical ill-conditioning.

The highly accurate results obtained from the present solution were demonstrated through comparison with previously published data for cantilevered triangular, trapezoidal and parallelogram plates. Significant improvement (closer upper bounds) was seen especially for the thick plates with a large skewed angle ($\beta \ge 45^{\circ}$).

Because of the limitation in the number of pages in this report, only a part of the results from this project were shown. However, we have achieved the goals of the project given in the proposal. We are preparing a paper, which will be submitted to *International Journal of Mechanical Science*. In this paper, a complete set of results for accurate vibration frequencies of skewed cantilevered trapezoidal plates with various skew angles, aspect ratios (a/b), chord ratios (c/b) and thickness ratios (h/b) will be reported and compared to the published results obtained by other researchers to improve the currently available data base.

5. References

- [1] Leissa AW. Vibration of plates. NASA SP-160, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1969; Reprinted by The Acoustical Society of America, 1993.
- [2] Leissa AW. Recent research in plate vibrations, 1973-1976: Classical theory. The Shock and Vibration Digest 1977; 9(10): 13-24.
- [3] Leissa AW. Plate vibration research, 1976-1980: Classical theory. The Shock and Vibration Digest 1981; 13(9): 11-22.
- [4] Leissa AW. Recent studies in plate vibrations: 1981-1985. Part I. Classical theory. The Shock and Vibration Digest 1987; 19(2): 11-18.
- [5] McGee OG, Leissa AW, Huang CS. Vibrations of cantilevered skewed plates with corner stress singularities. International Journal of Numerical Methods in Engineering 1992; 35(2): 409-424.
- [6] McGee OG, Leissa AW, Huang CS. Vibrations of cantilevered skewed trapezoidal and triangular plates with corner stress singularities. International Journal of Mechanical Science 1992; 34(1): 63-84.
- [7] McGee OG, Butalia TS. Natural vibrations of shear deformable cantilevered skew trapezoidal and triangular thick plates, Computers & Structures1992; 45(5/6):1033-1059.
- [8] Karunasena W, Kitipornchai S, AL-Bermani FGA. Free vibration of cantilevered arbitrary triangular Mindlin plates. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 1996; 38(4): 431-442.
- [9] Kanaka Raju K, Hinton E. Natural frequencies and modes of rhombic Mindlin plates. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics 1980; 8: 55-62.
- [10] Liew KM, Xiang Y, Kitipornchai S, Wang CM. Vibration of thick skew plates based on Mindlin shear deformation plate theory. Journal of Sound and Vibration 1993; 168(1): 39-69.
- [11] McGee OG, Leissa AW. Three-dimensional free vibrations of thick skewed cantilevered plates. Journal of Sound and Vibration 1991; 144: 305-322.
- [12] McGee OG, Butalia TS. Natural vibrations of shear deformable cantilevered skew thick plates. Journal of Sound and Vibration 1994; 176(3): 351-376.
- [13] Huang CS. Stress singularities at angular corners in first-order shear deformation plate theory. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 2003; 45: 1-20.
- [14] Huang CS. On the singularity induced by boundary conditions in a third-order thick plate theory. Journal of Applied Mechanics, ASME 2002; 69: 800-810.

Mode No.	<i>K</i> and <i>N</i> in eq. (10)		MaGaa						
	(No. of corner functions)	(4,4)	(5,5)	(6,6)	(7,7)	(8,8)	(9,9)	<i>et al.</i> [6]	
1	0	8.251	7.835	7.677	7.272	6.721	6.651	6.437	
	5	7.792	6.689	6.484	6.436	6.435	6.435		
	10	6.882	6.457	6.435	6.434	6.434	6.433		
	15	6.450	6.435	6.434	6.433	6.433	6.432		
2	0	32.56	31.13	30.37	29.47	28.84	27.92		
	5	30.87	29.44	28.27	27.74	27.74	27.73	27.75	
	10	29.95	28.01	27.74	27.73	27.73	27.72	27.75	
	15	27.85	27.73	27.73	27.73	27.72	27.72		
3	0	76.20	72.32	71.22	69.86	68.28	67.69		
	5	73.10	68.11	66.90	66.81	66.81	66.80	66.95	
	10	68.01	66.87	66.81	66.80	66.79	66.79	00.85	
	15	66.86	66.81	66.80	66.79	66.79	66.78		
4	0	139.2	131.6	128.5	123.2	120.4	118.3	117.9	
	5	133.0	118.5	117.9	117.9	117.8	117.8		
	10	120.2	117.9	117.8	117.8	117.8	117.8		
	15	117.9	117.8	117.8	117.7	117.7	117.7		
5	0	209.8	179.3	165.5	154.1	151.0	146.4		
	5	185.7	148.5	144.7	144.3	144.3	144.2	144.3	
	10	154.4	144.5	144.3	144.2	144.2	144.1		
	15	144.4	144.3	144.3	144.2	144.1	144.1		

