## NSC 90-2112-M-009-054 執行期限:90 8 1 日至 91 7 31

there can be a considerable resonant coupling in the  $2p_0$  state. A theoretical model, which is based on the effective mass theory and the variation method with group-theoretical consideration for acceptor levels in both strained and unstrained semiconductors, has been developed in this project as well.

The study of electronic states of a hydrogenic impurity in a semiconductor quantum-well structure has been a subject of considerable interest for the last two decades. There have been numerous reports on calculations of the impurity states in such a system. However, most of the studies are restricted to calculation of the binding energies of the ground state or some low-lying excited states attached to the first subband. The calculation relies on the variational technique accompanied with a proper trial function. For the ground state, a good trial function should keep the calculation not too complicated and give the expectation energy of the state as low as possible. The trial function for the  $2p_0$ state, which is cylindrically symmetric and has a node along the growth direction, has to be chosen with caution. State is orthogonal to the other localized impurity states and can be obtained simultaneously with the ground state in a matrix diagonalization.

(A) Theory of resonant states of hydrogenic impurity in quantum wells

 $(gain)$ 

-doped

multisubband

 $\overline{a}$ 

 $\,$ ,

model)。此模型是利用 Green function

 $(B \t N \t)$ 

## **Abstract**

The binding energy and the density-of-states spectrum of resonant impurity states in quantum well structure have been theoretically studied with variation of the impurity position taken into account, using the multi-subband model and the resolvent operator technique. Calculations for the  $2p_0$  resonant state have been performed. It has been found that

The effective-mass Hamiltonian for an electron bound to a donor in an QW structure can be written as

$$
H = H_0 + V_c(\mathbf{r})
$$

where  $H_0$  is the impurity-free Hamiltonian and can be written as

$$
H_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{m_r(z)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \nabla_{\parallel}^2 + V_0(z)
$$

where  $V_c$  is the coulomb potential energy of the impurity, having the following expression

$$
V_c(r) = -\frac{2}{\kappa(z)\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - z_i)^2}}
$$

The Hamiltonian is written in the dimensionless form in which the energy and the length are in units of effective Rydberg  $R^*(R^* = m_0^* e^4 / 2 \epsilon_0^2 \hbar^2)$  and effective Bohr radius  $a^*(a^* = \hbar^2 \in_0 / m_0^* e^2)$ of the well material , respectively.  $(m_0^*$  *and*  $\epsilon_0$  are the effective mass and the static dielectric constant , respectively, of the material making up the well )

The wavefunction of the resonant states as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  can be written in a linear combination of solutions of the Schrodinger equation, which can be regarded to be impurity-free, that is,

 $\psi = \psi_B + \psi_X$ where

$$
\psi_B = e^{im\phi} \sum_{n \geq v} C_n \frac{e^{ik_{\parallel n} \rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} f_n(z)
$$

The total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H = H_U^{(v)} + H_C^{(v)} + (1 - P_v)V_c(1 - P_v)
$$

where

$$
H_U^{(v)} = P_v (H_0 + V_c) P_v + H_0 (1 - P_v)
$$

and

$$
H_C^{(v)} = (1 - P_v)V_c P_v + P_vV_c(1 - P_v)
$$

where  $H_U^{(v)}$  is the Hamiltonian of the uncoupled system in which there is no inter-subspace coupling. The bound part is obtained by use of the variational method with the trial function in the following form

$$
\psi_B^{(im)} = e^{im\phi} \rho^{|m|} \sum_{n \gg \nu} \sum_l C_{nl} e^{-\alpha_l \rho^2} f_n(z) .
$$

The resonant coupling between  $B_{\nu}$  and  $X_{\nu}$  can be treated with the technique of

resolvent operators. Let  $G^{(0)}$  and G be the resolvent operators for the uncoupled system

and the total system, have

$$
G^{(0)} = (E - H_U^{(v)} + i0^+)^{-1}
$$

and

 $G = (E - H + i0^{+})^{-1}$  $G = G^{(0)} + G^{(0)} H_G^{(v)} G$ . related through the Dyson equation

Finding the DOS spectrum of the impurity states as follows

$$
n_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\langle \psi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \left| G \right| \psi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \rangle] \ ,
$$

where

$$
\langle \psi_B | G | \psi_B \rangle = [F(E) + i \Gamma(E)]^{-1}
$$

With

$$
F(E) = \pi \sum_{n < v} \sum_{k_{\parallel}} P \frac{\left| \langle \psi_B | V_c | \psi_{nk_{\parallel}} \rangle \right|^2}{E - E_{nk_{\parallel}}}
$$
  
=  $E - E_I - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n < v} P \int_{E_{n0}}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_n(E')}{E - E'} dE'$   

$$
\Gamma(E) = \pi \sum_{n < v} \sum_{k_{\parallel}} \left| \langle \psi_B | V_c | \psi_B \rangle \right|^2 \delta(E - E_{nk_{\parallel}})
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_n(E) = \frac{A}{4} \left| \langle \psi_B | V_c | \psi_{n,k_{\parallel} = \sqrt{E - E_{n0}}} \rangle \right|^2,
$$

here  $E_I$  is the energy of the impurity state in the absence of the resonant coupling. The resonant energy  $E_R$  of the impurity state is obtained by finding the peak position of the DOS spectrum.

In the presence of electric field, the bound impurity states are almost emptied through impact ionization. The free carriers are then accelerated toward the vicinity of the  $2p_0$  impurity state, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1. The hot carrier near the  $2p_0$  resonant state may have a large possibility to be captured into the impurity state due to the resonant coupling. As a result, a population inversion is achieved between the higher 2*p*0 state and the ground 1*s* state.

Fig. 1 shows the calculated binding energies of the 1*s* state and the 2*p*0 state of a shallow donor in Al0.2Ga0.8As/GaAs coupled wells separated by a 2-nm Al0.2Ga0.8As arrier. It is found in Fig. 1 (a) that the 1*s* state has a peak binding energy for the impurity in the left wider well. This is because there is a larger likelihood of finding the electron of the 1*s* state in the wider well, enhancing the Coulomb potential as the ionized donor stays in the wider well. Similarly, the  $2p_0$  state has a peak binding energy for the impurity in the right narrower well. Fig. 2 shows the lifetime broadening and the energy shift of the resonant 2*p*0 state as results of the resonant scattering. The lifetime broadening reflects the strength of the resonant coupling or the capture rate of carriers into the impurity. It is found from Fig. 2 (a) that the capture lifetime can be as small as 94 fs. However, an improper design of the quantum wells and the impurity position can cause a small resonant coupling.

The resonance leads in general to a slight blue shift in energy. As the two wells have a small difference in their widths, the resonant state nevertheless becomes close to the first subband edge and a red shift of  $\sim$ 1 meV may result. Fig. 3(a) shows the intra-impurity transition energy from the 2*p*0 to the 1*s* states. It can serve as a useful guide to design the structure with a specific emission frequency. The design should be with a caution to prevent the difference between the 2*p*0 state and the first subband edge from being larger than the LO phonon energy. The high phonon emission rate will make it difficult to accelerate the electrons to the vicinity of the resonant state. Fig. 3 (b) gives a plot for such energy differences. As the figure shows, a 3-nm right well should be avoided in the device design.



Fig. 1 The binding energies of (a) the 1s impurity state and (b) the  $2p_0$  impurity state versus the impurity position with various widths of the coupled wells. The position origin is at the center of the 2 nm barrier.



Fig. 2 (a) The lifetime broadening and (b) the energy shift of the  $2p_0$  impurity state versus the impurity position with various widths of the coupled wells. The position origin is at the center of the 2 nm barrier.



Fig. 3 (a) The intra-impurity transition energy and (b) the energy separation between the  $2p_0$  state and the first subband edge versus the impurity position with various widths of the coupled wells. The position origin is at the center of the 2 nm barrier.

- 1. G. Bastard , Phys. Rev. B **24,** 4714 (1981).
- 2. C. Mailhiot, Y.-C. Chang, and T. C. McGill, Phys. B **26**,4449 (1982)
- 3. R. L. Greene and K. K. Bajaj, Sold State Commun. **45,** 825 (1983).
- 4. S. Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 4480 (1983).
- 5. K. Tanaka, M. Nagaoka, and T. Yamabe, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 7068 (1983).
- 6. S. Chaudhuri and K. K. Bajaj, Phys. Rev. B **29**,1803 (1984).
- 7. R. L. Greene and K. K. Bajaj, Phys. Rev. B **31,** 913 (1985).
- 8. R. L. Greene and K. K. Bajaj, Phys. Rev. B **31,** 4006 (1985).
- 9. F. J. Betancur and I. D. Mikhailov, Phys. Rev. B **51** 4982 (1995).
- 10. J.-L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 10529 (1989).
- 11. J.-B. Xia, Phys. Rev. B **39,**5386 (1989).
- 12. C. Priester, G. Allan, and M. Lannoo, Phys. Rev. B **29,** 3408 (1984).
- 13. K.Jayakumar, S.Balasubramanian, and M. Tomak, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 8794 (1986).
- 14. S. Fraizzoli, F. Bassani, and R. Buczko, Phys. Rev. B **41,** 5096 (1990).
- 15. S. T. Yen, Phys. Rev. B, with referees.
- 16. T. A. Perry, R. Merlin, B. V. Shanabrook, and J. Comas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2623, (1985).
- 17. F. Bassani, G. Ladonisi, and B. Preziosi, Rep. Prog. Phys. **37**,1099 (1974).
- 18. M. A. Odnoblyudov, I. N. Yassievich, M. S. Kagan, Yu. M. Galperin, and K. A. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett.**83**, 644 (1999).