Table 1 Convergence of frequency parameter Ω for a thin triangular plate (*a/b*=1, $\beta = 75^{\circ}$, *h/b*=0.001)

	K and N in eqs. (I, J) in eqs.(9a)-(9c)								
Mode	(10a)-(10c)							Karunasena	
No.	(No. of corner	(4,4)	(5,5)	(6,6)	(7,7)	(8,8)	(9,9)	et al. [8]	
	functions)								
	0	6.314	5.747	5.624	5.500	5.483	5.424		
1	5	5.622	5.534	5.458	5.410	5.410	5.409	5.517	
I	10	5.509	5.449	5.409	5.409	5.409	5.408	5.517	
	15	5.432	5.409	5.409	5.408	5.408	5.408		
	0	25.16	21.26	20.49	20.14	19.95	19.94	20.32	
2	5	20.98	20.42	20.00	19.93	19.93	19.92		
2	10	20.29	20.20	19.93	19.93	19.92	19.92		
	15	19.99	19.93	19.92	19.92	19.92	19.91		
	0	40.79	29.38	25.27	24.49	23.33	23.22		
2	5	27.39	24.64	23.98	23.20	23.19	23.19	22.65	
3	10	24.56	23.41	23.19	23.19	23.19	23.19	23.03	
	15	23.28	23.19	23.19	23.19	23.18	23.18		
4	0	87.98	66.92	46.68	40.92	34.89	34.80		
	5	69.74	44.60	38.92	34.75	34.75	34.75	25 42	
	10	41.03	38.01	34.75	34.75	34.75	34.74	35.43	
	15	35.66	34.75	34.74	34.74	34.74	34.73		
5	0	101.7	76.13	47.29	43.74	42.16	41.74		
	5	80.46	46.95	42.45	41.27	41.27	41.27	41.00	
	10	47.37	41.75	41.27	41.27	41.26	41.26	41.70	
	15	41.66	41.27	41.26	41.26	41.25	41.25		

Table 2 Convergence of frequency parameter Ω for a thick triangular plate (*a/b*=1, $\beta = 60^{\circ}$, *h/b*=0.2)

Table 3 Comparison of frequency parameters Ω for trapezoidal thick plates (h/b=0.2)

a/b	c/b	β	Mode Number					
		(degrees)	1	1 2		4		
	0.25	15	3.508	7.602	12.71	14.43		
0.5		15	<3.521>	<7.674>	<12.86>			
		30	3.551	7.882	12.97	14.52		
			<3.565>	<7.947>	<13.06>	<14.65>		
		45	3.724	8.823	13.25	15.77		
			<3.747>	<8.897>	<13.27>			
		60	4.017	10.03	13.26	18.40		
			<4.026>	<10.05>	<13.29>			
		75	4.448	7.244	12.33	15.05		
			<4.455>	<7.633>	<14.63>			
	0.5	15	3.314	6.087	11.36	12.00		
			<3.330>	<6.147>	<11.40>	<12.00>		
		30	3.461	6.396	11.82	12.34		
			<3.472>	<6.451>	<11.90>	<12.47>		
		45	3.736	7.407	12.41	13.49		
			<3.759>	<7.410>	<12.53>	<13.52>		
		60 75	4.126	9.701	12.92	15.71		
			<4.135>	<9.764>	<12.88>			
			4.674	7.780	12.85	13.54		
			<4.653>	<8.139>	<13.93>			
	0.25	15	4.168	14.26	19.42	30.91		
			<4.170>	<14.32>	<19.52>			
		30	4.152	14.43	20.11	30.43		
			<4.153>	<14.49>	<20.22>			
		45	4.275	15.73	21.17	32.31		
1.0			<4.278>	<15.80>	<21.27>			
		60	4.475	17.99	22.16	36.72		
			<4.494>	<18.08>	<22.18>			
		75	4.803	17.90	20.43	34.60		
			<4.817>	<18.19>	<20.54>			
	0.5	15	3.772	11.40	18.42	27.55		
			<3.774>	<11.44>	<18.53>			
		30	3.858	11.76	19.39	27.16		
			<3.864>	<11.81>	<19.52>			
		45	3.858	11.76	19.39	27.16		
			<3.864>	<11.81>	<19.52>			
		60	4.243	16.06	22.31	34.60		
			<4.444>	<16.25>	<22.50>			
		75	4.718	19.21	21.59	35.56		
			<4.887>	<19.61>	<21.68>			

Note: < > denotes values from McGee and Butalia [7]; / denotes no data available.



Fig. 1 Geometry and coordinate systems of skewed cantilevered trapezoidal plate.



Fig. 2 Nodal pattern for parallelogram plates (*h/b*=0.1)